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#### Abstract

Non-homogeneous Sturm-Liouville problems can arise when trying to solve non-homogeneous partial differential equations or when constructing the asymptotic series for partial differential equation solution. The present paper gives a condition of solvability for the non-homogeneous Sturm-Liouville problem in general case for formal power series.


## 1. Introduction

Sturm-Liouville theory is a powerful instrument of the spectral theory. It is well described in many books (see, e.g. [5, 9] and references therein). Numerous physical problems (both quantum and classical) reduce to the SturmLiouville problem. One meet this problem when dealing with quantum wells, quantum graphs, wave guides, etc. $[6,7,8,11]$. We mention also asymptotical approach in waves theory. It is applied when one has a small parameter (coupling constant, perturbation parameter, etc.). Formally, an asymptotic approach reduces to construction of the asymptotic expansion in powers of this small parameter $[1,4,10]$. The series is constructed consequently, term by term. To find a term, it is necessary to solve the non-homogeneous SturmLiouville problem for formal power series with the right hand side depending on the previous terms. Correspondingly, the question appears about the solution existence for this problem. One observe this situation, e.g. in asymptotic expansions related with space-time ray method [3, 12]. The present paper gives necessary and sufficient condition of solvability for the non-homogeneous Sturm-Liouville problem in general case.
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## 2. The main theorem

Theorem. Let us consider homogeneous Sturm-Liouville problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{L} y=\left(p(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q(x) y=0  \tag{1}\\
\ell_{0} y=\left.\left(\alpha_{0} y+\alpha_{1} y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=x_{0}}=0 \\
\ell_{1} y=\left.\left(\beta_{0} y+\beta_{1} y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=x_{1}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)>0, \quad \operatorname{Im} q=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}, j=0,1$ are real. $p(x), q(x)$ are formal power series. Let there exist a solution $y_{0} \neq 0$ in the form of a formal power series. Then the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the solution in the form of a formal power series of non-homogeneous Sturm-Liouville problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{L} y=-F  \tag{3}\\
\ell_{0} y=A \\
\ell_{1} y=B
\end{array}\right.
$$

is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{1}}-\left.p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{0}}=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F(x) y_{0}(x) d x . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $F(x), A, B$ is formal power series.
Proof. Necessary condition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p(x) y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q(x) y=-F . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We multiply (5) by $y_{0}$ and integrate from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ :
(6) $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y\right) y_{0} d x=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} y_{0} d x-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} q y y_{0} d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left.p y^{\prime} y_{0}\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} p y^{\prime} y_{0} d x-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} q y y_{0} d x \\
& =\left.p y^{\prime} y_{0}\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}-\left.p y_{0}^{\prime} y\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y\left(p y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} d x-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} q y y_{0} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we substitute the boundary conditions into (6):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{A}{\alpha_{1}}-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{1}} y\left(x_{0}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=-\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right),  \tag{9}\\
y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=\frac{B}{\beta_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y\left(x_{1}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y\right) y_{0} d x= & p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& -p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y_{0} y\left(x_{1}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& -p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0} y\left(x_{0}\right)+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} y\left(\left(p y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y_{0}\right) d x \\
= & \left.p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{1}}-\left.p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From the other side,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y\right) y_{0} d x=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F y_{0} d x . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (11) and (12) lead to (4), so we get necessary condition.
Sufficient condition.
Let us assume that $\psi$ is a solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q \psi=-F,  \tag{13}\\
\left.\psi\right|_{x=x_{0}}=A, \\
\left.\psi^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{0}}=B
\end{array}\right.
$$

The Cauchy problem always has a solution. Consequently, $\psi$ exists. Let us consider $y=\psi-y_{0}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y=\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q \psi-\left(p y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q y_{0}=-F, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $y$ satisfies the proper equation. Check the boundary conditions. We multiply the first equation in (13) by $y_{0}$ and integrate from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q \psi\right) y_{0} d x & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} y_{0} d x-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} q \psi y_{0} d x \\
& =\left.p \psi^{\prime} y_{0}\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} p \psi^{\prime} y_{0}^{\prime} d x-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} q \psi y_{0} d x \\
& =\left.p\left(\psi^{\prime} y_{0}-y_{0}^{\prime} \psi\right)\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \psi\left(\left(p y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y_{0}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left.p\left(\left(y^{\prime}+y_{0}^{\prime}\right) y_{0}-y_{0}^{\prime}\left(y+y_{0}\right)\right)\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}}=\left.p\left(y^{\prime} y_{0}-y_{0}^{\prime} y\right)\right|_{x_{0}} ^{x_{1}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q \psi\right) y_{0} d x=p\left(x_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) y\left(x_{1}\right)-  \tag{16}\\
&-p\left(x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)+p\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y\left(x_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We substitute (9)-(10) into (16) and come to the equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p \psi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q \psi\right) y_{0} d x=p\left(x_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) y\left(x_{1}\right)-  \tag{17}\\
&-p\left(x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) y\left(x_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other side,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}}\left(\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q y\right) y_{0} d x=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F y_{0} d x . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{1}}-\left.p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{0}}=-\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} F(x) y_{0}(x) d x . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, relations (17)-(19) gives us:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{1}}-\left.p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{0}}=p\left(x_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \quad+p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) y\left(x_{1}\right)-p\left(x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) y\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (20) must be fulfilled for any $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$. We fix $x_{0}$, and will change $x_{1}$. Since the ratio of (20) must always be performed, then parts of the equation, corresponding to $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ should be independent of each other:

$$
\begin{align*}
-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) & =-p\left(x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) y\left(x_{0}\right) .  \tag{21}\\
p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{B}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) & =p\left(x_{1}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)+p\left(x_{1}\right) \frac{\beta_{0}}{\beta_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) y\left(x_{1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right) \neq 0$. Proof by contradiction. If $y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ then from the boundary condition $\ell_{1} y=\left.\left(\beta_{0} y+\beta_{1} y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{x=x_{1}}=0$ we get: $\left.y_{0}^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{1}}=0$. Consequently, $y_{0}$ is
a solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(p(x) y_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-q(x) y_{0}=0 \\
\left.y_{0}\right|_{x=x_{1}}=0 \\
\left.y_{0}^{\prime}\right|_{x=x_{1}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, $y_{0} \equiv 0$, which contradicts to the hypothesis of the theorem. Taking into account that $p>0, \beta_{1} \neq 0$, we can divide the both sides of (22) by $p\left(x_{1}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and multiply by $\beta_{1}$. As a result, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\beta_{1} y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+\beta_{0} y\left(x_{1}\right), \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. we come to the necessary condition for $x=x_{1}$.

Let's go back to relation (21):

$$
\begin{equation*}
-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{A}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=-p\left(x_{0}\right) y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)-p\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\alpha_{0}}{\alpha_{1}} y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) y\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $p>0, y_{0}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0(($ proved in a similar way as for $\left.y_{0}\left(x_{1}\right)\right), \alpha_{1} \neq 0$, we come to the proper condition at $x=x_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} y^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\alpha_{0} y\left(x_{0}\right)=A . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
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