HOW TO CHARACTERIZE COMMUTATIVITY EQUALITIES FOR DRAZIN INVERSES OF MATRICES

YONGGE TIAN

ABSTRACT. Necessary and sufficient conditions are presented for the commutativity equalities $A^*A^D = A^DA^*$, $A^{\dagger}A^D = A^DA^{\dagger}$, $A^{\dagger}AA^D = A^DAA^{\dagger}$, $AA^DA^* = A^*A^DA$ and so on to hold by using rank equalities of matrices. Some related topics are also examined.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Drazin inverse of a complex square matrix A is defined as a solution X of the following three equations

(1) $A^k X A = A^k$, (2) X A X = X, (3) A X = X A,

which uniquely exists and is often denoted by $X = A^D$, where k is the index of A, i.e., the smallest nonnegative integer k such that $r(A^k) = r(A^{k+1})$. In particular, when Ind A = 1, the Drazin inverse of matrix A is called the group inverse of A, and is often denoted by $A^{\#}$. The Moore-Penrose inverse A^{\dagger} of a complex matrix A is defined by the four Penrose equations

(1) $AA^{\dagger}A = A$, (2) $A^{\dagger}AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}$, (3) $(AA^{\dagger})^* = AA^{\dagger}$, (4) $(A^{\dagger}A)^* = A^{\dagger}A$,

where $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the conjugate transpose of a complex matrix. A well-known result asserts that if A is square, then $A^D = A^k (A^{2k+1})^{\dagger} A^k$ (see, e.g., [3]), which implies that all problems for Drazin inverses of square matrices can be transformed into the problems related to Moore-Penrose inverses of matrices.

The purpose of this paper is to examine commutativity of the Drazin inverse A^D of a matrix A with A^* and A^{\dagger} , such as, $A^*A^D = A^DA^*$, $A^{\dagger}A^D = A^DA^{\dagger}$, $AA^DA^* = A^*A^DA$ and so on. There have been many results in the literature related to commutativity of generalized inverses of matrices, one of the well-known results is concerning the commutativity equality $AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A$ for the Moore-Penrose inverse and EP matrix, see, e.g., [1–3, 6–8, 11, 12]. In addition, the commutativity equalities $A^*A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A^*$, $AA^*A^{\dagger}A = AA^{\dagger}A^*A$, $A^*A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A^*$ and

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 15A09, 15A27.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ commutativity, Drazin inverse, Moore-Penrose inverse, rank equality, matrix expression.

Received August 1, 2001.

so on were also studied, see [7, 11].

An effective method in the investigation of equalities for generalized inverses of matrices is to establish rank formulas associated with the corresponding matrix expressions. In [11], the author shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{rank}\left(AA^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}A\right) &= 2\operatorname{rank}\left[A, \ A^{*}\right] - 2\operatorname{rank}\left(A\right), \\ \operatorname{rank}\left(A^{k}A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}A^{k}\right) &= \operatorname{rank}\left[\frac{A^{k}}{A^{*}}\right] + \operatorname{rank}\left[A^{k}, \ A^{*}\right] - 2\operatorname{rank}\left(A\right), \\ \operatorname{rank}\left(A^{*}A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}A^{*}\right) &= \operatorname{rank}\left(AA^{*}A^{\dagger}A - AA^{\dagger}A^{*}A\right) = \operatorname{rank}\left(AA^{*}A^{2} - A^{2}A^{*}A\right) \end{aligned}$$

and so on. From the rank equalities one can immediately find necessary and sufficient conditions for the commutativity equalities $AA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A$, $A^*A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A^*$, $A^kA^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}A^k$, $AA^*A^{\dagger}A = AA^{\dagger}A^*A$ and so on to hold. These results and the equality $A^D = A^k(A^{2k+1})^{\dagger}A^k$ motivate us to find various possible rank formulas for expressions that involve the Drazin inverse of a matrix and then use them to characterize the commutativity of the Drazin inverse of matrix A with A^* , A^{\dagger} and so on.

The matrices considered in this paper are over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. For $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, we use A^* , r(A) and $\mathcal{R}(A)$ to stand for the conjugate transpose, the rank and the range (column space) of A, respectively.

Lemma 1.1 [11]. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times k}$. Then

(1.1)
$$r(D - CA^{\dagger}B) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^*AA^* & A^*B \\ CA^* & D \end{bmatrix} - r(A).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{R}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}(C^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$, then

(1.2)
$$r(D - CA^{\dagger}B) = r \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - r(A)$$

Let

$$C = [C_1, C_2], \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then (1.1) becomes

(1.3)
$$r(D - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} B_1 - C_2 A_2^{\dagger} B_2) = r \begin{bmatrix} A_1^* A_1 A_1^* & 0 & A_1^* B_1 \\ 0 & A_2^* A_2 A_2^* & A_2^* B_2 \\ C_1 A_1^* & C_2 A_2^* & D \end{bmatrix} - r(A_1) - r(A_2).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{R}(B_1) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_1)$, $\mathcal{R}(C_1^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_1^*)$, $\mathcal{R}(B_2) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_2)$ and $\mathcal{R}(C_2^*) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A_2^*)$, then

(1.4)
$$r(D - C_1 A_1^{\dagger} B_1 - C_2 A_2^{\dagger} B_2) = r \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & B_1 \\ 0 & A_2 & B_2 \\ C_1 & C_2 & D \end{bmatrix} - r(A_1) - r(A_2).$$

192

Lemma 1.2 [9] (Rank cancellation rules). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times n}$ be given, and suppose that $\mathcal{R}(AQ) = \mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\mathcal{R}[(PA)^*] = \mathcal{R}(A^*)$. Then

$$r[AQ, B] = r[A, B], \qquad r\begin{bmatrix} PA\\ C \end{bmatrix} = r\begin{bmatrix} A\\ C \end{bmatrix}.$$

In addition, we shall also use in the sequel the following several basic rank formulas.

Lemma 1.3 [11]. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be given, $P \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ be two idempotent matrices. Then PA - AQ satisfies the rank equality

(1.6)
$$r(PA - AQ) = r \begin{bmatrix} PA \\ Q \end{bmatrix} + r[AQ, P] - r(P) - r(Q)$$

In particular, if P and Q are of the same size, then

(1.7)
$$r(P-Q) = r \begin{bmatrix} P \\ Q \end{bmatrix} + r[Q, P] - r(P) - r(Q).$$

Notice that if a matrix A is idempotent, then so is A^* . Thus we also find from (1.6) and (1.7) that for an idempotent matrix A,

(1.8)
$$r(A - A^*) = r(AA^* - A^*A) = 2r[A, A^*] - 2r(A)$$

holds.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let
$$A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$$
 with $\operatorname{Ind} (A) = k$. Then
(a) $r(A^{\dagger}A^{D} - A^{D}A^{\dagger}) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - 2r(A).$
(b) $r(A^{\dagger}AA^{D}A - AA^{D}AA^{\dagger}) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - 2r(A).$
(c) $r(A^{\dagger}AA^{D} - A^{D}AA^{\dagger}) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - 2r(A).$
(d) $r(A^{\dagger}A^{\#} - A^{\#}A^{\dagger}) = 2r[A, A^{*}] - 2r(A), \text{ if Ind } (A) = 1.$
(e) $r(A^{\dagger}AA^{\#} - A^{\#}AA^{\dagger}) = 2r[A, A^{*}] - 2r(A), \text{ if Ind } (A) = 1.$
In particular,
(f) $r(A^{\dagger}A^{\#} - A^{\#}AA^{\dagger}) = 2r[A, A^{*}] - 2r(A), \text{ if Ind } (A) = 1.$

 $\begin{array}{l} (f) \quad A^{\dagger}A^{D} = A^{D}A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger}(AA^{D}A) = (AA^{D}A)A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger}(AA^{D}) = (A^{D}A)A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow \\ \mathcal{R}(A^{k}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^{*}) \ and \ \mathcal{R}[(A^{k})^{*}] \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A). \\ (g) \quad A^{\dagger}A^{\#} = A^{\#}A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger}(AA^{\#}) = (AA^{\#})A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^{*}) = \mathcal{R}(A), \ i.e., \ A \ is \ EP. \end{array}$

Proof. Applying (1.3), block Gaussian elimination, $\mathcal{R}(A^D) = \mathcal{R}(A^D A^*) =$ $\mathcal{R}(A^k)$, and Lemma 1.2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} r(A^{\dagger}A^{D} - A^{D}A^{\dagger}) &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{*}AA^{*} & 0 & A^{*}A^{D} \\ 0 & -A^{*}AA^{*} & A^{*} \\ A^{*} & A^{D}A^{*} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{*}AA^{*} & A^{*}A^{D}AA^{*} & A^{*}A^{D} \\ 0 & 0 & A^{*} \\ A^{*} & A^{D}A^{*} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^{*}A^{D} \\ 0 & 0 & A^{*} \\ A^{*} & A^{D}A^{*} & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{D} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{D}, A^{*}] - 2r(A) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - 2r(A), \end{aligned}$$

as required for (a). Note that $AA^D = A^D A$ and both AA^{\dagger} and $A^{\dagger}A$ are idempotent. We get by (1.6), $\mathcal{R}(AA^D AA^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$, $\mathcal{R}(A^{\dagger}A) = \mathcal{R}(A^*)$, and Lemma 1.2 that

$$r(A^{\dagger}AA^{D}A - AA^{D}AA^{\dagger}) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{\dagger}AA^{D}A \\ AA^{\dagger} \end{bmatrix} + r[AA^{D}AA^{\dagger}, A^{\dagger}A] - 2r(A)$$
$$= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - 2r(A).$$

Similarly we can find (c). (d)-(g) are direct consequences of (a)-(c) of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with $\operatorname{Ind} (A) = k$. Then (a) $r[(AA^{\dagger})A^{D} - A^{D}(AA^{\dagger})] = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{*} \end{bmatrix} - r(A).$ (b) $r[(A^{\dagger}A)A^{D} - A^{D}(A^{\dagger}A)] = r[A^{k}, A^{*}] - r(A).$ (c) $r(A^{\dagger}A^{D} - A^{D}A^{\dagger}) = r[(AA^{\dagger})A^{D} - A^{D}(AA^{\dagger})] + r[(A^{\dagger}A)A^{D} - A^{D}(A^{\dagger}A)].$ (d) $r[(AA^{\dagger})A^{\#} - A^{\#}(AA^{\dagger})] = r[(A^{\dagger}A)A^{\#} - A^{\#}(A^{\dagger}A)] = r[A, A^{*}] - r(A), if$

 $\operatorname{Ind}(A) = 1.$

(e) A^D commutes with $AA^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*] \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

(f) A^D commutes with $A^{\dagger}A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$.

(g) $A^{\dagger}A^{D} = A^{D}A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow (AA^{\dagger})A^{D} = A^{\overline{D}}(AA^{\dagger})^{'} and (A^{\dagger}A)A^{D} = A^{D}(A^{\dagger}A) \Leftrightarrow$ $\mathcal{R}(A^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^*)$.

(h) $A^{\dagger}A^{\#} = A^{\#}A^{\dagger} \Leftrightarrow A^{\#}(AA^{\dagger}) = (AA^{\dagger})A^{\#} \Leftrightarrow A^{\#}(A^{\dagger}A) = (A^{\dagger}A)A^{\#} \Leftrightarrow$ $\mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A), i.e., A \text{ is EP.}$

Proof. Note that both AA^{\dagger} and $A^{\dagger}A$ are idempotent. Thus (a) and (b) can easily be established through (1.6). Contrasting (a) and (b) with Theorem 2.9(a) yields (c). (d)–(h) are direct consequences of (a), (b) and (c) of the theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad r(A^*A^D - A^DA^*) &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^k(AA^* - A^*A)A^k & 0 & A^kA^* \\ 0 & 0 & A^k \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^k). \\ \text{(b)} \quad r(A^*A^\# - A^\#A^*) &= r \begin{bmatrix} A(AA^* - A^*A)A & 0 & AA^* \\ 0 & 0 & A \\ A^*A & A & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A), \\ \text{if } \quad \text{Ind} \ (A) &= 1. \\ \text{(c)} \quad r(A^*A^D - A^DA^*) &= r(A^{k+1}A^*A^k - A^kA^*A^{k+1}), \text{ if } \mathcal{R}(A^*A^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^k) \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{R}[A(A^k)^*] \subseteq \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*]. \\ & (d) \quad r(A^*A^D - A^DA^*) = r \left[\begin{array}{c} A^k A^* \\ A^k \end{array} \right] + r[A^k, \ A^*A^k] - 2r(A^k), \ if \quad A^{k+1}A^*A^k = \\ & A^k A^* A^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(e)} & A^*A^D = A^DA^* \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^*A^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A^k), \ \mathcal{R}[A(A^k)^*] \subseteq \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*] \ and \\ A^{k+1}A^*A^k = A^kA^*A^{k+1}. \\ \text{(f)} & r(A^*A^\# - A^\#A^*) = r(A^2A^*A - AA^*A^2), \ if \ \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A). \end{array}$

(f)
$$r(A^*A^\# - A^\#A^*) = r(A^2A^*A - AA^*A^2)$$
, if $\mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)$
(g) $A^*A^\# = A^\#A^* \Leftrightarrow A^2A^*A = AA^*A^2$ and $\mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Proof. Applying (1.4) and then block Gaussian elimination to $A^*A^D - A^DA^*$ yields

$$\begin{split} r(A^*A^D - A^D A^*) &= r[A^*A^k(A^{2k+1})^{\dagger}A^k - A^k(A^{2k+1})^{\dagger}A^k A^*] \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} -A^{2k+1} & 0 & A^k \\ 0 & A^{2k+1} & A^k A^* \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^{2k+1}) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} -A^{2k+1} & 0 & A^k \\ -A^{k+1}A^*A^k & 0 & A^k A^* \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^k) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & A^k \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^k) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^k(A^* - A^*A)A^k & 0 & A^k A^* \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^k) \\ &= r \begin{bmatrix} A^k(AA^* - A^*A)A^k & 0 & A^k A^* \\ A^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2r(A^k), \end{split}$$

as required for (a) of the theorem. (b), (c) and (d) are special cases of (a). (e), (f) and (g) follow from (a) and (b) of the theorem. $\hfill \Box$

Similarly we can also establish the following four theorems, which proofs are omitted.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Theorem 2.4. Let } A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \ \ with \ \mathrm{Ind} \ (A) = k. \ \ Then \\ (a) \ \ r(AA^*A^D - A^DA^*A) = r \left[\begin{array}{cc} A^k(A^2A^* - A^*A^2)A^k & 0 & A^kA^*A \\ 0 & 0 & A^k \\ AA^*A^k & A^k & 0 \end{array} \right] - 2r(A^k). \\ (b) \ \ r(A^kA^*A^D - A^DA^*A^k) = r(A^{2k+1}A^*A^k - A^kA^*A^{2k+1}). \\ (c) \ \ r(AA^*A^\# - A^\#A^*A) = r(A^3A^*A - AA^*A^3), \ if \ \ \mathrm{Ind} \ (A) = 1. \end{array}$$

Y. TIAN

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{d}) & AA^*A^D = A^DA^*A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(AA^*A^k) = \mathcal{R}(A^k), \ \mathcal{R}[(A^kA^*A)^*] = \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*] \\ and \ A^{k+2}A^*A^k = A^kA^*A^{k+2}. \\ (\mathrm{e}) & A^kA^*A^D = A^DA^*A^k \Leftrightarrow A^{k+1}(A^kA^*A^k) = (A^kA^*A^k)A^{k+1}. \\ (\mathrm{f}) & AA^*A^\# = A^\#A^*A \Leftrightarrow A^3A^*A = AA^*A^3. \end{array}$

Theorem 2.5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

- (a) $r(AA^{D}A^{*} A^{*}A^{D}A) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ A^{k}A^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, A^{*}A^{k}] 2r(A^{k}).$
- (b) $r(AA^{\#}A^* A^*A^{\#}A) = 2r[A, A^*] 2r(A), \text{ if } Ind(A) = 1.$

(c) $AA^{D}A^{*} = A^{*}A^{D}A \Leftrightarrow A(A^{k})^{\dagger} = (A^{k})^{\dagger}A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^{*}A^{k}) = \mathcal{R}(A^{k}) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}[A(A^{k})^{*}] = \mathcal{R}[(A^{k})^{*}].$

(d) $AA^{\#}A^* = A^*A^{\#}A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A).$

Theorem 2.6. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

(a) $r[AA^D(A^*)^k - (A^*)^k A^D A] = 2r[A^k, (A^k)^*] - 2r(A^k).$

(b) $AA^D(A^*)^k = (A^*)^k A^D A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*] = \mathcal{R}(A^k).$

Theorem 2.7. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = 1 and λ is a nonzero complex number. Then

(a) $r[AA^{\#}(AA^{*} + \lambda A^{*}A) - (AA^{*} + \lambda A^{*}A)A^{\#}A] = 2r[A, A^{*}] - 2r(A).$

(b) $AA^{\#}$ commutes with $AA^* + \lambda A^*A \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A)$, i.e., A is EP.

Theorem 2.8. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

(a)
$$r[(AA^D)^*A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}(AA^D)^*] = r\begin{bmatrix} A^kA^*A\\A^k \end{bmatrix} + r[AA^*A^k, A^k] - 2r(A^k).$$

(b) $(AA^D)^*A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}(AA^D)^* \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(AA^*A^k) = \mathcal{R}(A^k) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}[(A^kA^*A)^*] = \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*].$

(c)
$$(AA^{\#})^*A^{\dagger} = A^{\dagger}(AA^{\#})^*, \text{ if } \operatorname{Ind}(A) = 1$$

Proof. Apply (1.6) and Lemma 1.2 to $(AA^D)^*A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}(AA^D)^*$ to yield

$$r[(AA^{D})^{*}A^{\dagger} - A^{\dagger}(AA^{D})^{*}] = r \begin{bmatrix} (AA^{D})^{*}A^{\dagger} \\ (AA^{D})^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{\dagger}(AA^{D})^{*}, (AA^{D})^{*}] - 2r(AA^{D})$$
$$= r \begin{bmatrix} (A^{k})^{*}A^{\dagger} \\ (A^{k})^{*} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{\dagger}(A^{k})^{*}, (A^{k})^{*}] - 2r(A^{k}).$$

Next applying (1.1), we can also find that

$$r \begin{bmatrix} (A^k)^* A^{\dagger} \\ (A^k)^* \end{bmatrix} = r[AA^*A^k, A^k] \text{ and } r[A^{\dagger}(A^k)^*, (A^k)^*] = r \begin{bmatrix} A^k A^*A \\ A^k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we get (a) and then (b) and (c) of the theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ with Ind(A) = k. Then

(a) $r[AA^D - (AA^D)^*] = 2r[A^k, (A^k)^*] - 2r(A^k).$

(b) $r[(AA^D)(AA^D)^* - (AA^D)^*(AA^D)] = 2r[A^k, (A^k)^*] - 2r(A^k).$

(c) $r[AA^{\#} - (AA^{\#})^*] = r[(AA^{\#})(AA^{\#})^* - (AA^{\#})^*(AA^{\#})] = 2r[A, A^*] - 2r(A),$ if Ind (A) = 1.

 $(d) \stackrel{'}{A} \stackrel{'}{A} \stackrel{D}{=} (AA^{D})^{*} \Leftrightarrow (AA^{D})(AA^{D})^{*} = (AA^{D})^{*}(AA^{D}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^{k}) = \mathcal{R}[(A^{k})^{*}], i.e., A^{k} \text{ is EP.}$

196

(e)
$$AA^{\#} = (AA^{\#})^* \Leftrightarrow (AA^{\#})(AA^{\#})^* = (AA^{\#})^*(AA^{\#}) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(A),$$

i.e., A is EP.

Proof. Note that both AA^D and $(AA^D)^*$ are idempotent. It follows from (1.7) that

$$\begin{split} r[AA^D - (AA^D)^*] &= r \begin{bmatrix} AA^D \\ (AA^D)^* \end{bmatrix} + r[AA^D, \ (AA^D)^*] - r(AA^D) - r[(AA^D)^*] \\ &= 2r[AA^D, \ (AA^D)^*] - 2r(A^D) \\ &= 2r[A^k, \ (A^k)^*] - 2r(A^k) \,, \end{split}$$

as required for (a). The rank equality in (b) follows from (a) and (1.8). The results in (c), (d) and (e) follow immediately from (a) of the theorem. \Box

Finally we present a rank equality for the difference of $AA^D - BB^D$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Theorem 2.10. Let } A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m} \text{ with } \operatorname{Ind} (A) = k \text{ and } \operatorname{Ind} (B) = l. \text{ Then} \\ & (a) \quad r(AA^D - BB^D) = r \begin{bmatrix} A^k \\ B^l \end{bmatrix} + r[A^k, B^l] - r(A^k) - r(B^l). \\ & (b) \quad r(AA^\# - BB^\#) = r \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} + r[A, B] - r(A) - r(B), \text{ if } \operatorname{Ind} (A) = \operatorname{Ind} (B) = 1. \\ & (c) \quad AA^D = BB^D \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A^k) = \mathcal{R}(B^l) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*] = \mathcal{R}[(B^l)^*]. \\ & (d) \quad AA^\# = BB^\# \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{R}(B) \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(A^*) = \mathcal{R}(B^*). \\ & (e) \quad In \text{ particular, if } \operatorname{Ind} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} = 1, \text{ then} \\ & r\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}^\# - \begin{bmatrix} AA^\# & 0 \\ 0 & DD^\# \end{bmatrix} \right) = r[A, B] + r \begin{bmatrix} B \\ D \end{bmatrix} - r \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that both AA^D and BB^D are idempotent, and $\mathcal{R}(AA^D) = \mathcal{R}(A^k)$, $\mathcal{R}[(AA^D)^*] = \mathcal{R}[(A^k)^*]$, $\mathcal{R}(BB^D) = \mathcal{R}(B^k)$ and $\mathcal{R}[(BB^D)^*] = \mathcal{R}[(B^k)^*]$. Then it follows from (1.7) that

$$r(AA^{D} - BB^{D}) = r \begin{bmatrix} AA^{D} \\ BB^{D} \end{bmatrix} + r[AA^{D}, BB^{D}] - r(AA^{D}) - r(BB^{D})$$
$$= r \begin{bmatrix} A^{k} \\ B^{l} \end{bmatrix} + r[A^{k}, B^{l}] - r(A^{k}) - r(B^{l}),$$

as required for (a). The results in (b)–(e) follow immediately from (a) of the theorem. $\hfill\square$

In a recent paper [5] by Castro, Koliha and Wei, some other equivalent statements for the equality $AA^D = BB^D$ to hold are presented, one of which is

(2.1)
$$AA^{D} = BB^{D} \Longleftrightarrow B^{D} - A^{D} = A^{D}(A - B)B^{D}.$$

In fact, noting that

$$\mathcal{R}(I_m - AA^D) \cap \mathcal{R}(A^D) = \{0\} \text{ and } \mathcal{R}[(I_m - AA^D)^*] \cap \mathcal{R}[(A^D)^*] = \{0\},\$$

Y. TIAN

and using the two rank formulas (cf. [9])

$$r[A, B] = r(A) + r[(I_m - AA^-)B]$$
 and $r\begin{bmatrix} A\\ C \end{bmatrix} = r(A) + r[C(I_m - A^-A)],$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} r[B^{D} - A^{D} - A^{D}(A - B)B^{D}] &= r[(I_{m} - AA^{D})B^{D} - A^{D}(I_{m} - BB^{D})] \\ &= r[(I_{m} - AA^{D})B^{D}] + r[A^{D}(I_{m} - BB^{D})] \\ &= r\left[\begin{array}{c} A^{D} \\ B^{D} \end{array} \right] + r[A^{D}, \ B^{D}] - r(A^{D}) - r(B^{D}) \\ &= r\left[\begin{array}{c} A^{k} \\ B^{l} \end{array} \right] + r[A^{k}, \ B^{l}] - r(A^{k}) - r(B^{l}) \\ &= r(AA^{D} - BB^{D}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equivalence (2.1) follows.

Remarks. In this paper, we have presented a method for establishing rank formulas for matrix expressions that involve Drazin inverses of matrices. Using the rank formulas obtained, one can characterize various matrix equalities for Drazin inverses of matrices. Besides the results shown in the paper, one can also establish various rank formulas for the differences $(AB)^D - B^D A^D$, $(AB)^D - B^{\dagger} A^{\dagger}$, $(AB)^D - B^{\dagger} (A^{\dagger} ABB^{\dagger})^D A^{\dagger}$, $(AB)^D - B^D (A^D ABB^D)^D A^D$, $(ABC)^D - C^D B^D A^D$, $(ABC)^D - C^D (A^D ABCC^D)^D A^D$, $(ABC)^D - C^{\dagger} B^D A^{\dagger}$ and so on, and then find from them necessary and sufficient conditions for the corresponding reverse order laws for products of Drazin inverses to hold. We shall present the corresponding results in another paper. In addition, it is also worth considering how to partially extend the work in the paper to Drazin inverses of bounded linear operators over a Banach space and elements in C*-algebras, some similar work can be found in [4, 5, 8].

References

- Ben-Israel, A. and Greville, T. N. E., *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Corrected reprint of the 1974 original, Robert E. Krieger Publishing Co., Inc., Huntington, New York, 1980.
- [2] Campbell, S. L. and Meyer, C. D., EP operators and generalized inverses, Canad. Math. Bull. 18 (1975), 327–333.
- [3] Campbell, S. L. and Meyer, C. D., Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations, Corrected reprint of the 1979 original, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [4] Castro, N. and Koliha, J. J., Perturbation of the Drazin inverse for closed linear operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 36 (2000), 92–106.
- [5] Castro, N., Koliha, J. J. and Wei, Y., Perturbation of the Drazin inverse for matrices with equal eigenprojections at zero, Linear Algebra Appl. 312 (2000), 181–189.
- [6] Hartwig, R. E. and Spindelböck, K., Partial isometries, contractions and EP matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 13 (1983), 295–310.

- [7] Hartwig, R. E. and Spindelböck, K., Matrices for which A* and A[†] can commute, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 14 (1984), 241–256.
- [8] Koliha, J. J., Elements of C*-algebras commuting with their Moore-Penrose inverse, Studia Math. 139 (2000), 81–90.
- [9] Marsaglia, G. and Styan, G. P. H., Equalities and inequalities for ranks of matrices, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 2 (1974), 269–292.
- [10] Rao, C. R. and Mitra, S. K., Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications, Wiley, New York, 1971.
- [11] Tian, Y., How to characterize equalities for the Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix, Kyungpook Math. J. 41 (2001), 1–15.
- [12] Wong, E. T., Does the generalized inverse of A commute with A?, Math. Mag. 59 (1986), 230–232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY KINGSTON, ONTARIO, CANADA K7L 3N6 *E-mail:* ytian@mast.queensu.ca