Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis 25(1) (2009), 1-7 www.emis.de/journals ISSN 1786-0091

# ACYCLIC NUMBERS OF GRAPHS

### VLADMIR SAMODIVKIN

ABSTRACT. A subset S of vertices in a graph G is *acyclic* if the subgraph  $\langle S \rangle$  induced by S contains no cycles. The *lower acyclic number*,  $i_a(G)$ , is the smallest number of vertices in a maximal acyclic set in G. The *upper acyclic number*,  $\beta_a(G)$ , is the maximum cardinality of an acyclic set in G. Let  $\mu \in \{\beta_a, i_a\}$ . Any maximal acyclic set S of a graph G with  $|S| = \mu(G)$  is called a  $\mu$ -set of G. A vertex x of a graph G is called: (i)  $\mu$ -good if x belongs to some  $\mu$ -set, (ii)  $\mu$ -fixed if x belongs to every  $\mu$ -set, (iii)  $\mu$ -free if x belongs to some  $\mu$ -set but not to all  $\mu$ -sets, (iv)  $\mu$ -bad if x belongs to no  $\mu$ -set. In this paper we deal with  $\mu$ -good/bad/fixed/free vertices and present results on upper and lower acyclic numbers in graphs having cut-vertices.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite, simple graphs. The vertex set and the edge set of a graph G is denoted by V(G) and E(G), respectively. The subgraph induced by  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is denoted by  $\langle S, G \rangle$ . For a vertex x of G, N(x, G) denote the set of all neighbors of x in G and  $N[x, G] = N(x, G) \cup \{x\}$ .

A subset of vertices S in a graph G is said to be *acyclic* if  $\langle S, G \rangle$  contains no cycles. Note that the property of being acyclic is a hereditary property, that is, any subset of an acyclic set is itself acyclic. An acyclic set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is *maximal* if for every vertex  $v \in V(G) - S$ , the set  $S \cup \{v\}$  is not acyclic. The *lower acyclic number*,  $i_a(G)$ , is the smallest number of vertices in a maximal acyclic set in G. The *upper acyclic number*,  $\beta_a(G)$ , is the maximum cardinality of an acyclic set in G. These two numbers were defined by S.M. Hedetniemi et al. in [4]. We denote by MAS(G) the set of all maximal acyclic sets of a graph G. For every vertex  $x \in V(G)$ , let MAS $(x, G) = \{A \in MAS(G) : x \in A\}$ .

Let  $\mu(G)$  be a numerical invariant of a graph G defined in such a way that it is the minimum or maximum number of vertices of a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with a given property P. A set with property P and with  $\mu(G)$  vertices in G is called a  $\mu$ -set of G. A vertex v of a graph G is defined to be

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69.

Key words and phrases. acyclic numbers;  $i_a/\beta_a$ -fixed/free/bad/good vertex.

## VLADMIR SAMODIVKIN

- (a)  $\mu$ -good, if v belongs to some  $\mu$ -set of G [3];
- (b)  $\mu$ -bad, if v belongs to no  $\mu$  set of G [3];
- (c)  $\mu$ -fixed if v belongs to every  $\mu$ -set [5];

(d)  $\mu$ -free if v belongs to some  $\mu$ -set but not to all  $\mu$ -sets [5].

For a graph G and  $\mu \in \{i_a, \beta_a\}$  we define:

$$\mathbf{G}(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-good}\}; \\
\mathbf{B}(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-bad}\}; \\
\mathbf{F}i(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-fixed}\}; \\
\mathbf{F}r(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : x \text{ is } \mu\text{-free}\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_0(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G-x) = \mu(G)\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_-(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G-x) < \mu(G)\}; \\
\mathbf{V}_+(G,\mu) = \{x \in V(G) : \mu(G-x) > \mu(G)\}.$$

Clearly,  $\{\mathbf{V}_{-}(G,\mu), \mathbf{V}_{0}(G,\mu), \mathbf{V}_{+}(G,\mu)\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{G}(G,\mu), \mathbf{B}(G,\mu)\}$  are partitions of V(G), and  $\{\mathbf{F}i(G,\mu), \mathbf{F}r(G,\mu)\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbf{G}(G)$ .

**Observation 1.1.** For any nontrivial graph G the following holds:

- (1)  $V(G) = \mathbf{V}_{-}(G, \beta_a) \cup \mathbf{V}_{0}(G, \beta_a);$
- (2)  $\mathbf{V}_{-}(G,\beta_{a}) = \{x \in V(G) : \beta_{a}(G-x) = \beta_{a}(G) 1\} = \mathbf{F}i(G,\beta_{a});$
- (3)  $\mathbf{V}_{-}(G, i_a) = \{x \in V(G) : i_a(G x) = i_a(G) 1\};$
- (4)  $\mathbf{V}_+(G, i_a) \subseteq \mathbf{F}i(G, i_a);$
- (5)  $\mathbf{B}(G, i_a) \subseteq \mathbf{V}_0(G, i_a).$

*Proof.* (1): Let  $v \in V(G)$  and M be a  $\beta_a$ -set of G - v. Then M be an acyclic set of G which implies  $\beta_a(G - v) \leq \beta_a(G)$ .

(2): Let  $v \in V(G)$  and  $M_1$  be a  $\beta_a$ -set of G. First assume v be no  $\beta_a$ -fixed. Hence the set  $M_1$  may be chosen so that  $v \notin M_1$  and then  $M_1$  is an acyclic set of G - v implying  $\beta_a(G) = |M_1| \leq \beta_a(G - v)$ . Now by (1) it follows  $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(G - v)$ .

Let v be  $\beta_a$ -fixed. Then each  $\beta_a$ -set of G - v is an acyclic set of G but is no  $\beta_a$ -set of G. Hence  $\beta_a(G) > \beta_a(G - v)$ . Since  $M_1 - \{v\}$  is an acyclic set of G - v then  $\beta_a(G - v) \ge |M_1 - \{v\}| = \beta_a(G) - 1$ .

(3), (4) and (5): Let  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $M_2$  be an  $i_a$ -set of G and  $v \notin M_2$ . Then  $M_2 \in MAS(G-v)$  implying  $i_a(G) \ge i_a(G-v)$ . Now let  $M_3$  be an  $i_a$ -set of G-v. Then either  $M_3$  or  $M_3 \cup \{v\}$  is a maximal acyclic set of G. Hence  $i_a(G-v)+1 \ge i_a(G)$  and if the equality holds then v is  $i_a$ -good.  $\Box$ 

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is called a *decycling set* if V(G) - D is acyclic. A decycling set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a *minimal decycling set* if no proper subset  $D_1 \subset D$  is a decycling set.

The minimum order of a decycling set of G is called the *decycling number* of G and is denoted by  $\nabla(G)$  (see [2]). Note that the set A is in MAS(G) if and only if V(G) - A is a minimal decycling set. Hence  $\nabla(G) + \beta_a(G) = |V(G)|$ . For a survey of results and open problems on  $\nabla(G)$  see [1]. In [2] the decycling

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

of combinations of two graphs were considered, namely the sum, the join and the Cartesian product. Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be connected graphs, both of order at least two, and let they have an unique vertex in common, say x. Then a *coalescence*  $G_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} G_2$  is the graph  $G_1 \cup G_2$ . Clearly, x is a cut-vertex of  $G_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} G_2$ . In this paper we present results on maximal acyclic sets, lower acyclic number and upper acyclic number in a coalescence of graphs.

# 2. Maximal acyclic sets

In this section we begin an investigation of maximal acyclic sets in graphs having cut-vertices.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ ,  $M \in MAS(x, G)$  and  $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$ , j = 1, 2. Then  $M_j \in MAS(x, H_j)$  for j = 1, 2.

Proof. Clearly  $M_j$  is an acyclic set of  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2. Assume  $M_i \notin MAS(x, H_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Then there is a vertex  $u \in V(H_i) - M_i$  such that  $M_i \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set in  $H_i$ . But then  $M \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of G - a contradiction with the maximality of M.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ ,  $M_j \in MAS(x, H_j)$  for j = 1, 2. Then  $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \in MAS(x, G)$ .

*Proof.* Since x is a cut-vertex then M is an acyclic set of G. If  $M \notin MAS(G)$  then there is  $u \in V(G - M)$  such that  $M \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of G. Let without loss of generalities  $u \in V(H_1)$ . Then  $M_1 \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_1$  contradicting  $M_1 \in MAS(H_1)$ . Hence  $M \in MAS(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ ,  $M \in MAS(G)$ ,  $x \notin M$  and  $M_j = M \cap V(H_j)$ , j = 1, 2. Then one of the following holds:

- (1)  $M_j \in MAS(H_j)$  for j = 1, 2;
- (2) there are l and m such that  $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\}, M_l \in MAS(H_l), M_m \in MAS(H_m x) \text{ and } M_m \cup \{x\} \in MAS(H_m).$

Proof. Clearly  $M_i$  is an acyclic set of  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2. Assume there be  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ such that  $M_j \notin MAS(H_j)$ , say j = 1. If  $M_1 \notin MAS(H_1 - x)$  then there is  $v \in V(H_1 - x), v \notin M_1$  such that  $M_1 \cup \{v\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_1 - x$ and since  $x \notin M$  then  $M \cup \{v\}$  is an acyclic set of G - a contradiction. So,  $M_1 \in MAS(H_1 - x)$ . Since  $M_1 \notin MAS(H_1)$  then there is  $u \in V(H_1 - M_1)$ such that  $M_1 \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_1$ . Since  $M_1 \in MAS(H_1 - x)$  then u = x. Hence  $M_1 \cup \{x\} \in MAS(H_1)$ . Suppose  $M_2 \notin MAS(H_2)$ . Then  $M_2 \cup \{x\} \in MAS(H_2)$  and by Proposition 2.2,  $M \cup \{x\} \in MAS(G)$  contradicting  $M \in MAS(G)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ ,  $M_j \in MAS(H_j)$  for j = 1, 2 and  $x \notin M = M_1 \cup M_2$ . Then  $M \in MAS(H)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.2.

# VLADMIR SAMODIVKIN

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ ,  $M_1 \in MAS(x, H_1)$ ,  $M_2 \in MAS(H_2)$  and  $x \notin M_2$ . Then  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$  is no acyclic set of G and there is a set  $M_3$  such that  $M_1 - \{x\} \subseteq M_3 \in MAS(H_1 - x)$  and  $M_3 \cup M_2 \in MAS(G)$ .

Proof. Since  $M_1 - \{x\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_1 - x$  then there is  $M_3 \in MAS(H_1 - x)$ with  $M_1 - \{x\} \subseteq M_3$ . Hence  $U = M_3 \cup M_2$  is an acyclic set of G. Assume  $U \notin MAS(G)$ . Then there is  $v \in V(G) - U$  such that  $U \cup \{v\}$  is an acyclic set of G. Now either  $M_3 \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_1 - x$  or  $M_2 \cup \{u\}$  is an acyclic set of  $H_2$  depending on whether  $u \in V(H_1 - x)$  or  $u \in V(H_2)$ . In both cases we have a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

# 3. $\beta_a$ -sets and $i_a$ -sets

In this section we present some results concerning the lower acyclic number and the upper acyclic number of graphs having cut-vertices.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ . Then  $\beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1 \leq \beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ . Moreover,  $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$  if and only if x is no  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2.

*Proof.* We need the following claims:

Claim 1. If x is a  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of G then  $\beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1$ .

Let M be a  $\beta_a$ -set of G. Then

$$\beta_a(G) = |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)| - 1 \le \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Claim 2. If x is no  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of G then  $\beta_a(G) \leq \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ .

Let M be a  $\beta_a$ -set of G such that  $x \notin M$ . Hence

$$\beta_a(G) = |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)| \le \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2).$$

Claim 3. If x is no  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2 then  $\beta_a(G) \ge \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ .

Let  $M_i$  be a  $\beta_a$ -set of  $H_i$  and  $x \notin M_i$ , i = 1, 2. Then  $M = M_1 \cup M_2$  is an acyclic set of G and  $\beta_a(G) \ge |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ .

Claim 4. If x is  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of  $H_i$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  then

$$\beta_a(G) \ge \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Let without loss of generalities i = 1. Let  $M_j$  be a  $\beta_a$ -set of  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2. Then  $M = (M_1 - \{x\}) \cup M_2$  is an acyclic set of G and

$$\beta_a(G) \ge |M| = |M_1| - 1 + |M_2| = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$$

By the above claims it immediately follows

(1) 
$$\beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1 \le \beta_a(G) \le \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$$

If x is no  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2 then by (1) and Claim 3 it follows  $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2)$ . Now, let without loss of generalities x is a  $\beta_a$ -fixed

vertex of  $H_1$ . If x is a  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of G then by Claim 1 and (1) it follows  $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1$ . Assume x is no  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of G. Then there is a  $\beta_a$ -set of G with  $x \notin M$ . Hence

$$\beta_a(G) = |M| = |M \cap V(H_1)| + |M \cap V(H_2)|$$
  

$$\leq \beta_a(H_1 - x) + \beta_a(H_2) = (\beta_a(H_1) - 1) + \beta_a(H_2)$$
  
ecause of Observation 1.1 (2).

because of Observation 1.1 (2).

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $G = H_1 \circ H_2$  and x is a  $\beta_a$ -fixed vertex of G. Then  $\beta_a(G) = \beta_a(H_1) + \beta_a(H_2) - 1.$ 

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ . Then:

- (1)  $i_a(G) \ge i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) 1;$
- (2) Let x be an  $i_a$ -good vertex of G,  $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) 1$ , let M be an  $i_a$ -set of G and  $x \in M$ . Then  $M \cap V(H_j)$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2;
- (3) Let x be an  $i_a$ -bad vertex of the graph G,  $i_a(H) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) 1$  and let M be an  $i_a$ -set of G. Then there are l, m such that  $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\},\$  $M \cap V(H_l)$  is a  $i_a$ -set of  $H_l$ ,  $M \cap V(H_m)$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_m - x$ ,  $i_a(H_m - x) = i_a(H_m) - 1$  and  $(M \cap V(H_m)) \cup \{x\}$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_m$ ;
- (4) Let x be an  $i_a$ -good vertex of graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Then

$$i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

If  $M_i$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_i$ , j = 1, 2 and  $\{x\} = M_1 \cap M_2$  then  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is an  $i_a$ -set of the graph G;

(5) Let x be an  $i_a$ -bad vertex of graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Then

$$i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2).$$

If  $M_i$  is a  $i_a$ -set of  $H_i$ , j = 1, 2 then  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is an  $i_a$ -set of G.

*Proof.* (2): Let M be an  $i_a$ -set of G and  $M_j = M \cap V(H_j), j = 1, 2$ . If  $x \in M$ then by Proposition 2.1 it follows  $M_j \in MAS(x, H_j), j = 1, 2$ . So that

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| - 1 \ge i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Clearly the equality holds if and only if  $M_i$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_i$ , i = 1, 2.

(3): Let M be an  $i_a$ -set of G and  $M_j = M \cap V(H_j), j = 1, 2$ . Since x is  $i_a$ -bad,  $x \notin M$ . If  $M_j \in MAS(H_j), j = 1, 2$  then

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| \ge i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2).$$

If there are l and m such that  $\{l, m\} = \{1, 2\}, M_l \in MAS(H_l), M_m \in$  $MAS(H_m - x)$  and  $M_m \cup \{x\} \in MAS(H_m)$  then

$$i_a(G) = |M| = |M_l| + |M_m| \ge i_a(H_l) + i_a(H_m) - 1$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $M_l$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_l$ ,  $M_m$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_m - x$  and  $M_m \cup \{x\}$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_m$ . There is no other possibilities because of Proposition 2.3.

## VLADMIR SAMODIVKIN

(1): Immediately follows by the proofs of (2) and (3).

(4): Let  $M_j$  be an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2 and  $\{x\} = M_1 \cap M_2$ . It follows by Proposition 2.2 that  $M_1 \cup M_2 \in MAS(G)$ . Hence

$$i_a(G) \le |M_1 \cup M_2| = |M_1| + |M_2| - 1 = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1.$$

Now, by (1),  $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$  and then  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is an  $i_a$ -set of G.

(5): Assume  $i_a(G) = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) - 1$ . If x is an  $i_a$ -bad vertex of G then by (3) there exists  $m \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $i_a(H_m - x) = i_a(H_m) - 1$ . Now, by Observation 1.1(5) x is an  $i_a$ -good vertex of  $H_m$  - a contradiction. If x is an  $i_a$ -good vertex of G, M is an  $i_a$ -set of G and  $x \in M$  then by (2) we have  $M \cap V(H_s)$  is an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_s$ , s = 1, 2. But then x is an  $i_a$ -good vertex of  $H_s$ , s = 1, 2. But then x is an  $i_a$ -good vertex of  $H_s$ , s = 1, 2 which is a contradiction. Hence,  $i_a(G) \ge i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2)$ . Let  $M_j$  be an  $i_a$ -set of  $H_j$ , j = 1, 2. By Proposition 2.4,  $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \in MAS(G)$ . Hence,  $i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2) \le i_a(G) \le |M| = |M_1| + |M_2| = i_a(H_1) + i_a(H_2)$ .

*Example* 3.4. Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be the graphs defined as follows:

$$V(H_1) = \{x; x_{11}, \dots, x_{1m}; x_{21}, \dots, x_{2m}\},\$$

$$E(H_1) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m \{xx_{1i}, xx_{2i}, x_{1i}x_{2i}\},\$$

$$V(H_2) = \{x, y, z; y_{11}, \dots, y_{1n}; y_{21}, \dots, y_{2n}; z_{11}, \dots, z_{1p}; z_{21}, \dots, z_{2p}\},\$$

$$E(H_2) = \{xy, yz, zx\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{yy_{1i}, yy_{2i}, y_{1i}y_{2i}\} \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^p \{zz_{1j}, zz_{2j}, z_{1j}z_{2j}\},\$$

where m, n and p be positive integers such that  $m + 1 \leq n \leq p$ . Now, let  $G = H_1 \stackrel{x}{\circ} H_2$ . It is easy to see that  $i_a(H_1) = m + 1$ ,  $i_a(H_2) = n + p + 2$  and  $i_a(G) = 2m + n + p + 2$ . Hence,  $i_a(G) - i_a(H_1) - i_a(H_2) = m - 1$ .

This example establish the following result.

**Theorem 3.5.** For each positive integer r there exists a pair of graphs  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  such that they have an unique vertex in common, say x, and

$$i_a(H_1 \circ H_2) - i_a(H_1) - i_a(H_2) > r.$$

#### References

- S. Bau and L. W. Beineke. The decycling number of graphs. Australas. J. Combin., 25:285–298, 2002.
- [2] L. W. Beineke and R. C. Vandell. Decycling graphs. J. Graph Theory, 25(1):59–77, 1997.
- [3] G. H. Fricke, T. W. Haynes, S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and R. C. Laskar. Excellent trees. Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 34:27–38, 2002.
- [4] S. M. Hedetniemi, S. T. Hedetniemi, and D. F. Rall. Acyclic domination. Discrete Math., 222(1-3):151–165, 2000.
- [5] E. Sampathkumar and P. S. Neeralagi. Domination and neighbourhood critical, fixed, free and totally free points. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 54(Special Issue):403–407, 1992. Combinatorial mathematics and applications (Calcutta, 1988).

Received December 6, 2006.

 $\mathbf{6}$ 

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UACG, 1046 Sofia, Bulgaria. *E-mail address*: VLSAM\_FTE@UACG.BG