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# AN OPERATOR INEQUALITY IMPLYING THE USUAL AND CHAOTIC ORDERS 
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Abstract. We prove that if positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$ satisfy an operator inequality $\left(B^{s / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{s / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}} \geq B$ for some $t>s>0$, then
(1) If $t \geq 3 s-2 \geq 0$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s+2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.
(2) If $0<s<1 / 2$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s+2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.

It is an interesting application of the Furuta inequality. Furthermore we consider some related results.

## 1. Introduction

An operator means a bounded linear operator acting on a Hilbert space. The usual order $A \geq B$ among selfadjoint operators on $H$ is defined by $(A x, x) \geq$ $(B x, x)$ for any $x \in H$. In particular, $A$ is said to be positive and denoted by $A \geq 0$ if $(A x, x) \geq 0$ for $x \in H$, and $A>0$ if $A$ is invertible.

The noncommutativity of operators reflects on the usual order, [8] and [13], as follows:

## Löwner-Heinz inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \geq B \Rightarrow A^{p} \geq B^{p} \tag{LH}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $p \in[0,1]$.
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In 1987, Furuta [6] proposed a beautiful extension of (LH), by which the restriction $p \in[0,1]$ in (LH) is relaxed in some sense:

Furuta inequality: If $A \geq B$, then for each $r \geq 0$,

$$
\left(A^{r / 2} B^{p} A^{r / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A^{\frac{p+r}{q}} \quad \text { and } \quad B^{\frac{p+r}{q}} \leq\left(B^{r / 2} A^{p} B^{r / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
$$

hold for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with

$$
(1+r) q \geq p+r
$$

For the Furuta inequality, we refer [2],[5],[6] and [7]. Among others, the best possibility of the domain determined by $(\dagger)$ is proved by Tanahashi [14].

Afterwards, the Furuta inequality was discussed under the chaotic order $\log A \geq$ $\log B$ for $A, B>0$, which was originally discussed by Ando [1], and the final result was obtained in [3].

Theorem FFK. The following (1)-(3) are mutually equivalent for $A, B>0$ :
(1) $\log A \geq \log B$,
(2) $A^{p} \geq\left(A^{p / 2} B^{p} A^{p / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $p \geq 0$,
(3) $A^{r} \geq\left(A^{r / 2} B^{p} A^{r / 2}\right)^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$ for $p, r \geq 0$.

From the viewpoint of Kamei's satellite theorem [12] and Uchiyama's work [15], we here mention that Theorem FFK is equivalent to the Furuta inequality.

Now we consider the following operator inequality for positive invertible operators $A$ and $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B^{s / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{s / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}} \geq B \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, as an application of the Daleckii-Krein formula (see [2]) for the derivative of matrix valued function, one of the authors [4] proved that if matrices $A, B$ satisfy $(*)$ for any $t>1$ and $s=1$, then $\log B \geq \log A$. In this situation, recalling the equivalence between Theorem FFK and the Furuta inequality, it is expected that the conclusion $\log B \geq \log A$ is built up the usual order $B \geq A$.

In this note, we prove that if positive operators $A$ and $B$ satisfy the operator inequality $(*)$ for a fixed $t>s>0$, then
(1) If $t \geq 3 s-2 \geq 0$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s+2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.
(2) If $0<s<1 / 2$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if $t \geq s+2$ is additionally assumed, then $B \geq A$.

## 2. A preliminary result for the chaotic order

In the consideration on Kamei's satellite theorem [12] of the Furuta inequality, we are required some operator inequalities of Furuta type implying the chaotic order. Consequently one of the authors announced the following result in [4]: If positive definite matrices $A, B>0$ satisfy

$$
\left(B^{1 / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq B \quad \text { for all } t>1,
$$

then $\log B \geq \log A$.

We now generalize it as follows:
Theorem 1. For positive definite matrices $A, B>0$, if there exist $\alpha, \beta$ such that $\alpha+\beta=1$ and

$$
\left(B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq B \quad \text { for all } t>1
$$

then $\log B \geq \log A$.
Proof. Let $F(x)=x^{1 / 2}, \gamma(t)=B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2}$ and $U_{t}$ be unitaries such that $U_{t}^{*} \gamma(t) U_{t}=D(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{1}(t), \cdots, d_{n}(t)\right)$, diagonal matrices. Here we recall the Daleckii-Krein formula

$$
\frac{d F(\gamma(t))}{d t}=U_{t}\left(\left(F^{[1]}\left(d_{i}(t), d_{j}(t)\right)\right) \circ U_{t}^{*} \dot{\gamma}(t) U_{t}\right) U_{t}^{*}
$$

where $\circ$ stands for the Hadamard-Schur product and $F^{[1]}(x, y)$ is the divided difference

$$
F^{[1]}(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{F(x)-F(y)}{x-y} & \text { if } x \neq y \\
F^{\prime}(x) & \text { if } x=y
\end{array} .\right.
$$

We may assume that $B$ itself is a diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(d_{j}\right)$, so $U_{1}=I$, the identity matrix. Therefore, at $t=1$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d F(\gamma)}{d t}(1)=\left(F^{[1]}\left(d_{i}^{2}, d_{j}^{2}\right)\right) \circ \dot{\gamma}(1) \text { and }\left(F^{[1]}\left(d_{i}^{2}, d_{j}^{2}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{d_{i}-d_{j}}{d_{i}^{2}-d_{j}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{d_{i}+d_{j}}\right) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\gamma}(t) & =\frac{\beta}{2}(\log B) B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} \\
& +\alpha B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2}(\log B) B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} \\
& +\frac{\beta}{2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2}(\log B) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2}(\log A) A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t}(\log A) A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} \\
\longrightarrow \dot{\gamma}(1) & =(\log B) B^{2}-\frac{1}{2} B^{1 / 2}(\log A) B^{3 / 2}-\frac{1}{2} B^{3 / 2}(\log A) B^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} B^{1 / 2}(B(\log B-\log A)+(\log B-\log A) B) B^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{L}_{B}+\mathbf{R}_{B}\right)\left(B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(d_{i}+d_{j}\right)\right) \circ\left(B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $t \longrightarrow 1$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d F(\gamma)}{d t}(1) & =\left(F^{[1]}\left(d_{i}, d_{j}\right)\right) \circ \dot{\gamma}(1) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{d_{i}+d_{j}}\right) \circ\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(d_{i}+d_{j}\right)\right) \circ\left(B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
\frac{d F(\gamma)}{d t}(1)=\lim _{t \downarrow 1} \frac{\left(B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{\beta+\alpha t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{(\alpha+\beta t) / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}-B}{t-1} \geq 0,
$$

we obtain $B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2} \geq 0$, that is, $\log B \geq \log A$.

## 3. Main Theorems

The operator inequality $(*)$ is a multiple version of the Furuta inequality. We here generalize Theorem 1 to the case with 2 variables. Nevertheless, the Furuta inequality is applicable to resolve it. In this section, we first propose the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $A, B>0$ satisfy the inequality (*), i.e.,

$$
\left(B^{s / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{s / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 s}} \geq B
$$

for some $t>s>0$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) If $t \geq 3 s-2 \geq 0$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if the additional condition $t \geq s+2$ is assumed, then $B \geq A$.
(2) If $0<s<1 / 2$, then $\log B \geq \log A$, and if the additional condition $t \geq s+2$ is assumed, then $B \geq A$.

Proof. By the Furuta inequality, we have for $p=2 s$ and $r=t-s$

$$
\left(B^{(t-s) / 2} B^{s / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{s / 2} B^{(t-s) / 2}\right)^{\frac{t-s+1}{t+s}} \geq B^{t-s+1},
$$

that is,

$$
\left(B^{t / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t / 2}\right)^{\frac{t-s+1}{t+s}} \geq B^{t-s+1}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\left(B^{t / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t / 2}\right)^{\frac{2(t-s+1)}{t+s}} \geq B^{t-s+1} .
$$

Now we prove (1): As $\frac{2(t-s+1)}{t+s}>1$ by $t \geq 3 s-2, B^{t / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t / 2} \geq B^{\frac{t+s}{2}}$, and so $A^{(s-t) / 2} \geq B^{(s-t) / 2}$. Consequently, we have $\log B \geq \log A$ by $t>s$ and the operator monotonicity of the logarithmic function. Moreover, if $t \geq s+2$, then $(t-s) / 2 \geq 1$ and so $B \geq A$ by the Löwner-Heinz theorem.

Next, if $s<1 / 2$, then by the Löwner-Heinz inequality, we have

$$
B^{s / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{s / 2} \geq B^{2 s} .
$$

Hence it follows that $A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} \geq B^{s}$ and thus

$$
B^{t / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t / 2} \geq B^{s+t}
$$

that is, $\left(B^{t / 2} A^{(s-t) / 2} B^{t / 2}\right)^{2} \geq B^{s+t}$. Consequently, we have $A^{(s-t) / 2} \geq B^{(s-t) / 2}$ and the conclusion is obtained as in the proof of (1).
Corollary 3. If $A, B>0$ satisfy

$$
\left(B^{1 / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{1 / 2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq B \quad \text { for a fixed } t>1
$$

then $\log B \geq \log A$. Moreover if it satisfied for some $t \geq 3$, then $B \geq A$.
Unfortunately the converse in Theorem 2 does not hold.
Example 4. Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right), s=1$ and $t=4$. Then $B \geq A$ and

$$
\sigma\left(\left(B^{1 / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{1 / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}-B\right)=\{35.2421,-0.25003\} .
$$

Theoretically, if operators $A$ and $B$ satisfy $(*)$, then $B^{(t-1) / 2} \geq A^{(t-1) / 2}$ as in the proof of Theorem 2. However in general, $B \geq A$ does not imply $B^{(t-1) / 2} \geq$ $A^{(t-1) / 2}$, Hence $B \geq A$ does not always imply ( $*$ ).
Remark 5. It must be $t>1$ in order to imply $B \geq A$. If $A$ commutes with $B$, then we have $\left(A^{1-t} B^{1+t}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq B$, that is, $B^{t-1} \geq A^{t-1}$. Hence $B \geq A$ if $t>1$.

For $1>t>0$, we prove the following theorem by applying Lyapunov equation, see [2] and [9].
Theorem 6. If $A, B>0$ satisfy $(*)$ for $s=1$ and any $t \in(0,1)$, then $\log A \geq$ $\log B$.
Proof. Put $X_{t}=B^{1 / 2} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{t} A^{(1-t) / 2} B^{1 / 2}$. Then we have

$$
\left(X_{t}^{1 / 2}-B\right) X_{t}^{1 / 2}+B\left(X_{t}^{1 / 2}-B\right)=X_{t}-B^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}-B^{2}= \\
& B^{1 / 2}\left\{A^{(1-t) / 2}\left(B^{t}-B\right) A^{(1-t) / 2}+A^{(1-t) / 2} B\left(A^{(1-t) / 2}-1\right)+\left(A^{(1-t) / 2}-1\right) B\right\} B^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{B^{t}-B}{t-1}=B \log B \text { and } \lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{A^{(1-t) / 2}-1}{t-1}=-\frac{1}{2} \log A
$$

Hence by putting $Y=\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{X_{t}^{1 / 2}-B}{t-1}$ via the chain rule (cf. [11, Theorem 8.4]), it follows that $Y \leq 0$ by the assumption and

$$
B Y+Y B=B^{1 / 2}\left(B \log B-\frac{1}{2}(B \log A+\log A B)\right) B^{1 / 2}
$$

By solving this Lyapunov equation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y & =B^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{t B}\left(\left(B \log B-\frac{1}{2}(B \log A+\log A B)\right) e^{t B} d t\right) B^{1 / 2}\right. \\
& =B^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} \log B\left[e^{2 t B}\right]_{-\infty}^{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left[e^{t B} \log A e^{t B}\right]_{-\infty}^{0}\right) B^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} B^{1 / 2}(\log B-\log A) B^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y \leq 0$, we have $\log A \geq \log B$.
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