ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO) Tomus 41 (2005), 151 – 155

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY FOR SETS OF POLYNOMIALS

THOMAS W. MÜLLER AND JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the concept of asymptotic stability for a set of complex functions analytic around the origin, implicitly contained in an earlier paper of the first mentioned author ("Finite group actions and asymptotic expansion of $e^{P(z)}$ ", Combinatorica 17 (1997), 523 – 554). As a consequence of our main result we find that the collection of entire functions $\exp(\mathfrak{P})$ with \mathfrak{P} the set of all real polynomials P(z) satisfying Hayman's condition $[z^n]\exp(P(z)) > 0$ $(n \ge n_0)$ is asymptotically stable. This answers a question raised in loc. cit.

1. Asymptotic stability

Let \mathfrak{F} be a set of complex functions analytic in the origin, and for $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ let $f(z) = \sum_n \alpha_n^f z^n$ be the expansion of f around 0. \mathfrak{F} is termed asymptotically stable, if

(i) $\forall f \in \mathfrak{F} \exists n_f \in \mathbb{N}_0 \forall n \ge n_f : \alpha_n^f \neq 0$,

(ii) $\forall f, g \in \mathfrak{F}: \alpha_n^f \sim \alpha_n^g \to f = g$ in a neighbourhood of 0.

Here, for arithmetic functions f and g, the notation $f(n) \sim g(n)$ is short for

$$g(n) = f(n)(1 + o(1)), \quad n \to \infty.$$

A set of polynomials $\mathfrak{P}\subseteq\mathbb{C}[z]$ is called asymptotically stable, if the set of entire functions

$$\mathfrak{F} = \exp(\mathfrak{P}) := \{ e^{P(z)} : P(z) \in \mathfrak{P} \}$$

is asymptotically stable. Define the degree of the zero polynomial to be -1. For a polynomial $P(z) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{d} c_{\delta} z^{\delta}$ of exact degree $d \geq 1$ with real coefficients c_{δ} consider the following two conditions:

- $(\mathcal{G}) \quad c_{\delta} = 0 \text{ for } d/2 < \delta < d,$
- $(\mathcal{H}) \quad [z^n]e^{P(z)} > 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large } n.$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B10.

Key words and phrases: power series, coefficients, asymptotic expansion.

Received May 21, 2003, revised August 2004.

Here, $[z^n]f(z)$ denotes the coefficient of z^n in the expansion of f(z) around the origin. Asymptotically stable sets of functions first appeared in [3], where it was shown among other things that the set of polynomials

$$\mathfrak{P}_0 = \left\{ P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z] : P(z) \text{ satisfies } (\mathcal{G}) \text{ and } (\mathcal{H}) \right\}$$

is asymptotically stable. Since for a finite group G we have¹

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\text{Hom}(G, S_n)| \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp\left(\sum_{\nu} |\{U : (G : U) = \nu\}| \frac{z^{\nu}}{\nu}\right),$$

asymptotic stability of \mathfrak{P}_0 implies in particular the following curious phenomenon ("asymptotic stability" of finite groups):

If for two finite groups G and H we have $|\text{Hom}(G, S_n)| \sim |\text{Hom}(H, S_n)|$ as $n \to \infty$, then these arithmetic functions must in fact coincide.

Condition (\mathcal{H}) arises in the work of Hayman [2], where it is shown that for a real polynomial P(z) of degree at least 1 the function $e^{P(z)}$ is admissible in the complex plane in the sense of [2, pp. 68 - 69] if and only if (\mathcal{H}) holds; cf. [2, Theorem X]. The gap condition (\mathcal{G}) has turned out to be an efficient way of exploiting the fact that polynomials P(z) arising from enumerative problems very often have the property that

$$\operatorname{supp}\left(P(z)\right) \subseteq \left\{\delta : \delta \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(P(z)\right)\right\}.$$

In [3] the question was raised whether condition (\mathcal{G}) could be dropped while still maintaining asymptotic stability, i.e., whether the larger set of polynomials

(1)
$$\mathfrak{P} = \left\{ P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z] : P(z) \text{ satisfies } (\mathcal{H}) \right\}$$

is asymptotically stable. The purpose of this note is to establish the following result, which in particular provides an affirmative answer to the latter question.

Theorem. Let $P_1(z), P_2(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ satisfy Hayman's condition (\mathcal{H}) , for i = 1, 2let $\{\alpha_n^{(i)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the coefficients of $e^{P_i(z)}$, and put $\Delta(z) := P_1(z) - P_2(z)$ as well as $m := \max (\deg (P_1(z)), \deg (P_2(z))).$

- (i) Suppose that either $0 \le \mu < m$, or $\mu = m$ and $\deg(P_1(z)) = \deg(P_2(z))$. Then we have $\deg(\Delta(z)) = \mu$ if and only if $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n^{\mu/m}$.
- (ii) If deg $(P_1(z)) \neq$ deg $(P_2(z))$, then $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \approx n \log n$.

Here, $f(n) \approx g(n)$ means that f(n) and g(n) are of the same order of magnitude; that is, there exist positive constants c_1 , c_2 such that $c_1f(n) \leq g(n) \leq c_2f(n)$ for all n.

Corollary. The set of polynomials \mathfrak{P} defined in (1) is asymptotically stable.

Proof. If $P_1(z), P_2(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ are polynomials satisfying condition (\mathcal{H}) as well as $\alpha_n^{(1)} \sim \alpha_n^{(2)}$, then $\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = o(1)$. By our theorem, $\deg (\Delta(z)) \notin [0, m]$, and hence $P_1(z) = P_2(z)$.

¹Cf. for instance [1, Prop. 1] or [4, Exercise 5.13].

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY

2. Proof of the theorem

For i = 1, 2 put $P_i(z) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{d_i} c_{\delta}^{(i)} z^{\delta}$ with $c_{d_i}^{(i)} \neq 0$. Our assumptions that $P_1(z)$ and $P_2(z)$ have real coefficients and satisfy (\mathcal{H}) ensure via [2, Theorem X] that the functions $\exp(P_i(z))$ are admissible in the complex plane; in particular, in view of [2, formula (1.2)], we have $c_{d_i}^{(i)} > 0$. By [2, Theorem I] we find that, for i = 1, 2,

$$\alpha_n^{(i)} \sim \frac{\exp\left(P_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)})\right)}{\left(\vartheta_n^{(i)}\right)^n \sqrt{2\pi b_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)})}} \quad (n \to \infty)\,,$$

where $\vartheta_n^{(i)}$ is the positive real root of the equation $\vartheta P'_i(\vartheta) = n$, and $b_i(\vartheta) = \vartheta P'_i(\vartheta) + \vartheta^2 P''_i(\vartheta)$. Since $c_{d_i}^{(i)} > 0$, the root $\vartheta_n^{(i)}$ is well defined and increasing for sufficiently large n, and unbounded as $n \to \infty$. This gives $\vartheta_n^{(i)} \sim \left(\frac{n}{d_i c_{d_i}^{(i)}}\right)^{1/d_i}$ and $b_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)}) \sim d_i n$, and hence

(2)
$$\alpha_n^{(i)} \sim \frac{\exp(P_i(\vartheta_n^{(i)}))}{(\vartheta_n^{(i)})^n \sqrt{2\pi d_i n}} \quad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

Formula (2) implies that

(3)
$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = P_1(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) - n\left(\log \vartheta_n^{(1)} - \log \vartheta_n^{(2)}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\left(\log d_1 - \log d_2\right) + o(1).$$

First consider case (ii), that is, the case when $d_1 \neq d_2$. Then, by (3),

$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = \left(\frac{1}{d_2} - \frac{1}{d_1}\right) n \log n + \mathcal{O}(n),$$

that is,

$$\left|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}\right| \asymp n \log n$$

as claimed.² Next suppose that $d_1 = d_2$. Then the right-hand side of (3) becomes

$$d_1^{-1} \log(c_{d_1}^{(1)}/c_{d_2}^{(2)}) n + o(n);$$

in particular, we have deg $(\Delta(z)) = m$ if and only if $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \approx n$, which proves the last part of (i). Thirdly, for m = 1,

$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = c_0^{(1)} - c_0^{(2)} + n \log(c_1^{(1)}/c_1^{(2)}) + o(1),$$

in particular, deg $(\Delta(z)) = 0$ if and only if $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \approx 1$. Hence, we may assume for the remainder of the argument that $m \geq 2$.

 $^{^{2}}$ Here, as well as in certain other places below, a more precise estimate than the one stated is obtained, but not needed in the argument.

Now suppose that $0 \le \mu := \deg(\Delta(z)) < m$. We want to show that in this case $|\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)}| \asymp n^{\mu/m}$. We have

(4)

$$n - \vartheta_{n}^{(1)} P_{2}'(\vartheta_{n}^{(1)}) = \vartheta_{n}^{(1)} \left[P_{1}'(\vartheta_{n}^{(1)}) - P_{2}'(\vartheta_{n}^{(1)}) \right]$$

$$= \vartheta_{n}^{(1)} \Delta'(\vartheta_{n}^{(1)})$$

$$= a \mu (\vartheta_{n}^{(1)})^{\mu} + o(n^{\mu/m}),$$

where a is the leading coefficient of $\Delta(z)$, which we may suppose without loss of generality to be positive. Expanding $\vartheta P'_2(\vartheta)$ as Taylor series around $\vartheta_n^{(1)}$, we find that

(5)
$$\vartheta P_2'(\vartheta) - \vartheta_n^{(1)} P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) = \left(c_m^{(2)} m^2 \left(\vartheta_n^{(1)} \right)^{m-1} + \mathcal{O}\left(n^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \right) \right) \left(\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)} \right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(n^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \left(\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)} \right)^2 + \left(\vartheta - \vartheta_n^{(1)} \right)^m \right).$$

If ϑ runs through the interval

$$I = \left[\vartheta_n^{(1)} - \frac{2a\mu}{m^2 c_m^{(1)}}, \, \vartheta_n^{(1)} + \frac{2a\mu}{m^2 c_m^{(1)}}\right],$$

the right-hand side of (5) covers a range containing the interval

$$\left[-(2-\varepsilon)a\mu\left(\vartheta_n^{(1)}\right)^{m-1}, (2-\varepsilon)a\mu\left(\vartheta_n^{(1)}\right)^{m-1}\right]$$

for every given $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n depending on ε . Combining this observation with (4), we find that $n - \vartheta P'_2(\vartheta)$ changes sign in I, that is, $\vartheta_n^{(2)} \in I$ for large n; in particular we have $\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)} = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Since $m \ge 2$, setting $\vartheta = \vartheta_n^{(2)}$ in (5) and rewriting the left-hand side via (4) now gives

(6)
$$a\mu(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{\mu} = \left(c_m^{(1)}m^2(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-1} + \mathcal{O}(n^{\frac{m-2}{m}})\right)\left(\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}\right) + o(n^{\mu/m}).$$

For x, y real, $x \to \infty$, and $x - y = \mathcal{O}(1)$,

$$P_2(x) - P_2(y) = (x - y) P'_2(x) + \mathcal{O}((x - y) x^{m-2}).$$

Hence, applying (6), we have as $n \to \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) &= \Delta(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + P_2(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) - P_2(\vartheta_n^{(2)}) \\ &= \Delta(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + (\vartheta_n^{(1)} - \vartheta_n^{(2)}) P_2'(\vartheta_n^{(1)}) + \mathcal{O}\big((\vartheta_n^{(1)} - \vartheta_n^{(2)})(\vartheta_n^{(1)})^{m-2}\big) \\ &= a\Big(1 - \frac{\mu}{m}\Big)\Big(\frac{n}{m \, c_m^{(1)}}\Big)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (6) again,

$$\begin{split} \log \vartheta_n^{(2)} - \log \vartheta_n^{(1)} &= \log \left(1 + \frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}} + o \Big(\frac{\vartheta_n^{(2)} - \vartheta_n^{(1)}}{\vartheta_n^{(1)}} \Big) \\ &= \frac{a\mu}{m} \, n^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{m \, c_m^{(1)}} \right)^{\mu/m} + o \big(n^{\frac{\mu-m}{m}} \big) \, . \end{split}$$

Inserting these estimates in (3) now yields

$$\log \alpha_n^{(1)} - \log \alpha_n^{(2)} = a \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{m} \right) \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}} \right)^{\mu/m} + \frac{a\mu}{m} \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}} \right)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m})$$
$$= a \left(\frac{n}{m c_m^{(1)}} \right)^{\mu/m} + o(n^{\mu/m}) \asymp n^{\mu/m} ,$$

and our theorem is proven.

References

- Dress, A. and Müller, T., Decomposable functors and the exponential principle, Adv. in Math. 129 (1997), 188–221.
- [2] Hayman, W., A generalisation of Stirling's formula, J. Reine u. Angew. Math. 196 (1956), 67–95.
- [3] Müller, T., Finite group actions and asymptotic expansion of e^{P(z)}, Combinatorica 17 (1997), 523–554.
- [4] Stanley, R. P., Enumerative Combinatorics vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1999.

THOMAS W. MÜLLER SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY AND WESTFIELD COLLEGE MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, ENGLAND *E-mail*: T.W.Muller@qmul.ac.uk

JAN-CHRISTOPH SCHLAGE-PUCHTA MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG ECKERSTRASSE 1, 79104 FREIBURG, GERMANY *E-mail:* jcp@mathematik.uni-freiburg.de