## CORRIGENDUM TO "NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS SHARING A SMALL FUNCTION" [ARCH. MATH. 44 (2008), 41–56]

Abhijit Banerjee and Sonali Mukherjee

This shorte note concerns the paper mentioned in the title.

The statement of **Theorem 1.1(ii)** and so that of **Corollary 1.2** given in that paper is not correct. For the uniqueness of f and g some extra conditions are required. Since the proof of that particular portion of **Theorem 1.1(ii)** depends upon **Lemma 2.11(ii)**, so the statement as well as the proof of **Lemma 2.11(ii)** should also be rectified. In the statement of **Theorem 1.1(ii)** and so in **Corollary 1.2** the extra condition "and the two expressions  $\frac{b}{n+2}g\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{i} + \frac{c}{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{i}$  and

 $\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  have no common simple zeros" should be added. Naturally in the proof of the **Lemma 2.11(ii)** some more analysis regarding the zeros of  $\eta - u_k$  which

are not the poles of g is required since the salient part of the proof is depending on the proof that  $\eta - u_k$  has multiple zeros. The corrected statements and proofs of **Theorem 1.1(ii)** and the corresponding **Lemma 2.11(ii)** are given below.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions such that  $f^n(af^2 + bf + c)f'$  and  $g^n(ag^2 + bg + c)g'$  where  $a \neq 0$  and  $|b| + |c| \neq 0$  share " $(\alpha, 2)$ ". Then the following holds.

(ii) If  $b \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n > [12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}]$ , the roots of the equation  $az^2 + bz + c = 0$  are distinct, one of f and g is non entire meromorphic functions having only multiple poles and the two expressions  $\frac{b}{n+2} g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{c}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  have no common simple zeros then  $f \equiv g$ .

**Corollary 1.2.** Let f and g be two transcendental meromorphic functions, one of f and g is non entire meromorphic functions having only multiple poles and the two expressions  $\frac{b}{n+2} g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{c}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  have no common simple

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 30D35.

Key words and phrases: meromorphic function, uniqueness, nonlinear differential polynomials, small function, weakly weighted sharing.

zeros, such that  $n > [12 - 2\Theta(\infty; f) - 2\Theta(\infty; g) - \min\{\Theta(\infty; f), \Theta(\infty; g)\}]$  be an integer. If  $af^n(f - \beta_1)(f - \beta_2)f'$  and  $ag^n(g - \beta_1)(g - \beta_2)g'$  share " $(\alpha, 2)$ ", where  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  are the distinct roots of the equation  $az^2 + bz + c = 0$  with  $|\beta_1| \neq |\beta_2|$ , then  $f \equiv g$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** Let F and G be given as in Lemma 2.9 and  $n \geq 6$  be an integer. Suppose  $F \equiv G$ . Then the following holds.

(ii) If  $b \neq 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , and the roots of the equation  $az^2 + bz + c = 0$  are distinct and one of f and g is non entire meromorphic function having only multiple poles and the two expressions  $\frac{b}{n+2} g \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j + \frac{c}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{n+2} \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^j$  have no common simple zero then  $f \equiv g$ .

**Proof.** Case 2. Suppose  $b \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ . Then  $F \equiv G$  implies

(1) 
$$Af^{n+3} + Bf^{n+2} + Cf^{n+1} \equiv Ag^{n+3} + Bg^{n+2} + Cg^{n+1},$$

where  $A = \frac{a}{n+3}$ ,  $B = \frac{b}{n+2}$  and  $C = \frac{c}{n+1}$ . Let us assume  $f \neq g$ .

**Subcase 2.1.** Suppose the roots of the equation  $az^2 + bz + c = 0$  are distinct. Since (1) implies f, g share  $(\infty, \infty)$  without loss of generality we may assume that g has some multiple poles. Putting  $\eta = \frac{f}{a}$  in (1) we get

$$Ag^{2}(\eta^{n+3}-1) + Bg(\eta^{n+2}-1) + C(\eta^{n+1}-1) \equiv 0$$

i.e.,

(2) 
$$Ag^{2} \equiv -Bg \ \frac{\eta^{n+2}-1}{\eta^{n+3}-1} - C \ \frac{\eta^{n+1}-1}{\eta^{n+3}-1}.$$

First we observe that since a meromorphic function can not have more than two Picard exceptional values,  $\eta$  takes at least n values among  $u_k = exp(\frac{2k\pi i}{n+3})$  where  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n+2$ .

Let  $z_0$  be a pole of g with multiplicity  $p(\geq 2)$ , which is not a root of  $\eta - u_k = 0$ . Then from (2) we have

$$2p=p\quad {\rm i.e.},\quad p=0\,,$$

which is impossible.

Hence from (2) we see that the poles of g are precisely the roots of  $\eta - u_k = 0$ . Suppose  $z_1$  is a zero of  $\eta - u_k$  of multiplicity r which is a pole of g with multiplicity s (say) then from (2) we see that

$$2s = r + s$$

i.e.,

$$r = s$$
.

Since g has no simple pole, it follows that such points are multiple zeros of  $\eta - u_k$ .

## CORRIGENDUM

From (2) we know

(3) 
$$Ag^{2} \equiv -\frac{Bg\sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\eta^{j} + C\sum_{j=0}^{n}\eta^{j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n+2}\eta^{j}}.$$

Suppose  $z_2$  be a simple zero of  $\eta - u_k$  where k = 1, 2, ..., n + 2, which is a zero of multiplicity  $q(\geq 2)$  of the numerator of (3). Then from (3),  $z_2$  would be a zero of order q-1 of  $g^2$ . So it follows that  $z_2$  would be a zero of  $\sum_{j=0}^n \eta^j$ . Since  $\sum_{j=0}^n \eta^j$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{n+2} \eta^j$  may have at most one common factor, we see that  $\eta - u_k$  has multiple zeros

for at least n-1 values of  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+2\}$ . Hence

$$\Theta(u_k;\eta) \ge \frac{1}{2}\,,$$

for at least n-1 values of k, which implies a contradiction as  $n \ge 6$ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KALYANI GOVERNMENT ENGINEERING COLLEGE West Bengal 741235, India

*E-mail*: abanerjee\_kal@yahoo.co.in, abanerjee\_kal@rediffmail.com