

## UPPER SIGNED $k$ -DOMINATION NUMBER OF DIRECTED GRAPHS

H. ARAM, S. M. SHEIKHOLESAMI AND L. VOLKMANN

ABSTRACT. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $D = (V, A)$  be a finite simple digraph in which  $d_D^-(v) \geq k - 1$  for all  $v \in V$ . A function  $f: V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  is called a signed  $k$ -dominating function (SkDF) if  $f(N^-[v]) \geq k$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ . An SkDF  $f$  of a digraph  $D$  is minimal if there is no SkDF  $g \neq f$  such that  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ . The maximum values of  $\sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , taken over all minimal signed  $k$ -dominating functions  $f$ , is called the *upper signed  $k$ -domination number*  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ . In this paper, we present a sharp upper bound for  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper,  $D$  is a finite simple digraph with vertex set  $V(D) = V$  and arc set  $A(D) = A$ . A digraph without directed cycles of length 2 is an *oriented graph*. The *order*  $n(D) = n$  of a digraph  $D$  is the number of its vertices and the number of its arcs is the *size*  $m(D) = m$ . We write  $d_D^+(v) = d^+(v)$  for the outdegree of a vertex  $v$  and  $d_D^-(v) = d^-(v)$  for its indegree. The *minimum indegree* and *minimum outdegree* of  $D$  are denoted by  $\delta^-(D) = \delta^-$ ,  $\Delta^-(D) = \Delta^-$ ,  $\delta^+(D) = \delta^+$  and  $\Delta^+(D) = \Delta^+$ , respectively. If  $uv$  is an arc of  $D$ , then we also write  $u \rightarrow v$  and say that  $v$  is an *out-neighbor* of  $u$  and  $u$  is an *in-neighbor* of  $v$ . For every vertex  $v \in V$ , let  $N_D^-(v) = N^-(v)$  be the set consisting of all vertices of  $D$  from which arcs go into  $v$  and let  $N_D^-[v] = N^-[v] = N^-(v) \cup \{v\}$ . If  $X \subseteq V(D)$ , then  $D[X]$  is the subdigraph induced by  $X$ . If  $X \subseteq V(D)$  and  $v \in V(D)$ , then  $E(X, v)$  is the set of arcs from  $X$  to  $v$  and  $d_X^-(v) = |E(X, v)|$ . For a real-valued function  $f: V(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the weight of  $f$  is  $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , and for  $S \subseteq V$ , we define  $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$ , so  $w(f) = f(V)$ . Consult [4] for the notation and terminology which are not defined here.

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $D$  be a digraph such that  $\delta^-(D) \geq k - 1$ . A *signed  $k$ -dominating function* (abbreviated SkDF) of  $D$  is a function  $f: V \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $f[v] = f(N^-[v]) \geq k$  for every  $v \in V$ . An SkDF  $f$  of a digraph  $D$  is minimal if there is no SkDF  $g \neq f$  such that  $g(v) \leq f(v)$  for each  $v \in V$ . The maximum

---

Received January 14, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05C20, 05C69, 05C45.

*Key words and phrases*. Signed  $k$ -dominating function; minimal signed  $k$ -dominating function; upper signed  $k$ -domination number; directed graph.

values of  $\sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ , taken over all minimal signed  $k$ -dominating functions  $f$ , is called the *upper signed  $k$ -domination number*  $\Gamma_{kS}(D)$ . For any SkDF  $f$  of  $D$  we define  $P = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = 1\}$  and  $M = \{v \in V \mid f(v) = -1\}$ . The concept of the signed  $k$ -dominating function of digraphs  $D$  was introduced by Atapour et al. [1].

The concept of the upper signed  $k$ -domination number  $\Gamma_{kS}(G)$  of undirected graphs  $G$  was introduced by Delić and Wang [2]. The special case  $k = 1$  was defined and investigated in [3].

In this article, we present an upper bound on the upper signed  $k$ -domination number of digraphs. We make use of the following result.

**Lemma 1.** *An SkDF  $f$  of a digraph  $D$  is minimal if and only if for every  $v \in V$  with  $f(v) = 1$ , there exists at least one vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  such that  $f[u] = k$  or  $k+1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a minimal signed  $k$ -dominating function of  $D$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exists a vertex  $v \in V(D)$  such that  $f(v) = 1$  and  $f[u] \geq k+2$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . Then the mapping  $g: V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ , defined by  $g(v) = -1$  and  $g(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in V(D) - \{v\}$ , is clearly an SkDF of  $D$  such that  $g \neq f$  and  $g(u) \leq f(u)$  for each  $u \in V(D)$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, let  $f$  be a signed  $k$ -dominating function of  $D$  such that for every  $v \in V$  with  $f(v) = 1$ , there exists at least one vertex  $u \in N^+[v]$  such that  $f[u] = k$  or  $k+1$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $f$  is not minimal. Then there is an SkDF  $g$  of  $D$  such that  $g \neq f$  and  $g(u) \leq f(u)$  for each  $u \in V(D)$ . Since  $g \neq f$ , there is a vertex  $v \in V$  such that  $g(v) < f(v)$ . Then  $g(v) = -1$  and  $f(v) = 1$  because  $f(v), g(v) \in \{-1, 1\}$ . Since  $g$  is an SkDF of  $D$ ,  $g[u] \geq k$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$ . It follows that  $f[u] = g[u] + 2 \geq k+2$  for each  $u \in N^+[v]$  which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2. AN UPPER BOUND

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $D$  be a digraph of order  $n$  with minimum indegree  $\delta^- \geq k-1$  and maximum indegree  $\Delta^-$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) - \delta^- + k + 2}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) + \delta^- - k - 2} n & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 5) - \delta^- + k + 1}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 5) + \delta^- - k - 1} n. & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $\delta^- = k-1$  or  $k$ , then the result is clearly true. Let  $\delta^- \geq k+1$  and let  $f$  be a minimal SkDF such that  $\Gamma_{kS}(D) = f(V(D))$ . Suppose that  $P = \{v \in V(D) \mid f(v) = 1\}$ ,  $M = \{v \in V(D) \mid f(v) = -1\}$ ,  $p = |P|$  and  $q = |M|$ . Then  $\Gamma_{kS}(D) = f(V) = |P| - |M| = p - q = n - 2q$ .

Since  $f$  is an SkDF,

$$(d^-(v) - d_M^-(v)) + 1 - d_M^-(v) \geq k$$

for each  $v \in P$ . It follows that  $d_M^-(v) \leq \frac{\Delta^- - k + 1}{2}$  when  $v \in P$ . Similarly,  $d_M^-(v) \leq \frac{\Delta^- - k - 1}{2}$  when  $v \in M$ . Define  $A_i = \{v \in P \mid d_M^-(v) = i\}$ ,  $a_i = |A_i|$  for each

$0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+1-k}{2} \rfloor$  and  $B_i = \{v \in M \mid d_M^-(v) = i\}$ ,  $b_i = |B_i|$  for each  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+1-k}{2} \rfloor$ . Then the sets  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+1-k}{2} \rfloor}$  form a partition of  $P$  and  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+1-k}{2} \rfloor}$  form a partition of  $M$ .

Since  $f$  is a minimal SkDF, it follows from Lemma 1 that for every  $v \in P$ , there is at least one vertex  $u_v \in N^+[v]$  such that  $f[u_v] \in \{k, k+1\}$ . For each  $v \in A_0$ , since  $v$  has no in-neighbor in  $M$ ,

$$f[v] = d^-(v) + 1 \geq \delta^- + 1 \geq k + 2.$$

Therefore  $u_v \notin A_0$  for each  $v \in P$ .

Let  $T = \{u \mid u \in N^+(A_0) \text{ and } f[u] = k \text{ or } k+1\}$ . If  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $v \in A_i$ , then we have  $f[v] = d^-(v) + 1 - 2i \geq k + 2$ . Similarly, if  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-3}{2} \rfloor$  and  $v \in B_i$ , then we have  $f[v] = d^-(v) - 1 - 2i \geq k + 2$ . This implies that

$$T \subseteq \left( \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} A_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} B_i \right).$$

If  $\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $v \in T \cap A_i$ , then  $d^-(v) + 1 - 2i = f[v] = k$  or  $k+1$  which implies that  $d^-(v) = 2i + k$  or  $2i + k - 1$ . Hence each  $v \in T \cap A_i$  has at most  $i+k$  in-neighbors in  $A_0$  and so  $T \cap A_i$  has at most  $(i+k)|T \cap A_i|$  in-neighbors in  $A_0$ . Similarly, if  $\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $T \cap B_i$  has at most  $(i+k+2)|T \cap B_i|$  in-neighbors in  $A_0$ .

Since  $f$  is a minimal SkDF of  $D$  and  $f[v] = d^-(v) + 1 \geq \delta^- + 1 \geq k + 2$  for every  $v \in A_0$ , we deduce that  $N^+(v) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $v \in A_0$ . Note that

$$A_0 \subseteq \left( \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} N^-(T \cap A_i) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} N^-(T \cap B_i) \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &\leq \left| \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} N^-(T \cap A_i) \right| + \left| \bigcup_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} N^-(T \cap B_i) \right| \\ (1) \quad &= \sum_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} |N^-(T \cap A_i)| + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} |N^-(T \cap B_i)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} (i+k)a_i + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{\delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} (i+k+2)b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$(2) \quad n = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k+1}{2} \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{\Delta^-+k-1}{2} \rfloor} b_i.$$

Since the number  $e(M, V(D))$  of arcs cannot be more than  $q\Delta^-$ , we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \leq q\Delta^-.$$

**Case 1.**  $\delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Then  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k)/2$  and  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k - 2)/2$ . Note that  $i + k + 1 \leq i(\delta^- + k + 2)/(\delta^- - k)$  when  $i \geq \frac{\delta^- - k}{2}$  and  $i + k + 3 \leq i(\delta^- + k + 4)/(\delta^- - k - 2)$  when  $i \geq \frac{\delta^- - k - 2}{2}$ . By (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 2)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 4)/2 \rfloor} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k - 2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 2)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} (i + k + 1)a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 4)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k - 2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} (i + k + 3)b_i \\ &\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 2}{\delta^- - k} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \\ &\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \right) \\ &\leq q + \frac{\delta^- + k + 4}{\delta^- - k - 2} q\Delta^-. \end{aligned}$$

By solving the above inequality for  $q$ , we obtain that

$$q \geq \frac{n(\delta^- - k - 2)}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) + \delta^- - k - 2}.$$

Hence,

$$\Gamma_{ks}(D) = n - 2q \leq \frac{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) - \delta^- + k + 2}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 4) + \delta^- - k - 2} n.$$

**Case 2.**  $\delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Then  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k + 1)/2$  and  $\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor = (\delta^- - k - 1)/2$ .

Note that  $i+k+1 \leq i(\delta^-+k+3)/(\delta^- - k+1)$  when  $i \geq \frac{\delta^- - k + 1}{2}$  and  $i+k+3 \leq i(\delta^-+k+5)/(\delta^- - k - 1)$  when  $i \geq \frac{\delta^- - k - 1}{2}$ . By (1), (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 n &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{(\delta^- - k - 1)/2} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k + 1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (\delta^- - k - 3)/2 \rfloor} b_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k - 1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} b_i \\
 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{(\delta^- - k - 1)/2} a_i + \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k + 1)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+1)a_i + \sum_{i=0}^{(\delta^- - k - 3)/2} b_i \\
 (4) \quad &+ \sum_{i=(\delta^- - k - 2)/2}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} (i+k+3)b_i \\
 &\leq b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 3}{\delta^- - k + 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \frac{\delta^- + k + 5}{\delta^- - k - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \\
 &< b_0 + \frac{\delta^- + k + 5}{\delta^- - k - 1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k + 1)/2 \rfloor} ia_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (\Delta^- - k - 1)/2 \rfloor} ib_i \right) \\
 &\leq q + \frac{\delta^- + k + 5}{\delta^- - k - 1} q \Delta^-.
 \end{aligned}$$

By solving the inequality (4) for  $q$ , we obtain

$$q \geq \frac{n(\delta^- - k - 1)}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 5) + \delta^- - k - 1}.$$

Thus

$$\Gamma_{ks}(D) = n - 2q \leq \frac{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 5) - \delta^- + k + 1}{\Delta^-(\delta^- + k + 5) + \delta^- - k - 1} n.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The *associated digraph*  $D(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the digraph obtained when each edge  $e$  of  $G$  is replaced by two oppositely oriented arcs with the same ends as  $e$ . We denote the associated digraph  $D(K_n)$  of the complete graph  $K_n$  of order  $n$  by  $K_n^*$  and the associated digraph  $D(C_n)$  of the cycle  $C_n$  of order  $n$  by  $C_n^*$ .

Let  $V(K_6^*) = \{v_1, \dots, v_6\}$  and  $V(C_{46}^*) = \{u_1, \dots, u_{46}\}$ . Assume that  $D$  is obtained from  $K_6^* + C_{46}^*$  by adding arcs which go from  $v_i$  to  $u_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq j \leq 46$ . Then  $\delta^-(D) = 5$ . Let  $k = 1$  and define  $f: V(D) \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  by  $f(v_1) = f(v_2) = -1$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for otherwise. Obviously  $f$  is a minimal signed

dominating function of  $D$  with  $\omega(f) = 48$ . This example shows that the bound in Theorem 2 is sharp for  $k = 1$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $D$  be an  $r$ -inregular digraph of order  $n$ . For any positive integer  $k \leq r - 1$ ,*

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 + r(k+3) + k + 2}{r^2 + r(k+5) - k - 2}n & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r^2 + r(k+4) + k + 1}{r^2 + r(k+6) - k - 1}n. & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $D$  be a nearly  $r$ -inregular digraph of order  $n$ . For any positive integer  $k \leq r - 1$ ,*

$$\Gamma_{kS}(D) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{r^2 + r(k+2) + k + 3}{r^2 + r(k+4) - k - 3}n & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{r^2 + r(k+3) + k + 2}{r^2 + r(k+5) - k - 2}n. & \text{if } \delta^- - k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

#### REFERENCES

1. Atapour M., Sheikholeslami S. M., Hajypory R. and Volkmann L., *The signed  $k$ -domination number of directed graphs*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **8** (2010), 1048–1057.
2. Delić D. and Wang C. P., *Upper signed  $k$ -domination in a general graph*, Inform. Process. Lett. **110** (2010), 662–665.
3. Tang H. and Chen Y., *Upper signed domination number*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 3416–3419.
4. West D. B., *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice-Hall, Inc, 2000.

H. Aram, Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, I. R. Iran

S. M. Sheikholeslami, Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Tabriz, I. R. Iran, *e-mail*: s.m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu

L. Volkmann, Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik, RWTH-Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany, *e-mail*: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de