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#### Abstract

We study the notion of strong $r$-stability for the context of closed hypersurfaces $\Sigma^{n}(n \geq 3)$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}$ immersed into the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, where $r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. In this setting, under a suitable restriction on the $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$, we establish that there are no $r$-strongly stable closed hypersurfaces immersed in a certain region of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, a region that is determined by a totally umbilical sphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. We also provide a rigidity result for such hypersurfaces.


## 1. Introduction and statements of the results

The notion of stability concerning closed hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Riemannian manifolds was first studied by Barbosa and do Carmo in [8], and Barbosa, do Carmo and Eschenburg in [9, where they proved that geodesic spheres are the only stable critical points in a simply connected space form of the area functional for volume-preserving variations. On the other hand, with respect to the notion of strong stability related to constant mean curvature closed hypersurfaces (that is, for all variations, not necessarily volume-preserving variations), it is well known that there are no strongly stable closed hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (for instance, see [3, Section 2]). Following the same direction, the author together with Aquino, de Lima and dos Santos obtained in [6] an extension of this result when the space form is either the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. More precisely, they proved that there does not exist a strongly stable closed hypersurface with constant mean curvature $H$ immersed in either $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ and such that its total umbilicity operator $\Phi$ satisfies the condition

$$
|\Phi| \leq \frac{2 \sqrt{n(n-1)}\left(H^{2}+c\right)}{(n-2)|H|},
$$

[^0]where $c=0$ or $c=-1$ according to the space form be $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$, respectively. When $n=2$ they also showed that there does not exist strongly stable closed surface with constant mean curvature immersed in either $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ or $\mathbb{H}^{3}$.

In [1], Alencar, do Carmo and Colares extended the results of [8] and [9] to the context of closed hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in a space form. More specifically, they showed that closed hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature of a space form are the critical points of the so-called 1-area functional for volume-preserving variations and, for the case $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, they also proved that a closed hypersurface with constant scalar curvature is stable if and only if it is a geodesic sphere. More recently Alías, Brasil and Sousa 4 and Cheng [12] have studied the notion of strong stability of closed hypersurfaces with constant (normalized) scalar curvature $R$ immersed into $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, where they obtained characterizations of the Clifford torus via some estimates of the first eigenvalue of stability when $R=1$ and $R>1$, respectively.

The natural generalization of mean and scalar curvatures for an $n$-dimensional hypersurface of space forms are the $r$-th mean curvatures $H_{r}$, for $r \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$, where $H_{0}$ is identically equal to 1 by definition. In fact, $H_{1}$ is just the mean curvature $H$ and $H_{2}$ defines a geometric quantity which is related to the scalar curvature.

In [7], Barbosa and Colares studied the notion of $r$-stability (see item (a) of Remark 2 to understand this concept) for closed hypersurfaces immersed with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$, in space forms. In this setting, they showed that such hypersurfaces in a simply connected space form are $r$-stable if and only if they are geodesic spheres. Moreover, in [14], the author and de Lima were able to establish another characterization result concerning $r$-stability through the analysis of the first eigenvalue of an operator naturally attached to the $r$-th mean curvature.

Motivated by all the work described above, a question appears naturally:
Are there closed hypersurfaces which are strongly $r$-stable with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ ?

With the intention of addressing this issue and seeking a possible answer (affirmative or not), we can slightly change our question and propose the new question:

On what conditions is it possible to guarantee the existence (or nonexistence) of hypersupefaces with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}$, $r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, that are strongly $r$-stable?

Our proposal here is to investigate the strong $r$-stability concerning closed hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in$ $\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, immersed into the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, with $n \geq 3$ (see Definition 1). For this, in Section 2 we recorded some main facts about the hypersurfaces immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and in Section 3 we describe the variational problem that gives rise to the notion of strong $r$-stability. Next, initially we prove that geodesic spheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ are strongly $r$-stable (see Proposition 2), which provides an affirmative answer to our first question. Afterwards, to achieve our goals,
we make use of the Riemannian warped product $(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}, \tau \in(0, \pi)$, which models a certain open region $\Omega^{n+1}$ of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (see equations (4.1), 4.2) and 4.3) and, in Proposition 3 we calculate the differential operator $L_{r}$ (associated with the variational problem that defines the notion of strong $r$-stability) acting on an support function $\xi$ (see equation (4.9) naturally attached to a hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, immersed in $\Omega^{n+1}$. Then, under a suitable restriction on $H_{r}$ and $H_{r+1}$, we use the formula of $L_{r}(\xi)$ to show that if a closed hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is strongly $r$-stable, then it must be a geodesic sphere contained in the closure of the upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\frac{\pi}{4}$ (for a better understanding of this region, we recommend the reader to see Definition 2p, which provides a partial converse of Proposition 2. More specifically, we have established the following rigidity result for strongly $r$-stable hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ :

Theorem 1. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ be a strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurface with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. If the $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$ of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}$ obeys the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r+1} \geq H_{r} \geq 1 \quad \text { on } \Sigma^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is isometric to a geodesic sphere contained in the closure of the upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$.

The motivation to assume the hypothesis (1.1) in Theorem 1 is described in Remark 3, while the restrictions $r \neq\{0, n-1, n\}$ are explained in item (b) of Remark 2 As an immediate consequence of this result, we establish a result of nonexistence for strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurfaces immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, which can be understood as an answer to our second question.

Theorem 2. There is no strongly r-stable closed hypersurface $\Sigma^{n}(n \geq 3)$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, r+2\}$, immersed into the lower domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$, with $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$ satisfying the inequality $H_{r+1} \geq H_{r} \geq 1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$.

From our results listed above we can conclude that the region of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that contains the set of closed hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, which are strongly $r$-stable and whose $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$ satisfies the condition (1.1), is small. It is in this configuration that our results can be understood as a half-space type property of strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurfaces in the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (cf. Remark 4).

Finally, in Corollary 1 and 2 we write Theorems 1 and 2 for the case of closed hypersurfaces immersed into $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant (normalized) scalar curvature $R$. The proofs of the main results of this work is carried out in Section 4

## 2. Background

Unless stated otherwise, all manifold considered on this work will be connected, while closed means compact without boundary. Let $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ be the ( $n+1$ )-dimensional

Euclidean sphere. We will consider immersions $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of closed orientable hypersurfaces $\Sigma^{n}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. In this setting, we denote by $d \Sigma$ the volume element with respect to the metric induced by $\psi, C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ the ring of real functions of class $C^{\infty}$ defined on $\Sigma^{n}$ and by $\mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ the $C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$-module of vector fields of class $C^{\infty}$ on $\Sigma^{n}$. Since $\Sigma^{n}$ is orientable, one can choose a globally defined unit normal vector field $N$ on $\Sigma^{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A: \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right) & \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right) \\
Y & \mapsto A(Y)=-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} N . \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

denote the shape operator with respect to $N$, so that, at each $q \in \Sigma^{n}, A$ restricts to a self-adjoint linear map $A_{q}: T_{q} \Sigma \rightarrow T_{q} \Sigma$.

According to the ideas established by Reilly [16], for $1 \leq r \leq n$, if we let $S_{r}(q)$ denote the $r$-th elementary symmetric function on the eigenvalues of $A_{q}$, we get $n$ functions $S_{r} \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{det}(t I-A)=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} S_{r} t^{n-r},
$$

where $I: \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is the identity operator and $S_{0}=1$ by definition. If $q \in \Sigma^{n}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{q} \Sigma$ formed by eigenvectors of $A_{q}$, with corresponding eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$, one immediately sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}=\sigma_{r}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{r} \in \mathbb{R}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ is the $r$-th elementary symmetric polynomial on the indeterminates $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

For $1 \leq r \leq n$, one defines the $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$ (also called higher order mean curvature) of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{r} H_{r}=S_{r}=S_{r}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $r=1$,

$$
H_{1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k}=H
$$

is the mean curvature of the hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, which is the main extrinsic curvature. When $r=2, H_{2}$ defines a geometric quantity which is related to the (intrinsic) normalized scalar curvature $R$ of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. More precisely, it follows from the Gauss equation of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=1+H_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also define (cf. [16, Section 1]), for $0 \leq r \leq n$, the so-called $r$-th Newton transformation $P_{r}: \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ by setting $P_{0}=I$ and, for $1 \leq r \leq n$, via the recurrence relation

$$
P_{r}=S_{r} I-A P_{r-1} .
$$

A trivial induction shows that

$$
P_{r}=S_{r} I-S_{r-1} A+S_{r-2} A^{2}-\cdots+(-1)^{r} A^{r}
$$

so that Cayley-Hamilton theorem gives $P_{n}=0$. Moreover, since $P_{r}$ is a polynomial in $A$ for every $r$, it is also self-adjoint and commutes with $A$. Therefore, all bases of $T_{p}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ diagonalizing $A$ at $p \in \Sigma^{n}$ also diagonalize all of the $P_{r}$ at $p$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be such a basis. Denoting by $A_{i}$ the restriction of $A$ to $\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle^{\perp} \subset T_{p}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$, it is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(t I-A_{i}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} S_{j}\left(A_{i}\right) t^{n-1-j}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}\left(A_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots j_{m} \leq n \\ j_{1}, \ldots, j_{m} \neq i}} \lambda_{j_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{j_{m}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the above notations, it is also immediate to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)=S_{r}\left(A_{i}\right) e_{i}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence (cf. [7] Lemma 2.1])

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{r}\right)=(n-r) S_{r}=b_{r} H_{r}  \tag{2.7}\\
\operatorname{tr}\left(A P_{r}\right)=(r+1) S_{r+1}=b_{r} H_{r+1} ; \\
\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{2} P_{r}\right)=S_{1} S_{r+1}-(r+2) S_{r+2}=n \frac{b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b_{r}=(r+1)\binom{n}{r+1}=(n-r)\binom{n}{r}$.
Associated to each Newton transformation $P_{r}$ one has the second order linear differential operator $L_{r}: C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right) \rightarrow C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r}(f)=\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{r} \text { Hess } f\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observed that $L_{0}=\Delta$, the Laplacian operator on $\Sigma^{n}$, and $L_{1}=\square$, the Yau's square operator on $\Sigma^{n}$ (cf. [13, Equation (1.7)]).

## 3. The variational problem

For a closed orientable hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ as in the previous section, a variation of it is a smooth mapping $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ such that, for every $t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, the map

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
X_{t}: \Sigma^{n} & \leftrightarrow & \mathbb{S}^{n+1} \\
q & \mapsto & X_{t}(q)=X(t, q) \tag{3.1}
\end{array}
$$

is an immersion, with $X_{0}=x$. In what follows, we let $d \Sigma_{t}$ denote the volume element of the metric induced on $\Sigma^{n}$ by $X_{t}$, and $N_{t}$ will stand for the unit normal vector field along $X_{t}$.

The variational field associated to the variation $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is $\left.\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{X}\left(X\left((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n}\right)\right)$. Letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}=\left\langle\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}, N_{t}\right\rangle \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}=f_{t} N_{t}+\left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}\right)^{\top}
$$

where $(\cdot)^{\top}$ stands for the tangential component.
The balance of volume of the variation $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is the functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
t & \mapsto \\
& \mathcal{V}(t)=\int_{\Sigma^{n} \times[0, t]} X^{*}(d V)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we say that $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is a volume-preserving variation for $x: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ if $\mathcal{V}(t)=\mathcal{V}(0)=0$, for all $t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Moreover, following [7], we define the $r$-th area functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{r}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
t & \mapsto \quad \mathcal{A}_{r}(t)=\int_{\Sigma^{n}} F_{r}\left(S_{1}(t), S_{2}(t), \ldots, S_{r}(t)\right) d \Sigma_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{r}(t)=S_{r}(t, \cdot)$ is the $r$-th elementary symmetric fuunction of $\Sigma^{n}$ via the immersion (3.1) and $F_{r}$ is recursively defined by setting $F_{0}=1, F_{1}=S_{1}(t)$ and, for $2 \leq r \leq n-1$,

$$
F_{r}=S_{r}(t)+\frac{(n-r+1)}{r-1} F_{r-2} .
$$

The following lemma is well known and can be found in [7].
Lemma 1. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ be a closed hypersurface. If $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is a variation of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ then
(a) $\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{V}(t)=\int_{\Sigma^{n}} f_{t} d \Sigma_{t}$, where $f_{t}$ is the function defined in (3.2). In particular, $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is a volume-preserving variation for $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ if and only if $\int_{\Sigma^{n}} f_{t} d \Sigma_{t}=0$ for all $t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.
(b) $\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{A}_{r}(t)=-b_{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}} H_{r+1}(t) f_{t} d \Sigma_{t}$, where $b_{r}=(r+1)\binom{n}{r+1}$ and $H_{r+1}(t)=$ $H_{r+1}(t, \cdot)$ is the $(r+1)$-th mean curvature of $\Sigma^{n}$ via the immersion 3.1.

Remark 1. From [9] Lemma 2.2], given a closed hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, if $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Sigma^{n}} f d \Sigma=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a volume-preserving variation $X:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ for $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ whose variational field is just $\left.\frac{\partial X}{\partial t}\right|_{t=0}=f N$.

In order to characterize hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature, we will consider the variational problem of minimizing the $r$-th area functional $\mathcal{A}_{r}$ for all volume-preserving variations of the closed hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

The Jacobi functional $\mathcal{J}_{r}$ associated to the problem is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{J}_{r}:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \\
& \mathbb{R} \\
& t \mapsto \\
& \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)=\mathcal{A}_{r}(t)+\varrho \mathcal{V}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varrho$ is a constant to be determined. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)=\int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{-b_{r} H_{r+1}(t)+\varrho\right\} f_{t} d \Sigma_{t}
$$

where $f_{t}$ is the function defined in (3.2) and $b_{r}=(r+1)\binom{n}{r+1}$ and $H_{r+1}(t)=$ $H_{r+1}(t, \cdot)$ is the $(r+1)$-th mean curvature of $\Sigma^{n}$ via the immersion (3.1). In order to choose $\varrho$, let

$$
\overline{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Area}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)} \int_{\Sigma^{n}} H_{r+1} d \Sigma
$$

be a integral mean of the function $H_{r+1}$ along the $\Sigma^{n}$. We call the attention to the fact that, in the case that $H_{r+1}$ is constant, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathcal{H}}=H_{r+1}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this notation will be used in what follows without further comments. Therefore, if we choose $\varrho=b_{r} \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, we arrive at

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)=b_{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{-H_{r+1}(t)+\overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} f_{t} d \Sigma_{t} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)\right|_{t=0}=b_{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{-H_{r+1}+\overline{\mathcal{H}}\right\} f_{0} d \Sigma \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, following the same ideas of [8, Proposition 2.7], from (3.5), (3.4) and Remark 1 we can establish the following result, which characterizes all the critical points of the variational problem described above.

Proposition 1. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ be a closed hypersurface. The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ has constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature functions $H_{r+1}$;
(b) we have $\delta_{f} \mathcal{A}_{r}=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{A}_{r}(t)\right|_{t=0}=0$ for all volume-preserving variations of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1} ;$
(c) we have $\delta_{f} \mathcal{J}_{r}=\left.\frac{d}{d t} \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)\right|_{t=0}=0$ for all variations of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

Motivated by the ideas established in [4], [2] and [12], we exchanged our studying problem and now we wish to detect hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ which minimize the Jacobi functional $\mathcal{J}_{r}$ for all variations of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. Then, Proposition 1 shows that the critical points for this new variational problem coincide with those of the first variational problem, namely, are the closed hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}$. Currently, geodesic spheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and Clifford hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ are examples for these critical points. So, for such a critical point, we need computing the second variation $\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r}=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} \mathcal{J}_{r}(t)\right|_{t=0}$ of the Jacobi functional $\mathcal{J}_{r}$. This will motivate us to establish the following notion of stability.
Definition 1. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ be a closed hypersurface with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$. We say that $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is strongly $r$-stable if $\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r} \geq 0$ for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$.

From [7] Proposition 4.4] we get that the sought formula for the second variation $\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r}$ of $\mathcal{J}_{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r}=-(r+1) \int_{\Sigma^{n}} f \mathcal{L}(f) d \Sigma \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=L_{r}+\frac{n b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}+b_{r} H_{r} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jacobi differential operator associated with our variational problem. Here, $L_{r}$ is the differential operator defined in (2.8), $H, H_{r}, H_{r+1}$ and $H_{r+2}$ are the mean curvature, the $r$-th mean curvature, the $(r+1)$-th mean curvature and the $(r+2)$-th mean curvature of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, respectively, and $b_{k}=(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}$ for $k \in\{r, r+1\}$.

Remark 2. Regarding our definition of strong stability, we note that:
(a) From a geometrical point of view, the notion of $r$-stability, namely, when $\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{A}_{r} \geq 0$ for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ satisfying the condition 3.3), is more natural than the notion the strong $r$-stability. However, from an analytical point of view, the strong $r$-stability is more natural and easier to use. The analytical interest is due to its possible applications to Geometric Analysis such as: the approach of bifurcation techniques related to our variational problem, the study of evolution problems related to the differential operator of Jacobi $\mathcal{L}$, problems of eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$, the search for notions of parabolicity for $\mathcal{L}$, uniqueness (or multiliqueness) of solutions to problems of initial value involving $\mathcal{L}$, among others.
(b) In Definition 1 we put the restriction $r \neq 0$ due to the fact that there are no strongly stable constant mean curvature closed hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (cf. [3, Section 2]), whereas the constraint $r \neq\{n+1, n\}$ is due to the explicit expression that admits $\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r}$ (see equations (3.6) and (3.7)).

In [7. Proposition 5.1] was established that the geodesic spheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ are $r$-stable. We note that the proof of this result can be used to affirm that the geodesic spheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ are also strongly $r$-stable. Here, for completeness of content, we present a proof.

Proposition 2. For any $r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, the geodesic spheres of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ are strongly $r$-stable.

Proof. Let $\Sigma^{n}$ be a geodesic sphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and let $\iota: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ be its inclusion map into $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$. Since $\Sigma^{n}$ is totally umbilical then its principal curvatures are all equal to a certain constant $\lambda$. By choosing the normal vector we may assume that $\lambda \geq 0$. Thus, from (2.2), 2.3) and 2.5), respectively, we have for $r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$ that

$$
S_{r}=\binom{n}{r} \lambda^{r}=\text { constant }, \quad H_{r}=\lambda^{r}=\text { constant }
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{r}\left(A_{i}\right)=\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r}=\text { constant } \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, if $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are the principal directions of $\Sigma^{n}$, from (2.8, 2.6) and (3.8), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{r}(f) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}(f)\left(e_{i}\right), P_{r}\left(e_{i}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle\operatorname{Hess}(f)\left(e_{i}\right), e_{i}\right\rangle=\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \Delta f,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$.
Then, from (3.6), (3.7) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r}= & -\int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \Delta f+b_{r} H_{r} f\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left.+\left(n \frac{b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}\right) f\right\} f d \Sigma \\
= & -\int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} f \Delta f+(n-r)\binom{n}{r} \lambda^{r} f^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left[\begin{array}{c}
n \\
r+1
\end{array}\right) \lambda^{r+2}-(n-r-1)\binom{n}{r+1} \lambda^{r+2}\right] f^{2}\right\} d \Sigma \\
= & -\binom{n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{f \Delta f+n f^{2}+n \lambda^{2} f^{2}\right\} d \Sigma \\
= & \binom{n-1}{j} \lambda^{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{-f \Delta f-n\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right) f^{2}\right\} d \Sigma .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $\eta_{1}$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\Delta$ of $\iota: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, which admits the following min-max characterization (cf. [11, Section 1.5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}=\min \left\{-\int_{\Sigma^{n}} f \Delta f d \Sigma / \int_{\Sigma^{n}} f^{2} d \Sigma: f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right), f \neq 0\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lambda \geq 0$, from 3.9 and 3.10 we get

$$
\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r} \geq\binom{ n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{\eta_{1}-n\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\right\} f^{2} d \Sigma
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$. But, since $\iota\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is isometric to an $n$-dimensional Euclidean sphere with constant sectional curvature equal to $\lambda^{2}+1$, we have that $\eta_{1}=n\left(\lambda^{2}+1\right)$. Hence, for every $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ we get

$$
\delta_{f}^{2} \mathcal{J}_{r} \geq\binom{ n-1}{r} \lambda^{r} \int_{\Sigma^{n}}\left\{\eta_{1}-n\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)\right\} f^{2} d \Sigma=0
$$

Therefore, according to Definition $1, \iota: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ must be strongly $r$-stable.

## 4. Proof of the main results

In order to obtain a rigidity result concerning to strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurfaces immersed into $(n+1)$-dimensional unit Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, we need to describe a Riemannian warped product that models a certain region of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be the north pole of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ be the equator orthogonal to $\mathbf{P}$. From [15], Example 2], the open region

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{n+1}:=\mathbb{S}^{n+1} \backslash\{\mathbf{P},-\mathbf{P}\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isometric to the Riemannian warped product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}, \quad \tau \in(0, \pi) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the moment, making $\mathbf{P}=(0, \ldots, 0,1) \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ and identifying the point $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n+1}\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n}$ with $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n+1}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, we have that the correspondence

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi:(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau^{\mathbb{S}^{n}} & \rightarrow & \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}  \tag{4.3}\\
(\tau, q) & \mapsto & \Psi(\tau, q)
\end{array}=(\cos \tau) q+(\sin \tau) \mathbf{P}, ~ l
$$

defines an isometry between 4.2 and 4.1. We denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi: \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1} \rightarrow(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as being the inverse of $\Psi$.
If $d \tau^{2}$ and $d \sigma^{2}$ denote the metrics of $(0, \pi)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, respectively, then

$$
\langle,\rangle=\left(\pi_{I}\right)^{*}\left(d \tau^{2}\right)+(\sin \tau)^{2}\left(\pi_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}\right)^{*}\left(d \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

is the tensor metric of the Riemannian warped product 4.2 , where $\pi_{I}$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}$ denote the projections onto the $(0, \pi)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, respectively. In this context, the vector field

$$
(\sin \tau) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \in \mathfrak{X}\left((0, \pi) \times_{\sin \tau} \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)
$$

is a conformal and closed one (in the sense that its dual 1-form is closed), with conformal factor $\cos \tau$. Moreover, from [15, Proposition 1], for each $\tau_{0} \in(0, \pi)$, the slice $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$ of the foliation

$$
(0, \pi) \ni \tau_{0} \longmapsto\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}
$$

is a $n$-dimensional geodesic sphere of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, parallel to the equator $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, with shape operator (see 2.1) $A_{\tau_{0}}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\tau_{0}}: \mathfrak{X}\left(\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}\right) & \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}\left(\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \\
Y & \mapsto A_{\tau_{0}}(Y)=-\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\left(-\partial_{\tau}\right)=\frac{\left(\cos \tau_{0}\right)}{\left(\sin \tau_{0}\right)} Y \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with respect to the orientation given by $-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$. Thus, from $(2.2,2.2 .3$ and 4.5), we get for $r \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ that the $r$-th elementary symmetric function $\mathcal{S}_{r}$ and the $r$-th mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ of each slice $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{r}=\binom{n}{r}\left(\cot \tau_{0}\right)^{r} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}_{r}=\left(\cot \tau_{0}\right)^{r} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. We note that $\mathcal{S}_{r}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{r}$ are constant on $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$.

In order to facilitate the understanding of certain regions in the Euclidean sphere, we have established the following notions.

Definition 2. Fixed $\tau_{0} \in(0, \pi)$, the region

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(\left(0, \tau_{0}\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}: \Phi(q) \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right\}
$$

of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that corresponds to

$$
\left(0, \tau_{0}\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \subset(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}
$$

will be called of upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}$. Similarly, the region

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(\left(\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}: \Phi(q) \in\left(\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right\}
$$

of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that corresponds to

$$
\left(\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \subset(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}
$$

will be called of lower domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}$. In turn, the regions

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(\left(0, \tau_{0}\right] \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}: \Phi(q) \in\left(0, \tau_{0}\right] \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Phi^{-1}\left(\left[\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{q \in \mathbb{S}^{n+1}: \Phi(q) \in\left[\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}\right\}
$$

of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that corresponds to

$$
\left(0, \tau_{0}\right] \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \subset(0, \pi) \times \times_{\sin \tau} \mathbb{S}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left[\tau_{0}, \pi\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \subset(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}
$$

respectively, will be called of closure of the upper domain and closure of the lower domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}$, where $\Phi$ is the isometry given in 4.4.

For example, from Definition 2 we have that the upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1}$ of level $\tau=\pi / 2$ is the open upper hemisphere (minus the north pole $\mathbf{P}$ ) of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, which is isometric to the Riemannian warped product

$$
\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}, \quad \tau \in(0, \pi / 2)
$$

According to the ideas established in [5] Section 5], we will consider that the orientable hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ for which their Gauss map $N$ satisfies

$$
-1 \leq\left\langle\Phi_{*}(N(q)), \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right\rangle_{\Phi(\psi(q))}<0
$$

for all $q \in \Sigma^{n}$. In this setting, for such a hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ we define the normal angle $\theta$ as being the smooth function

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta: \Sigma^{n} & \rightarrow\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\
q & \mapsto \theta(q)=\arccos \left(-\left\langle\Phi_{*}(N(q)), \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right\rangle_{\Phi(\psi(q))}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, on $\Sigma^{n}$ the normal angle $\theta$ verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\cos \theta=-\left\langle\Phi_{*}(N), \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right\rangle \leq 1 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since the orientation of the slice $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$ is given by $-\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$, the normal angle $\theta$ of $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$ is such that $\cos \theta=1$.

We need the following result, whose proof is a consequence of a suitable formula due to Barros and Sousa [10].
Proposition 3. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \uparrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 2)$ be an orientable hypersurface with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{0, \ldots, n-2\}$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi: \Sigma^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
q & \mapsto \xi(q)=-\sin \tau \cos \theta(q), \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta$ is the normal angle of $\Sigma^{n}$ defined in 4.7), then the formula of the differential operator $L_{r}$ defined in (2.8) acting on $\xi$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{r}(\xi)= & -\left(\frac{n b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}+b_{r} H_{r}\right) \xi  \tag{4.10}\\
& -b_{r} H_{r} \sin \tau \cos \theta-b_{r} H_{r+1} \cos \tau
\end{align*}
$$

where $H, H_{r}, H_{r+1}$ and $H_{r+2}$ are the mean curvature, $r$-th mean curvature, $(r+$ 1)-th mean curvature and $(r+2)$-th mean curvature of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, respectively, and $b_{k}=(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}$ for $k \in\{r, r+1\}$. Here, for simplicity we are adopting the abbreviated notations $H_{j}=H_{j} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1}, j \in\{1, r, r+1, r+2\}$, where $\Phi$ is the isometry described in 4.4.
Proof. From Theorem 2 of [10],

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{r}(\xi)= & -\left(\frac{n b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}+b_{r} H_{r}\right) \xi  \tag{4.11}\\
& -b_{r} H_{r} \Phi_{*}(N)(\cos \tau)(\cos \tau)-b_{r} H_{r+1} \cos \tau
\end{align*}
$$

Observing that

$$
\bar{\nabla} \cos \tau=\left\langle\bar{\nabla} \cos \tau, \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}=(\cos \tau)^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}=-\sinh \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}
$$

from (4.8) we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{*}(N)(\cos \tau) & =\left\langle\bar{\nabla} \cos \tau, \Phi_{*}(N)\right\rangle  \tag{4.12}\\
& =-\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \Phi_{*}(N)\right\rangle \sin \tau=\sin \tau \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting 4.12 into 4.11 we obtain 4.10.
Remark 3. For $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, from (4.6 we can observe that the $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_{r+1}$, of slice the $\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$, with $\tau_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, of the Riemannian warped product $(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n}$ verify the inequalities

$$
\mathcal{H}^{r+1}=\mathcal{H}_{r+1}>\mathcal{H}_{r}>\cdots>\mathcal{H}_{2}>\mathcal{H}>1
$$

Taking into account this situation, we established in Theorem 1 a rigidity result for strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurfaces immersed into $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since the hypersurface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \circ \psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow(0, \pi) \times \sin \tau \mathbb{S}^{n} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is strongly $r$-stable, where $\Phi$ is the isometry described in (4.4), from (3.6) and 3.7) following Definition 1 we get

$$
0 \leq-\int_{\Phi\left(x\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)}\left\{L_{r}(f)+\left(\frac{n b_{r}}{r+1} H H_{r+1}-b_{r+1} H_{r+2}+b_{r} H_{r}\right) f\right\} f d \Phi(\Sigma)
$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$, where $L_{r}$ is the differential operator defined in 2.8), $d \Phi(\Sigma)$ denotes the volume element of $\Sigma^{n}$ induced by (4.13), $b_{k}=(k+1)\binom{n}{k+1}$ for $k \in\{r, r+1\}$ and, for simplicity, we use the notations $H_{j}=H_{j} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \Phi^{-1}$, $j \in\{1, r, r+1, r+2\}$. In particular, considering the smooth function $\xi=-\sin \tau \cos \theta$ defined in (4.9), from Proposition 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq b_{r} \int_{\Phi\left(\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)}\left(-H_{r} \sin \tau \cos \theta-H_{r+1} \cos \tau\right) \sin \tau \cos \theta d \Phi(\Sigma)  \tag{4.14}\\
& \leq b_{r} \int_{\Phi\left(\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)}\left(H_{r} \cos \theta-H_{r+1}\right) \cos \tau \sin \tau \cos \theta d \Phi(\Sigma) \\
& \leq b_{r} \int_{\Phi\left(\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)}(\cos \theta-1) H_{r} \cos \tau \sin \tau \cos \theta d \Phi(\Sigma)
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last inequality we use the condition (1.1). Now, since $H_{r} \geq 1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$, the normal angle $\theta$ of $\Sigma^{n}$ verifies the inequalities established in (4.8), and $\cos \tau$ and $\sin \tau$ are positive values when $\tau \in(0, \pi / 4]$, then from the 4.14) we obtain

$$
0 \leq b_{r} \int_{\Phi\left(\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)}(\cos \theta-1) H_{r} \cos \tau \sin \tau \theta d \Phi(\Sigma) \leq 0
$$

Therefore, $\cos \theta=1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$ and, consequently, there is $\tau_{0} \in(0, \pi / 4]$ such that $\Phi\left(\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)\right)=\left\{\tau_{0}\right\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n}$.

With respect to the notion of strong stability related to closed hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature immersed into Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, it is well known that there are no strongly stable closed hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (cf. [3, Section 2]). In the context of the higher order mean curvatures, from Theorem 1 we can establish a nonexistent result to strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurfaces immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ (see Theorem 2).

Proof of Theorem 2. Assuming that there is a strongly $r$-stable closed hypersurface $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}$, $r \in\{1, \ldots, r+2\}$, immersed into the lower domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$ and with $r$-th mean curvature $H_{r}$ satisfying $H_{r+1} \geq H_{r} \geq 1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$, from Theorem 1 we get that $\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is isometric to a geodesic sphere contained in the closure of the upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$, obtaining a contradiction.

Remark 4. Consider all closed hypersurfaces $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ with constant $(r+1)$-th mean curvature $H_{r+1}, r \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, which are strongly $r$-stable and that satisfy the condition $H_{r+1} \geq H_{r} \geq 1$, where $H_{r}$ is the $r$-th mean curvature of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$, from Theorems 1 and 2 we can conclude that the region of the Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that contains all these hypersurfaces is small when compared to the set of closed hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ that do not verify all these assumptions. It is in this context that our results can be understood as a half-space type property for this class of hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$.

For the case $r=1$, taking into account 2.4 , we can exchange the second mean curvature $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for the normalized scalar curvature $R$ in equation (3.5) and then rewrite our Definition 1 in terms of $R$. In this context, an immediate application of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 gives the following results.

Corollary 1. Let $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ be a strongly 1 -stable closed hypersurface with constant normalized scalar curvature $R$. If the mean curvature $H$ of $\psi: \Sigma^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n+1}$ obeys the condition $R-1 \geq H \geq 1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$, then $\psi\left(\Sigma^{n}\right)$ is isometric to a geodesic sphere contained in the closure of the upper domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$.

Corollary 2. There is no strongly 1 -stable closed hypersurface $\Sigma^{n}(n \geq 3)$ with constant normalized scalar curvature $R$ immersed into the lower domain enclosed by the geodesic sphere of $\Omega^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(n \geq 3)$ of level $\tau_{0}=\pi / 4$, with mean curvature $H$ satisfying the condition $R-1 \geq H \geq 1$ on $\Sigma^{n}$.
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