Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyíregyháziensis 25 (2009), 29-37 www.emis.de/journals ISSN 1786-0091

ON THE AUTOMORPHISM OF A CLASS OF GROUPS

M. HASHEMI

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a presentation for automorphism group of a class of 2-generator metabelian groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many authors have studied the automorphism groups, of course most of these are devoted to *p*-groups. In [3], Jamali presents some non-abelian 2groups with abelian automorphism groups. Bidwell and Curran [2] studied the automorphism group of a split metacyclic *p*-groups. By a program in [1], one can calculate the order of small p-groups. In this paper G will denote a group. G', Z(G) and Aut(G) will denote the derived subgroup, center and automorphism group of G.

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Consider the group $U(m) = \{n | 1 \leq n \leq m, (n,m) = 1\}$, clearly U(m) is abelian and $|U(m)| = \phi(m)$. Furthermore, there exist $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_t \in U(m^2)$ such that $U_{m^2} = \langle s_1 \rangle \times \langle s_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle s_t \rangle$.

We consider the finitely presented group,

$$H_m = \langle x, y | x^{m^2} = y^m = 1, y^{-1} x y = x^{1+m} \rangle, \qquad m \ge 2.$$

In Section 2, we study the groups H_m and show that H_m is an extra-special group $(G' \simeq Z(G))$. Section 3 is devoted to the characterization of the automorphism group of H_m .

2. Some properties of H_m

First, we state a lemma without proof that establishes some properties of H_m .

Lemma 2.1. If G is a group and $G' \subseteq Z(G)$, then the following hold for every integer k and $u, v, w \in G$:

(i)
$$[uv, w] = [u, w][v, w]$$
 and $[u, vw] = [u, v][u, w]$.
(ii) $[u^k, v] = [u, v^k] = [u, v]^k$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20D15, 20E36.

Key words and phrases. Groups, automorphism groups, group presentation.

(*iii*) $(uv)^k = u^k v^k [v, u]^{k(k-1)/2}$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $G = H_m$. Then $Z(G) = G' \simeq \langle z | z^m = 1 \rangle$.

Proof. We first prove that $G' \subseteq Z(G)$. By the relations of G, we get $[x, y] = x^{-1}x^y = x^{-1}x^{1+m} = x^m$. Then

$$\begin{split} [[x,y],y] &= y^{-1}x^{-1}yxy^{-1}x^{-1}y^{-1}xy^2 = (x^{-1})^y x(x^{-1})^y x^{y^2} \\ &= x^{-m}x^{-1-m}x^{(1+m)^2} = x^{-2m-1}x^{1+2m+m^2} \\ &= x^{m^2} = 1. \end{split}$$

Also we have [[x, y], x] = 1 so that $G' \subseteq Z(G)$ and $[x, y]^m = 1$.

It is sufficient to show that $Z(G) \subseteq G'$. For every $U = u_1^{s_1}u_2^{s_2}\ldots u_k^{s_k}$ in G, where $u_i \in \{x, y\}$ and s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k are integers, using the relation $y^{-1}xy = x^{1+m}$, we may easily prove that U is in the form $y^r x^s$, where $0 \leq r < m$ and $0 \leq s \leq m^2$. Suppose $y^r x^s \in Z(G)$. Then $y^r x = xy^r$ and $yx^s = x^sy$. Hence

$$1 = [x, y^{r}] = x^{-1}x^{(1+m)^{r}} = x^{-1}x^{(1+rm)} = x^{rm},$$

$$1 = [x^{s}, y] = x^{-s}(x^{s})^{y} = x^{-s}x^{(1+m)s} = x^{ms}.$$

These show that m|r and m|s, and then $y^r x^s = (x^m)^t = [x, y]^t \in G'$. Therefore Z(G) = G'.

By the above calculations, we get:

Corollary 2.3. Every element of $G = H_m$ can be written uniquely in the form $y^r x^s$, where $0 \le r \le m - 1$ and $0 \le s \le m^2 - 1$. Also $|G| = m^3$.

Proof. Let $y^r x^s = 1$ then $1 = [x, y^r] = [x, y]^r = x^{rm}$. Therefore $m|r, m^2|s$ and uniqueness of the presentation follows. This yields that $|G| = m^3$.

Remark 2.4. For an integer $n \geq 1$ and $u = y^{r_1}x^{s_1}$, $v = y^{r_2}x^{s_2} \in H_m$, when we are trying to find the automorphism of H_m we need to concentrate on the terms uv, u^n and $(uv)^n$. By the Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\begin{split} uv &= y^{r_1} x^{s_1} y^{r_2} x^{s_2} = y^{r_1+r_2} x^{s_1+s_2} [x, y]^{s_1r_2} = y^{r_1+r_2} x^{s_1+s_2+ms_1r_2} \\ u^n &= y^{nr_1} x^{ns_1} [x^{s_1}, y^{r_1}]^{n(n-1)/2} = y^{nr_1} x^{ns_1+mr_1s_1n(n-1)/2} \\ u^n v^n &= y^{n(r_1+r_2)} x^{n(s_1+s_2)+m(r_1s_1+r_2s_2)n(n-1)/2} [x, y]^{nr_2(ns_1+mr_1s_1n(n-1)/2} \\ &= y^{n(r_1+r_2)} x^{n(s_1+s_2)+mn^2s_1r_2+m(r_1s_1+r_2s_2)n(n-1)/2} \\ (uv)^n &= (y^{r_1+r_2} x^{s_1+s_2+ms_1r_2})^n \\ &= y^{n(r_1+r_2)} x^{n(s_1+s_2+ms_1r_2)} [x, y]^{n(r_1+r_2)(s_1+s_2+ms_1r_2)(n-1)/2} \\ &= y^{n(r_1+r_2)} x^{n(s_1+s_2+ms_1r_2)+(r_1+s_1)(r_2+s_2)mn(n-1)/2}. \end{split}$$

3. A presentation for automorphisms group of H_m

The following proposition is the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.1. Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer.

(i) If m is odd then

Aut
$$(H_m) = \{ f_{r,s,i} | (x) f_{r,s,i} = y^r x^s, (y) f_{r,s,i} = y x^{mi},$$

where $0 \le r < m, \ 0 \le i < m \text{ and } 1 \le s < m^2, \text{ when } (m,s) = 1 \}.$

(ii) If $\frac{m}{2}$ is even then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Aut}(H_m) &= \big\{ f_{r,s,i} | (x) f_{r,s,i} = y^r x^s, \ (y) f_{r,s,i} = y^{r_3} x^{mi}, \\ where \ 0 \leq r < m, \ 0 \leq i < m, \ r_3 = 1 \ if \ r \ is \ even \ and \\ r_3 &= 1 + \frac{m}{2} \ if \ r \ is \ odd \ also \ 1 \leq s < m^2, \ when \ (m,s) = 1 \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $\frac{m}{2}$ is odd then

Aut
$$(H_m) = \{ f_{2r,s,i} | (x) f_{2r,s,i} = y^{2r} x^s, (y) f_{2r,s,i} = y x^{\frac{m}{2}i},$$

where $0 \le r < \frac{m}{2}, \ 0 \le i < 2m \text{ and } 1 \le s < m^2, \text{ when } (m,s) = 1 \}.$

Proof. Let $f \in Aut(H_m)$ and $(x)f = y^{r_1}x^{s_1}$, $(y)f = y^{r_2}x^{s_2}$. Then for every $u = y^k x^n \in H_m$, we get

$$(u)f = ((y)f)^k ((x)f)^n = (y^{r_2}x^{s_2})^k (y^{r_1}x^{s_1})^n = y^{kr_2 + nr_1}x^{ks_2 + ns_1 + mkns_2r_1 + m(r_2s_2k(k-1)/2 + s_1r_1n(n-1)/2)}.$$

Since $(x^m)f = x^{ms_1 + ms_1 r_1 m(m-1)/2}$ and $|(x^m)f| = |x^m| = m$, we have $(m, s_1(1 + r_1 m(m-1)/2) = 1,$

namely,

(1)
$$(m, s_1) = 1$$
 and $(m, 1 + r_1 m (m - 1)/2) = 1$.

Also |(y)f| = m, thus $x^{m(s_2 + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}r_2s_2)} = 1$ that is

(2)
$$s_2 + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}r_2s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

For $(m, 1 + \frac{m(m-1)}{2}) = 1$ or 2 so that $m|s_2$ or $\frac{m}{2}|s_2$. Since $xy = yx^{1+m}$, then

$$(xy)f = (yx^{m+1})f = y^{r_1+r_2}x^{s_2+(m+1)s_1+m(m+1)s_2r_1+\frac{ms_1r_1m(m+1)}{2}}$$

= $(x)f(y)f = y^{r_1+r_2}x^{s_2+s_1+ms_1r_2}.$

Therefore, by the Corollary 2.3, we get

(3)
$$s_1 + s_2 r_1 + s_1 r_1 \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \equiv s_1 r_2 \pmod{m}.$$

To prove (i), since m is odd then by (2), we get $m|s_2$. This together with (1) and (3) gives $r_2 = 1$. As above, we consider

$$(x)f = y^{r_1}x^{s_1}, \quad (y)f = y^{r_2}x^{mi}$$

M. HASHEMI

where $0 \le r_1 \le m - 1$, $r_2 = 1$, $0 \le i \le m - 1$ and $0 \le s_1 \le m^2 - 1$ when $(m, s_1) = 1$.

It is sufficient to prove that f, with the above conditions, is an isomorphism. Let

$$(y^k x^n) f = y^{r_2 k + r_1 n} x^{mki + ns_1 + ms_1 r_1 n(n-1)/2} = e.$$

Since $r_2 = 1$, Corollary 2.3 implies that

(4)
$$k + nr_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

(5)
$$mki + ns_1 + ms_1r_1\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}.$$

Using the relations (1) and (5), we obtain m|n. It follows that m|k. This together with (5) yields $m^2|n + \frac{mr_1n(n-1)}{2}$. Since m is odd, $m^2|n$ (for (m, 2) = 1, m|n) and $u = y^k x^n = e$.

Now, let *m* be even and $\frac{m}{2} = 2t$. Then by (2), $m|s_2$. This together with (3) gives $r_2 = 1$ if r_1 is even and $r_2 = 1 + \frac{m}{2}$ if r_1 is odd. Consider $(x)f = y^{r_1}x^{s_1}$, $(y)f = y^{r_2}x^{m_i}$, where $0 \le r_1 \le m - 1$, $r_2 = 1$ (when r_1 is even) and $r_2 = 1 + \frac{m}{2}$ (when, r_1 is odd), $0 \le i \le m - 1$ and $0 \le s_1 \le m^2 - 1$ while $(m, s_1) = 1$.

In a similar way as for the Case (i), we get

(6)
$$r_2k + nr_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

(7)
$$mki + ns_1 + ms_1r_1\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}.$$

Since $(m, s_1) = 1$, the congruence (7) yields that m|n. Also $(m, r_2) = 1$ then by (6) we have m|k. Combining all these facts, we see that $n + mr_1 \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$ and hence $m^2|n$ or $n = \frac{m^2}{2}$. If $n = \frac{m^2}{2}$ then we have

$$\frac{m^2}{2} + \frac{mr_1m^2(\frac{m^2}{2} - 1)}{4} \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2},$$

This yields that $1 + \frac{mr_1(\frac{m^2}{2}-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, which is a contradiction (for, $\frac{m}{2} = 2t$). Then $m^2 | n$ and $u = y^k x^n = e$. This completes the proof of (ii).

Lastly, let $\frac{m}{2}$ be odd. Then by (2), we get $\frac{m}{2}|s_2$. Also $(m, 1+r_1\frac{m(m-1)}{2})=1$. Therefore r_1 is even and we have $r_2 = 1$ by using relation (3). Consider $(x)f = y^{r_1}x^{s_1}$ and $(y)f = yx^{\frac{m}{2}i}$, where r_1 is even , $0 \le i \le 2m-1$ and $0 \le s_1 \le m^2 - 1$ when $(m, s_1) = 1$.

Now, we show that f is an automorphism. Similar to Case (i), we have

(8)
$$k + nr_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

(9)
$$\frac{m}{2}ki + ns_1 + ms_1r_1\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}.$$

By (9), we get $\frac{m}{2}|n$. Since r_1 is even, by (8) we have m|k. So k = 0. This together with (9) and (1) yields $m^2|n$ so that (iii) is established.

As a result of this proposition and using $\phi(m^2) = m\phi(m)$ (for every positive integer m) we get:

Corollary 3.2. For every $m \ge 2$, $|\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)| = m^3 \phi(m)$ then the order of H_m divides $|\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)|$.

Before we give the presentation for $Aut(H_m)$ and its proof, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer. By using the notations of the Proposition 3.1,

(i) if m is odd then $Z(Aut(H_m)) = \{f_{0, mt+1, 0} | 0 \le t < m\}$

(ii) if $\frac{m}{2}$ is odd then $Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)) = \{f_{0, 2mt+1, 0}, f_{0, 2mt+1, m} | 0 \le t < m\}$ (iii) if $\frac{m}{2}$ is even then $Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)) = \{f_{0, 2mt+1, 0}, f_{0, 2mt+m, \frac{m}{2}} | 0 \le t < m\}$

Proof. (i) Consider $T = \{f_{0, mt+1, 0} | 0 \le t < m\}$. One can easily check that $T \subseteq Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))$. Let $f_{r, s, i} \in Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))$. Then for every $f_{r_1, s_1, i_1} \in Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))$. $\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)$, we have

$$(x)f_{r_1, s_1, i_1}f_{r, s, i} = (x)f_{r, s, i}f_{r_1, s_1, i_1} (y)f_{r_1, s_1, i_1}f_{r, s, i} = (y)f_{r, s, i}f_{r_1, s_1, i_1}.$$

These yield

(10)
$$i_1(1-s) \equiv i(1-s_1) \pmod{m}$$

(11)
$$r_1 + s_1 r \equiv r + sr_1 \pmod{m}$$

(12)

$$mr_1i + \frac{mrss_1(s_1-1)}{2} + \frac{mrss_1(s_1-1)}{2} \equiv mi_1 + \frac{ms_1r_1s(s-1)}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

Substituting, $i_1 = 1$ and $s_1 = 1$ in the congruence (10) gives $s = mt + 1, 0 \leq 1$ $t \leq m$. Similarly, we get r = 0 by selecting $r_1 = 0$ and $s_1 = 2$. With using the above values in (12), we have i = 0. Then $f_{r, s, i} = f_{0, mt+1, 0} \in T$.

(ii) Let $T = \{f_{0, mt+1, 0}, f_{0, mt+1, m} | 0 \le t \le \frac{m}{2}\}$. Then one can easily prove that $T \subseteq Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))$. We now suppose that $f_{2r, s, i} \in Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))$. Then for every $f_{2r_1, s_1, i_1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(H_m)$, we get

$$(x)f_{2r_1, s_1, i_1}f_{2r, s, i} = (x)f_{2r, s, i}f_{2r_1, s_1, i_1}$$

$$(y)f_{2r_1, s_1, i_1}f_{2r, s, i} = (y)f_{2r, s, i}f_{2r_1, s_1, i_1}.$$

Hence

(13)
$$2r + 2sr_1 \equiv 2r_1 + 2s_1r \pmod{m}$$

(14)
$$rmi_1 + \frac{m^2}{2}i_1(r(2r-1) + mr_1s_1s(s-1))$$

$$\equiv r_1mi + \frac{m^2}{2}ir_1(2r_1-1) + mrss_1(s_1-1) \pmod{m^2}$$

M. HASHEMI

(15)
$$\frac{m}{2}i + \frac{m}{2}i_1s + \frac{m^2}{2}rsi_1(\frac{m}{2}i_1 - 1)$$

$$\equiv \frac{m}{2}i_1 + \frac{m}{2}is_1 + \frac{m^2}{2}s_1r_1i(\frac{m}{2}i - 1) \pmod{m^2}.$$

We consider the congruence (13) and take $r_1 = s_1 = 1$, so $2s \equiv 2 \pmod{m}$, that is $s = 1 + \frac{m}{2}t_1$. For, (s, m) = 1, t_1 is even, so that s = 1 + mt. Again in (4), replacing s_1 by m-1 and s by 1+mt, we get $4r \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, so $2r \equiv 0$ $(\mod m).$

Now, by (15) with $i_1 = r_1 = 0$ and $s_1 = -1$, we have $mi \equiv 0 \pmod{m^2}$ so that i = 0 or i = m. Finally, by (15) when s = mt + 1, r = 0, $i_1 = 1$ and i = 0or i = m, we get s = 2mk + 1. Consequently, $f_{2r,s,i} = f_{0,2mk+1,0}$ or $f_{0,2mk+1,m}$. Similarly, if $\frac{m}{2}$ is even the result follows in a similar way as for the case (ii). \Box

The following corollary is now a consequence of Lemma 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. For every $m \ge 2$, $|Z(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m))| = m$.

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer and let $U_{m^2} = \langle s_1 \rangle \times \langle s_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle s_t \rangle$. Since $m+1 \in U_{m^2}$, there exist unique integers m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t such that m+1 = $s_1^{m_1}s_2^{m_2}\ldots s_t^{m_t}$. Finally, let k_i denote the order of s_i modulo m^2 . In other words, k_i is the smallest positive integer such that $s_i^{k_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m^2}$.

Consider

$$A = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, a, b | a^m = b^m = a_i^{\kappa_i} = 1,$$

$$[[a, b], a] = [[a, b], b] = [[a, b], a_i] = [a_i, a_j] = 1, [a, a_i] = a^{s_i - 1},$$

$$[b, a_i] = b^{\alpha_i} [b, a]^{\beta_i}, \ [a, b] = a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_t^{m_t}, \quad 1 \le i, \ j \le t \ \rangle;$$

$$B = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, a, b | a^{2m} = b^{\frac{m}{2}} = a_i^{k_i} = 1,$$

$$[[a, b], a] = [[a, b], b] = [[a, b], a_i] = [a_i, a_j] = 1, \ [a, a_i] = a^{s_i - 1},$$

$$[b, a_i] = b^{\alpha_i} [b, a]^{\alpha_i}, \ [a, b] = (a_1^{m_1} a_2^{m_2} \dots a_t^{m_t})^{\frac{m}{2} - 1}, \quad 1 \le i, \ j \le t \rangle;$$

$$C = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t, a, b, c | R \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{split} R &= \{a^{m}, \ b^{\frac{m}{2}}, \ a^{k_{i}}_{i}, \ c^{-2}b^{1+\frac{m}{4}}, \\ &[a_{i}, a_{j}], \ [b, c], \ [[b, a], a], \ [[b, a], b], \ [[b, a], a_{i}], \ [a_{i}, a]a^{s_{i}-1}, \ [a, b][c, a]^{2}, \\ &[a_{i}, b](b[b, a])^{\alpha_{i}}, \ [a^{-1}, c^{-1}]([c, a])^{1+\frac{m}{2}}, \ ca_{i}c^{-1}[a, c]^{(\frac{m}{2}-1)\frac{s_{i}-1}{2}}a^{-1}_{i}b^{\frac{s_{i}-1}{2}}, \\ &c^{-1}a^{-1}_{i}cb^{\frac{s_{i}-1}{2}}a_{i}[c, a]^{(\frac{m}{2}-1)\frac{s_{i}-1}{2}}, \ [a, c]a^{m_{1}}a^{m_{2}}_{2} \dots a^{m_{t}}_{t}, 1 \leq i, \ j \leq t\}, \end{split}$$

 $\alpha_i = s_i^{k_i - 1} - 1$ and $\beta_i = \frac{s_i^{\kappa_i} \alpha_i}{2}$.

With these notations, we state the main result of this paper.

Proposition 3.5. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. With the notations of Proposition 3.1,

(i) if m is odd then $\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) \simeq A$, (ii) if $\frac{m}{2}$ is odd then $\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) \simeq B$, (iii) if $\frac{m}{2}$ is even then $\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) \simeq C$.

Proof. (i) For simplicity, we write $f_{011} = f_{0, 1, 1}$, $f_{110} = f_{1, 1, 0}$ and $f_{s_i} = f_{0, s_i, 0}$, $(1 \le i \le t)$. Then for every $k \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &(x)f_{011}^{k} = x, \ (y)f_{011}^{k} = yx^{km} \\ &(x)f_{110}^{k} = y^{k}x, \ (y)f_{110}^{k} = y \\ &(x)f_{s_{i}}^{k} = x^{s_{i}^{k}}, \ (y)f_{s_{i}}^{k} = y. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $|f_{011}| = |f_{110}| = m$, $|f_{s_i}| = k_i$ and $\prod_{i=1}^t |f_{s_i}| = m\phi(m)$. Also we can show that,

 $[f_{011}, f_{s_i}] = f_{011}^{s_i-1}, [f_{110}, f_{s_i}] = f_{110}^{\alpha_i} f_{m+1}^{\beta_i}, [f_{s_i}, f_{s_j}] = 1, [f_{110}, f_{011}] = f_{m+1}$ and

$$f_{m+1} = f_{s_1}^{m_1} f_{s_2}^{m_2} \dots f_{s_t}^{m_t},$$

where $\alpha_i = s_i^{k_i - 1} - 1$ and $\beta_i = \frac{s_i^{k_i} \alpha_i}{2}$. Consider, $T = \{ (\prod_{i=1}^t f_{s_i}^{l_i}) f_{110}^{i_1} f_{011}^{i_2} | 0 \le i_1, i_2 < m, 0 \le l_i < k_i \}$, so that $|T| = m^3 \phi(m)$. Since $T \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(H_m)$,

$$\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) = \langle f_{s_1}, f_{s_2}, \dots, f_{s_t}, f_{110}, f_{011} \rangle.$$

Now, by [4, Proposition 4.2], there is an epimorphism $\psi: A \to \operatorname{Aut}(H_m)$ such that $\psi(a) = f_{110}, \psi(b) = f_{011}$ and $\psi(a_i) = f_{s_i}, 1 \leq i \leq t$. It remains to prove that ψ is one-to-one, and for this, consider the subset

$$L = \{ (\prod_{i=1}^{t} a_i^{l_i}) a^{i_1} b^{i_2} | 0 \le i_1, i_2 < m, \ 0 \le l_i < k_i \},\$$

of A. By using the relations of A, for every $w \in A$, we get $Lw \subseteq L$ then A = L. Suppose that $\psi((\prod_{i=1}^{t} a_i^{l_i})a^{i_1}b^{i_2}) = e$ then $(\prod_{i=1}^{t} f_{s_i}^{l_i})f_{110}^{i_1}f_{011}^{i_2} = 1$ that is

(16)
$$(x)(\prod_{i=1}^{t} f_{s_i}^{l_i})f_{110}^{i_1}f_{011}^{i_2} = x$$

(17)
$$(y)(\prod_{i=1}^{t} f_{s_i}^{l_i})f_{110}^{i_1}f_{011}^{i_2} = y.$$

By (2), $yx^{mi_2} = y$. So that Corollary 2.3, yields $m|i_2$ i.e. $i_2 = 0$. Again, with using (1) and Corollary 2.3 we get

(18)
$$i_1 s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

(19)
$$s_1^{l_1}s_2^{l_2}\dots s_t^{l_t} + mi_1s_1^{l_1}s_2^{l_2}\dots s_t^{l_t}(\frac{s_1^{l_1}s_2^{l_2}\dots s_t^{l_t}-1}{2}) \equiv 1 \pmod{m^2}.$$

M. HASHEMI

Since $(s_i, m) = 1$, by (18), we conclude that $m|i_1$. This together with (19) gives

$$s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} \equiv 1 \pmod{m^2}$$

Also $\langle s_j \rangle \bigcap \prod_{i \neq j} \langle s_i \rangle = \{1\}$ then for every *i* where $1 \leq i \leq t$, we have $s_i^{l_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{m^2}$ that is $k_i \mid l_i$. Combining all these facts, we see that $(\prod_{i=1}^t a_i^{l_i})a^{i_1}b^{i_2} = e$.

(ii) Let $\frac{m}{2}$ be odd. To calculate the $Aut(H_m)$, take $f_{011} = f_{0, 1, 1}$, $f_{210} = f_{2, 1, 0}$ and $f_{s_i} = f_{0, s_i, 0}$, $(1 \le i \le t)$ then for every $k \ge 0$, by using induction method on k, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(x)f_{011}^k = x, \ (y)f_{011}^k = yx^{km/2} \\ &(x)f_{210}^k = y^{2k}x, \ (y)f_{210}^k = y \\ &(x)f_{s_i}^k = x^{s_i{}^k}, \ (y)f_{s_i}^k = y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|f_{011}| = 2m$, $|f_{210}| = \frac{m}{2}$, $|f_{s_i}| = k_i$ and $\prod_{i=1}^t |f_{s_i}| = m\phi(m)$. Also we have,

 $[f_{011}, f_{s_i}] = f_{011}^{s_i-1}, [f_{210}, f_{s_i}] = f_{210}^{\alpha_i} f_{m+1}^{\alpha_i}, [f_{s_i}, f_{s_j}] = 1, [f_{011}, f_{210}] = f_{m+1}^{\frac{m}{2}-1}$ and

$$f_{m+1} = f_{s_1}^{m_1} f_{s_2}^{m_2} \dots f_{s_t}^{m_t},$$

where $\alpha_i = s_i^{k_i - 1} - 1$.

Consider the subset

$$T = \{ (\prod_{i=1}^{t} f_{s_i}^{l_i}) f_{110}^{i_1} f_{210}^{i_2} | \ 1 \le i_1 < 2m, \ 0 \le i_2 < \frac{m}{2}, \ 0 \le l_i < k_i \},\$$

so that $|T| = m^3 \phi(m)$ and

$$\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) = \langle f_{s_1}, f_{s_2}, \dots, f_{s_t}, f_{110}, f_{210} \rangle$$

Now, let $(\prod_{i=1}^{t} f_{s_i}^{l_i}) f_{011}^{i_1} f_{210}^{i_2} = 1$ then by Corollary 2.3 we get

$$2i_2 s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

$$s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} + 2m i_2 s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} (\frac{2i_2 s_1^{l_1} s_2^{l_2} \dots s_t^{l_t} - 1}{2}) \equiv 1 \mod{m^2}$$

$$\frac{m i_1}{2} \equiv 0 \mod{m^2}.$$

So that $2m|i_1, \frac{m}{2}|i_2, k_i| l_i$ and the result follows in a similar way as for the case (i).

To prove (iii), let $\frac{m}{4}$ be odd. We consider f_{011} , f_{110} , f_{210} and f_{s_i} then for every $k \ge 0$

$$(x)f_{011}^{k} = x, \ (y)f_{011}^{k} = yx^{km}$$
$$(x)f_{110}^{k} = y^{k+\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\frac{m}{2}}x, \ (y)f_{110}^{k} = y^{1+\frac{km}{2}}$$
$$(x)f_{210}^{k} = y^{2k}x, \ (y)f_{210}^{k} = y.$$

Hence $|f_{011}| = m$, $|f_{110}| = |f_{210}| = \frac{m}{2}$, and $|f_{s_i}| = k_i$. Combining all these facts, we see that

$$[f_{011}, f_{s_i}] = f_{011}^{s_i-1}, [f_{210}, f_{s_i}] = f_{210}^{\alpha_i} f_{m+1}^{\alpha_i}, [f_{s_i}, f_{s_j}] = 1, [f_{210}, f_{011}] = f_{2m+1}, [[f_{210}, f_{011}], f_{011}] = [[f_{210}, f_{011}], f_{210}] = [[f_{210}, f_{011}], f_{s_i}] = 1$$

and $f_{m+1} = f_{s_1}^{m_1} f_{s_2}^{m_2} \dots f_{s_t}^{m_t}$, where $\alpha_i = s_i^{k_i - 1} - 1$. Take $N = \langle f_{s_1}, f_{s_2}, \dots, f_{s_t}, f_{011}, f_{210} | R_1 \rangle$, where

+

$$R_{1} = \{f_{011}^{m}, f_{210}^{\frac{m}{2}}, f_{s_{i}}^{k_{i}}, [f_{s_{i}}, f_{011}]f_{011}^{s_{i}-1}, [f_{s_{i}}, f_{210}]f_{210}^{\alpha_{i}}f_{m+1}^{\alpha_{i}}, [f_{s_{i}}, f_{s_{j}}],$$

$$[f_{011}, f_{010}]f_{0m+1}, [[f_{010}, f_{011}], f_{011}], [[f_{010}, f_{011}], f_{010}], [[f_{010}, f_{011}], f_{011}], [f_{010}, f_{011}], f_{011}], [f_{010}, f_{01$$

 $[J_{011}, J_{210}]J_{2m+1}, [[J_{210}, J_{011}], f_{011}], [[J_{210}, f_{011}], f_{210}], [[f_{210}, f_{011}], f_{s_i}]\}.$ Then by the above relations we get

$$N = \{ (\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{s_i}^{l_i}) f_{110}^{i_1} f_{210}^{i_2} | 1 \le i_1 < m, \ 0 \le i_2 < \frac{m}{2}, \ 0 \le l_i < k_i \}$$

Hence $|N| = \frac{m^3 \phi(m)}{2}$, therefore $(\operatorname{Aut}(H_m) : N) = 2$ and $\frac{\operatorname{Aut}(H_m)}{N} = \langle N f_{110} | (N f_{110})^2 = N \rangle.$

Then the assertion may be obtained by [5, 2.2.4].

We note that, for this case, if $\frac{m}{4}$ is even then $|f_{110}| = m$. By the above consideration, the assertion is established.

References

- [1] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12. http://www.gap-system.org.
- [2] J. N. S. Bidwell and M. J. Curran. The automorphism group of a split metacyclic p-group. Arch. Math. (Basel), 87(6):488–497, 2006.
- [3] A.-R. Jamali. Some new non-abelian 2-groups with abelian automorphism groups. J. Group Theory, 5(1):53–57, 2002.
- [4] D. L. Johnson. Presentations of groups, volume 15 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997.
- [5] D. J. S. Robinson. A course in the theory of groups, volume 80 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

Received August 3, 2008.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF GUILAN, P. O. BOX 451 RASHT, IRAN *E-mail address*: m_hashemi@guilan.ac.ir