NOT EVERY OSBORN LOOP IS UNIVERSAL

T. G. JAIYÉỌLÁ AND J. O. ADÉNÍRAN

ABSTRACT. Michael Kinyon's 2005 open problem, based on the universality of Osborn loops is solved. It is shown that not every Osborn loop is universal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The universality of Osborn loops was raised as an open problem by Michael Kinyon [3] in 2005. Up to this present moment, the problem is still open and it is our aim in this study to lay it to rest in this note. It will be shown here that not every Osborn loop is universal.

A loop is called an Osborn loop if it obeys the identity

$$x(yz \cdot x) = x(yx^\lambda \cdot x) \cdot zx.$$

An Osborn loop is said to be universal if all its loop isotopes are Osborn loops. The most popularly known varieties of Osborn loops are CC-loops, Moufang loops, VD-loops and universal weak inverse property loops. All these four varieties of Osborn loops are universal and this is what makes the problem interesting.

For a comprehensive and detailed introduction and literature review of the subject of Osborn loops and universality, readers should check Jaiyéọlá and Adéníran [2]. The notations and symbols used here are exactly those adopted in [2].

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. *Not every Osborn loop is universal.*

Proof. Huthnance [1] gave an example of an Osborn loop as follows.

Let $H = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Define a binary operation $\star$ on H by :

$$[2i, k, m] \star [2j, p, q] = [2i + 2j, k + p - ij(2j - 1), q + m - ij(2j - 1)]$$

$$[2i + 1, k, m] \star [2j, p, q]$$
$$= [2i + 2j + 1, k + p - ij(2j - 1) - j^2 + j, q + m - ij(2j - 1) - j^2]$$
$$[2i, k, m] \star [2j + 1, p, q] = [2i + 2j + 1, m + p - ij(2j + 1), q + k - ij(2j + 1)]$$
$$[2i + 1, k, m] \star [2j + 1, p, q]$$
$$= [2i + 2j + 2, m + p - ij(2j + 1) - j^2 + j, q + k - ij(2j + 1) - j^2]$$

for all $i, j, k, m, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assuming that $(H, \star)$ is a universal Osborn loop, then it should obey the identity $v \cdot vv = v^\lambda \backslash v \cdot v$ in Lemma 3.12 of [2]. Let $v = [2i + 1, k, m]$. Then, by direct computation, we have

$$v \cdot vv = [6i + 3, m + 2k - 10i^3 - 12i^2 - 2i, 2m + k - 10i^3 - 12i^2 - i - 1] \text{ and}$$

$$v^\lambda \backslash v \cdot v = [6i + 3, m + 2k - 14i^3 - 18i^2 - 7i - 1, 2m + k - 14i^3 - 16i^2 - 6i - 1].$$

So, $v \cdot vv \neq v^\lambda \backslash v \cdot v$. Thus, $(H, \star)$ is not a universal Osborn loop. $\square$

3. Concluding Remarks and Future Studies

Theorem 2.1 completely lays to rest the open problem of whether or not Osborn loops are generally universal. Kinyon [3] went furthermore to ask the following question, if in case not every Osborn loop is universal.

- Does there exist a proper Osborn loop with trivial nucleus?

The answer to this question is due for future study.

References

[1] E. D. Huthnance Jr. *A theory of generalised Moufang loops*. PhD thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology, 1968.
[2] T. G. Jaiyéọlá and J. O. Adéníran. New identities in universal Osborn loops. *Quasigroups and Related Systems*, 17(1), 2009.
[3] M. Kinyon. A survey of osborn loops. In *Milehigh conference on loops, quasigroups and non-associative systems*. University of Denver, 2005.

Department of Mathematics,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile Ife 220005, Nigeria
E-mail address: jaiyeolatemitope@yahoo.com, tjayeola@oauife.edu.ng

Department of Mathematics,
University of Agriculture,
Abeokuta 110101, Nigeria
E-mail address: ekenedilichineke@yahoo.com, adeniranoj@unaab.edu.ng