ARCHIVUM MATHEMATICUM (BRNO)

Tomus 41 (2005), 379 - 388

ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

MAŁGORZATA MIGDA, EWA SCHMEIDEL, MAŁGORZATA ZBĄSZYNIAK

Abstract. We consider a second order nonlinear difference equation

$$\Delta^2 y_n = a_n y_{n+1} + f(n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \quad n \in N.$$
 (E)

The necessary conditions under which there exists a solution of equation (E) which can be written in the form

$$y_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n + \beta_n v_n$$
, are given.

Here u and v are two linearly independent solutions of equation

$$\Delta^2 y_n = a_{n+1} y_{n+1}$$
, $(\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \beta < \infty)$.

A special case of equation (E) is also considered.

1. Introduction

Consider the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 y_n = a_n y_{n+1} + f(n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (E)

where N denotes the set of positive integers. By N_0 we define the set $\{n_0, n_0 + 1, \ldots\}$ where $n_0 \in N$, by R the set of real numbers and by R_+ the set of real nonnegative numbers. By a solution of equation (E) we mean a sequence (y_n) which satisfies equation (E) for sufficiently large n. The necessary conditions under which there exists a solution of equation (E) which can be written in the following form

$$(1) y_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n + \beta_n v_n$$

are given. Here u and v are two linearly independent solutions of equation

$$\Delta^2 y_n = a_{n+1} y_{n+1} \,,$$

where

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \beta < \infty.$$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 39A10.

Key words and phrases: nonlinear difference equation, nonoscillatory solution, second order. Received December 12, 2003, revised November 2004.

In the last few years there has been an increasing interest in the study of asymptotic behavior of solutions of difference equations, in particular second order difference equations (see, for example [2]–[3], [6]–[13]).

The equation (E) was considered by Migda, Schmeidel and Zbąszyniak in [9], too. This equation was considered under assumption

(2)
$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \infty.$$

In [9], the authors proved that each solution of equation (E) can be written in the form (1). In presented paper, we will show that under assumption

(3)
$$\int_{0}^{\epsilon} \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \infty,$$

where ϵ is a positive constant, there exists a solution of equation (E), which can be written in the form (1). It is clear that there exist functions F which satisfy condition (3) and for which condition (2) is not fulfil, for example $F(x) = x^2$.

To prove the main result we start with the following Lemmas:

Lemma 1. Assume that $F: R \to R$ is continuous, nondecreasing function, such that $F(x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$ and condition (3) holds. Moreover, let the function $B: N \times R_+^2 \to R_+$ be continuous on R_+^2 for each $n \in N$ and such that

$$B(n, z_1, z_2) \le B(n, y_1, y_2)$$
 for $0 \le z_k \le y_k$, $k = 1, 2$,

and

$$B(n, a_n z_1, a_n z_2) \le F(a_n) B(n, z_1, z_2)$$
 for $a: N \to R_+$.

Let (μ_n) and (ρ_n) are positive sequences which satisfy the following inequality

$$\mu_n \le \mu_{n_0} + c \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_j B(j, \rho_{j-1} \mu_{j-1}, \rho_j \mu_j)$$

for $n \ge n_0$, $n_0 \in N$ and some positive constant c, and the series

(4)
$$\sum_{j=n_0}^{\infty} \rho_j B(j, \rho_{j-1}, \rho_j)$$

is convergent. Then there exists a sequence (μ_n) such that $\mu_n \leq M$ for some M > 0, for all $n \in N_0$.

Proof. Let positive sequences (μ_n) and (ρ_n) satisfy the inequality

$$\mu_n \le \mu_{n_0} + c \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_j B(j, \rho_{j-1} \mu_{j-1}, \rho_j \mu_j).$$

We denote $b_n = \mu_{n_0} + c \sum_{j=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_j B(j, \rho_{j-1} \mu_{j-1}, \rho_j \mu_j)$. Since

and

$$\Delta b_i = b_{i+1} - b_i = c\rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}\mu_{i-1}, \rho_i\mu_i) \ge 0$$
,

we see that the sequence (b_i) is nondecreasing. Therefore, by (5) we have

$$\Delta b_i \leq c\rho_i B(i,\rho_{i-1}b_{i-1},\rho_i b_i) \leq c\rho_i B(i,\rho_{i-1}b_i,\rho_i b_i) \leq c\rho_i F(b_i) B(i,\rho_{i-1},\rho_i)\,,$$
 where $F(b_i) \geq 0$. This imply,

(6)
$$\frac{\Delta b_i}{F(b_i)} \le c\rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i).$$

Since the function F is nondecreasing, it follows that the function $\frac{1}{F}$ is nonincreasing. This yields

(7)
$$\frac{\Delta b_i}{F(b_i)} \ge \int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} \frac{ds}{F(s)}.$$

From (6) and (7) we have

$$\int_{b_i}^{b_{i+1}} \frac{ds}{F(s)} \le c\rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i), \quad i \ge n_0.$$

By summation from $i = n_0$ to i = n - 1 one yields

(8)
$$\int_{b_{n_0}}^{b_n} \frac{ds}{F(s)} \le c \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i).$$

Denoting

(9)
$$\int_{\epsilon}^{x} \frac{ds}{F(s)} = G(x), \text{ where } \epsilon \text{ is a positive constant}$$

we obtain that

$$\int_{b_{n_0}}^{b_n} \frac{ds}{F(s)} = G(b_n) - G(b_{n_0}).$$

From this and (8) we see

(10)
$$G(b_n) \le G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i).$$

From (9) and properties of function F, function G is increasing. We have two possibilities:

(i) $\lim_{x\to\infty} G(x) = \infty$. Then $G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i)$ belongs to the domain of function G^{-1} , for every $n \in N$.

(ii) $\lim_{x\to\infty} G(x) = g < \infty$. From (3) we can take b_{n_0} such that

$$G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i) < g.$$

Then there exists a sequence (μ_n) such that $G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i)$

belongs to domain of function G^{-1} in this case, too.

Hence G^{-1} exists and is increasing.

We conclude from (10), that

$$b_n \le G^{-1} \left\{ G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{n-1} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i) \right\},$$

and finally from (5) and (4), that

$$\mu_n \le G^{-1} \left\{ G(b_{n_0}) + c \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \rho_i B(i, \rho_{i-1}, \rho_i) \right\} \le M,$$

where $n \in N_0$.

Lemma 2. The equation

$$\Delta^2 z_n = a_{n+1} z_{n+1}, \quad n \in N \tag{EL}$$

where $a: N \to R$, has linearly independent solutions $u, v: N \to R$ such that

(11)
$$\begin{vmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ \Delta u_n & \Delta v_n \end{vmatrix} = -1 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in N.$$

Theorem 1. Let (u_n) and (v_n) are linearly independent solutions of equation (EL). Assume that

$$|f(n, x_1, x_2)| \le B(n, |x_1|, |x_2|)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in R$, and any fixed $n \in N$, where $f : N \times R^2 \to R$ and function B fulfil conditions of Lemma 1. Let us denote

(13)
$$U_j = \max\{|u_{j-1}|, |v_{j-1}|, |u_j|, |v_j|, |u_{j+1}|, |v_{j+1}|\}.$$

If

(14)
$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} U_j B(j, U_{j-1}, U_j) = K < \infty$$

for some positive constant K, then there exists a solution (y_n) of equation (E), which can be written in the form

$$(15) y_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n + \beta_n v_n$$

where $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = \beta$, $(\alpha, \beta\text{-constants})$.

Proof. First we prove the theorem for two linearly independent solutions (u_n) and (v_n) of equation (EL) which fulfil the condition (11). Assume that (y_n) is an arbitrary solution of equation (E). Let us denote

$$(16) A_n = v_n \Delta y_n - y_{n+1} \Delta v_{n-1}$$

$$(17) B_n = -u_n \Delta y_n + y_{n+1} \Delta u_{n-1}.$$

From (11) we get

(18)
$$y_{n+1} = u_n A_n + v_n B_n.$$

Applying the difference operator Δ to (16) and (17) we obtain

$$\Delta A_n = v_n \Delta^2 y_n - y_{n+1} \Delta^2 v_{n-1}$$

$$\Delta B_n = -u_n \Delta^2 y_n + y_{n+1} \Delta^2 u_{n-1} .$$

Using (EL) and (E) we have

$$\Delta A_n = v_n f(n, y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$\Delta B_n = -u_n f(n, y_n, y_{n+1}).$$

From (18) we obtain

$$\Delta A_j = v_j f(j, u_{j-1} A_{j-1} + v_{j-1} B_{j-1}, u_j A_j + v_j B_j)$$

$$\Delta B_i = -u_i f(j, u_{i-1} A_{i-1} + v_{i-1} B_{i-1}, u_i A_i + v_i B_i), \quad j > 1.$$

By summation we get

(19)
$$A_{n} = A_{2} + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} v_{j} f(j, u_{j-1} A_{j-1} + v_{j-1} B_{j-1}, u_{j} A_{j} + v_{j} B_{j})$$

$$B_{n} = B_{2} - \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} u_{j} f(j, u_{j-1} A_{j-1} + v_{j-1} B_{j-1}, u_{j} A_{j} + v_{j} B_{j}).$$

Then

$$|A_n| \le |A_2| + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} |v_j| |f(j, u_{j-1}A_{j-1} + v_{j-1}B_{j-1}, u_jA_j + v_jB_j)|$$

$$|B_n| \le |B_2| + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} |u_j| |f(j, u_{j-1}A_{j-1} + v_{j-1}B_{j-1}, u_jA_j + v_jB_j)|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$|A_n| + |B_n| \le |A_2| + |B_2|$$

(20)
$$+ \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (|v_j| + |u_j|) |f(j, u_{j-1}A_{j-1} + v_{j-1}B_{j-1}, u_jA_j + v_jB_j)|.$$

Let us denote

(21)
$$h_n = |A_n| + |B_n|, \quad n \in N.$$

By the definition of U_j we see that

$$|v_{j-1}| \leq U_j \;, \quad |u_{j-1}| \leq U_j \;, \quad |v_j| \leq U_j \;, \quad |u_j| \leq U_j \;, \quad |v_{j+1}| \leq U_j \;, \quad |u_{j+1}| \leq U_j \;.$$
 It is clear that

$$|A_i u_i + B_i v_i| \le |A_i| |u_i| + |B_i| |v_i| \le U_i (|A_i| + |B_i|) \le U_i h_i$$
.

Hence, by (12) we get

$$|f(j, A_{i-1}u_{i-1} + B_{i-1}v_{i-1}, A_iu_i + B_iv_i)| \le B(j, U_{i-1}h_{i-1}, U_ih_i).$$

Therefore, (20) and (21) yields

$$h_n \le h_2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} U_j B(j, U_{j-1} h_{j-1}, U_j h_j).$$

By Lemma 1, there exists a sequence (h_n) and a constant M > 0 such that $h_n \leq M$. Properties of function B and (12) give the following inequalities

$$\begin{split} |v_{j}f(j,A_{j-1}u_{j-1}+B_{j-1}v_{j-1},A_{j}u_{j}+B_{j}v_{j})|\\ &\leq U_{j}B(j,|A_{j-1}u_{j-1}+B_{j-1}v_{j-1}|,|A_{j}u_{j}+B_{j}v_{j}|)\\ &\leq U_{j}B(j,U_{j-1}h_{j-1},U_{j}h_{j})\leq U_{j}B(j,U_{j-1}M,U_{j}M)\\ &\leq F(M)U_{j}B(j,U_{j-1},U_{j})\,. \end{split}$$

This means by (14) that the series

$$\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} v_j f(j, A_{j-1} u_{j-1} + B_{j-1} v_{j-1}, A_j u_j + B_j v_j)$$

is absolutely convergent. By (19) finite limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n = \alpha$ exists. Analogously $\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = \beta < \infty$ exists. Hence (18) holds, and there exist finite limits of sequences (A_n) and (B_n) .

Now, we will prove this theorem for any two linearly independent solutions (\tilde{u}_n) and (\tilde{v}_n) of equation (EL). Let (u_n) and (v_n) be two linearly independent solutions of equation (EL) fulfilling condition (11). Then for some constants c_1 , c_2 , c_3 and c_4 we have

$$u_n = c_1 \tilde{u}_n + c_2 \tilde{v}_n \,, \quad v_n = c_3 \tilde{u}_n + c_4 \tilde{v}_n \,.$$

Now,

$$\tilde{U}_j = \max\{|\tilde{u}_{j-1}|, |\tilde{v}_{j-1}|, |\tilde{u}_j|, |\tilde{v}_j|, |\tilde{u}_{j+1}|, |\tilde{v}_{j+1}|\}.$$

 $\tilde{U}_j = \max \left\{ |\tilde{u}_{j-1}|, |\tilde{v}_{j-1}|, |\tilde{u}_j|, |\tilde{v}_j|, |\tilde{u}_{j+1}|, |\tilde{v}_{j+1}| \right\} \,.$ We will show that the condition (14) holds. Let $\tilde{c} = \max \{ |c_1|, |c_2|, |c_3|, |c_4| \}$. Hence

$$U_{j} \le \tilde{c} \max \{ |\tilde{u}_{j-1}| + |\tilde{v}_{j-1}|, |\tilde{u}_{j}| + |\tilde{v}_{j}|, |\tilde{u}_{j+1}| + |\tilde{v}_{j+1}| \} \le 2\tilde{c}\tilde{U}_{j}.$$

Therefore, we obtain inequalities

$$U_{i}B(j,U_{i-1},U_{i}) < 2\tilde{c}\tilde{U}_{i}B(j,2\tilde{c}\tilde{U}_{i-1},2\tilde{c}\tilde{U}_{i}) < 2\tilde{c}\tilde{U}_{i}F(2\tilde{c})B(j,\tilde{U}_{i-1},\tilde{U}_{i}),$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j B(j, U_{j-1}, U_j) < \infty.$$

We see that assumptions of the Theorem 1 hold for solutions (u_n) and (v_n) , also. Then a solution of equation (E) can be written in the form

$$y_{n+1} = A_n(c_1\tilde{u}_n + c_2\tilde{v}_n) + B_n(c_3\tilde{u}_n + c_4\tilde{v}_n)$$

= $(c_1A_n + c_3B_n)\tilde{u}_n + (c_2A_n + c_4B_n)\tilde{v}_n$
= $\alpha_n\tilde{u}_n + \beta\tilde{v}_n$,

where $\alpha_n = c_1 A_n + c_3 B_n$, $\beta_n = c_2 A_n + c_4 B_n$, and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \beta$ (α , β -constants). This completes the proof of this Theorem.

Example 1. Consider the difference equation

(22)
$$\Delta^2 y_n = \frac{y_n y_{n+1}}{(n^2 + 3n + 2)2^{n+2} + 6n + 10 + 2^{1-n}}.$$

All conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied with $B(n, x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 x_2}{n^2 2^n}$ and $F(k) = k^2$. Hence the equation (22) has a solution (y_n) which can be written in the form (15). In fact, $y_n = n + (1 + \frac{1}{2^n})1$ is such a solution, where $\alpha_n = 1$ and $\beta_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Note, that Theorem 1 is applicable to the equation (22), but Theorem 1 from [9] is not, because

$$\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{F(s)} = \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{s^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$$

is convergent. So, condition (1) from [9] is not satisfied.

Theorem 2. Assume that functions F and B fulfil conditions of Lemma 1 and function F fulfil condition (12) of Theorem 1. If

(23)
$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jB(j,j,j) = k < \infty,$$

then there exists a solution (y_n) of equation

(24)
$$\Delta^2 y_n = f(n, y_n, y_{n+1}), \quad n \in N,$$

which can be written in the form

(25)
$$y_{n+1} = an + b + \phi(n), \text{ where } \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(n) = 0.$$

Proof. Equation $\Delta^2 z_n = 0$ has two linearly independent solution $u_n = n$ and $v_n = 1$. These solutions satisfy conditions (11) of Theorem 1. We will prove that condition (14) is also satisfied. From (13), $U_j = j + 1$. From properties of function B we obtain

$$U_j B(j, U_{j-1}, U_j) = (j+1)B(j, j, j+1) \le (j+j)B(j, j+j, j+j)$$
$$= (2j)B(j, 2j, 2j) \le 2F(2)jB(j, j, j).$$

Then, form (23)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j B(j, U_{j-1}, U_j) \le 2F(2)k = K < \infty.$$

Since assumptions of Theorem 1 hold then we get the thesis of this Theorem. So, from (18)

$$(26) y_{n+1} = A_n n + B_n ,$$

where A_n and B_n are defined by (16) and (17), and finite limits of sequences (A_n) , (B_n) exist. Let

(27)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = a, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = b.$$

From (19) we get

$$A_n = A_2 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f(j, (j-1)A_{j-1} + B_{j-1}, jA_j + B_j).$$

Hence, from (27) we obtain

$$a = A_2 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} f(j, (j-1)A_{j-1} + B_{j-1}, jA_j + B_j).$$

Using properties of functions f and B we have

$$|A_n - a| = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} f(j, (j-1)A_{j-1} + B_{j-1}, jA_j + B_j)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} B(j, (j-1)|A_{j-1}| + |B_{j-1}|, j|A_j| + |B_j|)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} B(j, (j-1)(|A_{j-1}| + |B_{j-1}|), j(|A_j| + |B_j|)).$$

Therefore

$$n|A_n - a| \le \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} jB(j, (j-1)(|A_{j-1}| + |B_{j-1}|), j(|A_j| + |B_j|)).$$

From (27) there exists a constant c such that

$$|A_n| + |B_n| \le c$$
 for $n \in N$.

Then

$$n|A_n - a| \le \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} jB(j, jc, jc) \le F(c) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} jB(j, j, j)$$

and by (23) we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(c) \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} j B(j, j, j) = 0,$$

what gives

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n|A_n - a| = 0.$$

Analogously we obtain $\lim_{n\to\infty} |B_n - b| = 0$. The solution (26) of equation (24) can be written in the form

$$y_{n+1} = an + b + (A_n - a)n + (B_n - b)$$
.

Then

$$y_{n+1} = an + b + \phi(n),$$

where

$$\phi(n) = (A_n - a)n + (B_n - b),$$

and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(n) = 0$. The proof is complete.

Example 2. Consider the difference equation

(28)
$$\Delta^2 y_n = \frac{y_n + y_{n+1}}{2^{n+3}n + 3 \cdot 2^{n+2} + 6}.$$

All conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied with $B(n, x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2^n}(x_1 + x_2)$ and F(k) = k. Hence equation (28) has a solution (y_n) which can be written in (25). In fact $y_n = n + 1 + \frac{1}{2^n}$ is such a solution.

References

- [1] Agarwal, R. P., Difference equations and inequalities. Theory, methods and applications, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York 1992.
- [2] Cheng, S. S., Li, H. J., Patula, W. T., Bounded and zero convergent solutions of second order difference equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 141 (1989), 463–483.
- [3] Drozdowicz, A., On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the second order difference equations, Glas. Mat. 22 (1987), 327–333.
- [4] Elaydi, S. N., An introduction to difference equation, Springer-Verlag, New York 1996.
- [5] Kelly, W. G., Peterson, A. C., Difference equations, Academic Press, Inc., Boston-San Diego 1991.
- [6] Medina, R., Pinto, M., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order nonlinear difference equations, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (1992), 187–195.
- [7] Migda, J., Migda, M., Asymptotic properties of the solutions of second order difference equation, Arch. Math. (Brno) 34 (1998), 467–476.
- [8] Migda, M., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of nonlinear delay difference equations, Fasc. Math. 31 (2001), 57–62.
- [9] Migda, M., Schmeidel, E., Zbąszyniak, M., Some properties of solutions of second order nonlinear difference equations, Funct. Differ. Equ. 11 (2004), 147–152.
- [10] Popenda, J., Werbowski, J., On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of difference equations of second order, Ann. Polon. Math. 22 (1980), 135–142.
- [11] Schmeidel, E., Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the second order difference equations, Demonstratio Math. 25 (1993), 811–819.

- [12] Thandapani, E., Arul, R., Graef, J. R., Spikes, P. W., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order difference equations with summable coefficients, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 27 (1999), 1–22.
- [13] Thandapani, E., Manuel, M. M. S., Graef, J. R., Spikes, P. W., Monotone properties of certain classes of solutions of second order difference equations, Advances in difference equations II, Comput. Math. Appl. 36 (1998), 291–297.

Institute of Mathematics, Poznań University of Technology Piotrowo 3a, 60-965 Poznań, Poland E-mail: mmigda@math.put.poznan.pl eschmeid@math.put.poznan.pl mmielesz@math.put.poznan.pl