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# CLOSED NORMAL SUBGROUPS IN GROUPS OF UNITS OF COMPACT RINGS 

ADELA TRIPE


#### Abstract

Normal subgroups of semiperfect rings were studied in [BS2]. We will study in this paper normal closed subgroups in groups of units of compact rings with identity.


## 1. Notation and convention

All topological rings are assumed to be associative, Hausdorff and with identity. The group of units (equal to invertible elements) of a ring $R$ will be denoted by $U(R)$. If $A, B$ are two subsets of a ring $R$, the put $A \cdot B=\{a b: a \in A, b \in B\}$. The closure of a subset $A$ of a topological space $X$ will be denoted by $\bar{A}$. The subgroup of a group $G$ generated by an element $g \in G$ will be denoted by $\langle g\rangle$. The Jacobson radical of a ring $R$ is denoted by $J(R)$ or briefly by $J$. If $I$ is a two-sided ideal of a ring $R$ we will write $I \triangleleft R$. The set of all natural numbers will be denoted by $\mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{N}^{+}$stands for the set of positive integers. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $R$ is a ring, then $M(n, R)$ denotes the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over $R$. The theory of summable set of elements in topological Abelian groups is exposed in [B]. Accordingly, the sum of an arbitrary summable set $\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ is denoted by $\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_{\alpha}$. If $\left\{R_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ is a system of topological rings, then $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} R_{\alpha}$ stands for the topological product of these rings. If $A$ is a subset of a ring $R$, then $\langle A\rangle$ denotes the subring of $R$ generated by $A$, and $\langle A\rangle^{+}$the subgroup of the additive group of $R$ generated by $A$. If $G$ is a group, $x, y \in G$, then $[x, y]=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$ denotes the commutator of $x$ and $y$. An idempotent $e \neq 0$ of a ring $R$ is called primitive provided there are no non-zero orthogonal idempotents $e_{1}, e_{2} \in R$ such that $e=e_{1}+e_{2}$.

The results of this paper were communicated at the International Conference on Universal Algebra an Lattice Theory, July 2002, University of Szeged, Hungary.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A compact ring $\Lambda$ with identity is called a ring with a system of idempotents $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ provided $e_{\alpha}$ are non-zero orthogonal idempotents and $1=\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha}$.

Denote $\Lambda_{\alpha \beta}=e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\beta}$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha \alpha}=\Lambda_{\alpha},(\alpha, \beta \in \Omega)$.
Definition 2.2. The subring $\Delta=\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle}$ is called the diagonal subring of the ring $\Lambda$ with respect to the system of idempotents $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$.
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Definition 2.3. The subgroup $D=U(\Delta) \subseteq U(\Lambda)$ is called a torus in $U(\Lambda)$ (or in $\Lambda$ ). A torus $D$ is called primitive provided the system $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ consists of primitive idempotents.

Evidently, each $\Lambda_{\alpha \beta}$ is a $\left(\Lambda_{\alpha}, \Lambda_{\beta}\right)$-bimodule.
Definition 2.4. A family $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ of closed sub-bimodules, is called a net in $\Lambda$ if $\sigma_{\alpha \gamma} \sigma_{\gamma \beta} \subseteq \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Definition 2.5. A net $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ is called a $D$-net in $\Lambda$ provided $\sigma_{\alpha \alpha}=\Lambda_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Omega$.

If $\Lambda$ is a topological ring with identity then, in general, $U(\Lambda)$ with respect to the induced topology is not a topological group. When $\Lambda$ is a compact ring with identity, $U(\Lambda)$ is closed and is a topological group with respect to the induced topology. The closedness of $U(\Lambda)$ was proved in $[\mathrm{K}]$. The continuity of the mapping $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ in $U(\Lambda)$ follows from the boundedness of $\Lambda$ (see [U]).

For any $D$-net $\sigma$, denote $M(\sigma)=\overline{\left\langle\sigma_{\alpha \beta}: \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\right\rangle}$. Evidently, $M(\sigma)$ is a closed subring of $\Lambda$, called the subring of the net $\sigma$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $\Lambda$ be a compact ring with a countable system of idempotents $\left\{e_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\}$. Then there exists a bijection between intermediate closed subgroups $\Sigma(\Delta \subseteq \Sigma \subseteq \Lambda)$ and $D$-nets.
Proof. It suffices to show that every intermediate closed subgroup $\Sigma$ has the form $\Sigma=M(\sigma)$, where $\sigma$ is a $D$-net. Put $\sigma_{i j}=e_{i} \Sigma e_{j}$. Since $e_{i}, e_{j} \in \Sigma\left(i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$, we obtain that $\sigma_{i j} \subseteq \Sigma$, hence $M(\sigma) \subseteq \Sigma$. Conversely, if $a=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Sigma$, then $a_{i j}=e_{i} a e_{j} \in \sigma_{i j}$, hence $a \in M(\sigma)$.

We define on the set of all nets of a ring $\Lambda$, the relation $\leq$ as follows: if $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ and $\tau=\left(\tau_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ are two nets of $\Lambda$, we consider that $\sigma \leq \tau$ provided $\sigma_{\alpha \beta} \subseteq \tau_{\alpha \beta}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$.

For every $D$-net $\sigma$ denote $G(\sigma)=U(M(\sigma))$. This group is called the $D$-net subgroup.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega, \alpha \neq \beta$, and $\xi \in \sigma_{\alpha \beta}, t_{\alpha \beta}(\xi)=1+\xi$ is called an elementary transvection of $U(\Lambda)$. Note that $t_{\alpha \beta}(\xi) t_{\alpha \beta}(-\xi)=1$, for every $\xi \in \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$. By $E(\sigma)$ is denoted the closure of the subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$ generated by all elementary transvections. $E(\sigma)$ is called the elementary subgroup of the net $\sigma$.

Definition 2.7. A fixed family $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ of closed subgroups of $\Lambda$ is called an $I$-net (net ideal) provided $\Lambda_{\alpha \gamma} \sigma_{\gamma \beta} \subseteq \sigma_{\alpha \beta}, \sigma_{\alpha \gamma} \Lambda_{\gamma \beta} \subseteq \sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Omega$.

Remark. a) Let $I$ be a two-sided closed ideal of $\Lambda$. Put $I_{\alpha \beta}=I \cap e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\beta}=e_{\alpha} I e_{\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$. Then $\left(I_{\alpha \beta}\right)$ is a $I$-net.
b) If $\sigma$ is an $I$-net, then $M(\sigma)=\overline{\left\langle\sigma_{\alpha \beta}: \alpha, \beta \in \Omega\right\rangle}$ is a closed two-sided ideal of $\Lambda$.

The $J$-net of $\Lambda$ is an $I$-net associated with $I=J=J(\Lambda)$, i.e. $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right), \sigma_{\alpha \beta}=$ $e_{\alpha} J e_{\beta}$.

We consider below only compact rings with a countable system of idempotents $\left\{e_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\}$.

Definition 2.8. The subgroup

$$
B=B(J)=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in U(\Lambda): a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i>j\right\}
$$

is called the radical upper triangular subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$.

Consider three conditions for a ring $\Lambda$ with a countable system of idempotents:
$(\Sigma)$ every idempotent of $\Lambda_{i}\left(i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right)$is a finite sum of invertible elements of $\Lambda_{i}$;
( $\theta$ ) there exists an invertible element $\theta \in \Delta$, such that $1+\theta \in U(\Delta)$;
$\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ there exists an invertible element $\theta \in \Delta$, such that $1+\theta, 1+\theta+\theta^{2} \in U(\Delta)$.
Definition 2.9. Let $\Lambda$ be a compact ring with a countable system of idempotents $\left\{e_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\}$, satisfying the condition $(\Sigma)$, and let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$, containing the torus $D$ (i.e. $D \leq H \leq U(\Lambda)$ ). We will say that the $D$-net $\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$ is associated to the subgroup $H$ if $\sigma_{i j}=\left\{\xi \in \Lambda_{i j}: t_{i j}(\xi) \in H\right\}=e_{i} \Lambda e_{j} \cap(H-1)$, for $i \neq j, i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$.

Note that each $\sigma_{i j}$ is closed.
If $\varepsilon \in U\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$ and $\eta \in U\left(\Lambda_{j}\right)$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{i}(\varepsilon) t_{i j}(\xi) d_{i}\left(\varepsilon^{-1}\right) & =t_{i j}(\varepsilon \xi)  \tag{*}\\
d_{i}\left(\eta^{-1}\right) t_{i j}(\xi) d_{i}(\eta) & =t_{i j}(\xi \eta) \tag{**}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider that the ring $\Lambda$ satisfies the condition $(\Sigma)$; then we will deduce that $\sigma_{i j}$ is $\left(\Lambda_{i}, \Lambda_{j}\right)$-bimodule $\left(\Lambda_{i} \sigma_{i j=} \sigma_{i j}, \sigma_{i j} \Lambda_{i}=\sigma_{i j}\right)$.

We denote $\sigma_{=}\left(\sigma_{i j}\right)$. If $i \neq r, j \neq r$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{i r}, \mu \in \sigma_{r j}$ we have:

$$
\left[t_{i r}(\lambda), t_{r j}(\mu)\right]=t_{i j}(\lambda \mu) \in H
$$

hence if $\lambda \in \sigma_{i r}, \mu \in \sigma_{r j}$ we have $\lambda \mu \in \sigma_{i j}$, deci $\sigma_{i r} \sigma_{r j} \subseteq \sigma_{i j}$. We proved that $\sigma$ is a $D$-net in $\Lambda$.

## 3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. A finite simple ring $R=M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ satisfies the condition $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ iff it is not isomorphic to one of the rings $\mathbb{F}_{2}, \mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{4}, M\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$.

Proof. $\Rightarrow$ : It is a routine to see that the rings $\mathbb{F}_{2}, \mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{4}, M\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ do not satisfy the condition $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field, $q>4$. Let $S$ be the set of all solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of the equation $x^{2}+x+1=0$. The set $\{-1\} \cup E$ has no more than three elements. But $|U(E)|>3$, so there exists at least an element $\gamma \in U(E)-(\{-1\} \cup E)$. Evidently, $\gamma$ verifies the condition $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$.

If $M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2}, \mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{4}, M\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$, then we have the following possible cases:

Case (1) $R=M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right), n>2$. Then, the ring $M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ contains a subring with identity and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$.

Case (2) $R=M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{3}\right), n>3$. Then, the ring $M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ contains a subring with identity and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{3^{n}}$.

Case (3) $R=M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{4}\right), n>1$. Then, the ring $M\left(n, \mathbb{F}_{4}\right)$ contains a subring with identity and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n}}$.

Corollary 3.2. If $R$ is a compact ring with identity, then it verifies the condition $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ iff in the decomposition of $R / J(R)$ as topological product of finite simple discrete rings, the ringa $\mathbb{F}_{2}, \mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{4}, M\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{2}\right)$ do not appear.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ be a system of orthogonal idempotents. The ring $\overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle}$ is topologically isomorphic to the topological product $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$.

Proof. Consider the mapping:

$$
\overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle} \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} x_{\alpha} \mapsto\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, x_{\alpha} \in e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}
$$

It is clear that this mapping is an algebraic isomorphism of $\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$ on the subring $A$ of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$, consisting of elements $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \Omega} \in \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$, for which almost all coordinates $x_{\alpha}$ are zero.

We claim that this mapping is a topological isomorphism. Indeed, let $V$ be an open ideal of $\Lambda$. There exists $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \Omega$ such that $e_{\alpha} \in V$ for each $\alpha \neq$ $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$. Then $V \supseteq e_{\alpha_{1}} V e_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+e_{\alpha_{n}} V e_{\alpha_{n}}+\overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \neq \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle}$. The image of $V$ under the application described above coincides with:

$$
\left(e_{\alpha_{1}} V e_{\alpha_{1}} \times \cdots \times e_{\alpha_{n}} V e_{\alpha_{n}} \times \overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} V e_{\alpha}: \alpha \neq \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\rangle}\right) \cap A
$$

It follows that $\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle$ and $A$ are topologically isomorphic, therefore their completions $\overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle}$ and $\Pi \alpha \in \Omega e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$ are topologically isomorphic too.

Corollary 3.4. $U\left(\overline{\left\langle e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\rangle}\right) \cong_{t o p} \prod_{\alpha \in \Omega} U\left(e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}\right)$.
Remark. It is well known that if $\Lambda$ is a compact ring with identity and $\left\{e_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ is a system of orthogonal idempotents, then for each $x_{\alpha} \in e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\alpha}$, the family $\left\{x_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Omega\right\}$ is summable.

Lemma 3.5. The topological groups $\Lambda$ and $\prod_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\beta}$ are isomorphic.
Proof. Put

$$
\rho: \Lambda \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega} e_{\alpha} \Lambda e_{\beta}, \quad \rho(x)=\left\{e_{\alpha} x e_{\beta}\right\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega}
$$

It is obvious that $\rho$ is a monomorphism, and a surjective homomorphism.
By Remark 3, any family $\left\{e_{\alpha} x_{\alpha \beta} e_{\beta}\right\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega}$ is summable. Put $x=\sum e_{\alpha} x_{\alpha \beta} e_{\beta}$; then $\rho(x)=\left\{e_{\alpha} x_{\alpha \beta} e_{\beta}\right\}$.

It is a routine to prove that $\rho$ is continuous. We will prove that $\rho$ is open. Let $V$ be an open ideal of $\Lambda$. There exists a finite subset $\Omega_{0}$ of $\Omega$ such that $e_{\alpha} \in V$ if $\alpha \notin \Omega_{0}$ or $\beta \notin \Omega_{0}$. If follows from the definition of $\rho$ that

$$
\prod_{\alpha, \beta \in \Omega_{0}} e_{\alpha} V e_{\beta} \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha_{1} \notin \Omega_{0} \\ \text { or } \beta_{1} \notin \Omega_{0}}} e_{\alpha_{1}} \Lambda e_{\beta_{1}} \subseteq \rho(V),
$$

i.e. $\rho$ is open.

We consider below only compact rings with a countable system of idempotents $\left\{e_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\}$.
Remark. $B$ is a closed subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$.
Indeed,

$$
B=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in U(\Lambda): a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i>j\right\}
$$

and by definition,

$$
B=U(\Lambda) \cap\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Lambda: a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i>j\right\} .
$$

Since the set

$$
\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Lambda: a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i>j\right\}
$$

is closed in $\Lambda, B$ is closed in $\Lambda$.

Proposition 3.6. The subring $\left.S=\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i\right\rangle j\right\rangle$ of the compact ring $\Lambda$ is topologically nilpotent.

Proof. Let $V$ be an open ideal of $\Lambda$. There exists a finite subset $K$ of $\mathbb{N}^{+}$such that $e_{i} \in V$ for all $i \notin K$. Let $x=\sum_{i>j} x_{i j} \in S$; then $x=\sum_{i>j, i, j \in K} x_{i j}+v$, where $v \in V$. Consider for the simplicity that $K=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=y+v \\
& x=\varepsilon_{21} x_{21}+\varepsilon_{31} x_{31}+\varepsilon_{32} x_{32}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n n-1} x_{n n-1} \\
& y=\varepsilon_{21} y_{21}+\varepsilon_{31} y_{31}+\varepsilon_{32} y_{32}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n n-1} y_{n n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i j} \in\{0,1\}, \forall i>j$.
It is clear that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$such that $x^{k} \in V$. If follows that $S$ is a topological nilring. Since $\Lambda$ is compact, $\bar{S}$ is a topological nilring.

Lemma 3.7 (Folklore). Let $G$ be a topological group and $K$ a compact subspace. Then for every neighborhood $V$ of identity e there exists a neighborhood $U$ of e such that $U . K \subseteq K . V$.

Proof. For every $k \in K$ there exists a neighborhood $W_{k}$ of $k$ and a neighborhood $U_{e}^{(k)}$ of $e$ such that $W_{k}^{-1} . U_{e}^{(k)} . W_{k} \subseteq V$ (the continuity of operations). Consider the cover $\left\{W_{k}: k \in K\right\}$ of $K$, and let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in K$ such that $K \subseteq W_{x_{1}} \cup \ldots \cup W_{x_{n}}$.

We claim that $t^{-1} . U . t \subseteq V$ for every $t \in K$, where $U=U_{e}\left(x_{1}\right) \cap \ldots \cap U_{e}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Indeed, let $t \in K$; there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $t \in W_{x_{i}}$, hence $t^{-1} U t \subseteq$ $W_{x_{i}}^{-1} . U_{e}\left(x_{i}\right) . W_{x_{i}} \subseteq V \Rightarrow U . t \subseteq t . V \Rightarrow U . K \subseteq K . V$.
Remark. If $a \in U(\Lambda)$ and $b \in J(\Lambda)$ then $a+b \in U(\Lambda)$.
Indeed, $a^{-1} b a \in J(\Lambda)$ and $a+b=a\left(1+a^{-1} b\right) \in U(\Lambda)$.
Lemma 3.8. If $R$ is a finite ring with identity and $x \in U(R)$, then $x^{-1} \in\langle x\rangle$.
Proof. Since $R$ is finite, there exist integers $n, k, n>k$, such that $x^{n}=x^{k}$. Then $x^{n-k}=1$, hence $x^{-1}=x^{n-k} \in\langle x\rangle$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $R^{\prime}$ be a compact ring with identity and $R$ a subring with identity. An element $x \in R$ is invertible in $R$ if and only if it is invertible in $R^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $R^{\prime}$ has identity, it has a local base consisting of two-sided ideals. Let $V$ be an arbitrary open ideal of $R^{\prime}$. Let $x$ be an invertible element of $R^{\prime}$ and $x x^{-1}=x^{-1} x=1$. By Lemma 3.8, $x^{-1} \in\langle x\rangle+V$, hence $x^{-1} \in\langle x\rangle \subseteq R$. We obtained that $x$ is invertible in $R$.

Proposition 3.10. If $\sigma$ is a $D$-net then $D \cdot E(\sigma)=E(\sigma) . D$.
Proof. Let $a=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i} \in D$, where $a_{i i} \in U\left(\Lambda_{i}\right), i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. Let $\alpha \in \sigma_{i j}, i \neq j$; we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a t_{i j}(\alpha) a^{-1} & =\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i}\right)(1+\alpha)\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i}^{-1}\right) \\
& =1+a_{i i} \alpha a_{j j}^{-1} \in E(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $a . E(\sigma) \subseteq E^{\prime}(\sigma) . a$, where $E^{\prime}(\sigma)$ is a subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$ generated by transvections. By continuity, $a \cdot E(\sigma) \subseteq E(\sigma) . a$, hence $a \cdot E(\sigma)=E(\sigma) . a$. In analogous way, $E(\sigma) . a \subseteq a . E(\sigma)$. We obtain that $D . E(\sigma)=E(\sigma) . D$.

Proposition 3.11. If $a \in G(\sigma)$ then $a_{i i} \in U\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$.

Proof. The element $\sum_{i>j} a_{i j}$ belongs to $J_{i j}$, hence by the Remark 3,

$$
a-\sum_{i>j} a_{i j} \in U(\Lambda),
$$

hence $\sum_{i \leq j} a_{i j} \in U(\Lambda)$.
Since $a \in U(\Lambda)$, by Lemma 3.9, $a \in U(L)$, where $L=\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i \leq j\right\rangle}$. Since $\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i \leq j\right\rangle} \subseteq J(L)$, we have that $a-\sum_{i<j} a_{i j} \in U(L)$, i.e. $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i} \in U(L)$. There exists $a^{\prime} \in L$, such that $a^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i}\right)=\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i}\right) a^{\prime}=1$. Fix $i_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$; then $e_{i_{0}}\left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i i}\right) a^{\prime}=e_{i_{0}}$, or $a_{i_{0} i_{0}} a^{\prime}=e_{i_{0}}$, or $a_{i_{0} i_{0}} e_{i_{0}} a^{\prime} e_{i_{0}}=e_{i_{0}}$; analogously, $e_{i_{0}} a^{\prime} e_{i_{0}} a_{i_{0} i_{0}}=e_{i_{0}}$, hence $a_{i_{0} i_{0}} \in U\left(\Lambda_{i_{0}}\right)$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $\Lambda$ be a ring with a countable system of idempotents and $\rho$ a $D$-net, $B=G(\rho)$. If $\sigma \leq \rho$ is a $D$-net, then $G(\sigma)=D \cdot E(\sigma)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 there exists a neighborhood $V$ of identity in $U(\Lambda)$ such that $V . E \subseteq E . W$. We may assume without loss of generality that:

$$
V=V_{11}+V_{12}+\cdots+V_{n n}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>n \text { or } j>n\right\rangle},
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, V_{i i}=(1+Q) \cap e_{i} \Lambda e_{i}=e_{i}+e_{i} Q e_{i}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, V_{i j}=Q \cap$ $e_{i} \Lambda e_{j}$, for $i \neq j, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and that $Q$ is an open ideal in $\Lambda$.

We will prove that $G(\sigma) \subseteq D . E(\sigma)$. Let $a \in G(\sigma), a=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j}, a_{i j} \in \Lambda_{i j}$.
Claim: For any $m \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, there exist $y_{m}, x_{m} \in E(\sigma)$ and $d_{m} \in D$, such that: $d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m} \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m \text { or } j>m\right\rangle}$.

Induction on $m$ :
For $m=1$, put $y_{1}=x_{1}=1, d_{1}=a_{11}^{-1}+1-e_{1} \in a_{11}^{-1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>1 \text { or } j>1\right\rangle} \in D$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{1} x_{1} a y_{1} & =d_{1} a=\left(a_{11}^{-1}+1-e_{1}\right) a \\
& \in\left(a_{11}^{-1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>1 \text { or } j>1\right\rangle}\right)\left(\sum_{p, q \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{p q}\right) \\
& \subseteq \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{11}^{-1} a_{1 q}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>1 \text { or } j>1\right\rangle} \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+\sum_{q \geq 2} a_{11}^{-1} a_{1 q}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>1 \text { or } j>1\right\rangle} \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>1 \text { or } j>1\right\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that the claim was proved for $m$, and we will prove it for $m+1$. By induction, there exist $y_{m}, x_{m} \in E(\sigma)$ and $d_{m} \in D$, such that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m} & \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m \text { or } j>m\right\rangle} \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{m+1} k+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\lambda_{m+1} m+1 \\
& +\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the elements $x_{m+1}^{(i)}, y_{m+1}^{(i)} \in E(\sigma)$, defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m+1}^{(i)}=t_{m+1 i}\left(-\lambda_{m+1 i}\right) \\
& y_{m+1}^{(i)}=t_{i m+1}\left(-\lambda_{i m+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) \\
& =\left(1-\lambda_{m+1 i}\right)\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) \\
& \in\left(1-\lambda_{m+1 i}\right)\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\vdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{m+1}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\lambda_{m+1} m+1\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle}\right) \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{m+1}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\lambda_{m+1 m+1} \\
& +\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle}-\lambda_{m+11}-\lambda_{m+1} \lambda_{1 m+1} \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} \lambda_{m+1} k+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\tilde{\lambda}_{m+1 m+1} \\
& +\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) y_{m+1}^{(1)}=x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right)\left(1-\lambda_{i m+1}\right) \\
& \in\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} \lambda_{m+1} k+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1} m+1\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle}\right) \cdot\left(1-\lambda_{i m+1}\right) \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\sum_{k=2}^{m} \lambda_{m+1} k+\sum_{s=2}^{m} \lambda_{s m+1}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1} m+1 \\
& +\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{m+1}^{(m)} x_{m+1}^{(m-1)} \ldots x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) y_{m+1}^{(1)} \ldots y_{m+1}^{(m-1)} y_{m+1}^{(m)} \\
& \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1 m+1}^{-1} \\
& \\
& \quad+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.11, $\tilde{\lambda}_{m+1}{ }_{m+1} \in U\left(\Lambda_{m+1}\right)$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{m+1}^{\prime} & =1-e_{m+1}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1}^{-1} m+1 \\
& =e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{(m)} x_{m+1}^{(m-1)} \ldots x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) y_{m+1}^{(1)} \ldots y_{m+1}^{(m-1)} y_{m+1}^{(m)} \\
& \in\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1 m+1}^{-1}\right)\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+\widetilde{\lambda}_{m+1 m+1}^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle}\right) \\
& \subseteq e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+e_{m+1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{m+1}^{\prime} & =x_{m+1}^{(m)} x_{m+1}^{(m-1)} \ldots x_{m+1}^{(1)} \in E(\sigma), \\
y_{m+1}^{\prime} & =y_{m+1}^{(1)} \ldots y_{m+1}^{(m-1)} y_{m+1}^{(m)} \in E(\sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{(m)} x_{m+1}^{(m-1)} \ldots x_{m+1}^{(1)}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) y_{m+1}^{(1)} \ldots y_{m+1}^{(m-1)} y_{m+1}^{(m)} \\
& =d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{\prime}\left(d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m}\right) y_{m+1}^{\prime} \\
& =d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{\prime} d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime} \\
& \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m}+e_{m+1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 3.10, there exist $d^{\prime} \in D$ and $x^{\prime} \in E(\sigma)$, such that: $x_{m+1}^{\prime} d_{m}=$ $d^{\prime} x^{\prime}$. We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{\prime} d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime} & =d_{m+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{m+1}^{\prime} d_{m}\right) x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime} \\
& =d_{m+1}^{\prime}\left(d^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime} \\
& =d_{m+1}^{\prime} d^{\prime} x^{\prime} x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote: $d_{m+1}=d_{m+1}^{\prime} d^{\prime}, y_{m+1}=y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime}, x_{m+1}=x^{\prime} x_{m}$, where $d_{m+1} \in D, x_{m+1}$, $y_{m+1} \in E(\sigma)$; we have:

$$
d_{m+1}^{\prime} d^{\prime} x^{\prime} x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime}=d_{m+1} x_{m+1} a y_{m+1}
$$

We obtained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{m+1}^{\prime} x_{m+1}^{\prime} d_{m} x_{m} a y_{m} y_{m+1}^{\prime} \\
& =d_{m+1} x_{m+1} a y_{m+1} \\
& \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{m+1}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>m+1 \text { or } j>m+1\right\rangle}
\end{aligned}
$$

so the affirmation is true for every $m \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$. In particular, there exist $y_{n}, x_{n} \in E(\sigma)$, $d_{n} \in D$, such that:

$$
d_{n} x_{n} a y_{n} \in e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{n}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>n \text { or } j>n\right\rangle} \subseteq V
$$

It follows that:

$$
a=x_{n}^{-1} d_{n}^{-1} V y_{n}^{-1} \subseteq E(\sigma) \cdot D \cdot V \cdot E(\sigma) \subseteq D \cdot E(\sigma) \cdot V \cdot E(\sigma) \subseteq D \cdot E(\sigma) \cdot W .
$$

We obtain:
$G(\sigma) \subseteq \cap\{D \cdot E(\sigma) \cdot W: W$ is a neighborhood of identity in $U(\Lambda)\}=D \cdot E(\sigma)$.

Lemma 3.13. Let $\Lambda$ be a compact ring with identity, with a countable system of idempotents, which satisfies the condition $(\theta)$. Let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$, $D \subseteq U(\Lambda)$. If $a=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in H$, and $r$ is a fixed natural number for which $a_{r j}=0,(\forall) j \neq r$, then $t_{i r}\left(a_{i r}\right) \in H$ for all $i \neq r$.
Proof. Using condition ( $\theta$ ) we find elements $\varepsilon \in \Lambda_{r}, \eta \in \Lambda_{i}$, such that $\varepsilon, e_{r}+\varepsilon \in$ $U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$, and $\eta, e_{i}+\eta \in U\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$.

Denote $a^{-1}=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j}^{\prime}$, where $a^{-1}$ is the inverse of $a$. We have,

$$
1=\left(\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j}\right)\left(\sum_{s, k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{s k}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Multiplying on the left by $e_{r}$ we obtain $a_{r r}\left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{r k}^{\prime}\right)=e_{r}$. Multiplying on the right by $e_{j}$ we obtain $a_{r r} a_{r j}^{\prime}=0$, hence $a_{r j}^{\prime}=0$ for all $j \neq r$.

Put $b=a d_{r}\left(e_{r}+\varepsilon\right) a^{-1} \in H$. We have:

$$
b=1+a \varepsilon a^{-1}=1+\sum_{k, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \varepsilon a_{r j}^{\prime}=1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} .
$$

It is easy to prove that $b^{-1}=1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime}$, where $\bar{\varepsilon}=-\varepsilon\left(e_{r}+\varepsilon\right)^{-1}$, is the inverse of $b$.

Put $c=\left[d_{i}\left(e_{i}+\eta\right), b\right] \in H$ and $\bar{\eta}=-\left(e_{i}+\eta\right)^{-1} \in U\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$. Then:

$$
c=\left(e_{i}+\eta\right) b\left(e_{i}+\eta\right)^{-1} b^{-1}=\left(e_{i}+\eta\right)^{-1} b \bar{\eta} b^{-1}
$$

But

$$
b \bar{\eta} b^{-1}=\left(1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\right) \bar{\eta}\left(1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)=\bar{\eta}\left(1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
c=\left(e_{i}+\eta\right) \bar{\eta}\left(1+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{k r} \overline{\bar{\varepsilon}} a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)=1+a_{i r} \bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime}
$$

We proved that $t_{i r}\left(a_{i r} \bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime}\right) \in H$. But $\bar{\varepsilon} a_{r r}^{\prime} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$, so applying the formula $(* *)$ we obtain that $t_{i r}\left(a_{i r}\right) \in H$.

Note. An analogous lemma is true in the case of a matrix $a \in H$, for which $a_{j r}=0$, $\forall j \neq r$.

Theorem 3.14. If $C$ is the subring of $\Lambda$ defined as follows:

$$
C=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Lambda: a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i>j\right\}
$$

then

$$
J(C)=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Lambda: a_{i j} \in J_{i j} \text { if } i \geq j\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $I=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in \Lambda: a_{i j} \in J_{i j}\right.$ if $\left.i \geq j\right\}$.
The subset $X=\left\{\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} x_{i j} \in I\right.$ : almost all $x_{i j}$ are 0$\}$ is dense in $I$. Indeed, let

$$
V=\sum_{\substack{i \leq n, j \leq n}} V_{i j}+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i>n \text { or } j>n\right\rangle}
$$

be a neighborhood of identity in $U(\Lambda)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$,

$$
V_{i i}=(1+Q) \cap e_{i} \Lambda e_{i}=e_{i}+e_{i} Q e_{i}
$$

for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $V_{i j}=Q \cap e_{i} \Lambda e_{j}$ for $i \neq j, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $Q$ is an open ideal in $\Lambda$.

Every element $x=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} x_{i j}$ of $I$ can be written in the form

$$
x=x_{11}+x_{12}+\cdots+x_{n n}+v,
$$

where $v \in V$. Therefore, $X$ is dense in $I$. It is a routine to prove that $I$ is a two-sided ideal in $C$.

Consider the following application:

$$
\rho: C \rightarrow S, \quad \sum_{i, j} c_{i j} \mapsto\left\{c_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}
$$

where $R=\prod_{i \geq 1} \Lambda_{i} / J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$.
The application defined above is a morphism. Let $a, c \in C$; we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(a+c) & =\rho\left(\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}\left(a_{i j}+c_{i j}\right)\right)=\left\{\left(a_{i i}+c_{i i}\right)+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} \\
& =\left\{a_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}+\left\{c_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}=\rho(a)+\rho(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $a c=d$, then:

$$
\rho(a c)=\left\{d_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}, \quad \rho(a) \rho(c)=\left\{a_{i i} c_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}}
$$

Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, then

$$
d_{i i}=\sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i s} c_{s i}=a_{i i} c_{i i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)
$$

where $\rho(a c)=\rho(a) \rho(c)$.
We affirm that $\rho$ is continuous. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of identity in $R$,

$$
U=\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n}\left[U_{i}+J\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)\right] \times \prod_{k>n}\left[\Lambda_{k} / J\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)\right] ;
$$

where $U_{i}$ are neighborhoods of identity in $\Lambda_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n$.
Let $V$ be a neighborhood of identity in $C$,
$V=\left(U_{1}+U_{2}+\cdots+U_{n}+\left\langle U_{i j}: 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j\right\rangle+\overline{\left\langle\Lambda_{i j}: i<j\right\rangle}\right) \cap C$,
where $U_{i j}$ are neighborhoods of 0 in $\Lambda_{i j}$, with $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n, i \neq j$.
Then, $\rho(V)=U$. Hence $\rho$ is an open continuous morphism.
Note that $\rho$ is surjective and $\operatorname{ker} \rho=I$. By continuity of $\rho$ it follows that $I$ is closed in $C$. Since $R$ is semisimple, $J(C) \subseteq I$.

We affirm that $I \subseteq J(C)$. Since $X$ is a dense subset of $I$, if suffices to show that every element of $X$ is quasiregular (because $I$ is a compact ideal). We will prove that for any $x \in X$, the element $x+J(C)$ is quasiregular. Let $x=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} x_{i j} \in X$; then, by the definition of $I, x_{i j} \in J_{i j}$ for $i \geq j$. Fix $s \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Then $K=$ $\left\langle J_{s i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\rangle$is a right ideal of $C$. Note that the ideal $K$ is topologically nilpotent. We will prove by induction on $t$, that $K^{t} \subseteq J_{s s}^{t-1} J_{s 1}+J_{s s}^{t-1} J_{s 2}+\cdots$. If $t=1$ the inclusion is obvious (put $J_{s s}^{0}=C$ ). If the inclusion is true for $t$, then

$$
K^{t+1} \subseteq\left(\left\langle J_{s i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\rangle\right)\left(J_{s s}^{t-1} J_{s 1}+J_{s s}^{t-1} J_{s 2}+\cdots\right)
$$

Since

$$
\left(\left\langle J_{s i}: i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}\right\rangle\right) J_{s s}^{t-1} \subseteq J_{s s}^{t}
$$

the inclusion is true for every $t$. Since $\Lambda$ has a local base consisting of two-sided ideals, and $J_{s s} \subseteq J(\Lambda)$ is topologically nilpotent, hence $K$ is topologically nilpotent.

Then we have:

$$
x+J(C)=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} x_{i j}+J(C)
$$

But $\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} x_{i j}$ is a nilpotent element, so $x+J(C)$ is nilpotent. Furthermore $I$ is a quasiregular ideal, hence $I \subseteq J(C)$.

Theorem 3.15. Let $\Lambda$ be a compact ring with identity and a countable system of idempotents, satisfying conditions $\left(\theta_{2}\right),(\Sigma)$. Let $D$ be a torus and $B$ the radical upper triangular subgroup of $U(\Lambda)$. Then for every closed subgroup $H, D \subseteq H \subseteq B$, there exists a $D$-net $\sigma$ such that $H=G(\sigma)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{i j}\right), i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, be the $D$-net associated to $H$ (see the Definition 2.9). Since $D \leq H, E(\sigma) \leq H$, and by Theorem 3.12, we obtain that $G(\sigma)=D \cdot E(\sigma) \leq H$.

We will prove that $H \leq G(\sigma)$. It suffices to show that $a_{i j} \in \sigma_{i j}, i \neq j$, for all $a=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in H$, or equivalently, $t_{i j}\left(a_{i j}\right) \in H$, for $i \neq j$.

By condition $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$, there exists an element $\varepsilon \in \Lambda_{r}$, for any $r \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, such that $\varepsilon, e_{r}+\varepsilon, e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$.

Let $a=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \in H ;$ denote $a^{-1}=\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j}^{\prime}$. Put $b=a d_{r}\left(e_{r}+\varepsilon\right) a^{-1} \in H ;$ we obtain:

$$
b=1+a \varepsilon a^{-1}=1+\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r j}^{\prime} .
$$

Since $H \leq G(\rho)=B$, by the Proposition 3.11, we obtain that

$$
a_{r r}, a_{r r}^{\prime}, b_{r r}=e_{r}+a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)
$$

Denote

$$
\eta=b_{r r}^{-1} a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}=\left(e_{r}+a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)
$$

ut $c=b d_{r}(\eta) a \in H$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =\left(1+\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r j}^{\prime}\right)\left(1-e_{r}+\eta\right) \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \\
& =\left[1+\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r j}^{\prime}-e_{r}+\eta+\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\left(\eta-e_{r}\right)\right] \sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i j}+\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon+\left(\eta-e_{r}\right) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{r j}+\sum_{i, j \in \mathbb{N}^{+}} a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\left(\eta-e_{r}\right) a_{r j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $c_{r r}=a_{r r} \varepsilon$ and $c_{r i}=0$, for $i \neq r$. Applying Lemma 3.13 for $c \in H$, we obtain that $t_{i r}\left(c_{i r}\right) \in H$, for every $i \neq r$.

We have:

$$
c_{i r}=a_{i r}+a_{i r} \varepsilon+a_{i r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\left(\eta-e_{r}\right) a_{r r}=a_{i r}\left[e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\left(\eta-e_{r}\right) a_{r r}\right]
$$

Denote $\mu=e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\left(\eta-e_{r}\right) a_{r r}$; then, $c_{i r}=a_{i r} \mu$. Since

$$
\eta-e_{r}=-\left(e_{r}+a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

we obtain that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =e_{r}+\varepsilon-\left[a_{r r}^{-1}\left(e_{r}+a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime-1} \varepsilon^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1} \\
& =e_{r}+\varepsilon-\left(a_{r r}^{-1} a_{r r}^{\prime-1} \varepsilon^{-1}+1\right)^{-1} \\
& =e_{r}+\varepsilon-\left[\left(e_{r}+\varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r}\right) a_{r r}^{-1} a_{r r}^{\prime-1} \varepsilon^{-1}\right]^{-1} \\
& =e_{r}+\varepsilon-\varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r}\left(e_{r}+a_{r r} \varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\mu\left(e_{r}+\varepsilon a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r}\right)=e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2} a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r}$.
Using Theorem 3.14, we have that $e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2} a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r}=e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2}(\bmod J(C))$, and since $e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$, we obtain $e_{r}+\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2} a_{r r}^{\prime} a_{r r} \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$, hence $\mu \in U\left(\Lambda_{r}\right)$.

Since $t_{i r}\left(c_{i r}\right)=t_{i r}\left(a_{i r} \mu\right) \in H$, and using the relation $(* *)$, we obtain that $t_{i r}\left(a_{i r}\right) \in H$ if $i \neq j$. We proved that $H \leq G(\sigma)$, hence $H=G(\sigma)$.
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