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#### Abstract

In this paper a singular third order eigenvalue problem is studied. The results of the paper complete the results given in the papers [3], [5].


1. We consider the third order linear differential equation in the normal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+\lambda b(t)\right] y=0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t) \geq 0, A^{\prime}(t)$ and $b(t)>0$ are continuous functions of $t \in[a, \infty), a>-\infty$ and $\lambda$ is a positive parameter. It is assumed that $(a)$ is strongly nonoscillatory on $[a, \infty)$, that is $(a)$ is nonoscillatory there for each real positive $\lambda$. By nonoscillation of $(a)$ we mean that all of its nontrivial solutions are nonoscillatory on $[a, \infty)$.

A nontrivial solution of $(a)$ is called oscillatory on $[a, \infty)$ if $\infty$ is a limit point of zeros of that solution. In the contrary case the solution is called nonoscillatory on $[a, \infty)$.

The equation $(a)$ is said to be oscillatory on $[a, \infty)$ if it has at least one oscillatory solution on $[a, \infty)$.

The problem studied in Section 2, is to find a nontrivial (nonoscilatory) solution $y(t, \lambda)$ of $(a)$ which satisfies either of the boundary conditions at finite points

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(a, \lambda)=y^{\prime}(a, \lambda)=y(b, \lambda)=0, \quad a<b  \tag{1}\\
y(a, \lambda)=y(b, \lambda)=y(c, \lambda)=0, \quad a<b<c \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$a, b$ and $c$ being any given constants, as well as the boundary condition at infinity

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t, \lambda)=o\left(t\left[k_{1} u_{1}(t) u_{2}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}^{2}(t)\right]\right) \quad \text { for } \quad t \rightarrow \infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]together with the requirement that
$$
y(t, \lambda) \neq 0
$$
in a certain neighbourhood of infinity $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, where $b \leq t_{0}<\infty$ (or $c \leq t_{0}<\infty$ in the conditions (2)), and $u_{1}, u_{2}$ form a fundamental set of solutions of the second order differential equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{2} A(t) u=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

with initial conditions $u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, k_{1}, k_{2}$ are suitable constants. The motivation for this paper was given by the paper [1] of A. Elbert, T. Kusano and M. Naito for linear second order nonoscillatory differential equations.

In the paper [3] the case $A(t)<0$ on $[a, \infty)$ was studied.
2. At the beginning of this section we introduce certain auxiliary statements on the linear third order differential equation, given in monograph [4].

Consider equation ( $a$ ) and the third order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)\right]=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. [4, Theorem 2.41] Let the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+2 A(t) y=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be disconjugate in $(a, \infty)$ and let the functions $A(t), A^{\prime}(t)+b(t), b(t)-A^{\prime}(t)$ be positive in $[a, \infty)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} t^{2}\left[b(t)-A^{\prime}(t)\right] d t<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the differential equation $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is non-oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.
Remark 1. If $A(t) \equiv 0$ on $[a, \infty)$ and (6) holds then [2, Theorem 4] equation ( $a_{1}$ ) is non-oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.

By Lemma 1 and Remark 1 the following lemma can be proved.
Lemma 2. Let the differential equation (5) be disconjugated in $[a, \infty)$ and let $A(t) \geq 0, A^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, b(t)>0$ and $A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)>0$ in $[a, \infty)$. Let further $\bar{\lambda}$ be any fixed positive value of the parameter $\lambda$. If (6) holds then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} t^{2}\left[\bar{\lambda} b(t)-A^{\prime}(t)\right] d t<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the differential equation (a) is non-oscillatory for $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ in $[a, \infty)$.

Lemma 3. [4, Theorem 2.31] Let $b(t)>0, A^{\prime}(t)$ be continuous in $[a, \infty)$. The equation $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$ if and only if its adjoint equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t)+\left[A^{\prime}(t)-b(t)\right] z=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.
If we apply Lemma 3 we can formulate Theorem 2.51 [4] as follows.
Lemma 4. Let $A(t) \geq 0, A^{\prime}(t)-b(t) \leq 0, b(t)>0$ in $[a, \infty)$ and let $\int_{a}^{\infty} b(t) d t=\infty$, then the differential equation $\left(a_{1}\right)$ is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.

Corollary 1. Let the suppositions of Lemma 4 be fulfilled and $A^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ in $[a, \infty)$. Then the differential equation (a) for $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}>0$ is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)$.

Lemma 5. Let $A(t) \geq 0$ in $[a, \infty)$ and let the differential equation (4) be disconjugated in $[a, \infty)$. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be independent solutions of (4) and let $u_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)=1$, $u_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right), u_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=1, a<t_{0}<\infty$. Then there is $u_{2}(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$. And $u_{1}(t)$ has at most one zero to the right of $t_{0}$.

Remark 2 [6, Lemma 2.23]. Let the suppositions of Lemma 5 be fulfilled. If $u$ is a solution of $(4)$ and $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$, then

$$
0<(t+d) v(t) \leq 1, t \geq t_{1}
$$

where $v(t)=\frac{u^{\prime}(t)}{u(t)}, d=-t_{1}+1 / v\left(t_{1}\right)$.
Lemma 6. Let the suppositions of Lemma 5 be fulfilled and let $b(t)>0$ for $t \in$ $[a, \infty)$ and $\lambda>0$. Let further $y$ be a solution of (a) and let for $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ be $y\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)=$ $0, y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) \neq 0, y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) \neq 0$ for $a \leq t_{0}<\infty$. Let, moreover $y(t, \bar{\lambda}) \neq 0$ for $t>t_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t, \bar{\lambda})= & u_{2}(t)\left[\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2} u_{2}(t)+y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) u_{1}(t)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} b(\tau)\left|\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1}(t) & u_{2}(t) \\
u_{1}(\tau) & u_{2}(\tau)
\end{array}\right|^{2} y(\tau, \bar{\lambda}) d \tau \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2}$ form a fundamental set of solutions of (4) with the properties given in Lemma 5.

The proof of Lemma 6 is given in [4] at the beginning of Section 3, Chap. I, $\S 3$.
Corollary 2. If $y(t, \bar{\lambda})>0[y(t, \bar{\lambda})<0]$ for $t>t_{0}$ in (8) then $y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)>$ $0\left[y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)<0\right], u_{2}(t)>0$ and $u(t)=y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right) u_{1}(t)+\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2} u_{2}(t)>0[u(t)<0]$ for $t>t_{0}$.

Corollary 3. Let the suppositions of Lemma 6 be fulfilled then there exist constants $k_{1}=y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right), k_{2}=\frac{y^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}, \bar{\lambda}\right)}{2}$ such that $|y(t, \bar{\lambda})| \leq u_{2}(t)\left|k_{1} u_{1}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}(t)\right|$ for $t>t_{0}$, or $y(t, \bar{\lambda})=o\left(t u_{2}(t)\left[k_{1} u_{1}(t)+k_{2} u_{2}(t)\right]\right)$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Adaptation of Oscillation theorem [4, Theorem 4.5] to (a) in our case yields the following lemma.

Lemma 7. Suppose that $A(t) \geq 0, A^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ and $b(t) \geq k>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Let $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$ and let $y(t, \lambda)$ be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ with $y(a, \lambda)=0$. Then for any fixed $b>a$, the number of zeros of $y$ on $[a, b]$ increases to infinity as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and the distance between any consecutive zeros of $y$ converges to zero.

The continuous dependence of zeros of solutions of (a) upon the parameter $\lambda$ is given in following lemma.

Lemma 8. [4, Lemma 4.2] Let $A^{\prime}(t), b(t)>0$ be continuous functions in $[a, \infty)$. Let $y$ be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ on $[a, \infty)$ such that $y(\alpha, \lambda)=0, a \leq \alpha<\infty$, for all $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$. Then the zeros of $y$ on $(\alpha, \infty)$ (if they exist) are continuous functions of the parameter $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

With the help of results given in the preceding lemmas, remarks and corollaries one can prove the following theorem regarding the singular eigenvalue problem problem $(a),(1),(3)$ or $(a),(2),(3)$.

Theorem 1. Let $A(t) \geq 0, A^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, b(t)>0$ be continuous functions in $[a, \infty)$ and let $A^{\prime}(t)+b(t)>0$ for $t \in[a, \infty)$. Let $\int_{a}^{\infty} t^{2}\left[b(t)-A^{\prime}(t)\right] d t<\infty$ and let the second order differential equation (5) be disconjugate in $[a, \infty)$. Let further $a \leq b<c$ be fixed arbitrarily. Then there exists a natural number $\nu$ and a sequence of parameters $\lambda\left\{\lambda_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenvalues) such that $\lambda_{\nu+p}<\lambda_{\nu+p+1}, p=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\nu+p}=\infty$ and a corresponding sequence of functions $\left\{y_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenfunctions) such that $y_{\nu+p}=y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu+p}\right)$ is a solution of (a) for $\lambda=\lambda_{\nu+p}$, has a finite number of zeros on $(a, \infty)$ with the last zero at $t_{0}^{\nu+p}$. This solution $y_{\nu+p}$ fulfills the boundary conditions (1), (3) or (2), (3) and has exactly $\nu+p$ zeros in $(b, c)$.

Proof. We prove the case $a<b<c$. In the case $a=b$, i.e. (1), (3) the proof is similar.

Let $a<b<c<\infty$. Let $y=y(t, \lambda), \lambda>0$, be a nontrivial solution of $(a)$ such that $y(a, \lambda)=y(b, \lambda)=0$ for all $\lambda>0$. By Lemma 2 solution $y$ is nonoscillatory for each $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}>0$. Now, construct the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime \prime \prime}+2 A(t) Y^{\prime}+\left[A^{\prime}(t)+\lambda B(t)\right] Y=0 \quad \text { on } \quad[a, \infty) \tag{A}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
B(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
b(t) & \text { for } & t \in[b, c] \\
b(c) & \text { for } & t \geq c .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $Y=Y(t, \lambda)$ be a solution of $(\mathrm{A})$ on $[a, \infty)$ such that $Y(a, \lambda)=Y(b, \lambda)=0$ and $Y(t, \lambda)=y(t, \lambda)$ for $t \in[a, c]$ and $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

By Lemma 4 and Corollary 1 the differential equation (A) is oscillatory on $[a, \infty)$ for each $\bar{\lambda} \in(0, \infty)$ and therefore the solution $Y$ is oscillatory on $[a, \infty)$ for each $\bar{\lambda}>0$.

Let $\lambda=\bar{\lambda}$ be fixed. Let $Y(t, \bar{\lambda})$ have exactly $\nu$ zeros in $(b, c)$. Let $t_{\nu}(\lambda)$ be $\nu$-th zero of $Y(t, \lambda)$. Then there is $t_{\nu}(\bar{\lambda})<c \leq t_{\nu+1}(\bar{\lambda})$. By Lemma 7 there exist $\lambda^{*}$ such that $t_{\nu+1}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)<c$ and by Lemma 8 (continuous dependence of zeros) there exists $\lambda_{\nu}, \bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda_{\nu}<\lambda^{*}$ such that $t_{\nu+1}\left(\lambda_{\nu}\right)=c$ and $y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ has exactly $\nu$ zeros in $(b, c)$. But, we know that $Y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)=y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ on $[a, c]$. By Lemma 2 applied to $\lambda_{\nu}$ there exists $t_{0}^{\nu} \geq c$ such that $y\left(t, \lambda_{\nu}\right)$ has finite number of zeros to the right of $c$ and $t_{0}^{\nu}$ is its last zero on $[c, \infty)$. Then by Corollary 3 , when $t_{0}=t_{0}^{\nu}$, the inequality (3) holds.

Continuing in the same manner we can find a sequence of values

$$
\lambda_{\nu}, \lambda_{\nu+1}, \cdots, \lambda_{\nu+p}, \cdots
$$

and the corresponding sequence of functions $\left\{y_{\nu+p}\right\}_{p=0}^{\infty}$ (eigenfunctions) with the prescribed properties and the theorem is proved.
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