Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae
Vol. LXVII, 2(1998), pp. 273-276

ON THE MULTIPLICITY OF $(X^a - Y^b, X^c - Y^d)$

E. BOĎA AND D. ORSZÁGHOVÁ

ABSTRACT. In this paper an explicite formula for the computation of the multiplicity of ideal $(X^a - Y^b, X^c - Y^d)$ is given.

Let $K[X,Y]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K, $A = K[X,Y]_{(X,Y)}$ be a local ring with the maximal ideal $M = (X,Y) \cdot A$. For an M-primary ideal Q in A we denote by $P(n) := \ell(A/Q^{n+1})$ the Hilbert-Samuel function, where $\ell(A/Q^{n+1})$ is the length of A-module A/Q^{n+1}. The function $P(n)$ is for $n \gg 0$ a polynomial in n of degree 2 which can be written as $P(n) = e_0(Q)\frac{n^2}{2} + e_1(Q)n + e_2(Q)$. The coefficient $e_0(Q)$ is called the multiplicity of Q. It is well-known, that $e_0(Q)$ is a positive integer (for more details see [**3**]).

In this short note we give a formula for the computation of the multiplicity for certain class of M-primary ideals in A. It is a third article of the series beginning with [**1**], [**2**]. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let $Q = (X^a - Y^b, X^c - Y^d) \cdot A$ be a M-primary ideal in $A = K[X,Y]_{(X,Y)}$ (a, b, c, d are positive integers). Then*

$$e_0(Q) = \min\{ad, bc\}.$$

To prove the Theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma. *Let $Q = (X^a - Y^b, X^c - Y^d) \cdot A$ be a M-primary ideal in the local ring $A = K[X,Y]_{(X,Y)}$ (a, b, c, d are positive integers).*

(a) *if $b \le a$ and $c \le d$ then $e_0(Q,A) = bc$.*
(b) *if $a \le b$ and $d \le c$ then $e_0(Q,A) = ad$.*

Proof. See [**4**, Lemma 3.1]. □

Proof of the Theorem. On the ground of Lemma the only case to prove is $b < a$ and $d < c$. Let $bc = \min\{ad, bc\}$, t.m. $\frac{b}{d} < \frac{a}{c}$. Note, that the conditions of Theorem imply $ad \neq bc$. Let $\left[\frac{b}{d}\right]$ indicates the integer part of $\frac{b}{d}$.

Received November 27, 1997.
1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 13H15; Secondary 13A15.

Let now $k := \left[\frac{b}{d}\right] < \left[\frac{a}{c}\right]$. Then we have

$$k \le \frac{b}{d} < k+1 < \cdots < k+\rho \le \frac{a}{c} < k+\rho+1, \quad \rho \in N, \quad \rho > 0\,.$$

For $kc < a$ and $kd \le b$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \left(X^{kc}\cdot X^{a-kc} - Y^{kd}\cdot Y^{b-kd}, X^c - Y^d\right)\\ &= \left(X^{kc}\cdot X^{a-kc} - X^{kc}\cdot Y^{b-kd}, X^c - Y^d\right)\\ &\quad \text{because } X^{kc} \equiv Y^{kd} \pmod{Q}\\ &= \left(X^{kc}\cdot\left(X^{a-kc} - X^{b-kd}\right), X^c - Y^d\right)\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(Q) &= e_0\left(X^{kc}, X^c - Y^d\right) + e_0\left(X^c - Y^d, X^{a-kc} - Y^{b-kd}\right)\\ &= kcd + e_0\left(X^c - X^{a-kc}\cdot Y^{d-(b-kd)}, X^{a-kc} - Y^{b-kd}\right)\\ &= kcd + e_0\left(X^c, Y^{b-kd}\right)\end{aligned}$$

since $\left(X^c\cdot(1 - X^{a-kc-c}\cdot Y^{d-(b-kd)}), X^{a-kc} - Y^{b-kd}\right) = \left(X^c, X^{a-kc} - Y^{b-kd}\right) = \left(X^c, Y^{b-kd}\right)$ in A. So we have $e_0(Q) = kcd + c(b-kd) = bc$. This completes the proof if $\left[\frac{b}{d}\right] < \left[\frac{a}{c}\right]$.

Let now $k := \left[\frac{b}{d}\right] = \left[\frac{a}{c}\right]$. Then we have

$$k \le \frac{b}{d} < \frac{a}{c} < k+1$$

and from this follows

$$\begin{aligned} a &= kc + p, \quad 0 < p < c,\\ b &= kd + q, \quad 0 \le q < d.\end{aligned}$$

Then as above $e_0(Q) = e_0(X^{kc}(X^{a-kc} - Y^{b-kd}), X^c - Y^d) = e_0(X^{kc}, Y^d) = bc$ if $q = 0$. Let $q \ne 0$. Then $e_0(Q) = kcd + e_0(Q_1)$, where $Q_1 = (X^p - Y^q, X^c - Y^d)$. We denote the integer part of $\frac{c}{p}$ as k_1. From $k_1 = \left[\frac{c}{p}\right]$ follows $k_1 \le \frac{c}{p} < \frac{d}{q}$ so there exist p_1, q_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} c &= k_1 p + p_1, \quad 0 \le p_1 < p,\\ d &= k_1 q + q_1, \quad 0 < q_1.\end{aligned}$$

If $(k_1+1)\cdot q \le d$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \left(X^p - Y^q, X^c - Y^{(k_1+1)q}\cdot Y^{d-(k_1+1)q}\right)\\ &= \left(X^p - Y^q, X^c\left(1 - X^{(k_1+1)p-c}\cdot Y^{d-(k_1+1)q}\right)\right)\end{aligned}$$

while $X^{(k_1+1)p} \equiv Y^{(k_1+1)q} \pmod{Q}_1$

$$= (X^c, X^p - Y^q) \text{ in } A.$$

Then $e_0(Q) = kcd + cq = bc$.

Let now $(k_1+1)q > d$. Then we have

$$c = k_1 p + p_1, \quad 0 \le p_1 < p,$$
$$d = k_1 q + q_1, \quad 0 < q_1 < q.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \bigl(X^p - Y^q, X^{k_1 p + p_1} - Y^{k_1 q + q_1}\bigr) \\ &= \bigl(X^p - Y^q, X^{k_1 p} \cdot X^{p_1} - Y^{k_1 q} \cdot Y^{q_1}\bigr) \end{aligned}$$

because $X^{k_1 p} \equiv Y^{k_1 q} \pmod{Q_1}$

$$= \bigl(X^p - Y^q, X^{k_1 p}\bigl(X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr)\bigr)$$

and hence $e_0(Q) = kcd + e_0(X^p - Y^q, X^{k_1 p}) + e_0(X^p - Y^q, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}) = kcd + k_1 pq + e_0(Q_2)$ with $Q_2 = (X^p - Y^q, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1})$.

We continue our algorithm.

Let k_2 denotes the integer part of $\frac{q}{q_1}$, i.e. $k_2 q_1 \le q$, but $(k_2+1)q_1 > q$. Then there are integers p_2, q_2 such that

$$p = k_2 p_1 + p_2, \quad 0 < p_2$$
$$q = k_2 q_1 + q_2, \quad 0 \le q_2 < q_1.$$

If $(k_2+1)p_1 \le p$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \bigl(X^{(k_2+1)p_1} \cdot X^{p-(k_2+1)p_1} - Y^q, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \\ &= \bigl(Y^{(k_2+1)q_1} \cdot X^{p-(k_2+1)p_1} - Y^q, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \\ &= \bigl(Y^q\bigl(Y^{(k_2+1)q_1 - q} \cdot X^{p-(k_2+1)p_1} - 1\bigr), X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \\ &= \bigl(X^q, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \text{ in } A. \end{aligned}$$

Then $e_0(Q) = kcd + k_1 pq + qp_1 = bc$.

Let now $(k_2+1)p_1 > p$. Then we have $p_2 < p_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &= \bigl(X^{k_2 p_1} \cdot X^{p_2} - Y^{k_2 q_1} \cdot Y^{q_2}, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \\ &= \bigl(X^{k_2 p_1} \cdot \bigl(X^{p_2} - Y^{q_2}\bigr), X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1}\bigr) \end{aligned}$$

and within

$$e_0(Q) = kcd + k_1pq + k_2p_1q_1 + e_0(Q_3), \quad 0 \le p_2 < p_1.$$

with $Q_3 = (X^{p_2} - Y^{q_2}, X^{p_1} - Y^{q_1})$.

There are two descending chains of nonnegatives integers

$$\begin{aligned} p > p_1 > p_2 > \dots \\ q > q_1 > q_2 > \dots \end{aligned}$$

which have to stop after n steps. Note that $p_{2n} \ne 0$ and $q_{2n-1} \ne 0$ for all n. Let $q_{2n} = 0$ is the first zero and for all $k < 2n$ $q_k \ne 0$, $p_k \ne 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(Q) &= kcd + k_1pq + k_2p_1q_1 + k_3p_2q_2 + \dots + k_{2n} \cdot p_{2n-1} \cdot q_{2n-1} \\ &= kcd + q(c - p_1) + p_1(q - q_2) + q_2(p_1 - p_3) + \dots + p_{2n-1} \cdot (q_{2n-2} - q_{2n}) \\ &= kcd + qc = kcd + c(b - kd) = bc\,. \end{aligned}$$

Let consequently $p_{2n-1} = 0$ ($p_k \ne 0$, $q_k \ne 0$ for all $k < 2n - 1$).

Then it holds

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(Q) &= kcd + k_1pq + k_2p_1q_1 + k_3p_2q_2 + \dots + k_{2n-1} \cdot p_{2n-2}q_{2n-2}) \\ &= kcd + q(c - p_1) + p_1(q - q_2) + q_2(p_1 - p_3) + \dots + q_{2n-2}(p_{2n-3} - p_{2n-1}) \\ &= kcd + c(b - kd) = bc, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof for bc as a minimum of $\{bc, ad\}$. The proof for the second case ($ad = \min\{ad, bc\}$) is the same as the first one. □

References

1. Boďa E. and Solčan Š., *On the multiplicity of* $(X_1^m, X_2^m, X_1^kY_2^1)$, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **LII-LIII** (1987), 297–299.

2. Boďa E., Országhová D. and Solčan Š., *On the minimal reduction and multiplicity of* $(X^m, Y^n, X^kY^l, X^rY^s)$, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **LXII** (1993), 191–195.

3. Matsumura H., *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1986.

4. Pritchard L. F., *On the multiplicity of zeros of polynomials over arbitrary finite dimensional k-algebras*, Manuscr. math. **36** (1985), 267–292.

E. Boďa, Department of Geometry, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia

D. Országhová, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Economics and Management, Slovak Agricultural University, 949 01 Nitra, Slovakia