

ON CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FOR CERTAIN INTEGRAL OPERATORS

AABED MOHAMMED, MASLINA DARUS AND DANIEL BREAZ

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider some sufficient conditions for two integral operators to be close-to-convex function defined in the open unit disk.

Keywords: Analytic functions, closed-to-convex, close-to-star, integral operators.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk and \mathcal{A} denotes the class of functions normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk \mathcal{U} and satisfy the condition $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. We also denote by \mathcal{S} the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are also univalent in \mathcal{U} .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is the convex function of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1$, if f satisfies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + 1 \right) > \rho, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

and we denote this class by $\mathcal{K}(\rho)$.

Similarly, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \rho, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

for some ρ , $0 \leq \rho < 1$, then f is said to be starlike of order ρ and we denote this class by $\mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$. We note that $f \in \mathcal{K} \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$. In particular case, the

classes $\mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}^*$ are familiar classes of starlike and convex functions in \mathcal{U} .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called close-to-convex if there exist a convex function g such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}. \quad (2)$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{K} \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in \mathcal{S}^*$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, we can replace (2) by the requirement that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where h is a starlike function on \mathcal{U} . Furthermore, f is closed-to-convex if and only if

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) d\theta > -\pi,$$

where $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $r < 1$.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the set of normalized close-to-convex functions on \mathcal{U} it is clear that

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}^* \subset \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is called close-to-star in \mathcal{U} if there exist a starlike g such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} > 0, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Also f is close-to-star in \mathcal{U} if and only if

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} d\theta > -\pi,$$

where $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$, $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $r < 1$. Let \mathcal{CS}^* denote the class of close-to-star functions in \mathcal{U} , it is known that the close-to-star functions are not necessarily univalent in \mathcal{U} .

Shukla and Kumar [5] introduced the following subclasses of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{CS}^* .

A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}(\beta, \rho)$ of close-to-convex functions of order β and type ρ if for some $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$,

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1]$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{CS}^*(\beta, \rho)$ of close-to-star functions of order β and type ρ if for some $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$,

$$\left| \arg \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right\} \right| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$

where $\beta \in [0, 1]$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{C}(0, \rho) \equiv \mathcal{K}(\rho)$ and $\mathcal{CS}^*(0, \rho) \equiv \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$.

Also $\mathcal{C}(\beta, \rho) \subset \mathcal{C}(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{CS}^*(\beta, \rho) \subset \mathcal{CS}^*(1, 0) \equiv \mathcal{CS}^*$.

Now, we consider the following integral operators

$$F_n(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt, \quad (3)$$

and

$$F_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) = \int_0^z (f'_1(t))^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (f'_n(t))^{\alpha_n} dt, \quad (4)$$

where $f_j \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha_j > 0$, for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

These operators are introduced by D.Breaz and N.Breaz [1] and studied by many authors (see [2], [3], [4]).

In the present paper, we obtain some sufficient conditions for the above integral operators to be in the class of close-to-convex function \mathcal{C} .

Before embarking on the proof of our results, we need the following Lemmas introduced by Shukla and Kumar [5].

Lemma 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$, then*

$$\rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} d\theta \leq 2\pi(1 - \rho) + \rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$.

Lemma 2. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\beta, \rho)$ then*

$$-\beta\pi + \rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) d\theta \leq \beta\pi + 2\pi(1 - \rho) + \rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$.

Lemma 3. If $f \in \mathcal{CS}^*(\beta, \rho)$ then

$$-\beta\pi + \rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \leq \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} d\theta \leq \beta\pi + 2\pi(1 - \rho) + \rho(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$ and $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq 2\pi$.

2.MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho_i)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq 1$, then $F_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where F_n is defined as in (3), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. It is clear that $F'_n(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. We calculate for F_n the derivatives of the first and second order. Since

$$F_n(z) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f_1(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \left(\frac{f_n(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha_n} dt,$$

then

$$F'_n(z) = \left(\frac{f_1(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha_1} \dots \left(\frac{f_n(z)}{z} \right)^{\alpha_n}.$$

Differentiating the above expression logarithmically, we have

$$\frac{F''_n(z)}{F'_n(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\frac{f'_i(z)}{f_i(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \right).$$

By multiplying the above expression with z we obtain

$$\frac{zF''_n(z)}{F'_n(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\frac{zf'_i(z)}{f_i(z)} - 1 \right).$$

That is equivalent to

$$1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{zf_i'(z)}{f_i(z)} + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i. \quad (5)$$

Taking real parts in (5) and integrating with respect to θ we get

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf_i'(z)}{f_i(z)} \right) d\theta + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Since $f_i \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho_i)$ then by applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \rho_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + 1 \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Since $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \rho_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + 1 \right) > 0$ so, minimum is for $\theta_2 = \theta_1$ we obtain that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta > -\pi,$$

then $F_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 2. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{S}^*(\rho)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq 1$, then $F_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where F_n is defined as in (3), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. We consider in Theorem 1, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \dots = \rho_n$.

Theorem 3. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{CS}^*$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq 1$, then $F_n(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where F_n is defined as in (3), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. Following the same steps as in Theorem 1, we obtain that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf_i'(z)}{f_i(z)} \right) d\theta + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Since $f_i \in \mathcal{CS}^*$, then

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta > -\pi \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Since $1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > 0$ so, minimum is for $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ we obtain that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF_n''(z)}{F_n'(z)} \right) d\theta > -\pi$$

Then $F_n \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 4. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(\beta_i, \rho_i)$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i \leq 1$, then $F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where $F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}$ is defined as in (4), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. It is clear that $F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Since

$$F_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) = \int_0^z (f_1'(t))^{\alpha_1} \cdot \dots \cdot (f_n'(t))^{\alpha_n} dt.$$

Following the same steps as in Theorem 1, we obtain that

$$\frac{zF''_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)}{F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \frac{zf_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)}.$$

That is equivalent to

$$1 + \frac{zF''_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)}{F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \left(\frac{zf_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)} + 1 \right) + 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i. \quad (6)$$

Taking real parts in (6) and integrating with respect to θ we get

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zF''_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)}{F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)} \right) d\theta = \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf_i''(z)}{f_i'(z)} + 1 \right) d\theta + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1).$$

Since $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(\beta_i, \rho_i)$ then by applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z F''_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)}{F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)} \right) d\theta \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i [-\beta_i \pi + \rho_i (\theta_2 - \theta_1)] + \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \rho_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + 1 \right) (\theta_2 - \theta_1) - \pi \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \rho_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i + 1 > 0$ so, minimum is for $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ we obtain that

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z F''_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)}{F'_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z)} \right) d\theta > -\pi$$

then $F_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 5. Let $f_i \in \mathcal{C}(\beta, \rho)$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq 1$, then $F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$, where $F_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n}$ is defined as in (4), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. We consider in Theorem 4 $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_n$ and $\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_n$.

Theorem 6. If $f_i \in \mathcal{CS}^*(\beta_i, \rho_i)$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i \leq 1$, then $F_n \in \mathcal{C}$, where F_n is defined as in (3), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. Since the proof is similar to the proof of theorems in (1), (3) and (4), it will be omitted.

Corollary 7. If $f_i \in \mathcal{CS}^*(\beta, \rho)$, $i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \leq 1$, then $F_n \in \mathcal{C}$, where F_n is defined as in (3), and \mathcal{C} is the class of close to convex functions.

Proof. We consider in Theorem 6 $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_n$ and $\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_n$.

Acknowledgement: The work here is fully supported by UKM-GUP-TMK-07-02-107, UKM.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Breaz and N. Breaz, *Two integral operators*, Studia Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, 47:3(2002), 13-19.
- [2] D. Breaz, S. Owa and N. Breaz, *A new integral univalent operator*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, No 16/2008, pp. 11-16.
- [3] D. Breaz, *A convexity property for an integral operator on the class $\mathcal{S}_p(\beta)$* , Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2008, Article ID 143869.
- [4] D. Breaz, *Certain Integral Operators On the Classes $\mathcal{M}(\beta_i)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\beta_i)$* , Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2008, Article ID 719354.
- [5] S. L. Shukla and V. Kumar, *On The products of close-to-starlike and close-to-convex functions*, Indian J. Pure appl. Math. 16(3): (1985), 279-290.

Aabed Mohammed
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 Bangi, Selangor D. Ehsan,
Malaysia
e-mail: aabedukm@yahoo.com

Maslina Darus
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
43600 Bangi, Selangor D. Ehsan,
Malaysia
e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

Daniel Breaz
"1 Decembrie 1918" University of Alba Iulia,
Faculty of Science,
Department of Mathematics-Informatics,
510009 Alba Iulia,
Romania
e-mail: dbreaz@uab.ro