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#### Abstract

On a symplectic manifold, there is a natural elliptic complex replacing the de Rham complex. It can be coupled to a vector bundle with connection and, when the curvature of this connection is constrained to be a multiple of the symplectic form, we find a new complex. In particular, on complex projective space with its Fubini-Study form and connection, we can build a series of differential complexes akin to the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand complexes from parabolic differential geometry.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article $M$ will be a smooth manifold of dimension $2 n$ equipped with a symplectic form $J_{a b}$. Here, we are using Penrose's abstract index notation [15] and non-degeneracy of this 2 -form says that there is a skew contravariant 2-form $J^{a b}$ such that $J_{a b} J^{a c}=\delta_{b}{ }^{c}$ where $\delta_{b}{ }^{c}$ is the canonical pairing between vectors and co-vectors.

Let $\wedge^{k}$ denote the bundle of $k$-forms on $M$. The homomorphism

$$
\wedge^{k} \rightarrow \wedge^{k-2} \text { given by } \phi_{a b c \cdots d} \mapsto J^{a b} \phi_{a b c \cdots d}
$$

is surjective for $2 \leq k \leq n$ with non-trivial kernel, corresponding to the irreducible representation


Denoting this bundle by $\wedge_{\perp}^{k}$, there is a canonical splitting of the short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{k} \underset{\pi}{\rightleftarrows} \bigwedge^{k} \rightarrow \wedge^{k-2} \rightarrow 0
$$

[^0]and an elliptic complex [2, 9, 11, 16, 18,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{0} \xrightarrow{d} \wedge^{1} \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{3} \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}}{ }^{\Lambda_{\perp}}{ }_{\perp}^{n}  \tag{1}\\
& 0 \leftarrow \Lambda^{0} \stackrel{d_{\perp}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Lambda^{1} \stackrel{d_{\perp}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Lambda_{\perp}^{2} \stackrel{d_{\perp}}{\longleftrightarrow} \Lambda_{\perp}^{3} \stackrel{d_{\perp}}{\longleftrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{d_{\perp}}{\longleftrightarrow} \wedge_{\perp}^{n}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where
$-d: \wedge^{0} \rightarrow \wedge^{1}$ is the exterior derivative,

- for $1 \leq k<n$, the operator $d_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{k} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\perp}^{k+1}$ is the composition

$$
\wedge_{\perp}^{k} \hookrightarrow \wedge^{k} \xrightarrow{d} \wedge^{k+1} \xrightarrow{\pi} \bigwedge_{\perp}^{k+1},
$$

a first order operator,
$-d_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{k+1} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{k}$ are canonically defined first order operators, which may be seen as adjoint to $d_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{k} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\perp}^{k+1}$,
$-d_{\perp}^{2}: \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{n}$ is the composition

$$
\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n},
$$

a second order operator.
More explicitly, formulæ for these operators may be given as follows. Firstly, it is convenient to choose a symplectic connection $\nabla_{a}$, namely a torsion-free connection such that $\nabla_{a} J_{b c}=0$, equivalently $\nabla_{a} J^{b c}=0$. As shown in [12], for example, such connections always exist and if $\nabla_{a}$ is one such, then the general symplectic connection is

$$
\hat{\nabla}_{a} \phi_{b}=\nabla_{a} \phi_{b}+J^{c d} \Xi_{a b c} \phi_{d} \quad \text { where } \Xi_{a b c}=\Xi_{(a b c)}
$$

Then, for $1 \leq k<n$, the operator $d_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{k} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{k+1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{d e f \cdots g} \longmapsto \nabla_{[c} \phi_{d e f \cdots g]}-\frac{k}{2(n+1-k)} J^{a b}\left(\nabla_{a} \phi_{b[e f \cdots g}\right) J_{c d]} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $d_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{k+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\perp}^{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{c d e f \cdots g} \longmapsto J^{b c} \nabla_{b} \psi_{c d e f \cdots g} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose $E$ is a smooth vector bundle on $M$ and $\nabla: E \rightarrow \Lambda^{1} \otimes E$ is a connection. Choosing any torsion-free connection on $\wedge^{1}$ induces a connection on $\wedge^{1} \otimes E$ and, as is well-known, the composition

$$
\wedge^{1} \otimes E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes \wedge^{1} \otimes E \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \otimes E
$$

does not depend on this choice. (It is the second in a well-defined sequence of differential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{2} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{2 n-1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{2 n} \otimes E \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

known as the coupled de Rham sequence.) In particular, we may define a homomorphism $\Theta: E \rightarrow E$ by

$$
J^{a b} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \Sigma=\frac{1}{2 n} \Theta \Sigma \quad \text { for } \Sigma \in \Gamma(E) .
$$

It is part of the curvature of $\nabla$ and if this is the only curvature, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{a} \nabla_{b}-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a}\right) \Sigma=2 J_{a b} \Theta \Sigma, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we shall say that $\nabla$ is symplectically flat. Looking back at (1), it is easy to see that there are coupled operators
explicit formulæ for which are just as in the uncoupled cases (2) and (3). To complete the coupled version of (1) let us use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\perp}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \Theta: \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \longrightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the middle operator. It is evident that

$$
E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes E
$$

is a complex if and only if $\nabla$ is symplectically flat. The reason for the curvature term in (6) is that this feature propagates as follows.

Theorem 1. Suppose $E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{1} \otimes E$ is a symplectically flat connection and define $\Theta: E \rightarrow E$ by (5). Then the coupled version of (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\nabla} \wedge^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \\
& \downarrow \nabla_{\perp}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \Theta \\
& 0 \leftarrow E \stackrel{\nabla_{\perp}}{\longleftarrow} \wedge^{1} \otimes E \stackrel{\nabla_{\perp}}{\longleftarrow} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes E \stackrel{\nabla_{\perp}}{\longleftarrow} \cdots \quad \nabla_{\perp} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E
\end{aligned}
$$

is a complex. It is locally exact except near the beginning where

$$
\operatorname{ker} \nabla: E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes E \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{\perp}: \wedge^{1} \otimes E \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes E}{\operatorname{im} \nabla: E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes E}
$$

may be identified with the kernel and cokernel, respectively, of $\Theta$ as locally constant sheaves.

More precision and a proof of Theorem 1 will be provided in $\$ 2$. Our next theorem yields some natural symplectically flat connections.

Theorem 2. Suppose $M$ is a $2 n$-dimensional symplectic manifold with symplectic connection $\nabla_{a}$. Then there is a natural vector bundle $\mathcal{T}$ on $M$ of rank $2 n+2$ equipped with a connection, which is symplectically flat if and only if the curvature $R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ of $\nabla_{a}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=\delta_{a}{ }^{c} \mathrm{P}_{b d}-\delta_{b}{ }^{c} \mathrm{P}_{a d}+J_{a d} \mathrm{P}_{b e} J^{c e}-J_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{a e} J^{c e}+2 J_{a b} \mathrm{P}_{d e} J^{c e}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some symmetric tensor $\mathrm{P}_{a b}$.
In particular, the Fubini-Study connection on complex projective space is symplectic for the standard Kähler form and its curvature is of the form (7) for $\mathrm{P}_{a b}=g_{a b}$, the standard metric. More generally, if the symplectic connection $\nabla_{a}$ arises from a Kähler metric, then we shall see that $(7)$ holds precisely in the case of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

After proving Theorems 1 and 2 the remainder of this article is concerned with the consequences of Theorem 1 for the vector bundle $\mathcal{T}$ and those bundles, such as $\bigodot^{k} \mathcal{T}$, induced from it. In particular, these consequences pertain on complex projective space where we shall find a series of elliptic complexes closely following
the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand complexes on the sphere $S^{2 n+1}$ as a homogeneous space for the Lie group $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$.

This article is based on our earlier work [11] but here we focus on the simpler case where we are given a symplectic structure as background. This results in fewer technicalities and in this article we include more detail, especially in constructing the BGG-like complexes in $\$ 5$ Further indications justifying the shape of our complexes can be found in [3, 4, 5, 6, 7].

## 2. The Rumin-Seshadri complex

By the Rumin-Seshadri complex, we mean the differential complex (1) after (16). However, the 4 -dimensional case is due to R.T. Smith [17] and the general case is also independently due to Tseng and Yau [18]. In this section we shall derive the coupled version of this complex as in Theorem 1] our proof of which includes (1) as a special case. The following lemma is also the key step in [11.

Lemma 1. Suppose $E$ is a vector bundle on $M$ with symplectically flat connection $\nabla: E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes E$. Define $\Theta: E \rightarrow E$ by (5). Then $\Theta$ has constant rank and the bundles $\operatorname{ker} \Theta$ and coker $\Theta$ acquire from $\nabla$, flat connections defining locally constant sheaves $\underline{\operatorname{ker} \Theta}$ and coker $\Theta$, respectively. There is an elliptic complex

where the differentials are given by

$$
\Sigma \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla \Sigma \\
\Theta \Sigma
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\phi \\
\eta
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla \phi-J \otimes \eta \\
\nabla \eta-\Theta \phi
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}
\omega \\
\psi
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla \omega+J \wedge \psi \\
\nabla \psi+\Theta \omega
\end{array}\right] \cdots .
$$

It is locally exact save for the zeroth and first cohomologies, which may be identified with ker $\Theta$ and coker $\Theta$, respectively.

Proof. From (5) the Bianchi identity for $\nabla$ reads

$$
0=\nabla_{[a}\left(J_{b c]} \Theta\right)=J_{[a b} \nabla_{c]} \Theta
$$

and non-degeneracy of $J_{a b}$ implies that $\nabla_{a} \Theta=0$. Consequently, the homomorphism $\Theta$ has constant rank and the following diagram with exact rows commutes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes \operatorname{ker} \Theta \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\Theta} \wedge^{1} \otimes E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes \operatorname{coker} \Theta \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and yields the desired connections on $\operatorname{ker} \Theta$ and coker $\Theta$, which are easily seen to be flat. Ellipticity of the given complex is readily verified and, by definition, the kernel of its first differential is ker $\Theta$. To identify the higher local cohomology of this complex the key observation is that locally we may choose a 1-form $\tau$ such that $d \tau=J$ and, having done this, the connection

$$
\Gamma(E) \ni \Sigma \stackrel{\tilde{\nabla}}{\longmapsto} \nabla \Sigma-\tau \otimes \Theta \Sigma \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{1} \otimes E\right)
$$

is flat. The rest of the proof is diagram chasing, using exactness of

$$
E \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \Lambda^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \Lambda^{2} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \Lambda^{3} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \Lambda^{4} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \cdots
$$

If needed, the details are in [11].
Proof of Theorem 11. In [11, the corresponding result [11, Theorem 4] is proved by invoking a spectral sequence. Here, we shall, instead, prove two typical cases 'by hand,' leaving the rest of the proof to the reader.

For our first case, let us suppose $n \geq 3$ and prove local exactness of

$$
\wedge^{1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{3} \otimes E
$$

Thus, we are required to show that if $\omega_{a b}$ has values in $E$ and

$$
\omega_{a b}=\omega_{[a b]} \quad J^{a b} \omega_{a b}=0 \quad \nabla_{[c} \omega_{d e]}=\frac{1}{n-1} J^{a b}\left(\nabla_{a} \omega_{b[c}\right) J_{d e]},
$$

then locally there is $\phi_{a} \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{1} \otimes E\right)$ such that

$$
\omega_{c d}=\nabla_{[c} \phi_{d]}-\frac{1}{2 n} J^{a b}\left(\nabla_{a} \phi_{b}\right) J_{c d} .
$$

If we set $\psi_{c} \equiv-\frac{1}{n-1} J^{a b} \nabla_{a} \omega_{b c}$, then $\nabla_{[c} \omega_{d e]}+J_{[c d} \psi_{e]}=0$ so

$$
0=\nabla_{[b} \nabla_{c} \omega_{d e]}+J_{[b c} \nabla_{d} \psi_{e]}=J_{[b c} \Theta \omega_{d e]}+J_{[b c} \nabla_{d} \psi_{e]}
$$

and since $J \wedge_{-}: \wedge^{2} \rightarrow \Lambda^{4}$ is injective it follows that

$$
\nabla_{[c} \psi_{d]}+\Theta \omega_{c d}=0
$$

In other words, we have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \omega+J \wedge \psi & =0 \\
\nabla \psi+\Theta \omega & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and Lemma 1 locally yields $\phi_{a} \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{1} \otimes E\right)$ and $\eta \in \Gamma(E)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{[a} \phi_{b]}-J_{a b} \eta & =\omega_{a b} \\
\nabla_{a} \eta-\Theta \phi_{a} & =\psi_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$
J^{a b} \nabla_{a} \phi_{b}-2 n \eta=J^{a b}\left(\nabla_{a} \phi_{b}-J_{a b} \eta\right)=J^{a b} \omega_{a b}=0
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\nabla_{[c} \phi_{d]}-\frac{1}{2 n} J^{a b}\left(\nabla_{a} \phi_{b}\right) J_{c d}=\nabla_{[c} \phi_{d]}-\eta J_{c d}=\omega_{c d}
$$

as required.
Our second case is more involved. It is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \Theta} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is locally exact. As regards $\nabla_{\perp}: \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \rightarrow \bigwedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E$, notice that

$$
J^{b c} \nabla_{b} \psi_{c d e f \cdots g}=\frac{n+1}{2} J^{b c} \nabla_{[b} \psi_{c d e f \cdots g]}
$$

and that if $\phi_{d e f \cdots g} \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{k} \otimes E\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{b c} J_{[b c} \phi_{d e f \cdots g]}=\frac{4(n-k)}{(k+1)(k+2)} \phi_{d e f \cdots g}+\frac{k(k-1)}{(k+1)(k+2)} J_{[d e} \phi_{f \cdots g] b c} J^{b c} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so if $\phi_{d e f \ldots g} \in \Gamma\left(\wedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E\right)$, then

$$
J^{b c} J_{[b c} \phi_{d e f \cdots g]}=\frac{4}{n(n+1)} \phi_{d e f \cdots g}
$$

Therefore, $\nabla_{\perp} \psi \in \Gamma\left(\wedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E\right)$ is characterised by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \wedge \nabla_{\perp} \psi=\frac{2}{n} \nabla \psi \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an equation in $\wedge^{n+1} \otimes E$. In particular, in $\wedge^{n+2} \otimes E$ we find

$$
J \wedge \nabla \nabla_{\perp} \psi=\nabla\left(J \wedge \nabla_{\perp} \psi\right)=\frac{2}{n} \nabla^{2} \psi=J \wedge \Theta \psi=0
$$

whence $\nabla \nabla_{\perp} \psi$ already lies in $\Lambda^{n} \otimes E$ and there is no need to remove the trace as in (2) to form $\nabla_{\perp}^{2} \psi$. Therefore, invoking (10) once again, the composition

$$
\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \bigwedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\perp}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n-1} \otimes E
$$

is characterised by

$$
J \wedge \nabla_{\perp}^{3} \psi=\frac{2}{n} \nabla \nabla_{\perp}^{2} \psi=\frac{2}{n} \nabla^{2} \nabla_{\perp} \psi=\frac{2}{n} J \wedge \Theta \nabla_{\perp} \psi=\frac{2}{n} J \wedge \nabla_{\perp} \Theta \psi
$$

and, since $J \wedge_{-}: \wedge^{n-1} \rightarrow \wedge^{n+1}$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that $\nabla_{\perp}^{3} \psi=$ $\frac{2}{n} \nabla_{\perp} \Theta \psi$, equivalently that 8) is a complex.

Before proceeding, let us remark on another consequence of (9), namely that for $\nu_{c d e f \cdots g} \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{n} \otimes E\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{[a b} \nu_{c d e f \cdots g]}=0 \Longleftrightarrow J^{c d} \nu_{\text {cdef } \cdots g}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now to establish local exactness, suppose $\nu \in \Gamma\left(\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E\right)$ satisfies $\nabla_{\perp} \nu=0$. Equivalently, according to (10) and 11)

$$
\nu \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{n} \otimes E\right) \quad \text { satisfies } \nabla \nu=0 \text { and } J \wedge \nu=0
$$

Lemma 1 implies that locally there are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{n} \otimes E\right) \\
& \eta \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{n-1} \otimes E\right)
\end{aligned} \quad \text { such that } \quad \begin{aligned}
\nabla \phi-J \wedge \eta & =0 \\
\nabla \eta-\Theta \phi & =\nu
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
0 \rightarrow \wedge^{n-2} \xrightarrow{J \wedge_{-}} \wedge^{n} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact, we can write $\phi$ uniquely as

$$
\phi=\psi+J \wedge \tau
$$

where $\psi \in \Gamma\left(\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \otimes E\right)$ and $\tau \in \Gamma\left(\wedge^{n-2} \otimes E\right)$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \psi-J \wedge \hat{\eta} & =0 \\
\nabla \hat{\eta}-\Theta \psi & =\nu
\end{aligned} \quad(\text { where } \hat{\eta}=\eta-\nabla \tau)
$$

However, as discussed above, these equations say exactly that

$$
\nabla_{\perp}^{2} \psi-\frac{2}{n} \Theta \psi=\nu
$$

and exactness is shown.

## 3. Tractor bundles

For the rest of the article we suppose that we are given, not only a manifold $M$ with symplectic form $J_{a b}$, but also a torsion-free connection $\nabla_{a}$ on the tangent bundle (and hence on all other tensor bundles) such that $\nabla_{a} J_{b c}=0$. This is sometimes called a Fedosov structure [12] on $M$. The curvature $R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ of $\nabla_{a}$, characterised by

$$
\left(\nabla_{a} \nabla_{b}-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a}\right) X^{c}=R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} X^{d}
$$

satisfies

$$
\left.R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=R_{[a b]}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \quad R_{[a b}{ }^{c} d\right]=0 \quad R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} J_{c e}=R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{e} J_{c d}
$$

and enjoys the following decomposition into irreducible parts

$$
R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}+\delta_{a}{ }^{c} \mathrm{P}_{b d}-\delta_{b}{ }^{c} \mathrm{P}_{a d}+J_{a d} \mathrm{P}_{b e} J^{c e}-J_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{a e} J^{c e}+2 J_{a b} \mathrm{P}_{d e} J^{c e}
$$

for some symmetric $\mathrm{P}_{a b}$, where $V_{a b}{ }^{a}{ }_{d}=0$ (reflecting the branching

of representations under $\mathrm{GL}(2 n, \mathbb{R}) \supset \operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R}))$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{b d}=\frac{1}{2(n+1)} R_{a b}{ }^{a}{ }_{d}=\frac{1}{4(n+1)} J^{a e} R_{a e}{ }^{c}{ }_{b} J_{c d} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the standard tractor bundle to be the rank $2 n+2$ vector bundle $\mathcal{T} \equiv$ $\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda^{1} \oplus \Lambda^{0}$ with its tractor connection

$$
\nabla_{a}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla_{a} \sigma-\mu_{a} \\
\nabla_{a} \mu_{b}+J_{a b} \rho+\mathrm{P}_{a b} \sigma \\
\nabla_{a} \rho-\mathrm{P}_{a b} J^{b c} \mu_{c}+S_{a} \sigma
\end{array}\right], \text { where } S_{a} \equiv \frac{1}{2 n+1} J^{b c} \nabla_{c} \mathrm{P}_{a b}
$$

Readers familiar with conformal differential geometry may recognise the form of this connection as following the tractor connection in that setting [1]. If needs be, we shall write symplectic tractor connection to distinguish the connection just defined from any alternatives. We shall need the following curvature identities.

Lemma 2. Let $Y_{a b c} \equiv \frac{1}{2 n+1} \nabla{ }_{c} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{a b c}=2 \nabla_{[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c}-2 J_{c[a} S_{b]}+2 J_{a b} S_{c} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} Y_{b c d}= & J^{a d} V_{b c}{ }^{e}{ }_{a} \mathrm{P}_{e d}+4 n\left(J^{a d} \mathrm{P}_{b a} \mathrm{P}_{c d}-\nabla_{[b} S_{c]}\right) \\
& +2 J_{b c} J^{a d}\left(\nabla_{a} S_{d}-J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{a e} \mathrm{P}_{d f}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Writing the Bianchi identity $\nabla_{[a} R_{b c]}{ }^{d}{ }_{e}=0$ in terms of $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{a b}$ yields

$$
\nabla_{[a} V_{b c]}{ }^{d} e=-2 \delta_{[b}{ }^{d} \nabla_{a} \mathrm{P}_{c] e}+2 J^{d f} J_{e[b} \nabla_{a} \mathrm{P}_{c] f}-2 J^{d f} J_{[b c} \nabla_{a]} \mathrm{P}_{e f} .
$$

and contracting over $a^{d}$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3} \nabla_{a} V_{b c}{ }^{a}{ }_{e}=\frac{4(n-1)}{3} \nabla_{[b} \mathrm{P}_{c] e} & +\frac{2}{3}\left[\nabla_{[b} \mathrm{P}_{c] e}-(2 n+1) J_{e[b} S_{c]}\right] \\
& +\frac{2}{3}\left[(2 n+1) J_{b c} S_{e}+2 \nabla_{[b} \mathrm{P}_{c] e}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

which is easily rearranged as (13). For (14), firstly notice that

$$
J^{a d} R_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{d}=J^{e d} R_{a b}{ }^{a}{ }_{d}=2(n+1) J^{e d} \mathrm{P}_{b d}
$$

and the Bianchi symmetry may be written as $R_{a[b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c]}=-\frac{1}{2} R_{b c}{ }^{e}{ }_{a}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \mathrm{P}_{c d} & =\nabla_{b} J^{a d} \nabla_{a} \mathrm{P}_{c d}-J^{a d} R_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{e d}-J^{a d} R_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{d} \mathrm{P}_{c e} \\
& =-(2 n+1) \nabla_{b} S_{c}-J^{a d} R_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{e d}+2(n+1) J^{d e} \mathrm{P}_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{c e}
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{[b} \mathrm{P}_{c] d}=-(2 n+1) \nabla_{[b} S_{c]}+\frac{1}{2} J^{a d} R_{b c}{ }_{a}^{e} \mathrm{P}_{e d}+2(n+1) J^{d e} \mathrm{P}_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{c e}
$$

From (13) we see that

$$
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} Y_{b c d}=2 J^{a d} \nabla_{a} \nabla_{[b} \mathrm{P}_{c] d}+2 \nabla_{[b} S_{c]}+2 J_{b c} J^{a d} \nabla_{a} S_{d}
$$

Therefore,

$$
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} Y_{b c d}=J^{a d} R_{b c}{ }^{e}{ }_{a} \mathrm{P}_{e d}-4 n \nabla_{[b} S_{c]}+4(n+1) J^{d e} \mathrm{P}_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{c e}+2 J_{b c} J^{a d} \nabla_{a} S_{d}
$$

Finally,

$$
J^{a d} R_{b c}{ }_{a}^{e}{ }^{1} \mathrm{P}_{e d}=J^{a d} V_{b c}{ }^{e}{ }_{a} \mathrm{P}_{e d}-4 J^{a d} \mathrm{P}_{b a} \mathrm{P}_{c d}-2 J_{b c} J^{a d} J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{a e} \mathrm{P}_{d f},
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{a d} \nabla_{a} Y_{b c d}= & J^{a d} V_{b c}{ }^{e}{ }_{a} \mathrm{P}_{e d}+4 n J^{a d} \mathrm{P}_{b a} \mathrm{P}_{c d}-2 J_{b c} J^{a d} J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{a e} \mathrm{P}_{d f} \\
& -4 n \nabla_{[b} S_{c]}+2 J_{b c} J^{a d} \nabla_{a} S_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be rearranged as (14).
Proposition 1. The tractor connection $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ preserves the non-degenerate skew form

$$
\left\langle\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\sigma} \\
\tilde{\mu}_{c} \\
\tilde{\rho}
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle \equiv \sigma \tilde{\rho}+J^{b c} \mu_{b} \tilde{\mu}_{c}-\rho \tilde{\sigma}
$$

and its curvature is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{a} \nabla_{a}-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{d} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \mu_{c}+Y_{a b d} \sigma \\
-Y_{a b c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{2 n}\left(J^{c d} V_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{d e}-J^{c d} \nabla_{c} Y_{a b d}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right] } \\
& +2 J_{a b}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\rho \\
S_{c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{2 n} J^{c d}\left(\nabla_{c} S_{d}-J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{c e} \mathrm{P}_{d f}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We expand

$$
\left\langle\nabla_{a}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\sigma} \\
\tilde{\mu}_{c} \\
\tilde{\rho}
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle+\left\langle\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right], \nabla_{a}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\tilde{\sigma} \\
\tilde{\mu}_{c} \\
\tilde{\rho}
\end{array}\right]\right\rangle
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{a} \sigma-\mu_{a}\right) \tilde{\rho} & +\sigma\left(\nabla \tilde{\rho}-\mathrm{P}_{a b} J^{b c} \tilde{\mu}_{c}+S_{a} \tilde{\sigma}\right) \\
& +J^{b c}\left(\nabla_{a} \mu_{b}+J_{a b} \rho+\mathrm{P}_{a b} \sigma\right) \tilde{\mu}_{c}+J^{b c} \mu_{b}\left(\nabla_{a} \tilde{\mu}_{c}+J_{a c} \tilde{\rho}+\mathrm{P}_{a c} \tilde{\sigma}\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{a} \rho-\mathrm{P}_{a b} J^{b c} \mu_{c}+S_{a} \sigma\right) \tilde{\sigma}-\rho\left(\nabla_{a} \tilde{\sigma}-\tilde{\mu}_{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

in which all terms cancel save for

$$
\left(\nabla_{a} \sigma\right) \tilde{\rho}+\sigma \nabla \tilde{\rho}+J^{b c}\left(\nabla_{a} \mu_{b}\right) \tilde{\mu}_{c}+J^{b c} \mu_{b} \nabla_{a} \tilde{\mu}_{c}-\left(\nabla_{a} \rho\right) \tilde{\sigma}-\rho \nabla_{a} \tilde{\sigma}
$$

which reduces to

$$
\nabla_{a}\left(\sigma \tilde{\rho}+J^{b c} \mu_{b} \tilde{\mu}_{c}-\rho \tilde{\sigma}\right),
$$

as required. For the curvature, we readily compute

$$
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{d} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \sigma-J_{b a} \rho \\
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \mu_{d}+J_{d[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c} J^{c e} \mu_{e}-\mathrm{P}_{d[a} \mu_{b]}+T_{a b d} \sigma \\
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \rho-T_{a b c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\left(\nabla_{[a} S_{b]}-J^{c d} \mathrm{P}_{a c} \mathrm{P}_{b d}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $T_{a b c} \equiv \nabla_{[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c}-J_{c[a} S_{b]}$. Lemma 2 however, states that

$$
T_{a b c}=\frac{1}{2} Y_{a b c}-J_{a b} S_{c}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 n\left(\nabla_{[a} S_{b]}-J^{c d} \mathrm{P}_{a c} \mathrm{P}_{b d}\right)= & J^{c d} V_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{d e}-J^{c d} \nabla_{c} Y_{a b d} \\
& +2 J_{a b} J^{c d}\left(\nabla_{c} S_{d}-J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{c e} \mathrm{P}_{d f}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{d} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \mu_{d}+J_{d[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c} J^{c e} \mu_{e}-\mathrm{P}_{d[a} \mu_{b]}+\frac{1}{2} Y_{a b d} \sigma \\
-\frac{1}{2} Y_{a b c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{4 n}\left(J^{c d} V_{a b}{ }^{e}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{d e}-J^{c d} \nabla_{c} Y_{a b d}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right] } \\
& +J_{a b}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\rho \\
S_{c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{2 n} J^{c d}\left(\nabla_{c} S_{d}-J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{c e} \mathrm{P}_{d f}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \mu_{c}=V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \mu_{c}-2 \mathrm{P}_{d[a} \mu_{b]}+2 J_{d[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c} J^{c e} \mu_{e}+2 J_{a b} \mathrm{P}_{d e} J^{c e} \mu_{c},
$$

so

$$
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \mu_{d}+J_{d[a} \mathrm{P}_{b] c} J^{c e} \mu_{e}-\mathrm{P}_{d[a} \mu_{b]}=-\frac{1}{2} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \mu_{c}-J_{a b} \mathrm{P}_{d e} J^{c e} \mu_{c}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{[a} \nabla_{b]}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{d} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]= & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
-\frac{1}{2} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \mu_{c}+\frac{1}{2} Y_{a b d} \sigma \\
-\frac{1}{2} Y_{a b c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{4 n}\left(J^{c d} V_{a b}{ }^{2}{ }_{c} \mathrm{P}_{d e}-J^{c d} \nabla_{c} Y_{a b d}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right] } \\
& +J_{a b}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\rho \\
S_{c} J^{c d} \mu_{d}+\frac{1}{2 n} J^{c d}\left(\nabla_{c} S_{d}-J^{e f} \mathrm{P}_{c e} \mathrm{P}_{d f}\right) \sigma
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

Corollary 1. The tractor connection is symplectically flat if and only if the curvature tensor $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ vanishes.

## 4. KÄHLER GEOMETRY

Kähler manifolds provide a familiar source of symplectic manifolds equipped with a compatible torsion-free connection as in $\S 3$ In this case, the connection $\nabla_{a}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of a metric $g_{a b}$ and $J_{a}{ }^{b} \equiv J_{a c} g^{b c}$ is an almost complex structure on $M$ whose integrability is equivalent to the vanishing of $\nabla_{a} J_{b c}$. In Kähler geometry, the Riemann curvature tensor decomposes into three irreducible parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=U_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} \\
& \quad+\delta_{a}{ }^{c} \Xi_{b d}-\delta_{b}{ }^{c} \Xi_{a d}-g_{a d} \Xi_{b}{ }^{c}+g_{b d} \Xi_{a}{ }^{c} \\
& \quad+J_{a}{ }^{c} \Sigma_{b d}-J_{b}{ }^{c} \Sigma_{a d}-J_{a d} \Sigma_{b}{ }^{c}+J_{b d} \Sigma_{a}{ }^{c}+2 J_{a b} \Sigma^{c}{ }_{d}+2 J^{c}{ }_{d} \Sigma_{a b}  \tag{15}\\
& \quad+\Lambda\left(\delta_{a}{ }^{c} g_{b d}-\delta_{b}{ }^{c} g_{a d}+J_{a}{ }^{c} J_{b d}-J_{b}{ }^{c} J_{a d}+2 J_{a b} J^{c}{ }_{d}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where indices have been raised using $g^{a b}$ and

- $U_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ is totally trace-free with respect to $g^{a b}, J_{a}{ }^{b}$, and $J^{a b}$,
- $\Xi_{a b}$ is trace-free symmetric whilst $\Sigma_{a b} \equiv J_{a}{ }^{c} \Xi_{b c}$ is skew.

Computing the Ricci curvature from this decomposition, we find

$$
R_{b d} \equiv R_{a b}{ }^{a}{ }_{d}=2(n+2) \Xi_{b d}+2(n+1) \Lambda g_{b d}
$$

and therefore from (12) conclude that

$$
\mathrm{P}_{a b}=\frac{n+2}{n+1} \Xi_{a b}+\Lambda g_{a b}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{c}{ }^{a} R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d} & =J_{c}{ }^{a} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}-J_{b d} \mathrm{P}_{a}{ }^{a}-2 J_{b}{ }^{a} \mathrm{P}_{d a} \\
& =J_{c}{ }^{a} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}-2 \frac{n+2}{n+1} \Sigma_{b d}-2(n+1) \Lambda J_{b d}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, from we find

$$
J_{c}{ }^{a} R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=-2(n+2) \Sigma_{b d}-2(n+1) \Lambda J_{b d}
$$

and, comparing these two expressions gives

$$
J_{c}{ }^{a} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}-2 \frac{n+2}{n+1} \Sigma_{b d}=-2(n+2) \Sigma_{b d}
$$

and we have established the following.
Proposition 2. Concerning the symplectic curvature decomposition on a Kähler manifold,

$$
J_{c}{ }^{a} V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=-2 \frac{n(n+2)}{n+1} \Sigma_{b d} .
$$

Corollary 2. The symplectic tractor connection on a Kähler manifold is symplectically flat if and only if the metric has constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. According to Corollary 1 we have to interpret the constraint $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=0$ in the Kähler case. From (15) it is already clear that $U_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=0$ and Proposition 2 implies that also $\Sigma_{a b}=0$ so (15) reduces to

$$
R_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=\Lambda\left(\delta_{a}{ }^{c} g_{b d}-\delta_{b}{ }^{c} g_{a d}+J_{a}{ }^{c} J_{b d}-J_{b}{ }^{c} J_{a d}+2 J_{a b} J^{c}{ }_{d}\right),
$$

which is exactly the constancy of holomorphic sectional curvature.

## 5. BGG-LIKE COMPLEXES ON $\mathbb{C P}_{n}$

Fix a real vector space $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ of dimension $2 n$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ denotes its dual, and fix a non-degenerate 2 -form $J_{a b} \in \wedge^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{1}$. The $(2 n+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra may be realised as

$$
\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1},
$$

where the first summand is the 1-dimensional centre of $\mathfrak{h}$ and the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is given by

$$
[X, Y]=2 J_{a b} X^{a} Y^{b} \in \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}
$$

We should admit right away that the reason for this seemingly arcane notation is that we shall soon have occasion to write

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{s p}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})=\underset{\mathbb{R}}{\mathfrak{g}_{-2}} \oplus & \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus & \mathfrak{g}_{0} & \mathfrak{s p}^{(2 n, \mathbb{R})} \oplus  \tag{16}\\
\mathfrak{g}_{1} & \oplus & \mathfrak{g}_{2} \\
\mathbb{R}
\end{array}
$$

(a $|2|$-graded Lie algebra as in [8, §4.2.6]) and, in particular, regard $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}=$ $\mathfrak{g}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s p}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$. Be that as it may, let us suppose that $\mathbb{V}$ is a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{h}$. The Lie algebra cohomology $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{V})$ may be realised as the cohomology of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \wedge^{r} \mathfrak{h}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \wedge^{r+1} \mathfrak{h}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \cdots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

as, for example, in [13, Chapter IV]. We shall require, however, the following alternative realisation.

Lemma 3. There is a complex

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{V} \stackrel{\partial}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V} \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\rightleftarrows} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\perp}} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\underset{~}{\longleftrightarrow}} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V}  \tag{18}\\
& 0 \leftarrow \mathbb{V} \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\rightleftarrows} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V} \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\rightleftarrows} \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V} \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\rightleftarrows} \cdots \stackrel{\partial_{\perp}}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\rightleftarrows} \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \otimes \mathbb{V}}
\end{align*}
$$

whose cohomology realises $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{V})$. Here, we are writing

$$
\wedge_{\perp}^{r} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \equiv\left\{\omega_{a b c \cdots d} \in \wedge^{r} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \mid J^{a b} \omega_{a b c \cdots d}=0\right\}
$$

where $J^{a b} \in \Lambda^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ is the inverse of $J_{a b} \in \Lambda^{2} \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ (let's say normalised so that $\left.J_{a b} J^{a c}=\delta_{b}{ }^{c}\right)$.

Proof. Notice that any representation $\rho: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{V})$ is determined by its restriction to $\mathfrak{g}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Indeed, writing $\partial_{a}: \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{V})$ for this restriction, to say that $\rho$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ is to say that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\partial_{a} \partial_{b}-\partial_{b} \partial_{a}\right) v & =2 J_{a b} \theta v  \tag{19}\\
\left(\partial_{a} \theta-\theta \partial_{a}\right) v & =0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{V},
$$

where $\theta \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{V})$ is $\rho(1)$ for $1 \in \mathbb{R} \subset \mathfrak{h}$.
The splitting $\mathfrak{h}^{*}=\mathfrak{g}_{1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ allows us to write 17) as

where the differentials are given by

$$
v \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{a} v \\
\theta v
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
\phi_{a} \\
\eta
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{[a} \phi_{b]}-J_{a b} \eta \\
\partial_{a} \eta-\theta \phi_{a}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}
\omega_{a b} \\
\psi_{a}
\end{array}\right] \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{[a} \omega_{b c]}+J_{[a b} \psi_{c]} \\
\partial_{[a} \psi_{b]}+\theta \omega_{a b}
\end{array}\right]
$$

et cetera. In particular, notice that the homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge^{r-1} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \ni \psi \longmapsto \pm J \wedge \psi \in \wedge^{r+1} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

are

- independent of the representation on $\mathbb{V}$,
- injective for $1 \leq r<n$,
- an isomorphism for $r=n$,
- surjective for $n<r \leq 2 n-1$.

Note that $\wedge_{\perp}^{r+1} \mathfrak{g}_{1}$ is complementary to the image of 21 for $1 \leq r<n$. Also note the isomorphisms

$$
\wedge^{2 n+1-r} \mathfrak{g}_{1} \xrightarrow{J \wedge J \wedge \cdots \wedge J} \wedge^{r-1} \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \quad \text { for } n<r \leq 2 n+1
$$

under which the kernel of (21) may be identified with

$$
\wedge_{\perp}^{2 n+1-r} \mathfrak{g}_{1}, \quad \text { for } n<r \leq 2 n-1
$$

Diagram chasing in (20) (or the spectral sequence of a filtered complex) finishes the proof.
Remark. Evidently, the equations (19) are algebraic versions of

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left(\nabla_{a} \nabla_{b}-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a}\right) \Sigma & =2 J_{a b} \Theta \Sigma \\
\left(\nabla_{a} \Theta-\Theta \nabla_{a}\right) \Sigma & =0
\end{array}\right\} \quad \forall \Sigma \in \Gamma(E)
$$

which hold for a symplectically flat connection $\nabla_{a}$ on smooth vector bundle $E$ on $M$. Also (20) is the evident algebraic counterpart to the differential complex of Lemma 1 It follows that explicit formulæ for the operators $\partial_{\perp}$ in the complex 18) follow the differential versions (2) and (3) with $\wedge_{\perp}^{n} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{V}$ being given by $\partial_{\perp}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \theta$.

Let us now consider the tractor connection on $\mathbb{C P}_{n}$. According to Theorem 2 , the remarks following its statement, and the discussions in $\S 3$ this is the connection on $\mathcal{T}=\Lambda^{0} \oplus \Lambda^{1} \oplus \Lambda^{0}$ given by

$$
\nabla_{a}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla_{a} \sigma-\mu_{a} \\
\nabla_{a} \mu_{b}+J_{a b} \rho+g_{a b} \sigma \\
\nabla_{a} \rho-J_{a}{ }^{b} \mu_{b}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla_{a} \sigma \\
\nabla_{a} \mu_{b}+g_{a b} \sigma \\
\nabla_{a} \rho-J_{a}{ }^{b} \mu_{b}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
-\mu_{a} \\
J_{a b} \rho \\
0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The induced operator $\nabla: \wedge^{1} \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \wedge^{2} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{b} \\
\mu_{b c} \\
\rho_{b}
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla_{[a} \sigma_{b]} \\
\nabla_{[a} \mu_{b] c}+g_{c[a} \sigma_{b]} \\
\nabla_{[a} \rho_{b]}-J_{[a}{ }_{[a} \mu_{b] c}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{[a b]} \\
-J_{c[a} \rho_{b]} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

but Corollary 2 says the tractor connection on $\mathbb{C P}_{n}$ is symplectically flat so we should contemplate $\nabla_{\perp}: \wedge^{1} \otimes \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ from Theorem 1 viz.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{b} \\
\mu_{b c} \\
\rho_{b}
\end{array}\right] \longmapsto\left[\begin{array}{c}
\nabla_{[a} \sigma_{b]}-\frac{1}{2 n} J^{c d} \nabla_{c} \sigma_{d} J_{a b} \\
\cdots \\
\cdots
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mu_{[a b]}-\frac{1}{2 n} J^{c d} \mu_{c d} J_{a b} \\
-J_{c[a} \rho_{b]}-\frac{1}{2 n} \rho_{c} J_{a b} \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

From these formulæ, let us focus attention on the homomorphisms

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlcccc}
0 \rightarrow & \rightarrow & \wedge^{1} \otimes \mathcal{T} & \rightarrow & \wedge_{\perp}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{T} & \rightarrow
\end{array}\right]
$$

It is evident that this is a complex and that its cohomology so far is

$$
\wedge^{0} \text { in degree } 0 \text { and } \bigodot^{2} \wedge^{1} \text { in degree } 1
$$

On the other hand, one may check that the defining representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s p}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ restricts via 16 to a representation of the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, given explicitly by

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathbb{R}^{2 n+2} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbb{R}^{2 n+2} & \text { and } & \mathbb{R}^{2 n+2} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{a}} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]} & \longmapsto & {\left[\begin{array}{l}
\rho \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} & & {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]} & \longmapsto
\end{array}
$$

(noticing that equations (19) hold, as they must). We may also find $\theta$ as part of the curvature of the tractor connection on $\mathbb{C P}_{n}$. Specifically, the formula from Proposition 1 reduces to

$$
\left(\nabla_{a} \nabla_{a}-\nabla_{b} \nabla_{a}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma  \tag{23}\\
\mu_{d} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]=2 J_{a b}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\rho \\
J_{d}{ }^{e} \mu_{e} \\
-\sigma
\end{array}\right]
$$

and we find $\theta$ as the top component of $\Theta: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ where $\Theta$ is defined by (5). If we now consider the entire complex from Theorem 1 with filtration induced by

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\wedge^{0} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]} & \subset & \wedge^{1} \oplus \wedge^{0} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]} & \subset \wedge^{0} \oplus \wedge^{1} \oplus \wedge^{0}=\mathcal{T} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma \\
\mu_{b} \\
\rho
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}
$$

of $\mathcal{T}$, then the associated spectral sequence (or corresponding diagram chasing) yields 22 continuing as in (18) including the middle operator $\nabla_{\perp}^{2}-\frac{2}{n} \theta: \wedge_{\perp}^{n} \rightarrow \wedge_{\perp}^{n}$. The same reasoning pertains for any Fedosov structure with $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=0$ as in Corollary 1 Evidently, this sequence of vector bundle homomorphisms is induced by the complex (18) and, together with Lemma 3 the spectral sequence of a filtered complex (or the appropriate diagram chasing) immediately yields the following.
Theorem 3. Suppose $\nabla_{a}$ is a torsion-free connection on a symplectic manifold $\left(M, J_{a b}\right)$, such that $\nabla_{a} J_{b c}=0$ and so that the corresponding curvature tensor $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}$ vanishes. Fix a finite-dimensional representation $\mathbb{E}$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$ and let $E$ denote the associated 'tractor bundle' induced from the standard tractor bundle and the representation $\mathbb{E}$ (so that the standard representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+2}$ yields the standard tractor bundle). In accordance with Corollary 1 , the induced 'tractor connection' $\nabla: E \rightarrow \wedge^{1} \otimes E$ is symplectically flat and we may define $\Theta: E \rightarrow E$ by (5). Having done this, there are complexes of differential operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow H^{0}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \quad \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H^{n}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \\
& 0 \leftarrow H^{2 n+1}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \leftarrow H^{2 n}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \leftarrow H^{2 n-1}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{h}, E)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, E)$ denotes the tensor bundle on $M$ that is induced by the cohomology $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{E})$ as a representation of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$. This complex is locally exact except near the beginning where

$$
\text { ker }: H^{0}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\operatorname{ker}: H^{1}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \rightarrow H^{2}(\mathfrak{h}, E)}{\operatorname{im}: H^{0}(\mathfrak{h}, E) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathfrak{h}, E)}
$$

may be identified with the locally constant sheaves $\operatorname{ker} \Theta$ and coker $\Theta$, respectively. In particular, for $\mathbb{C P}_{n}$ with its Fubini-Study connection, these sheaves vanish and the complex is locally exact everywhere.

Proof. It remains only to observe that for the Fubini-Study connection we see from (23) that $\Theta: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is an isomorphism.
The main point about Theorem 3, however, is that if the representation $\mathbb{E}$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$ is irreducible, then the representations $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{E})$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ are also irreducible and are computed by a theorem due to Kostant 14 . Specifically, if we denote the irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n+2, \mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ by writing the highest weight as a linear combination of fundamental weights and recording the coefficients over the corresponding nodes of the Dynkin diagrams for $C_{n+1}$ and $C_{n}$, as is often done, then Kostant's Theorem says that

and for $r \geq n+1$, there are isomorphisms $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{E})=H^{2 n+1-r}(\mathfrak{h}, \mathbb{E})$. Using the same notation for the bundles $H^{r}(\mathfrak{h}, E)$, the complexes of Theorem 3 become

for arbitrary non-negative integers $a, b, c, d, \cdots, e, f$. When all these integers are zero, this is the Rumin-Seshadri complex. Just the first three terms in this complex, in the special case when only $a$ is non-zero, are already essential in [10. For example, if $a=1$, then the first two differential operators are

$$
\sigma \mapsto \nabla_{a} \nabla_{b} \sigma+\mathrm{P}_{a b} \sigma \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{b c} \mapsto\left(\nabla_{a} \phi_{b c}-\nabla_{b} \phi_{a c}\right)_{\perp}
$$

where $\phi_{b c}$ is symmetric and ()$_{\perp}$ means to take the trace-free part with respect to $J_{a b}$. From the curvature decomposition and Bianchi identity we find that their composition is

$$
\sigma \longmapsto V_{a b}{ }^{d}{ }_{c} \nabla_{d} \sigma+Y_{a b c} \sigma,
$$

which vanishes in case $V_{a b}{ }^{c}{ }_{d}=0$. In case $\Theta$ is invertible, as for the Fubini-Study connection, we conclude that this sequence of differential operators is locally exact.
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