

Go back

Full Screen

Close

Quit

A NOTE ON SOME GENERALIZED SUMMABILITY METHODS

E. SAVAS, P. DAS AND S. DUTTA

Abstract. In this paper, we continue our investigations in line of our recent papers, Savas and Das [16] and Das, Savas and Ghosal [5]. We introduce the notion of $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence which includes the new summability methods studied in [16] and [5] as special cases and make certain observations on this new and more general summability method.

1. Introduction

The idea of convergence of a real sequence was extended to statistical convergence by Fast [8] (see also [18]) as follows: If $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of natural numbers and $K \subset \mathbb{N}$, then $K(m,n)$ denotes the cardinality of $K \cap [m,n]$. The upper and lower natural (or asymptotic) densities of the subset K are defined by

$$\bar{d}(K) = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{K(1,n)}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{d}(K) = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{K(1,n)}{n}.$$

If $\bar{d}(K) = \underline{d}(K)$, then we say that the natural density of K exists and it is simply denoted by $d(K)$. Clearly $d(K) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{K(1,n)}{n}$.

Received March 12, 2013.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40G15; Secondary 46A99.
Key words and phrases. Ideal, filter; $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence; $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summability; closed subspace.
The work was completed when the second author visited Istanbul commerce University in 2012. The third author is thankful to CSIR, India for giving JRF during the tenure of which this work was done.

A sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to L if for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $K(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has natural density zero. Statistical convergence turned out to be one of the most active areas of research in summability theory after the works of Fridy [9] and Šalát [15] (also see [2], [3]).

The notion of statistical convergence was further extended to $\mathcal{I}$-convergence [12] using the notion of ideals of $\mathbb{N}$. Many interesting investigations using the ideals can be found in [5, 6] where more references are mentioned. In particular, in [5] and [16] ideals were used to introduce new concepts of $\mathcal{I}$-statistical convergence, $\mathcal{I}$-lacunary statistical convergence and $\mathcal{I}$-λ-statistical convergence. Recently these ideas were extended to double sequences in [1].

On the other hand, the idea of A-statistical convergence was introduced by Kolk [10] using a non-negative regular matrix A (which subsequently included the ideas of statistical, lacunary statistical or λ-statistical convergence as special cases). More recent work in this line can be found in [7], [11], [14] where many references are mentioned.

In this paper, we naturally unify the above two approaches and introduce the idea of $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence and make certain observations.

2. Main results

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{N}$ will denote the set of all positive integers. A family $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^Y$ of subsets of a nonempty set Y is said to be an ideal in Y if (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$; (ii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$, $B \subset A$ implies $B \in \mathcal{I}$, while an admissible ideal $\mathcal{I}$ of Y further satisfies $\{x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $x \in Y$. If $\mathcal{I}$ is a proper ideal in Y (i.e., $Y \notin \mathcal{I}$, $Y \neq \emptyset$), then the family of sets $F(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset Y :$ there exists $A \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $M = Y \setminus A\}$ is a filter in Y. It is called the filter associated with the ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Throughout, $\mathcal{I}$ will stand for a proper non-trivial admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N}$.

A sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be $\mathcal{I}$-convergent to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ [12].



If $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of real numbers and $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ is an infinite matrix, then Ax is the sequence whose n-th term is given by

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k.$$

We say that x is A-summable to L if $\lim_{n\to\infty} A_n(x) = L$.

Let X and Y be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix. If for each $x \in X$, the series $A_n(x) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ converges for each n and the sequence $Ax = \{A_n(x)\} \in Y$, we say that A maps X into Y. By (X, Y) we denote the set of all matrices which maps X into Y, and in addition, if the limit is preserved, then we denote the class of such matrices by $(X, Y)_{\text{reg}}$. A matrix A is called regular if $A \in (c, c)$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} A_k(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} x_k$ for all $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in c$ when c, as usual, stands for the set of all convergent sequences. It is well-known that the necessary and sufficient conditions for A to be regular are

$$\|A\| = \sup_n \sum_k |a_{nk}| < \infty; \tag{$R1$}$$

$$\lim_n a_{nk} = 0, \qquad \text{for each } k; \tag{$R2$}$$

$$\lim_n \sum_k a_{nk} = 1. \tag{$R3$}$$

For a non-negative regular matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ following [10], a set K is said to have A-density if $\delta_A(K) = \lim_n \sum_{k\in K} a_{nk}$ exists.

The real number sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is A-statistically convergent to L provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set $K(\varepsilon) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \ |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ has A-density zero [10].

Go back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Now we introduce the main concept of this paper, namely the notion of $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a non-negative regular matrix. A sequence $x=\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is said to be $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to L if for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$,

$$\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}\ :\ \sum_{k\in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk}\geq\delta\right\}\in\mathcal{I}$$

where $K(\varepsilon)=\{k\in\mathbb{N}\ :\ |x_k-L|\geq\varepsilon\}$.

In this case we write $x_k\xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st}L$. We will denote the set of all $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent sequences by $S_A(\mathcal{I})$. It can be easily verified that $S_A(\mathcal{I})$ is a linear subspace of the space of all real sequences. Also note that for $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{\text{fin}}$, the ideal of all finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence becomes A-statistical convergence [10].

(1) If we take $A=(a_{nk})$ as

$$a_{nk}=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{n} & \text{if } n\geq k\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

then $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence becomes $\mathcal{I}$-statistical convergence [5].

(2) If we take $A=(a_{nk})$ as

$$a_{nk}=\begin{cases}\dfrac{1}{\lambda_n} & \text{if } k\in\mathcal{I}_n=[n-\lambda_n+1,n]\\ 0 & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases}$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ and $\lambda_{n+1}\leq\lambda_n+1$ then $\mathrm{A}^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence coincides with $\mathcal{I}$-λ-statistical convergence [16].

(3) By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r), r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ where $\mathrm{k}_0 = 0$ we mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $\mathcal{I}_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$ and let $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. If $A = (a_{nk})$ is given by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{h_r} & \text{if } k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence coincides with $\mathcal{I}$-lacunary statistical convergence [5].

Non-trivial examples of such sequences can be seen in ([5], [16]). We now give another example of a sequence which is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent.

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-trivial admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N}$. Choose an infinite subset

$$C = \{p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \ldots\}$$

from $\mathcal{I}$. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be given by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = p_i, k = 2p_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \neq p_i, \text{ for any } i, k = 2n + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - 1| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is the set of all even integers. Observe that

$$\sum_{k \in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = p_i \text{ for some } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq p_i, \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Thus for any $\delta > 0$, $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k \in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} \geq \delta\right\} = C \in \mathcal{I}$ showing that x is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to 1.

Note that for any $L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ contains either the set of even integers or the set of all odd integers or both and consequently for $\delta = \frac{1}{100}$, $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \frac{|\{k \leq n : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}|}{n} \geq \delta\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$ as it must be equal to $\mathbb{N}$ or $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Hence x is not $\mathcal{I}$-statistically convergent. Further note that if $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_d$ and we choose C from $\mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_d$, the ideal of all subset of $\mathbb{N}$ with natural density zero, then x is not A-statistically convergent.

We now prove the following result which establishes the topological character of the space $S_A(\mathcal{I})$.

Theorem 2.1. *$S_A(\mathcal{I}) \cap l_\infty$ is a closed subset of l_∞ where as usual, l_∞ is the space of all bounded real sequences endowed with the superior norm.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S_A(\mathcal{I}) \cap l_\infty$ is a convergent sequence and it converges to $x \in l_\infty$. We have to show that $x \in S_A(\mathcal{I}) \cap l_\infty$. Let $x^n \xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st} L_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||x - x^j||_\infty < \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}$ $\forall\ j \geq n$. Choose $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3}$.
Now

$$A = \left\{m \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k \in M_1} a_{mk} < \delta\right\} \in F(\mathcal{I}) \text{ where } M_1 = \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k{}^n - L_n| \geq \frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}\right\}$$



and

$$B=\left\{m\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k\in M_2}a_{mk}<\delta\right\}\in F(\mathcal{I})\text{ where }M_2=\left\{k\in\mathbb{N}:|{x_k}^{n+1}-L_{n+1}|\geq\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}\right\}.$$

Since $A\cap B\in F(\mathcal{I})$ and $\mathcal{I}$ is admissible, $A\cap B$ must be infinite. So we can choose $m\in A\cap B$ such that $|\sum_k a_{mk}-1|<\frac{\delta}{2}$. But $\sum_{k\in M_1\cup M_2}a_{mk}\leq 2\delta<1-\frac{\delta}{2}$ while $\sum_k a_{mk}>1-\frac{\delta}{2}$.

Hence there must exist $k\in\mathbb{N}\setminus(M_1\cup M_2)$ for which we have both $|{x_k}^n-L_n|<\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}$ and $|{x_k}^{n+1}-L_{n+1}|<\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|L_n-L_{n+1}|&\leq|L_n-{x_k}^n|+|{x_k}^n-{x_k}^{n+1}|+|{x_k}^{n+1}-L_{n+1}|\\&\leq|L_n-{x_k}^n|+|{x_k}^{n+1}-L_{n+1}|+\|x-x^n\|_\infty+\|x-x^{n+1}\|_\infty\\&\leq\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon_n}{4}=\varepsilon_n.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\{L_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $L_n\to L\in\mathbb{R}$ as $n\to\infty$. We shall prove that $x\xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st}L$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_n<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $\|x-x^n\|_\infty<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, $|L_n-L|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$. Now since

$$\sum_{k\in\{k\in\mathbb{N}:\ |x_k-L|\geq\varepsilon\}}a_{nk}\leq\sum_{k\in\{k\in\mathbb{N}:\ |x_k-{x_k}^n|+|{x_k}^n-L_n|+|L_n-L|\geq\varepsilon\}}a_{nk},$$

it follows that

$$\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k\in\{k\in\mathbb{N}\ :\ |x_k-L|\geq\varepsilon\}}a_{nk}\geq\delta\right\}\subset\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}:\sum_{k\in\{k\in\mathbb{N}:\ |{x_k}^n-L_n|\geq\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}}a_{nk}\geq\delta\right\}\in\mathcal{I}$$

for any given $\delta>0$. Since the set on the right hand side belongs to $\mathcal{I}$, this shows that $x\xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st}L$. This completes the proof of the result. $\square$

Go back
Full Screen
Close
Quit



Remark 1. We can say that the set of all bounded $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent sequences of real numbers forms a closed linear subspace of l_∞. Also it is obvious that $S_A(\mathcal{I}) \cap l_\infty$ is complete.

We now define another related summability method and establish its relation with $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence.

Definition 2.2. Let $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k=1}^{\infty}$ be a non-negative regular matrix. Then we say that $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summable to L if the sequence $\{A_n(x)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ $\mathcal{I}$-converges to L.

For $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_d$, $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summability reduces to statistical A-summability of [7].

Theorem 2.2. *If a sequence is bounded and $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to L, then it is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summable to L.*

Proof. Let $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be bounded and $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to L and for $\varepsilon > 0$, let $K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$ as before. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|A_n(x) - L| &\leq \left|\sum_{k\notin K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk}(x_k - L)\right| + \left|\sum_{k\in K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk}(x_k - L)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\sum_{k\notin K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk} + \sup_k|(x_k - L)|\left|\sum_{k\in K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + B.\sum_{k\in K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk},\end{aligned}$$

where $B = \sup_k|x_k - L|$. It now follows that

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |A_n(x) - L| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \subset \left\{n \in N : \sum_{k\in K(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})} a_{nk} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2B}\right\}.$$

Go back
Full Screen
Close
Quit

Since x is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to L, the set on the right hand side belongs to $\mathcal{I}$ and this consequently implies that x is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summable to L. $\square$

The converse of the above result is not generally true.

Example 2. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be given by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & 0 \leq k \leq n+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and let

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if k is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if k is even.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is A-summable to $1/2$, so is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summable to $1/2$ for any admissible ideal $\mathcal{I}$. But note that for any $L \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $K(\varepsilon) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ contains either the set of all even integers or the set of all odd integers or both. Consequently, $\sum\limits_{k\in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} = \infty$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so for any $\delta > 0$, $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k\in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} \geq \delta\right\} \notin \mathcal{I}$. This shows that $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is not $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent for any non-trivial ideal $\mathcal{I}$.

Example 3. As before, let $\mathcal{I}$ be a non-trivial admissible ideal of $\mathbb{N}$. Choose an infinite subset $C = \{p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \ldots\}$ from $\mathcal{I}$. Let x be the same sequence defined in Example 1. Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be given by

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \neq p_i \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k = n^2, n^2+1 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = p_i, k = p_i^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n \neq p_i \text{ for any } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } n = p_i, p_i^2 \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n = p_i, p_i^2 \text{ is odd} . \end{cases}$$

Now

$$\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : |y_n - \frac{1}{2}| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = C \in \mathcal{I},$$

so x is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-summable to $\frac{1}{2}$. Note that if $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{I}_d$ and if $C \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{I}_d$, then x is not statistically A-summable also.

Further for any $L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : |x_k - L| \geq \varepsilon\}$ contains either the set of all even integers or the set of all odd integers or both and hence $\sum_{k \in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus C$. It is clear that for $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$, $\left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k \in K(\varepsilon)} a_{nk} \geq \delta \right\} \supset \mathbb{N} \setminus C$, so can not belong to $\mathcal{I}$. This shows that x is not $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent.

We now prove that continuity preserves the $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence.

Theorem 2.3. *If for a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, $x_k \xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st} L$ and g is a real valued function which is continuous, then $g(x_k) \xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st} g(L)$.*

Proof. Since g is continuous at $y = L$, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $|y - L| < \delta$ implies $|g(y) - g(L)| < \varepsilon$. Hence $|g(y) - g(L)| \geq \varepsilon$ implies $|y - L| \geq \delta$. In particular, $|g(x_k) - g(L)| \geq \varepsilon$ implies $|x_k - L| \geq \delta$. Thus

$$K = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \ |g(x_k) - g(L)| \geq \varepsilon\} \subset \ K' := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : \ |x_k - L| \geq \delta\}.$$

Hence for any $\sigma > 0$,

$$\left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k \in K} a_{nk} \geq \sigma\right\} \subset \left\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \sum_{k \in K'} a_{nk} \geq \sigma\right\} \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Therefore, $g(x_k) \xrightarrow{A^{\mathcal{I}}-st} g(L)$. □

We now establish an equivalent criteria for $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistical convergence. For this we will need the following result.

Lemma 2.1 (Ideal version of Dominated Convergence Theorem)**.** *If $\{f_n\}_{n \in N}$ is a sequence of real valued functions with $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim\limits_{n} f_n = f$ and if $|f_n| \leq g$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for some function $g > 0$ with $\int g < \infty$, then*

$$\mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{n} \int f_n = \int \mathcal{I}\text{-}\lim_{n} f_n.$$

The proof is parallel to the proof of Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem with little modifications, so it is omitted.

Theorem 2.4. *A sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent to L iff for each real number t, we have*

$$\mathcal{I} - \lim_{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \mathrm{e}^{itx_k} = \mathrm{e}^{itL} \tag{1}$$

Proceeding as in [4, Theorem 2] and using the ideal version of Bounded convergence Theorem, we can prove this theorem.



Actually we can show that for a sequence $x = \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ belonging to the space,

$$S^* = \left\{ x : \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}|x_k|\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l_\infty \right\}$$

(1) holds for every rational number t iff x is $A^{\mathcal{I}}$-statistically convergent.

1. Belen C. and Yildirim M., *On generalized statistical convergence of double sequences via ideals*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat. **58(1)** (2012), 11–20.

2. Connor J., *The Statistical and strong p-Cesaro convergence of sequences,* Analysis **8** (1988), 47–63.

3. ______, *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32** (1989), 194–198.

4. Demirci K., *A criterion for A-statistical convergence*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29(5)** (1998), 559–564.

5. Das P., Savas E. and Ghosal S. K., *On generalizations of certain summability methods using ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1509–1514.

6. Das P. and Ghosal S. K., *Some further results on $\mathcal{I}$-Cauchy sequences and Condition(AP)*, Comput. Math. Appl. **59(8)** (2010), 2597–2600.

7. Edely O. H. H. and Mursaleen M., *On statistically A-summability*, Math. Comp. Model. **49(8)** (2009), 672–680.

8. Fast H., *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241–244.

9. Fridy J. A., *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5** (1985), 301–313.

10. Kolk E., *Matrix summability of Statistically convergent sequences*, Analysis, **13**(1993), 77–83.

11. ______, Matrix maps into the space of statistically convergent bounded sequences, *Proc. Estonia Acad. Sci. Phys. Math.* **45** (1996), 192–197.

12. Kostyrko P., Šalát T. and Wilczyński W., *$\mathcal{I}$-convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26(2)** (2000/2001), 669–685.

13. Lahiri B. K. and Das P., *$\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}^*$-convergence in topological spaces*, Math. Bohemica, **130** (2005), 153–160.

14. Mursaleen M. and Alotaibi A., *Statistical summability and approximation by de la Valle-poussin mean*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 672–680.

15. Šalát T., *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca **30(1980)**, 139–150.



16. Savas E. and Das P., *A generalized statistical convergence via ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 826–830.

17. Savas E., Das P. and Dutta S., *A note on strong matrix summability via ideals*, Appl. Math. Lett. **25(4)** (2012), 733–738.

18. Schoenberg I. J., *The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods*, Amer. Monthly **66(5)** (1959), 362–375.

E. Savas, Istanbul Ticaret University, Department of Mathematics, Üsküdar-Istanbul, Turkey,
e-mail: `ekremsavas@yahoo.com`

P. Das, Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal, India,
e-mail: `pratulananda@yahoo.co.in`

S. Dutta, Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata-700032, West Bengal, India,
e-mail: `dutta.sudipta@ymail.com`

Go back
Full Screen
Close
Quit