

CONVOLUTION PROPERTIES OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS PRESERVED BY SOME INTEGRAL OPERATOR

F. M. AL-BOUDI

ABSTRACT. A complex valued function $f = u + iv$ defined in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, is harmonic in D , if u and v are real harmonic. Such functions can be represented as $f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)}$, where h and g are analytic in D . In this paper we study some convolution properties preserved by the integral operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda > 0$, where the functions f are univalent harmonic and sense-preserving in the open unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = I_\lambda^n h(z) + \overline{I_\lambda^n g(z)}$, and $I_h^n h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n} z^k, I_\lambda^n g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n} z^k$. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those obtained in earlier works are pointed out.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of analytic functions in the open unit disc $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, with the normalization

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

Let $K(\alpha), R_\alpha$, and $C(\alpha)$ denote the classes of functions $f \in A$, which are, respectively, convex, prestarlike and close to convex of order α .

A continuous complex-valued function $f = u + iv$ defined in a simply connected domain D is said to be harmonic in D if both u and v are real harmonic in D . There is a close inter-relation between analytic functions and harmonic functions. For example, for real harmonic functions u and v there exist analytic functions U and V so that $u = \operatorname{Re} U$ and $v = \operatorname{Im} V$. Then

$$f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)},$$

where h and g are, respectively, the analytic functions $(U + V)/2$ and $(U - V)/2$. In this case, the Jacobian of $f = h + \bar{g}$ is given by

$$J_{f(z)} = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2,$$

The mapping $z \rightarrow f(z)$ is sense preserving and locally univalent in D if and only if $J_f > 0$ in D . See also Clune and Sheil-Small [4]. The function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is said to be harmonic univalent in D if the mapping $z \rightarrow f(z)$ is sense preserving harmonic and univalent in D . We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of $f = h + \bar{g}$.

Let S_H denote the family of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ which are harmonic univalent and sense-preserving in E with the normalization

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k. \quad (1.1)$$

Let K_H and C_H denote the subclasses of S_H consisting of harmonic functions which are, respectively, convex and close to convex in E .

Finally, we define convolution of two complex-valued harmonic functions $f_1(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{1k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{1k} \bar{z}^k$ and $f_2(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{2k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{2k} \bar{z}^k$ by

$$f_1(z) * f_2(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{1k} a_{2k} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{b}_{1k} \bar{b}_{2k} \bar{z}^k.$$

The above convolution formula reduces to the Hadamard product if the co-analytic parts of f_1 and f_2 are zero.

In this paper we define and give some properties of the integral operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \lambda > 0$, constructed as the convolution $f \tilde{*} \psi_n$ of harmonic univalent and sense-preserving functions f in the unit disc E with the prestarlike function ψ_n . We mainly study some convolution properties preserved by this operator.

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In the following we define the integral operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f$ and derive some of its basic properties. We need the following definition.

Definition 1. Let $f \in S_H$, and assume ψ is analytic in E . Then $(f \tilde{*} \psi)(z) = (\psi \tilde{*} f)(z) = (h * \psi)(z) + \overline{(g * \psi)(z)}$.

Definition 2. Let $f \in S_H$. Then the integral operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \lambda > 0$, is defined by

$$I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = I_{\lambda}^n h(z) + \overline{I_{\lambda}^n g(z)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $I_\lambda^n f, \lambda > 0$ is the multiplier integral operator defined [3] on $f \in A$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\lambda^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\
 I_\lambda^1 f(z) &= \frac{1}{\lambda z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2} f(t) dt \\
 I_\lambda^2 f(z) &= \frac{1}{\lambda z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2} I_\lambda^1 f(t) dt \\
 &\dots \\
 I_\lambda^n f(z) &= \frac{1}{\lambda z^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\lambda}-2} I_\lambda^{n-1} f(t) dt, n \in \mathbb{N}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 1. If the co-analytic part of $I_{H,\lambda}^n f$ is zero, then $I_{H,\lambda}^n f \equiv I_\lambda^n f$, [3]. When $\lambda = \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$, $\gamma > -1$, $I_\lambda^1 f$ is Bernardi integral operator. When $\lambda = 1$, $I_1^n f$ is Salagean integral operator [8].

Remark 2. The integral operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f$ satisfies the following

(i) $I_{H,\lambda}^n (D_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)) = f(z)$,

where $D_{H,\lambda}^n f$ is harmonic differential operator defined by Li Shuai and Liu Peide [9].

(ii) $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = (f \tilde{*} \psi_n)(z)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_n(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n} z^k, \\
 &= \underbrace{(\psi * \psi \cdots * \psi)}_{n\text{-times}}(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]} z^k, \\
 &= {}_2F_1 \left(1, \frac{1}{\lambda}; 1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}; z \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where the function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z) = 1 + \frac{a \cdot b}{1 \cdot c} z + \frac{a(a+1) \cdot b(b+1)}{2! \cdot c(c+1)} z^2 + \dots$, for any real or complex numbers a, b and c ($c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$), is the well-known hypergeometric series which represents an analytic function E

$$(iii) \quad I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{[(1 + \lambda(k-1))^n]} z^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{b_k}}{[1 + \lambda(k-1)]^n} z^k,$$

$$(iv) \quad I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = (1 - \lambda)I_{H,\lambda}^{n+1} f(z) + \lambda[z(I_{H,\lambda}^{n+1} f(z))_z + \bar{z}(I_{H,\lambda}^{n+1} f(z))_{\bar{z}}].$$

(v) The operator $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)$, given by (2.1), is sense-preserving, but may not be univalent in E . Consider $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = H(z) + \overline{G(z)}$, then $|G'| = |(I_{H,\lambda}^n g)'| = |(g * \psi_n)'| = \left| \frac{1}{z} \psi_n * g' \right| < \left| \frac{1}{z} \psi_n * h' \right| = |(h * \psi_n)'| = |(I_{H,\lambda}^n h)'| = |H'|$, which shows that $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)$ is sense-preserving. To show that $I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)$, may not be univalent in E , consider the case where the co-analytic part of $I_{H,\lambda}^n f$ is zero, and $n = 1, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$. The function $f(z) = (1 + i)[(1 - z)^{-1+i} - 1] = (1 + i)(e^{(-1+i)\ln(1-z)} - 1)$, given by Campbell and V. Singh [5] is normalized univalent in E , with this function f , equation (2.2) gives

$$I_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 f(z) = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt = 2i(1 + i) \frac{(1 - z)^i - 1}{z} - 2(1 - i).$$

If we set $z_m = 1 - e^{-2\pi m}$, we find that $I_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 f(z_m) = -2(1 + i)$ for $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence $I_{\frac{1}{2}}^0 f(z)$ is infant-valent [5].

We will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. [6] *Let φ and ψ be convex analytic in E . Then*

- (i) $\varphi * \psi$ is convex analytic in E .
- (ii) $\varphi * f$ is close to convex analytic in E , if f is close to convex analytic in E .

Lemma 2. [7] (i) *Let $\alpha \leq 1$ and $f, g \in R_\alpha$. Then $f * g \in R_\alpha$.*

(ii) *For $\alpha < \beta \leq 1$, we have $R_\alpha \subset R_\beta$.*

(iii) *For $\alpha < 1$, let $f \in C(\alpha)$ and $g \in R_\alpha$. Then $f * g \in C(\alpha)$.*

Lemma 3. [4] *Let $h \in A$ and $g \in A$.*

- (i) *if $|g'(0)| < |h'(0)|$ and $h + \epsilon g$ is close to convex in E , for each $\epsilon (|\epsilon| = 1)$, then $f = h + \bar{g} \in C_H$.*
- (ii) *If $f = h + \bar{g}$ is harmonic and locally univalent in E , and if $h + \epsilon g$ is convex analytic in E for some $\epsilon (|\epsilon| = 1)$, then $f = h + \bar{g} \in C_H$.*

Lemma 4. *Let ψ be as in (2.4). Then*

(i) $\psi \in R_{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})}$.

(ii) $\frac{\psi(z)}{z}$ is convex univalent in E .

For (i) we refer to [7] and for (ii) see [2].

From Lemma 2 and Lemma 4(i) we obtain

Corollary 1. $\psi_n \in R_{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now state and prove our main results.

Theorem 1. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are given by (1.1). If $|g'(0)| < |h'(0)|$ and $(h + \epsilon g) \in C(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})$, $\lambda \geq 1$ for each $\epsilon(|\epsilon| = 1)$, then $I_{H,\lambda}^n f \in C_H$.*

Proof.
$$I_{\lambda}^n(h + \epsilon g) = (h + \epsilon g) * \psi_n = I_{\lambda}^n h + \epsilon I_{\lambda}^n g. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $(h + \epsilon g) \in C(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})$, and $\psi_n \in R_{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})}$, applying Lemma 2(ii), we obtain $(I_{\lambda}^n h + \epsilon I_{\lambda}^n g) \in C(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})$. Since $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \geq 0$, for $\lambda \geq 1$ then $I_{\lambda}^n h + \epsilon I_{\lambda}^n g \in C(0)$, the class of analytic close to convex functions in E . Applying Lemma 3(i), we get the required result.

Theorem 2. *If $\lambda \leq 1$, $I_{H,\lambda}^n f = I_{\lambda}^n h + \overline{I_{\lambda}^n g}$ is harmonic and locally univalent in E , and if $h + \epsilon g$ is convex analytic in E for some $\epsilon(|\epsilon| = 1)$, then $I_{H,\lambda}^n f \in C_H$.*

Proof. Since $\psi_n \in R_{(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})}$, and $\lambda \leq 1$, implies $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq 0$, then applying Lemma 2(ii), we get $\psi_n \in R_0 \equiv K(0)$. Since $h + \epsilon g \in K(0)$, then from (3.1) and Lemma 1(i), we deduce that $I_{\lambda}^n h + \epsilon I_{\lambda}^n g$, is convex analytic in E . Applying Lemma 3(ii), we get the desired result.

Remark 1. For $n = 0$, Theorems 1 and 2 reduce to Clune and Sheil-Small results, given in Lemma 3.

Theorem 3. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are given by (1.1), such that $|g'(0)| < |h'(0)|$ and $h + \epsilon g$ is close to convex analytic in E , for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). If φ is convex analytic in E , then for $\lambda \geq 1$,

$$(\varphi + \overline{\sigma\varphi}) * I_{H,\lambda}^n f \in C_H, |\sigma| = 1.$$

Proof. Let $(\varphi + \overline{\sigma\varphi}) * (I_\lambda^n h(z) + \overline{I_\lambda^n g(z)}) = \varphi * I_\lambda^n h + \overline{\sigma\varphi * I_\lambda^n g} = H + \overline{G}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} H + \gamma G &= \varphi * I_\lambda^n h + \gamma \sigma \varphi * I_\lambda^n g \\ &= \varphi * (I_\lambda^n h + \epsilon I_\lambda^n g), \epsilon = \gamma \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Theorem 1, we see that $(I_\lambda^n h(z) + \epsilon I_\lambda^n g(z))$, is close to convex analytic function for $\lambda \geq 1$ and for each ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$). Applying Lemma 3(i), we deduce that $H + \gamma G$ is close to convex analytic function for each γ ($|\gamma| = 1$). Next we show that $|G'(0)| < |H'(0)|$,

$$\begin{aligned} |G'(0)| &= |(\sigma\varphi * I_\lambda^n g)'|_{z=0} = \left| \frac{1}{z} \varphi * \sigma(I_\lambda^n g)' \right|_{z=0} \\ &< \left| \frac{1}{z} \sigma\varphi * (I_\lambda^n h)' \right|_{z=0} = |(\varphi * I_\lambda^n h)'|_{z=0} = |H'(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1, we obtain $H + \overline{G} = (\varphi + \overline{\sigma\varphi}) * I_{H,\lambda}^n f \in C_H$.

Remark 2. For $n = 0$, Theorem 3 reduces to the results of Ahuja and Jahangiri [1].

Theorem 4. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$, where h and g are given by (1.1). Then $\frac{I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 t^{-\lambda} I_{H,\lambda}^{n-1} f(zt^\lambda) dt$.

Proof. From (2.4), ψ can be written as $\psi(z) = \int_0^z \frac{z dt}{1-zt^\lambda}$. Since $\left(h * \frac{z}{1-zt^\lambda} \right) (z) = \frac{h(t^\lambda z)}{t^\lambda}$ and $\left(g * \frac{z}{1-zt^\lambda} \right) (z) = \frac{g(t^\lambda z)}{t^\lambda}$, then $(I_\lambda^{n-1} h * \psi)(z) = \int_0^1 zt^{-\lambda} I_\lambda^{n-1} h(t^\lambda z) dt$, and $(I_\lambda^{n-1} g * \psi)(z) = \int_0^1 zt^{-\lambda} I_\lambda^{n-1} g(t^\lambda z) dt$. Therefore (2.1) gives

$$I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z) = \int_0^1 zt^{-\lambda} (I_\lambda^{n-1} h(t^\lambda z) + I_\lambda^{n-1} g(t^\lambda z)) dt.$$

Hence

$$\frac{I_{H,\lambda}^n f(z)}{z} = \int_0^1 t^{-\lambda} I_{H,\lambda}^{n-1} f(t^\lambda z) dt.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] O.P. Ahuja and J.M. Janahgiri, *Convolutions for special classes of harmonic univalent functions*, Applied Mathematics Letters **16** (2003), 905–909.
- [2] F.M. Al-Oboudi and K.A. Al-Amoudi, *Subordination results for classes of analytic functions related to conic domains defined by a fractional operator*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **55** (2009), 412–420.
- [3] F. M. Al-Oboudi and Z.M. Al-Qahtani, *On a subclass of analytic functions defined by a new multiplier integral operator*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FGMS), **25**, no. 1 (2007), 59–72.
- [4] J. Clunie and T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math., **9** (1984), 3–25.
- [5] D.M. Campbell and V. Singh, *Valence properties of the solution of a differential equation*, Pacific J. Math., **84** (1979), 29–33.
- [6] St. Ruscheweyh, and T. Sheil-Small, *Hadamard products of schlicht functions and the Polya-Schoenberg conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 119–135.
- [7] St. Ruscheweyh, *Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory*, Sem. Math. Sup. 83, Presses Univ. de Montreal, 1982.
- [8] G. Ş. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Complex analysis - Proc. 5th Rom.-Finn. Semin., Bucharest 1981, Part 1, Lect. Notes Math. **1013**, (1983), 362–372.
- [9] LI Shuai and LIU Peide, *A new class of harmonic univalent functions by the generalized Salagean operator*, WUJNS. **12**, no. 6(2007), 965–970.
- [10] Z. Zongzhu and S. Owa, *Convolution properties of a class of bounded analytic functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **45**, no. 1 (1992), 9–23.

F. Al-Oboudi
 Department of Mathematical Sciences
 College of Science, Princess Nora Bint Abdul Rahman University
 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
 email: fma34@yahoo.com