

Ann. Funct. Anal. 4 (2013), no. 2, 171–182

ANNALS OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

ISSN: 2008-8752 (electronic)

URL:www.emis.de/journals/AFA/

# POSITIVE TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

NAMITA DAS¹ AND MADHUSMITA SAHOO²\*

Communicated by S. Barza

ABSTRACT. In this paper we find conditions on the existence of bounded linear operators A on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $A^*T_\phi A \geq S_\psi$  and  $A^*T_\phi A \geq T_\phi$  where  $T_\phi$  is a positive Toeplitz operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and  $S_\psi$  is a self-adjoint little Hankel operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  with symbols  $\phi, \psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  respectively. Also we show that if  $T_\phi$  is a non-negative Toeplitz operator then there exists a rank one operator  $R_1$  on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \geq \alpha^2 \widetilde{R}_1(z)$  for some constant  $\alpha \geq 0$  and for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  where  $\widetilde{\phi}$  is the Berezin transform of  $T_\phi$  and  $\widetilde{R}_1(z)$  is the Berezin transform of  $R_1$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disc in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}dxdy$  be the normalized area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ . Let  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  be the space of complex-valued, absolutely integrable, measurable functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  with respect to the area measure dA and  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  be the Bergman space consisting of all analytic functions that are in  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ . Here the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$  and the inner product are taken in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ . It is [4] not difficult to see that  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ . We denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  into  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  by P. Let  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of complex-valued, essentially bounded, Lebesgue measurable functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  be the space of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$ . For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1}z^n$ . The sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  forms an or-

$$\mathbb{D}$$
. For  $n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $e_n(z) = \sqrt{n+1}z^n$ . The sequence  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $K(z,\overline{w}) = \overline{K_z(w)} = \frac{1}{(1-z\overline{w})^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(z)\overline{e_n(w)}$ .

Date: Received: 12 December 2012; Accepted: 25 February 2013.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B15; Secondary 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Bergman space, Positive operators, Berezin transform, Toeplitz operators, Little Hankel operators.

The function  $K(z, \overline{w})$  defined on  $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$  is called the Bergman kernel of  $\mathbb{D}$  or the reproducing kernel of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let  $k_z(w) = \frac{K(w, \overline{z})}{K(z, \overline{z})} = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 - \overline{z}w)^2} = \frac{K_z(w)}{\|K_z\|_2}$ . These functions  $k_z$  are called the normalized reproducing kernels of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  for each  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . It is clear [10] that they are unit vectors in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

For  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ , we define the Toeplitz operator from  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  into itself by  $T_{\phi}f = P(\phi f)$  and the Hankel operator  $H_{\phi}$  from  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  into  $(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))^{\perp}$  is defined by  $H_{\phi}f = (I - P)(\phi f)$ . The little Hankel operator  $S_{\phi}$  from  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  into itself is defined as  $S_{\phi}f = P(J(\phi f))$  where  $J: L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA)$  is defined as  $Jf(z) = f(\bar{z})$ . These operators [10] are all bounded.

Let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators from the Hilbert space H into itself. Let  $\mathcal{LC}(H)$  denote the ideal of compact operators in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$ . A bounded linear operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is said to be positive if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in H$ . The notation  $A \geq 0$  will mean that A is positive. We say  $A \geq B$  when  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq \langle Bx, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in H$ . For arbitrary selfadjoint operators  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  we write  $A \leq B$  if and only if  $B - A \geq 0$ . An operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is called hyponormal if  $A^*A \geq AA^*$  and the operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is called power bounded if  $\|A^n\| \leq K$  for a fixed K > 0 and  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ . Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H. We denote  $\frac{T + T^*}{2}$  by Re(T) and  $\frac{T - T^*}{2i}$  by Im(T). Define the Berezin transform for operators  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  by the formula

$$\widetilde{T}(z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The function  $\widetilde{T}$  is called the Berezin transform of T. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then  $\widetilde{T} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\|\widetilde{T}\|_{\infty} \leq \|T\|$  as  $|\widetilde{T}(z)| = |\langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle| \leq \|T\|$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . We shall write  $\widetilde{T_{\phi}} = \widetilde{\phi}$  for  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . That is,  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) = \langle T_{\phi}k_z, k_z \rangle = \widetilde{T_{\phi}}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

In the set of bounded Hermitian operators from a Hilbert space H into itself, various types of ordering by means of the cones of non-negative, positive definite and positive invertible operators can be defined. In this paper we investigate whether it is possible to compare the Berezin transform of non-negative Toeplitz and little Hankel operators. In section 2, we prove a few preliminary lemmas. In section 3, we show that if  $T_{\phi}$  is a positive Toeplitz operator on the Bergman space and  $S_{\psi}$  is a self-adjoint little Hankel operator then there exist bounded linear operators  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}$ . Similarly, we show that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . Further, one can find a sequence  $\{A_n\} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$  and  $A_n^*T_{\phi}A_n \geq T_{\phi}$  for all n. In section 4, we prove that if  $T_{\phi}$  is a non-negative Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then there exists a rank one operator  $R_1 \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \geq \beta \widetilde{R}_1(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and for some constant  $\beta \geq 0$ .

#### 2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section we prove a few preliminary lemmas which will be used in proving the main results of the paper. For finite rank operators in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  one can define a trace functional tr by

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle f_k, g_k \rangle$$
 when  $T = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \otimes g_k$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . If tr(ASA) = tr(ATA) for every rank one projection  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ , then S = T.

*Proof.* Let  $A = f \otimes f$ , where f is a unit vector. Then A is a rank one projection and every rank one projection takes this form. By the assumption, we have

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \operatorname{tr}(Sf \otimes f)$$
  
=  $\operatorname{tr}(ASA) = \operatorname{tr}(ATA)$   
=  $\operatorname{tr}(Tf \otimes f)$   
=  $\langle Tf, f \rangle$ .

Thus  $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \langle Tf, f \rangle$  holds for every unit vector  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Therefore,  $\langle Sk_z, k_z \rangle = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence S = T.

**Lemma 2.2.** If  $T_{\phi}$  is invertible and  $\langle A^*T_{\phi}^{-1}Af, g \rangle \langle A^*T_{\phi}Af, g \rangle = \langle A^*Af, g \rangle^2$  for every  $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  whose range is dense in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\phi$  is a constant function.

Proof. Since  $\overline{\text{Range}A} = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  we have  $\langle T_\phi^{-1}f,g\rangle \langle T_\phi f,g\rangle = \langle f,g\rangle^2$  for all  $f,g\in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Now fix a nonzero  $f\in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Then, for every  $g\in (\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp\subset L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , we have  $\langle T_\phi^{-1}f,g\rangle = 0$  or  $\langle T_\phi f,g\rangle = 0$  since  $\langle T_\phi^{-1}f,g\rangle \langle T_\phi f,g\rangle = \langle f,g\rangle^2 = 0$ . Let  $M_f = \left\{g\in (\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp: \langle T_\phi f,g\rangle = 0\right\}$  and  $N_f = \left\{g\in (\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp: \langle T_\phi^{-1}f,g\rangle = 0\right\}$ . Then  $M_f\cup N_f=(\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp$ . Because  $(\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp$ ,  $M_f$  and  $N_f$  are all closed linear subspaces, we must have  $M_f\subseteq N_f=(\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp$  or  $N_f\subseteq M_f=(\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp$ . If  $N_f=(\operatorname{Sp}\{f\})^\perp$ , then  $T_\phi^{-1}f\in \operatorname{Sp}\{f\}$ . So there exists a  $\lambda_f\in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $T_\phi^{-1}f=\lambda_f f\neq 0$ , that is,  $T_\phi f=\lambda_f f$  for some scalar  $\lambda_f$ . Since f is arbitrary, we see that for every  $f\in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , there is a scalar  $\lambda_f$  such that  $T_\phi f=\lambda_f f$ . This implies that there exists a  $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\phi\equiv\lambda$ .

Corollary 2.3. Suppose that  $T_{\phi}$  is invertible and  $\langle S_{\psi^{+}}T_{\phi}^{-1}S_{\psi}f, g\rangle\langle S_{\psi^{+}}T_{\phi}S_{\psi}f, g\rangle = \langle S_{\psi^{+}}S_{\psi}f, g\rangle^{2}$  for every  $f, g \in L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\ker S_{\psi} = \{0\}$ . Then  $\phi \equiv C$ , a constant function.

*Proof.* We need only to observe that  $\overline{\text{Range}S_{\psi}} = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\ker S_{\psi} = \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let A be a nonnegative operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Then  $\ker A = \ker A^{1/2}$  and  $\overline{RangeA} = \overline{RangeA^{1/2}}$ . If RangeA is closed then  $RangeA^{1/2}$  is closed and  $RangeA = RangeA^{1/2}$  and  $A = A^{1/2}B$ , for some invertible  $B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\langle Af, f \rangle = \langle A^{1/2}f, A^{1/2}f \rangle$ ,  $f \in L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ , it follows that  $\ker A \subseteq \ker A^{1/2}$ . Conversely, if  $f \in \ker A^{1/2}$ , we obtain  $Af = A^{1/2}A^{1/2}f = 0$ . Thus  $\ker A = \ker A^{1/2}$ . Also, observe that  $\overline{\mathrm{Range}A} = (\ker A)^{\perp} = (\ker A^{1/2})^{\perp} = \overline{\mathrm{Range}A^{1/2}}$ . The lemma follows from [7].

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $\psi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ , the space of continuous functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ . Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a positive Toeplitz operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $T_{\phi} \leq S_{\psi^+} T_{\phi} S_{\psi}$  where  $\psi^+(z) = \overline{\psi(\overline{z})}$ . Then  $T_{\phi} = S_{\psi^+} T_{\phi} S_{\psi}$ . Further  $\overline{RangeT_{\phi}}$  reduces  $S_{\psi}$  and  $S_{\psi}|_{\overline{(RangeT_{\phi})}}$  is unitary.

Proof. Let  $T_{\phi}^{1/2}S_{\psi}=L$ . The operator L is compact [10] as  $\psi\in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$  and  $S_{\psi}$  is a contraction as  $\|\psi\|_{\infty}\leq 1$ . Further,  $LL^*=T_{\phi}^{1/2}S_{\psi}S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}^{1/2}\leq T_{\phi}$ . This is so since  $S_{\psi}^*=S_{\psi^+}$ . Hence  $0\leq S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}S_{\psi}-T_{\phi}\leq S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}S_{\psi}-T_{\phi}^{1/2}S_{\psi}S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}^{1/2}=L^*L-LL^*$ . Hence the operator L is hyponormal. Since L is compact, L is normal. The normality of L implies that  $T_{\phi}=S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}S_{\psi}=T_{\phi}^{1/2}S_{\psi}S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}^{1/2}$ , and hence it follows that  $S_{\psi^+}$  is an isometry on  $\overline{\mathrm{Range}T_{\phi}}$  and  $T_{\phi}$  commutes with  $S_{\psi}$  (and so also with  $S_{\psi^+}$ ). Consequently,  $S_{\psi^+}S_{\psi}T_{\phi}=S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}S_{\psi}=T_{\phi}=T_{\phi}S_{\psi}S_{\psi^+}$ . Hence  $\overline{\mathrm{Range}T_{\phi}}$  reduces  $S_{\psi}$  and  $S_{\psi}|_{\overline{\mathrm{(Range}T_{\phi})}}$  is unitary.

## 3. Non-negative Toeplitz operators

In this section we show that if  $T_{\phi}$  is a positive Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  can be expressed as a linear combination of Bergman kernels and some of its derivative then there exist bounded linear operators  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^{*}T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}^{*}S_{\psi}$ . If in addition  $\psi(z) = \overline{\psi(\overline{z})}$  then we can find  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^{*}T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}$ . Further, we find conditions for the existence of  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^{*}T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . It is also possible to find sequences  $\{A_{n}\}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{L}(L_{a}^{2}(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A_{n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$  and  $A_{n}^{*}T_{\phi}A_{n} \geq T_{\phi}$  for all n.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a positive Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  with symbol  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $S_{\psi}$  be a little Hankel operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  where

$$\overline{\psi(z)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_j - 1} c_{j\gamma} \frac{\partial^{\gamma}}{\partial \overline{b_j}^{\gamma}} K_{b_j}(z)$$

where  $\mathbf{b} = \{b_j\}_{j=1}^N$  is a finite set of points in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,  $c_{j\gamma} \neq 0$  for all  $j, \gamma$  and  $m_j$  is the number of times  $b_j$  appears in  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then there exists an operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$  and  $\|A^*T_{\phi}A\| \geq \|S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}\|$ . Further, in addition if  $\psi(z) = \overline{\psi(\overline{z})}$  then it is also possible to find  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}$  and  $(A^*T_{\phi}A)(z) \geq \widetilde{S_{\psi}}(z)$  where  $\widetilde{H}$  denotes the Berezin transform of  $H \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ , and  $\|A^*T_{\phi}A\| \geq \|S_{\psi}\|$ . In case A is positive, then there exists an invertible  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^{1/2}T_{\phi}A^{1/2} \geq (T^*)^{-1}S_{\psi}T^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* From [5] it follows that  $S_{\psi}$  is a finite rank operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and therefore  $S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$  is a finite rank operator and Range  $S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$  is closed in  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Notice also that

$$\dim\left(\overline{\bigcup_{\lambda>0} E^{T_{\phi}}[\lambda,\infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))}\right) = \infty. \tag{3.1}$$

This is so as  $E^{T_{\phi}}(0,\infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) = \overline{\text{Range}T_{\phi}}$  and from [9] it follows that  $\overline{\text{Range}T_{\phi}}$  is infinite dimensional. Let  $M = \{Y \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) \mid Y^*T_{\phi}Y \geq S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}\}$ . We first

claim that 0 is in the WOT-closure of M. To show this suppose 0 is not in the WOT-closure of M. Then there is a WOT-neighborhood

$$V = \left\{ B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) : |\langle Bf_i, g_i \rangle| \le \epsilon, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

of 0 (for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ) which does not intersect M where  $f_1, \ldots, f_n, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in$  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Let K be the linear span of  $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n$ . From (3.1), it follows that there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\dim E^{T_{\phi}}[\lambda, \infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) > n + \operatorname{rank}(S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi})$ . It thus follows that dim  $(E^{T_{\phi}}[\lambda,\infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))\cap K^{\perp}) \geq \operatorname{rank}(S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi})$ . Since  $S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$  is a self adjoint operator of finite rank, there exist real numbers  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^k$  and an orthonormal basis

 $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^k$  for Range $S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$  such that  $S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}f = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \langle f, \delta_i \rangle \delta_i$  and  $|\theta_i| > 0$  for all  $i=1,\ldots,k$ . Let  $B\in\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  be such that  $B|_{(\mathrm{Range}S_\psi^*S_\psi)^\perp}=0$  and  $B\delta_i=u_i$ 

where  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is an orthonormal set in  $E^{T_{\phi}}[\lambda,\infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) \cap K^{\perp}$ . Now, for each  $g \in \text{Range}S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}$ , we have  $\|Bg\| = \|g\|$  and  $Bg \in E^{T_{\phi}}[\lambda,\infty)(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Thus  $\langle B^*T_{\phi}Bg,g\rangle=\langle T_{\phi}Bg,Bg\rangle\geq \lambda\|Bg\|^2=\lambda\|g\|^2$ . Let  $f\in L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$ . Then f = g + h, where  $g \in \text{Range} S_{\psi}^* S_{\psi}$  and  $h \in (\text{Range} S_{\psi}^* S_{\psi})^{\perp}$ . Hence

$$\langle S_{\psi}^* S_{\psi} f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i |\langle f, \delta_i \rangle|^2 \le \max_i |\theta_i| ||g||^2$$

and

$$\langle A^*T_{\phi}Af, f \rangle = \langle T_{\phi}Af, Af \rangle = \langle T_{\phi}Ag, Ag \rangle \ge \lambda \|g\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{t^2} \langle S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}f, f \rangle,$$

where  $\frac{1}{t^2} = \frac{\lambda}{\max |\theta_i|}$ . Thus  $t^2 B^* T_{\phi} B \geq S_{\psi}^* S_{\psi}$  and  $t B \in M$ . Further since

 $B(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) \subset K^{\frac{1}{\perp}}$ , we have  $tB \in V$ . Hence  $V \cap M \neq \phi$ . This is a contradiction. Thus there exists operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_\phi A \geq S_\psi^*S_\psi$  and therefore  $||A^*T_{\phi}A|| \geq ||S_{\psi}^*S_{\psi}||$ . In case  $\psi(z) = \psi(\overline{z})$ , the operator  $S_{\psi}$  is self-adjoint. Proceeding similarly as above, one can show that there exists  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}$  and therefore  $(\widetilde{A}^*T_{\phi}A)(z) \geq \widetilde{S_{\psi}}(z)$  and  $||A^*T_{\phi}A|| \geq ||S_{\psi}||$ . If A is positive then by Lemma 2.4 there exists an invertible operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A = A^{1/2}T$ . Hence  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq S_{\psi}$  implies  $A^{1/2}T_{\phi}A^{1/2} \geq (T^*)^{-1}S_{\psi}T^{-1}$ .

If  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ , a simple calculation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^2 dA(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1}.$$

Consequently,  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if the last expression is finite. The scalar

product of 
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
 and  $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ ,  $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L_a^2(\mathbb{D})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \overline{b_n}}{n+1}.$$

The truncation projections on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  will be denoted by  $P_n, 0 \leq n < \infty$ , and it is defined by

$$P_n f = P_n(a_0, a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n, a_{n+1}, \cdots) = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n, 0, 0, \cdots).$$

These are, of course, orthogonal projections on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  which converges strongly to the identity I on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a non-negative nonzero Toeplitz operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  with symbol  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then

- (i): For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $||P_nAP_n|| \leq \epsilon$  and  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . If  $tr(BA^*T_{\phi}AB) = tr(BT_{\phi}B)$  for every rank one projection operator  $B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ , then  $A^*T_{\phi}A = T_{\phi}$ .
- (ii): If  $T_{\phi} \leq Re(A^*T_{\phi})$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then  $T_{\phi} \leq A^*T_{\phi}A$ . That is,  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \leq \widetilde{A^*T_{\phi}A}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ . Furthermore if  $T_{\phi} \leq Re(A^*T_{\phi})$  and  $T_{\phi} = A^*T_{\phi}A$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then  $A^*T_{\phi} = T_{\phi}$ .
- (iii): If  $K = A^*T_{\phi}$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $T_{\phi} \leq Re(K)$  and  $A^*$  is power bounded then  $K = T_{\phi}$ .
- (iv): If for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})), ||A|| \leq 1, A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$  then  $T_{\phi}^{1/2}A$  is a hyponormal operator.
- (v): Let  $T_{\phi}$  be invertible and E be a nonzero projection and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$  such that  $ET_{\phi}E = \lambda E$  and  $ET_{\phi}^{-1}E = \frac{1}{\lambda}E$ . Then RangeE is a subspace of the eigenspace of  $T_{\phi}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .
- (vi): If  $T_{\phi}$  is invertible and  $\langle A^*T_{\phi}^{-1}Af, g\rangle\langle A^*T_{\phi}Af, g\rangle = \langle A^*Af, g\rangle^2$  for every  $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $\overline{RangeA} = L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  then  $\phi$  is a constant function.
- (vii): If  $\psi \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ ,  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $S_{\psi}^* T_{\phi} S_{\psi} \geq T_{\phi}$  then  $T_{\phi} = S_{\psi}^* T_{\phi} S_{\psi}$  and  $T_{\phi}^{1/2} S_{\psi}$  is a hyponormal operator.

*Proof.* We shall assume first that  $T_{\phi}$  is one-one. For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $E_{\lambda}$  be the spectral measure of the interval  $[\lambda, \infty)$ . Since  $T_{\phi}$  is one-one and non-negative, hence  $E_{\lambda} \longrightarrow I$ , the identity operator, in the strong operator topology. Thus there exists  $\lambda = \lambda(\epsilon) > 0$  such that the orthogonal projection  $E_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  satisfies

$$T_{\phi}E_{\lambda} = E_{\lambda}T_{\phi}, \|(I - E_{\lambda})P_n\| \le \sqrt{\epsilon}$$

and dim(Range $E_{\lambda}$ )  $\geq 2$  dim(Range $P_n$ ). Also the spectral measure  $E_{\lambda}$  satisfies

$$\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle \ge \lambda \|f\|^2$$
 (3.2)

for all  $f \in \text{Range}E_{\lambda}$ , From [9], it follows that  $\text{Range}T_{\phi}$  is infinite dimensional. Thus there exists an unitary operator U on  $\text{Range}E_{\lambda}$  such that

$$(\text{Range}UE_{\lambda}P_n) \perp (\text{Range}E_{\lambda}P_n).$$

Define  $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  as  $Af = \alpha U E_{\lambda} f + (I - E_{\lambda}) f$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  is chosen in such a way that  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . We shall now verify that such  $\alpha$  exists. Since  $T_{\phi}$  commutes with  $E_{\lambda}$  we have

$$\langle A^*T_{\phi}Af, f \rangle = \langle T_{\phi}Af, Af \rangle$$

$$= \langle \alpha T_{\phi}UE_{\lambda}f + T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, \alpha UE_{\lambda}f + (I - E_{\lambda})f \rangle$$

$$= \alpha^2 \langle T_{\phi}UE_{\lambda}f, UE_{\lambda}f \rangle + \langle T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, (I - E_{\lambda})f \rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle = \langle T_{\phi}E_{\lambda}f, f \rangle + \langle T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, f \rangle$$

$$= \langle T_{\phi}E_{\lambda}f, E_{\lambda}f \rangle + \langle T_{\phi}E_{\lambda}f, (I - E_{\lambda})f \rangle$$

$$+ \langle T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, E_{\lambda}f \rangle + \langle T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, (I - E_{\lambda})f \rangle$$

$$= \langle T_{\phi}E_{\lambda}f, E_{\lambda}f \rangle + \langle T_{\phi}(I - E_{\lambda})f, (I - E_{\lambda})f \rangle.$$

Hence the only condition which has to be satisfied by  $\alpha$  is

$$\alpha^2 \langle T_{\phi} U E_{\lambda} f, U E_{\lambda} f \rangle \ge \langle T_{\phi} E_{\lambda} f, E_{\lambda} f \rangle.$$

The condition is satisfied by sufficiently large  $\alpha$  because of (3.2) and because Range $T_{\phi}$  is an infinite dimensional subspace of  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . To show that  $||P_nAP_n|| \leq \epsilon$ , observe that  $||P_nAP_n|| = \sup\{|\langle P_nAP_nf, g\rangle| : f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}), ||f|| = ||g|| = 1\}$ . Let ||f|| = ||g|| = 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P_n A P_n f, g \rangle| &= |\langle A P_n f, P_n g \rangle| \\ &= |\langle E_{\lambda} A P_n f, E_{\lambda} P_n g \rangle + \langle (I - E_{\lambda}) A P_n f, (I - E_{\lambda}) P_n g \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \alpha U E_{\lambda} P_n f, E_{\lambda} P_n g \rangle + \langle (I - E_{\lambda}) P_n f, (I - E_{\lambda}) P_n g \rangle| \\ &= |0 + \langle (I - E_{\lambda}) P_n f, (I - E_{\lambda}) P_n g \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(I - E_{\lambda}) P_n f\| \|(I - E_{\lambda}) P_n g\| \\ &\leq \|(I - E_{\lambda}) P_n\| \|(I - E_{\lambda}) P_n\| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the general case, let  $M = \ker T_{\phi}$ . Decompose  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  into an orthogonal direct sum  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp} \oplus \ker T_{\phi} = M^{\perp} \oplus M$  and let Q be the orthogonal projection onto  $M^{\perp}$ . Let  $T_{\phi}^{M^{\perp}} = T_{\phi}|_{M^{\perp}}$  be the restriction of T to  $M^{\perp}$ . Let  $N = QP_nL_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and let  $Q_1$  be the orthogonal projection from  $M^{\perp}$  onto N. Applying the first of the proof to the operator  $T_{\phi}^{M^{\perp}}$  and the projection  $Q_1$  we find an operator  $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M^{\perp})$  with  $\|Q_1A_1Q_1\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{\|P_n\|^2}$  and  $A_1^*T_{\phi}^{M^{\perp}}A_1 \geq T_{\phi}^{M^{\perp}}$ . Let  $A = A_1 \oplus 0$ , so  $A_1 = QAQ$ . Then  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . It remains to show that

 $||P_nAP_n|| \leq \epsilon$ . Since Q and  $Q_1$  are self-adjoint we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{n}AP_{n}\| &= \sup_{\|f\| = \|g\| = 1} |\langle P_{n}AP_{n}f, g \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\| = \|g\| = 1} |\langle AP_{n}f, P_{n}g \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\| = \|g\| = 1} |\langle QAQP_{n}f, P_{n}g \rangle| \\ &= \sup_{\|f\| = \|g\| = 1} |\langle AQP_{n}f, QP_{n}g \rangle| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\| \le \|P_{n}\|} |\langle A_{1}f, g \rangle| \\ &\leq \|P_{n}\|^{2} \sup_{\|f\| = \|g\| = 1 \atop f, g \in M^{\perp}} |\langle A_{1}Q_{1}f, Q_{1}g \rangle| \\ &\leq \|P_{n}\|^{2} \|Q_{1}AQ_{1}\| \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If further  $\operatorname{tr}(BA^*T_{\phi}AB) = \operatorname{tr}(BT_{\phi}B)$  for every rank one projection  $B \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then from Lemma 2.1 it follows that  $A^*T_{\phi}A = T_{\phi}$ . This proves (i). We shall now prove (ii). By applying Schwarz inequality [1] to the positive semi-definite form  $\langle f, g \rangle \longrightarrow \langle T_{\phi}f, g \rangle, f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  we obtain

$$\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle \leq \langle \operatorname{Re}(A^*T_{\phi})f, f \rangle$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\langle A^*T_{\phi}f, f \rangle$$

$$\leq |\langle A^*T_{\phi}f, f \rangle|$$

$$\leq \langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle T_{\phi}Af, Af \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . Hence  $\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle \leq \langle A^*T_{\phi}Af, f \rangle$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ . That is,  $T_{\phi} \leq A^*T_{\phi}A$ . In addition to  $T_{\phi} \leq \operatorname{Re}(A^*T_{\phi})$ , if  $T_{\phi} = A^*T_{\phi}A$  is assumed, then we obtain  $\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle A^*T_{\phi}f, f \rangle = |\langle A^*T_{\phi}f, f \rangle| = \langle A^*T_{\phi}f, f \rangle$  for all  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and hence  $T_{\phi} = A^*T_{\phi}$ . Now we shall prove (iii). Since  $A^*T_{\phi}A - T_{\phi} \geq 0$ , it follows that  $A^*(A^*T_{\phi}A - T_{\phi})A \geq 0$ . That is,  $A^{*^2}T_{\phi}A^2 \geq A^*T_{\phi}A$ . Repeating the process n times, we have  $A^{*^{n+1}}T_{\phi}A^{n+1} \geq A^{*^n}T_{\phi}A^n$ . Thus,  $\{A^{*^n}T_{\phi}A^n \mid n=1,2,\ldots\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive operators. This sequence is bounded, since  $A^*$  is power bounded. Therefore, it converges to a positive operator on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ , say B, in the strong operator topology. Notice that

$$A^*BA = A^* \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} A^{*n} T_{\phi} A^n \right) A$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} A^{*n+1} T_{\phi} A^{n+1}$$
$$= B.$$

From the operator inequality  $T_{\phi} \leq \frac{(A^*T_{\phi} + T_{\phi}A)}{2}$ , we have

$$A^{*^n} T_{\phi} A^n \le \frac{\left[A^{*^n} (A^* T_{\phi} + T_{\phi} A) A^n\right]}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\left[A^* (A^{*^n} T_{\phi} A^n) + (A^{*^n} T_{\phi} A^n) A\right]}{2}.$$

By letting n tend to  $\infty$ , we have  $B \leq \frac{(A^*B+BA)}{2} = \text{Re}(A^*B)$ . Thus  $B = A^*B$ . Since  $T_{\phi} \leq B$ , it follows that the range of  $T_{\phi}$  is contained in the range of B, and hence [6], we have  $T_{\phi} = A^*T_{\phi} = K$ . To prove (iv) suppose  $||A|| \leq 1$  and  $A^*T_{\phi}A \geq T_{\phi}$ . Now

$$\begin{split} (T_{\phi}^{1/2}A)^*(T_{\phi}^{1/2}A) - (T_{\phi}^{1/2}A)(T_{\phi}^{1/2}A)^* &= A^*T_{\phi}A - T_{\phi}^{1/2}AA^*T_{\phi}^{1/2} \\ &= A^*T_{\phi}A - T_{\phi}^{1/2}AA^*T_{\phi}^{1/2} \\ &\geq T_{\phi} - T_{\phi}^{1/2}AA^*T_{\phi}^{1/2} \\ &= T_{\phi}^{1/2}(I - AA^*)T_{\phi}^{1/2} \\ &\geq 0 \end{split}$$

and therefore  $T_{\phi}^{1/2}A$  is a hyponormal operator. To prove (v), we can assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda = 1$ . Let h be any unit vector from the range of E. Multiplying the equations  $ET_{\phi}E = E$  and  $ET_{\phi}^{-1}E = E$  by  $F_h = h \otimes h$  from the left and also from the right we obtain  $(h \otimes h)T_{\phi}(h \otimes h) = h \otimes h$  and  $(h \otimes h)T_{\phi}^{-1}(h \otimes h) = h \otimes h$ . These imply  $\langle T_{\phi}h, h \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle T_{\phi}^{-1}h, h \rangle = 1$ . Consider the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the new inner product

$$(f,g) = \langle T_{\phi}^{-1}f, g \rangle, f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

Insert  $f = T_{\phi}h$  and g = h. As h is a unit vector, we see that there is equality in the corresponding inequality

$$\left|\left\langle T_{\phi}^{-1} T_{\phi} h, h \right\rangle\right|^{2} \leq \left\langle T_{\phi}^{-1} T_{\phi} h, T_{\phi} h \right\rangle \left\langle T_{\phi}^{-1} h, h \right\rangle.$$

This gives us that  $T_{\phi}h$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of h. It is clear that this scalar is necessarily 1. So we have  $T_{\phi}h = h$  for any unit vector h from the range of E. This proves our claim. The proof of (vi) follows from Lemma 2.2. To prove (vii), observe that  $S_{\psi}^* = S_{\psi^+}$  where  $\psi^+(z) = \overline{\psi(\overline{z})}$ . From Lemma 2.5, it follows that  $S_{\psi^+}T_{\phi}S_{\psi} = T_{\phi}$  and from (iv) we obtain  $T_{\phi}^{1/2}S_{\psi}$  is a hyponormal operator.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a positive Toeplitz operator on the Bergman space  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  with symbol  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $A_n \longrightarrow 0$  in weak operator topology and  $A_n^*T_{\phi}A_n \geq T_{\phi}$  for all n. Thus  $\widehat{A_n^*T_{\phi}A_n}(z) \geq \widetilde{\phi}(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

Proof. We take an index set I for the set of all pairs  $n_{\epsilon} = (P_n, \epsilon)$  where  $P_n$  is the finite dimensional projection on  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}), \epsilon > 0$ . Set  $(P_m, \epsilon_1) \prec (P_r, \epsilon_2)$  if  $m \leq r$  and  $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2$ . By Theorem 3.2, for each  $n_{\epsilon}$  there exists  $A_n \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $\|P_nAP_n\| \leq \epsilon$  and  $A_n^*T_{\phi}A_n \geq T_{\phi}$ . Let  $U_{n_{\epsilon}} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) : \|P_nAP_n\| < \epsilon\}$ . It

is not difficult to see that each  $n_{\epsilon} \in I$  defines a WOT-neighbourhood  $U_{n_{\epsilon}}$  of 0. It is also clear that in this way we obtain a basis of the weak operator topology neighbourhoods of 0. Furthermore notice that for each  $n_{\epsilon}$ , we have  $A_m \in U_{n_{\epsilon}}$  for all  $m > n_{\epsilon}$ . Hence  $A_n \longrightarrow 0$  in the weak operator topology.

### 4. Berezin transform of positive Toeplitz operators

In this section we show that if  $T_{\phi}$  is a non-negative Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  then there exists a rank one operator  $R_1 \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \geq \alpha^2 \widetilde{R}_1(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and for some constant  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Here  $\widetilde{\phi}$  is the Berezin transform of  $T_{\phi}$  and  $\widetilde{R}_1$  is the Berezin transform of  $R_1$ .

Let H and K be Hilbert spaces and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ . A maximizing vector for T is a non-zero vector  $x \in H$  such that ||Tx|| = ||T|| ||x||. Thus a maximizing vector for T is one at which T attains its norm. On a Banach space, even rank one operators need not have maximizing vectors [8]. The operator (Hx)(t) = tx(t), 0 < t < 1, is bounded on  $L^2(0,1)$  but has no maximizing vector. However, compact operators on Hilbert spaces do have maximizing vectors [8].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a non-negative Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  with symbol  $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a non-negative operator  $C \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  such that  $\|C - T_{\phi}\| < \epsilon$ ,  $R_{\epsilon} = C - T_{\phi} = \epsilon(h \otimes h)$  for some  $h \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  and the operator C has a maximizing vector. Further,  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \geq \alpha^2 \widetilde{R}_1(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$  and for some constant  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T_{\phi}$  be a non-negative Toeplitz operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Now

$$||T_{\phi}|| = \sup_{\substack{g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \\ ||g||=1}} \langle T_{\phi}g, g \rangle = \sup\{\langle T_{\phi}g, g \rangle : ||g|| = 1, g \in (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp}\}.$$

Hence there exists a unit vector  $h \in (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp}$  such that  $||T_{\phi}|| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \langle T_{\phi}h, h \rangle$ . Define  $R_{\epsilon}k = \epsilon \langle k, h \rangle h = \epsilon (h \otimes h)k$ . Then  $R_{\epsilon}$  is a non-negative operator of rank one and  $||R_{\epsilon}|| = \epsilon$ . Moreover,

$$||T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}|| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} \langle (T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon})f, f \rangle$$

$$\geq \langle (T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon})h, h \rangle$$

$$\geq ||T_{\phi}|| + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now  $T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}$  is non-negative, and so  $||T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}||$  lies in the spectrum of  $T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}$ . Since  $R_{\epsilon}$  is compact, Weyl's theorem implies essential spectrum of  $T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}$  is equal to the essential spectrum of  $T_{\phi}$ . But the spectrum of  $T_{\phi}$  is bounded by  $||T_{\phi}||$  and hence  $||T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}||$  must lie in the discrete spectrum of  $T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}$ . In other words, there exists a unit vector  $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$  such that  $(T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon})f = ||T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}||f$ . Finally, we can assume without loss of generality that  $f \in (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp}$ . This is so, since  $L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) = \ker T_{\phi} \oplus (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp}$  and if  $f = f_1 + f_2, f_1 \in \ker T_{\phi}, f_2 \in (\ker T_{\phi})^{\perp}$  then

$$(T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon})f_1 = \langle f_1, h \rangle h = 0.$$

Thus if we write  $C = T_{\phi} + R_{\epsilon}$  then C is non-negative,  $||C - T_{\phi}|| = ||R_{\epsilon}|| = \epsilon$  and ||Cf|| = ||C|| ||f||. That is, f is a maximizing vector of C. Now let  $\epsilon = 1$ . Then  $R_1 = (h \otimes h), ||h|| = 1$ . Let

 $E = \left\{ X \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) : X \ge 0, \left| \langle Xf, g \rangle \right|^2 \le \langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle \, \langle R_1g, g \rangle \, \text{for all} \, f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \right\}.$ 

Now suppose  $X \in E$ . Then for  $f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ ,

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & X \\ X & R_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle T_{\phi}f, f \right\rangle + \left\langle Xg, f \right\rangle + \left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle + \left\langle R_{1}g, g \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle T_{\phi}f, f \right\rangle + \left\langle R_{1}g, g \right\rangle + 2\operatorname{Re}\left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle$$

$$\geq 2 \left\langle T_{\phi}f, f \right\rangle^{1/2} \left\langle R_{1}g, g \right\rangle^{1/2} + 2\operatorname{Re}\left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle$$

$$\geq 2 \left| \left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle \right| + 2\operatorname{Re}\left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle$$

$$\geq 2 \left| \left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle \right| - 2 \left| \left\langle Xf, g \right\rangle \right| = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $X \geq 0$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & X \\ X & R_1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a positive operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2 \oplus L_a^2)$  then

$$\left| \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & X \\ X & R_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \right|^{2} \leq \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & X \\ X & R_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$
$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & X \\ X & R_{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$$

for all  $f,g\in L^2_a(\mathbb{D}).$  A simplification of these inner products yields

$$|\langle Xf,g\rangle|^2 \leq \langle T_{\phi}f,f\rangle\langle R_1g,g\rangle$$
 for all  $f,g\in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Hence  $X \in E$ . Thus

$$E = \left\{ X \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D})) : X \ge 0 \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} T_\phi & X \\ X & R_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a positive operator in } \mathcal{L}(L_a^2 \oplus L_a^2) \right\}.$$

We shall now verify that  $\max_{X \in E} X = \alpha R_1 = \alpha(h \otimes h)$  for some constant  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Suppose  $T_{\phi}$  is a positive invertible operator in  $\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))$ . Then from [2], [3] it follows that  $\max_{X \in E} X = \frac{1}{\|T_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|} h \otimes h = \frac{1}{\|T_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|} R_1$ , a scalar multiple of  $R_1$ . If  $T_{\phi}$ 

is an arbitrary positive operator then it follows from [2] that  $\max_{X \in E} X$  is again a scalar multiple of  $R_1$ , and

$$\max_{X \in E} X = \max \left\{ rR_1 : r \geq 0, \left( \begin{array}{cc} T_\phi & rR_1 \\ rR_1 & R_1 \end{array} \right) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The inequality  $\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & rR_1 \\ rR_1 & R_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \geq 0$  is equivalent to

$$\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle + r\langle R_1g, f \rangle + r\langle R_1f, g \rangle + \langle R_1g, g \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } f, g \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D}).$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle + ||R_1(g+rf)||^2 - r^2||R_1f||^2 \ge 0$$

which holds for all  $f, g \in L^2_a(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if  $\langle T_{\phi}f, f \rangle - r^2 ||R_1f||^2 \ge 0$  or equivalently,  $r^2R_1 \le T_{\phi}$ . Thus from [3], it follows that  $\max_{X \in E} X = \max\{rR_1 : r \ge 1\}$ 

$$0, r^2 R_1 \le T_{\phi} = \sqrt{\lambda(T_{\phi}, R_1)} R_1$$
 where

$$\lambda(T_{\phi}, R_1) = \begin{cases} \|T_{\phi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}h\|^{-2}, & \text{if } h \in \text{Range}(T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus  $\max_{X \in E} X = \alpha R_1$ , for some  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Hence  $\begin{pmatrix} T_{\phi} & \alpha R_1 \\ \alpha R_1 & R_1 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$ . That is,  $|\langle \alpha R_1 k_z, k_w \rangle|^2 \leq \langle T_{\phi} k_z, k_z \rangle \langle R_1 k_w, k_w \rangle$  for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ . Hence

$$|\alpha|^2 |\langle k_z, h \rangle \langle h, k_w \rangle|^2 \le \widetilde{\phi}(z) |\langle h, k_w \rangle|^2$$
 for all  $z, w \in \mathbb{D}$ .

If  $h \neq 0$  then there exists  $w \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $\langle h, k_w \rangle \neq 0$ . Thus  $\widetilde{\phi}(z) \geq |\alpha|^2 |\langle h, k_z \rangle|^2 = \alpha^2 \widetilde{R}_1(z)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ .

#### References

- 1. N.I. Akhiezer and I.M. Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space*, Monographs and studies in Mathematics, No.9, Pitman, 1981.
- T. Ando, Topics on Operator Inequalities, Division of Applied Mathematics, Research Institute of Applied Electricity, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 1978.
- 3. P. Busch and S.P. Gudder, Effects as functions on projective Hilbert space, Lett. Math. Phys. 47 (1999), 329–337.
- J.B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, 2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- 5. N. Das, The kernel of a Hankel operator on the Bergman space, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), 75–80.
- R.G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 413–415.
- P.A. Fillmore and J.P. Williams, On operator ranges, Advances in Mathematics, 7 (1971), 254–281.
- 8. B.V. Limaye, *Functional Analysis*, second Edition, New Age International Ltd, Publishers, New Delhi, 1996.
- D. Luecking, Finite rank Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 5, 1717–1723.
- K. Zhu, Operator theory in function spaces, Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied Mathematics, 139, Dekker, New York, 1990.
- <sup>1</sup> P.G.Department of Mathematics, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar-751004, Odisha, India.

E-mail address: namitadas440@yahoo.co.in

<sup>2</sup> School of Applied Sciences (Mathematics), KIIT University, Campus-3(Kathajori Campus), Bhubaneswar-751024, Odisha, India.

E-mail address: smita\_782006@yahoo.co.in