

**ON A SUFFICIENT AND NECESSARY CONDITION FOR A
MULTIVARIATE POLYNOMIAL TO HAVE
ALGEBRAICALLY DEPENDENT ROOTS - AN
ELEMENTARY PROOF**

CSABA VINCZE AND ADRIENN VARGA

ABSTRACT. In the paper we prove that a multivariate polynomial has algebraically dependent roots iff the coefficients are algebraic numbers up to a common proportional term. A complex analytic proof can be found in [2] with applications in the theory of linear functional equations, see also [3, an open problem, section 4.4] and [1]. Here we present an elementary proof involving cardinality properties and basic linear algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{C} be the field of complex numbers. The element $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ is called an algebraically dependent system over the field \mathbb{Q} of the rational numbers if there exists a not identically zero polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ such that $Q(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = 0$. Otherwise we say that it is an algebraically independent system. If $m = 1$ then we speak about algebraic and transcendental numbers instead of algebraically dependent and independent systems. Since Viéta's formulas provide direct relationships between the roots and the coefficients (up to a common proportional term) it can be easily seen that if a univariate polynomial $p(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ has algebraic roots then the coefficients are algebraic numbers up to a constant proportional term. The proof of the converse statement is based on a symmetrization process by taking the product as the coefficients of $p(x)$ runs through their algebraic conjugates. The fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials shows that the product polynomial belongs to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and the product vanishes at each root of the polynomial $p(x)$. Therefore its roots are algebraic. The symmetrization

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12D10 39B22.

Key words and phrases. Algebraic dependent systems, algebraic conjugates, Vandermonde matrix.

Cs. Vincze is supported by the University of Debrecen's internal research project RH/885/2013.

process can be generalized for the case of multivariate polynomials in a more or less direct way, see [2]. Therefore we are going to prove that if a multivariate polynomial has algebraically dependent roots then the coefficients of the polynomial are algebraic numbers up to a common proportional term. A complex analytic proof can be found in [2] with applications in the theory of linear functional equations, see also [3, an open problem, section 4.4] and [1]. Here we present an elementary proof involving cardinality properties and basic linear algebra.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM

Theorem 1. Let $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be a not identically zero polynomial; the solutions of equation

$$(1) \quad P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$$

are algebraically dependent over the rationals if and only if the coefficients of P are algebraic numbers over the rationals up to a common proportional term.

The criteria says that the coefficients of the polynomial have the following special form:

$$p_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \lambda \omega_{i_1 \dots i_m}$$

for some algebraic numbers $\omega_{i_1 \dots i_m}$'s, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq i_1 \leq d_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_m \leq d_m$, where

$$d_1 := \deg_1 P, \dots, d_m := \deg_m P$$

denotes the degree of the polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ with respect to the variable x_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$. In what follows we prove that if equation (1) has algebraically dependent roots then the coefficients of the polynomial are algebraic numbers up to a common proportional term (for the converse statement and the special case of univariate polynomials see section 1). At first the special case of polynomials in two variables will be discussed to avoid the technical difficulties of the multivariable setting. Since the proof contains an inductive argument we note again that the statement is obvious in case of $m = 1$ (univariate polynomials) because Viéta's formulas provide direct relationships between the roots and the coefficients up to a common proportional term. To prove the general statement we adopt the basic ideas of section 3 to the case of multivariate polynomials in general.

3. POLYNOMIALS IN TWO VARIABLES

Suppose that all solutions of equation

$$(2) \quad P(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

are algebraically dependent over the rationals. For any solution (w_1, w_2) of equation (2) let $Q_{w_1, w_2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$ be a nonzero polynomial such that $Q_{w_1, w_2}(w_1, w_2) = 0$.

3.1. **The cardinality argument and the Vandermonde process.** Consider the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid P(z_1, z_2) \neq 0\};$$

it is an open subset in \mathbb{C}^2 . Since the projections are open mappings we can choose open subsets $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $U_1 \times U_2 \subset \mathcal{M}$. In what follows we restrict our investigations to the product $U_1 \times U_2$. Since $P(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$ ($z_1 \in U_1, z_2 \in U_2$) we have that equation $P(x_1, z_2) = 0$ has only finitely many roots w_{1i_1} ($i_1 < \infty$) for any given $z_2 \in U_2$. Let us define the polynomial

$$(3) \quad Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2} := \prod_{i_1 < \infty} Q_{w_{1i_1}, z_2}.$$

The $\hat{}$ - operator deletes the argument which means that the polynomial $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2}$ does not depend on $z_1 \in U_1$. Since $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$ and $z_2 \in U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$, a cardinality argument shows that we can choose a non-finite subset $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset U_2$ such that

$$(4) \quad Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2} = Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

i.e. the same polynomial $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$ occurs for any $z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. By (3) the polynomial $P(x_1, z_2)$ divides $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(x_1, z_2)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$ for any $z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. In a similar way we can introduce a polynomial $Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$ such that $P(z_1, x_2)$ divides $Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(z_1, x_2)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_2]$ for any $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$, where $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset U_1$ is not a finite subset. Taking the product

$$(5) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} := Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} \cdot Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}$$

we can write that

$$(6) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(x_1, z_2) = P(x_1, z_2) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) x_1^{j_1} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

$$(7) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(z_1, x_2) = P(z_1, x_2) \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{2j_2}(z_1, \hat{z}_2) x_2^{j_2} \quad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1).$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) z_1^{j_1} = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{2j_2}(z_1, \hat{z}_2) z_2^{j_2} \quad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2)$$

because of $P(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$ ($z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1 \subset U_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2 \subset U_2$). Since \mathcal{N}_1 contains more than finitely many elements we can choose different values z_{10}, \dots, z_{1N_1} to satisfy (8). In terms of a linear system of equations:

$$V(z_{10}, \dots, z_{1N_1}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{10}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) \\ r_{11}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) \\ \vdots \\ r_{1N_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \begin{pmatrix} r_{2j_2}(z_{10}, \hat{z}_2) \\ r_{2j_2}(z_{11}, \hat{z}_2) \\ \vdots \\ r_{2j_2}(z_{1N_1}, \hat{z}_2) \end{pmatrix} z_2^{j_2},$$

where

$$V(z_{10}, \dots, z_{1N_1}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_{10} & \dots & z_{10}^{N_1} \\ 1 & z_{11} & \dots & z_{11}^{N_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & z_{1N_1} & \dots & z_{1N_1}^{N_1} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the usual Vandermonde matrix. By Cramer's rule

$$(9) \quad r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2) = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2) z_2^{j_2} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, j_1 = 0, \dots, N_1),$$

where the coefficient $r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2)$ is independent of the choice of z_1 and z_2 . Using (9), equation (6) can be written as

$$(10) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(x_1, z_2) = P(x_1, z_2) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2) x_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2).$$

Since both sides are polynomials in the second variable for fixed x_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 is not finite it follows that

$$(11) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2}(x_1, x_2) = P(x_1, x_2) \sum_{j_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2) x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2},$$

i.e. $P(x_1, x_2)$ divides the polynomial $Q := Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, x_2]$:

$$(12) \quad Q(x_1, x_2) = P(x_1, x_2)R(x_1, x_2), \quad \text{where } R(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2].$$

3.2. The comparison of the coefficients. The next step is to compare the coefficients of the polynomials on different sides of (12). Let $d_1 := \deg_1 P$ be the degree of the polynomial with respect to the first variable. The coefficient of $x_1^{d_1}$ can be written as the polynomial

$$P_{d_1}(x_2) := \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} p_{d_1i_2} x_2^{i_2}$$

of the second variable and the substitution of each root w_2 of P_{d_1} causes a decreasing in the degree of the right hand side of (12) with respect to x_1 . Therefore the polynomial Q also has to decrease its maximal degree D_1 with respect to x_1 . This means that the polynomial $Q_{D_1}(x_2)$ (the coefficient of $x_1^{D_1}$ in the polynomial Q) vanishes at each root of $P_{d_1}(x_2)$, i.e. each root is an algebraic number. By the inductive hypothesis (the case of univariate polynomials is well-known),

$$(13) \quad p_{d_1i_2} = \lambda \omega_{i_2} \quad (i_2 = 0, \dots, d_2),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a common proportional term and ω_{i_2} 's are algebraic numbers over the rationals. Let us choose an algebraic number a_2 such that $Q(x_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$ is not identically zero. Then the solutions of equation

$$P(x_1, a_2) = 0$$

are algebraic because of (12) and, using the inductive hypothesis, the coefficients of the polynomial

$$(14) \quad P(x_1, a_2) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{d_1} \left(\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} p_{i_1 i_2} a_2^{i_2} \right) x_1^{i_1}$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} p_{i_1 i_2} a_2^{i_2} = c_{i_1}(a_2) \underbrace{\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} p_{d_1 i_2} a_2^{i_2}}_{\text{the main coeff. of (14)}},$$

where $i_1 = 0, \dots, d_1$ and $c_{i_1}(a_2)$ is an algebraic number depending on a_2 ; especially $c_{d_1}(a_2) = 1$. According to (13)

$$(15) \quad \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} p_{i_1 i_2} a_2^{i_2} = \lambda \omega_{i_1}(a_2), \quad \omega_{i_1}(a_2) = c_{i_1}(a_2) \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \omega_{i_2} a_2^{i_2},$$

where $i_1 = 0, \dots, d_1$ and $\omega_{i_1}(a_2)$'s are algebraic numbers depending on a_2 . Since the cardinality of the algebraic numbers is not finite we can choose different values a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N} to satisfy (15). In terms of a linear system of equations

$$(16) \quad V(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N}) \begin{pmatrix} p_{00} & \dots & p_{N0} \\ p_{01} & \dots & p_{N1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p_{0N} & \dots & p_{NN} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(a_{20}) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{20}) \\ \omega_0(a_{21}) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{21}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_0(a_{2N}) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{2N}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$V(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{20} & \dots & a_{20}^N \\ 1 & a_{21} & \dots & a_{21}^N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_{2N} & \dots & a_{2N}^N \end{pmatrix}$$

is the usual Vandermonde matrix, $N := \max\{d_1, d_2\}$; note that we allow zero elements too, i.e. if the monomial term $x_1^k x_2^l$ is missing for some values of k and l in the polynomial P then $p_{kl} := 0$. Since the algebraic numbers form a field the matrix $V^{-1}(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N})$ contains algebraic numbers and we have that

$$(17) \quad p_{i_1 i_2} = \lambda \omega_{i_1 i_2},$$

where $\omega_{i_1 i_2}$'s are algebraic numbers, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq i_1 \leq d_1, 0 \leq i_2 \leq d_2$.

4. POLYNOMIALS IN MORE THAN TWO VARIABLES

In what follows we illustrate how to generalize the process in section 3 for more than two variables. Suppose that all solutions of equation

$$(18) \quad P(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$$

are algebraically dependent over the rationals. For any solution (w_1, \dots, w_m) of equation (18) let $Q_{w_1, \dots, w_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ be a nonzero polynomial such that $Q_{w_1, \dots, w_m}(w_1, \dots, w_m) = 0$.

4.1. The cardinality argument and the Vandermonde process. Consider the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m \mid P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \neq 0\};$$

it is an open subset in \mathbb{C}^m . Since the projections are open mappings we can choose open subsets $U_1, \dots, U_m \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $U_1 \times \dots \times U_m \subset \mathcal{M}$. In what follows we restrict our investigations to the product $U_1 \times \dots \times U_m$.

By keeping the variables $z_3 \in U_3, \dots, z_m \in U_m$ constant we have polynomials in two variables to repeat the steps in subsection 3.1.

Namely, for any $z_2 \in U_2$ let us define the polynomial

$$(19) \quad Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m} := \prod_{i_1 < \infty} Q_{w_{1i_1}, z_2, \dots, z_m},$$

where w_{1i_1} runs through the finitely many roots of equation $P(x_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = 0$. Since $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $z_2 \in U_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$, a cardinality argument shows that we can choose a non-finite subset $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset U_2$ such that

$$(20) \quad Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} = Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

i.e. the same polynomial $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ occurs for any $z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. The polynomial $P(x_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$ divides $Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(x_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_1]$ for any $z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. In a similar way we can introduce a polynomial $Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ such that $P(z_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m)$ divides $Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(z_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m)$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_2]$ for any $z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$, where $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset U_1$ is not a finite subset. Taking the product

$$(21) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} := Q_1^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} \cdot Q_2^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}$$

we can write that

$$(22) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(x_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

$$(23) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(z_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(z_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{2j_2}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) x_2^{j_2} \quad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1).$$

Therefore

$$(24) \quad \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{2j_2}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} \quad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2)$$

because of $P(z_1, \dots, z_m) \neq 0$ ($z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1 \subset U_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2 \subset U_2$); note that $z_3 \in U_3, \dots, z_m \in U_m$ are fixed. Since \mathcal{N}_1 contains more than finitely many elements we can choose different values z_{10}, \dots, z_{1N_1} to satisfy (24):

$$V(z_{10}, \dots, z_{1N_1}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{10}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \\ r_{11}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \\ \vdots \\ r_{1N_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \begin{pmatrix} r_{2j_2}(z_{10}, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \\ r_{2j_2}(z_{11}, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \\ \vdots \\ r_{2j_2}(z_{1N_1}, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \end{pmatrix} z_2^{j_2}.$$

By Cramer's rule

$$(25) \quad r_{1j_1}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, j_1 = 0, \dots, N_1),$$

where the coefficient $r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m)$ is independent of the choice of z_1 and z_2 . Using (25), equation (22) can be written as

$$(26) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(x_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) = P(x_1, z_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2}$$

for any $z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$. Since both sides are polynomials in the second variable for fixed x_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 is not finite we have the following generalization of (11).

$$(27) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) = P(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=1}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2},$$

i.e. for any $z_3 \in U_3, \dots, z_m \in U_m$ there is a polynomial $Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ which can be divided by $P(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m)$:

$$(28) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, \dots, z_m}(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) = P(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) R_{12}(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m),$$

where $R_{12}(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. Equation (28) corresponds to (12). It can be easily seen that a polynomial $Q_{ij}^{z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ can be chosen for any pair of different indices in a similar way:

$$(29) \quad Q_{13}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}(x_1, z_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, z_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) R_{13}(x_1, z_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m),$$

$$(30) \quad Q_{23}^{z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}(z_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(z_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) R_{23}(z_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \text{ and so on.}$$

By keeping the variables $z_4 \in U_4, \dots, z_m \in U_m$ constant we can generalize formula (27) for the triplet $i = 1, j = 2$ and $k = 3$ as follows. Since $Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \in Q[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $z_3 \in U_3$, a cardinality argument shows that we can choose a non-finite subset $\mathcal{N}_3 \subset U_3$ such that

$$(31) \quad Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} = Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \quad (z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3),$$

i.e. the same polynomial $Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ occurs for any $z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3$. In a similar way we can introduce polynomials satisfying

$$(32) \quad Q_{13}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} = Q_{13}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \quad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

$$(33) \quad Q_{23}^{z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} = Q_{23}^{z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \quad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1),$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1 \subset U_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2 \subset U_2$ are not finite subsets. Taking the product

$$(34) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} := Q_{12}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \cdot Q_{13}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \cdot Q_{23}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}$$

it follows that

$$(35) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}(x_1, x_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, x_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \\ (z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3),$$

$$(36) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}(x_1, z_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, z_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{13j_1j_3}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} x_3^{j_3} \\ (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2),$$

$$(37) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, \hat{z}_4, \dots, \hat{z}_m}(z_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(z_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{23j_2j_3}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3} \\ (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1)$$

and we have the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
(38) \quad & \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2} = \\
& \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{13j_1j_3}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} z_3^{j_3} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3), \\
& \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} z_2^{j_2} = \\
& \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{23j_2j_3}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} z_3^{j_3} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3), \\
& \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{13j_1j_3}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} z_3^{j_3} = \\
& \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{23j_2j_3}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} z_3^{j_3} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3).
\end{aligned}$$

According to the common polynomial terms, (38) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}
(39) \quad & \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} = \\
& \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{13j_1j_3}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_3^{j_3} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3, j_1 = 0, \dots, N_1), \\
& \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} = \\
& \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{23j_2j_3}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_3^{j_3} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3, j_2 = 0, \dots, N_2), \\
& \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} r_{13j_1j_3}(\hat{z}_1, z_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_1^{j_1} =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} r_{23j_2j_3}(z_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_2^{j_2} \\ (z_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2, j_3 = 0, \dots, N_3).$$

These are equations of type (8). Therefore we can also generalize equation (9) by the same process as in subsection 3.1: for example

$$(40) \quad r_{12j_1j_2}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{123j_1j_2j_3}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) z_3^{j_3} \\ (z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3, j_1 = 0, \dots, N_1, j_2 = 0, \dots, N_2),$$

where the coefficient $r_{123j_1j_2j_3}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m)$ is independent of the choice of z_1, z_2 and z_3 . Using (40), equation (35) can be written as

$$(41) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m}(x_1, x_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, x_2, z_3, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{123j_1j_2j_3}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} z_3^{j_3} \\ (z_3 \in \mathcal{N}_3).$$

Since both sides are polynomials in the third variable for fixed x_1, x_2 and \mathcal{N}_3 is not finite it follows that

$$Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m}(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \sum_{j_1=0}^{N_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{N_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{N_3} r_{123j_1j_2j_3}(\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} x_3^{j_3},$$

i.e. for any $z_4 \in U_4, \dots, z_m \in U_m$ there is a polynomial $Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ which can be divided by $P(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m)$:

$$(42) \quad Q_{123}^{\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \hat{z}_3, z_4, \dots, z_m}(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) = \\ P(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) R_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m),$$

where $R_{123}(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_4, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$. It is a generalization of (28) and a polynomial $Q_{ijk}^{z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, \hat{z}_k, \dots, z_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ can be chosen for any triplet of different indices in a similar way. Repeating the procedure up to m different indices we can find a polynomial $Q := Q_{1\dots m}^{\hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_m} \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ such that

$$(43) \quad Q(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) R(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m),$$

where $R(x_1, \dots, x_m) := R_{1\dots m}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$.

4.2. The comparison of the coefficients. The next step is to compare the coefficients of the polynomials on different sides of (43). Let $d_1 := \deg_1 P$ be the degree of the polynomial with respect to the first variable. The coefficient of $x_1^{d_1}$ can be written as the polynomial

$$P_{d_1}(x_2, \dots, x_m) := \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{d_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_m^{i_m}.$$

of the variables x_2, \dots, x_m and the substitution of each root (w_2, \dots, w_m) of P_{d_1} causes a decreasing in the degree of the right hand side of (43) with respect to x_1 . Therefore the polynomial Q also has to decrease its maximal degree D_1 with respect to x_1 . This means that the polynomial $Q_{D_1}(x_2, \dots, x_m)$ (the coefficient of $x_1^{D_1}$ in the polynomial Q) vanishes at each root of $P_{d_1}(x_2, \dots, x_m)$, i.e. each root is algebraically dependent. Since the number of the variables is $m-1$ we can use the statement of the main theorem as an inductive hypothesis:

$$(44) \quad p_{d_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = \lambda \omega_{i_2 \dots i_m},$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ is a common proportional term and $\omega_{i_2 \dots i_m}$ are algebraic numbers over the rationals. Let us choose algebraic numbers a_2, \dots, a_m such that $Q(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1]$ is not identically zero. Then the solutions of equation

$$P(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = 0$$

are algebraic because of (43) and the coefficients of the polynomial

$$(45) \quad P(x_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i_1=0}^{d_1} \left(\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m} \right) x_1^{i_1}$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m} = c_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) \underbrace{\sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{d_1 i_2 \dots i_m} a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m}}_{\text{the main coeff. of (45)},}$$

where $i_1 = 0, \dots, d_1$ and $c_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m)$ is an algebraic number depending on a_2, \dots, a_m ; especially $c_{d_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) = 1$. According to (44)

$$(46) \quad \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m} = \lambda \omega_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m),$$

$$\omega_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) = c_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m) \sum_{i_2=0}^{d_2} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} \omega_{i_2 \dots i_m} a_2^{i_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m},$$

where $i_1 = 0, \dots, d_1$ and $\omega_{i_1}(a_2, \dots, a_m)$'s are algebraic numbers depending on a_2, \dots, a_m . Since the cardinality of the algebraic numbers is not finite we can

choose different values a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N} to satisfy (46). In terms of a linear system of equations

$$V(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N}) \begin{pmatrix} X_{00} & \dots & X_{N0} \\ X_{01} & \dots & X_{N1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{0N} & \dots & X_{NN} \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(a_{20}, a_3, \dots, a_m) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{20}, a_3, \dots, a_N) \\ \omega_0(a_{21}, a_3, \dots, a_m) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{21}, a_3, \dots, a_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega_0(a_{2N}, a_3, \dots, a_m) & \dots & \omega_N(a_{2N}, a_3, \dots, a_N) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$V(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{20} & \dots & a_{20}^N \\ 1 & a_{21} & \dots & a_{21}^N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_{2N} & \dots & a_{2N}^N \end{pmatrix}$$

is the usual Vandermonde matrix,

$$X_{kl} := \sum_{i_3=0}^{d_3} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{kli_3 \dots i_m} a_3^{i_3} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m},$$

where $k, l = 0, \dots, N$ and $N := \max\{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$; note that we allow zero elements too, i.e. if the monomial term $x_1^k x_2^l x_3^{i_3} \cdot \dots \cdot x_m^{i_m}$ is missing for some values of k and l in the polynomial P then $p_{kli_3 \dots i_m} := 0$. Since the algebraic numbers form a field the matrix $V^{-1}(a_{20}, \dots, a_{2N})$ contains algebraic numbers and we have that X_{kl} 's must be also algebraic, i.e.

$$(47) \quad \sum_{i_3=0}^{d_3} \dots \sum_{i_m=0}^{d_m} p_{i_1 i_2 i_3 \dots i_m} a_3^{i_3} \cdot \dots \cdot a_m^{i_m} = \lambda \omega_{i_1 i_2}(a_3, \dots, a_m),$$

where $\omega_{i_1 i_2}(a_3, \dots, a_m)$'s are algebraic numbers depending on a_3, \dots, a_m , $i_1 = 0, \dots, d_1$ and $i_2 = 0, \dots, d_2$. Equation (47) is of the same type as (46). The process can be repeated by choosing different values a_{30}, \dots, a_{3N} to satisfy (47) and so on. After finitely many steps we can conclude that

$$p_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \lambda \omega_{i_1 \dots i_m},$$

where $\omega_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ are algebraic numbers, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq i_1 \leq d_1, \dots, 0 \leq i_m \leq d_m$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Kiss, A. Varga, and Cs. Vincze. Algebraic methods for the solution of linear functional equations. *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 146(1):128–141, 2015.
- [2] Cs. Vincze. Algebraic dependency of roots of multivariate polynomials and its applications to linear functional equations. *Period. Math. Hungar.* doi:10.1007/s10998-016-0171-y, 2016

- [3] Cs. Vincze and A. Varga. On the characteristic polynomials of linear functional equations. *Period. Math. Hungar.*, 71(2):250–260, 2015.

Received February 10, 2016.

CSABA VINCZE
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN,
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12, HUNGARY
E-mail address: `csvincze@science.unideb.hu`

ADRIENN VARGA
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING,
UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN,
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 12 HUNGARY
E-mail address: `vargaa@eng.unideb.hu`