

ON APPLICATIONS OF GENERALIZED INTEGRAL OPERATOR
TO A SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

GANGADHARAN MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY, KALIAPPAN VIJAYA AND
NANJUNDAN MAGESH

ABSTRACT. Making use of generalized integral operator, we define a subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients. The main object of this paper is to obtain coefficient estimates, closure theorems and extreme points. Also we obtain radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity and neighbourhood results for functions in the generalized class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Denote by \mathcal{A} the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

that are analytic and univalent in the open disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Also denote by \mathcal{T} the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, z \in U. \quad (2)$$

was introduced and studied by Silverman [21].

For functions $\Phi \in \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n z^n \quad (3)$$

and $\Psi \in \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi_n z^n, \quad (4)$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of Φ and Ψ by

$$(\Phi * \Psi)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n \psi_n z^n, \quad z \in U. \quad (5)$$

We recall here a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined in [23] by

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s} \quad (6)$$

$$(a \in C \setminus \{Z_0^-\}; s \in C, \text{ when } |z| < 1 \text{ } R(s) > 1 \text{ and } |z| = 1)$$

where, as usual, $Z_0^- := Z \setminus \{N\}$, ($Z := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$); $N := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ can be found in the recent investigations by Choi and Srivastava [5], Ferreira and Lopez [7], Garg et al. [9], Lin and Srivastava [12], Lin et al. [13], and others. Srivastava and Attiya [22] (see also Raducanu and Srivastava [19], and Prajapat and Goyal [17]) introduced and investigated the linear operator:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined in terms of the Hadamard product by

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b} f(z) = \mathcal{G}_{b,\mu} * f(z) \quad (7)$$

($z \in U; b \in C \setminus \{Z_0^-\}; \mu \in C; f \in \mathcal{A}$), where, for convenience,

$$G_{\mu,b}(z) := (1+b)^\mu [\Phi(z, \mu, b) - b^{-\mu}] \quad (z \in U). \quad (8)$$

We recall here the following relationships (given earlier by [19]) which follow easily by using (1), (7) and (8)

$$\mathcal{J}_b^\mu f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{n+b}\right)^\mu a_n z^n. \quad (9)$$

Motivated essentially by the Srivastava-Attiya operator, Al-Shaqsi and Darus [?] introduced and investigated the integral operator

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b}^{\eta,k} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n^\eta(b, \mu) a_n z^n. \quad (10)$$

where

$$C_n^\eta(b, \mu) = \left| \left(\frac{1+b}{n+b}\right)^\mu \frac{\eta!(n+k-2)!}{(k-2)!(n+\eta-1)!} \right| \quad (11)$$

and (throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters μ, b are constrained as $b \in C \setminus \{Z_0^-\}; \mu \in C, k \geq 2$ and $\eta > -1$. Further note that $J_{\mu,b}^{1,2}$ is the Srivastava-Attiya operator, and $J_{0,b}^{\eta,k}$ is the well-known Choi-Saigo- Srivastava operator (see [6]). Assuming $\eta = 1$ and $k = 2$, we state the following integral operators by specializing μ and b .

1. For $\mu = 0$

$$\mathcal{J}_b^0(f)(z) := f(z). \tag{12}$$

2. For $\mu = 1$

$$\mathcal{J}_b^1(f)(z) := \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt := \mathcal{L}_b f(z). \tag{13}$$

3. For $\mu = 1$ and $b = \nu (\nu > -1)$

$$\mathcal{J}_\nu^1(f)(z) := \mathcal{F}_\nu(f)(z) = \frac{1+\nu}{z^\nu} \int_0^z t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+\nu}{n+\nu}\right) a_n z^n. \tag{14}$$

4. For $\mu = \sigma (\sigma > 0)$ and $b = 1$

$$\mathcal{J}_1^\sigma(f)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{n+1}\right)^\sigma a_n z^n = \mathcal{I}^\sigma(f)(z), \tag{15}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_b(f)$ and \mathcal{F}_ν are the integral operators introduced by Alexandor [1] and Bernardi [4], respectively, and $\mathcal{I}^\sigma(f)$ is the Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [11] closely related to some multiplier transformation studied by Fleet [8]. Making use of the operator $\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b}^{\lambda,k}$, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients and discuss some usual properties of the geometric function theory of this generalized function class.

For $\alpha (\alpha \geq 0)$, $\beta (0 \leq \beta < 1)$, and $\eta (\eta > -1)$, we let $R(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ denote the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b}^{\eta,k} f(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(z \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu,b}^{\eta,k} f(z) \right)' \right)' \right\} > \beta, \quad z \in U. \tag{16}$$

We also let $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta) = R(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta) \cap \mathcal{T}$.

We note that, by suitably specializing the parameters α, β, μ, η , the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ reduces to the classes studied in [2,3,20].

Motivated by the earlier works of Murugusundaramoorthy [14,15,16] and Prajapat et.al.,[17] we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for the functions $f(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, and to study the extreme points, closure properties, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity, δ - neighbourhoods for $f(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

2.MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (7). Then $f(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n \leq 1 - \beta, \tag{17}$$

$\alpha(\alpha \geq 0)$, $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$, and $\eta(\eta > -1)$.

Proof. Assume that inequality (17) holds and let $|z| < 1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (1 - \alpha) \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(z \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' \right)' - 1 \right| \\ &= \left| (1 - \alpha) \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nC_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n z^{n-1} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 C_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n z^{n-1} \right) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \beta. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the values of $(1 - \alpha) \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(z \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' \right)'$ lies in a circle centered at $w = 1$ whose radius is $1 - \beta$. Hence $f(z)$ satisfies the condition (16).

Conversely, assume that the function $f(z)$ defined by (7), is in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' + \alpha \left(z \left(\mathcal{J}_{\mu, b}^{\eta, k} f(z) \right)' \right)' \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1 - \alpha) \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nC_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n z^{n-1} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \alpha \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^2 C_n^\eta(b, \mu)a_n z^{n-1} \right) \right\} \\ &> \beta, z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$ along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality.

Corollary 1. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (7) be in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)}. \tag{18}$$

The equation (18) is attained for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)} z^n \quad (n \geq 2). \quad (19)$$

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ be defined, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n, j} z^n \quad a_{n, j} \geq 0, \quad z \in U. \quad (20)$$

We shall prove the following results for the closure of functions in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

Theorem 2. (Closure Theorem) *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) defined by (20) be in the classes $R^*(\alpha, \beta_j, \lambda, \eta)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) respectively. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by*

$$h(z) = z - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{n, j} \right) z^n$$

is in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, where $\beta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\beta_j\}$ where $0 \leq \beta_j \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $f_j(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta_j, \mu, \eta)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) by applying Theorem 1, to (20) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{n, j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) a_{n, j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (1 - \beta_j) \\ &\leq 1 - \beta \end{aligned}$$

which in view of Theorem 1, $h(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ and hence the proof is complete.

Theorem 3. *Let $f(z)$ defined by (7) and $g(z)$ defined by $g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ be in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by*

$$h(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} q_n z^n$$

where $q_n = (1 - \lambda)a_n + \lambda b_n$; $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ is also in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

Theorem 4. (Extreme Points) Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= z \quad \text{and} \\ f_n(z) &= z - \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)} z^n \quad (n \geq 2) \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $\eta > -1$. Then $f(z)$ is in the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \quad (22)$$

where $\lambda_n \geq 0$ ($n \geq 1$) and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)} \lambda_n z^n.$$

Then it follows that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]}{(1 - \beta)} C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \lambda_n \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)} \leq 1$$

by Theorem 1, $f(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then $a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]C_n^\eta(b, \mu)}$ ($n \geq 2$) we set $\lambda_n = \frac{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]}{(1 - \beta)} C_n^\eta(b, \mu) a_n$ ($n \geq 2$) and $\lambda_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n$. We obtain $f(z) = \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

3.RADIUS OF STARLIKENESS AND CONVEXITY

In this section we obtain the radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$.

Theorem 5. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (7) belong to the class $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_1 = r_1(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta, \sigma) := \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\left(\frac{1 - \sigma}{1 - \beta} \right) [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \quad (23)$$

The result is sharp, with extremal function $f(z)$ given by (19).

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{T}$, and f is close-to-convex of order σ , we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| < 1 - \sigma. \tag{24}$$

For the left hand side of (24) we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \sigma$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{1 - \sigma} a_n |z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact, that $f \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]}{(1 - \beta)} C_n^\eta(b, \mu) a_n \leq 1,$$

We can say (24) is true if

$$\frac{n}{1 - \sigma} |z|^{n-1} \leq \frac{n[1 + (n - 1)\alpha]}{(1 - \beta)} C_n^\eta(b, \mu)$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{n-1} = \left[\left(\frac{1 - \sigma}{1 - \beta} \right) [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \right]$$

Which completes the proof.

Theorem 6. Let $f \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$. Then

1. f is starlike of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the disc $|z| < r_2$; that is,

Re $\left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \sigma$, where

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\left(\frac{n(1 - \sigma)}{(n - \sigma)(1 - \beta)} \right) [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{25}$$

2. f is convex of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < 1$) in the unit disc $|z| < r_3$, that is

Re $\left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \sigma$, ($|z| < r_3; 0 \leq \sigma < 1$), where

$$r_3 = \inf_{n \geq 2} \left[\left(\frac{1 - \sigma}{(n - \sigma)(1 - \beta)} \right) [1 + (n - 1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{26}$$

Each of these results are sharp for the extremal function $f(z)$ given by (21).

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{T}$, and f is starlike of order σ , we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \sigma. \tag{27}$$

For the left hand side of (27) we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-1}}.$$

The last expression is less than $1 - \sigma$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-\sigma}{1-\sigma} a_n |z|^{n-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact, that $f \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n[1+(n-1)\alpha]}{(1-\beta)} C_n^\eta(b, \mu) a_n \leq 1.$$

We can say (27) is true if

$$\frac{n-\sigma}{1-\sigma} |z|^{n-1} < \frac{n[1+(n-1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu)}{(1-\beta)}$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{n-1} = \left[\frac{n(1-\sigma)}{(n-\sigma)(1-\beta)} [1+(n-1)\alpha] C_n^\eta(b, \mu) \right]$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

(2) Using the fact that f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike, we can prove (2), on lines similar to the proof of (1).

4.INCLUSION RELATIONS INVOLVING $N_\delta(e)$

Following [10,18], we define the δ - neighborhood of function $f(z) \in \mathcal{T}$ by

$$N_\delta(f) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{T} : g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \leq \delta \right\}. \tag{28}$$

Particular for the identity function $e(z) = z$, we have

$$N_\delta(e) := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{T} : g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ and } \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|b_n| \leq \delta \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Theorem 7. *If*

$$\delta := \frac{(1 - \beta)}{(1 + \alpha)C_2^\eta(b, \mu)} \quad (30)$$

then $R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta) \subset N_\delta(e)$.

Proof. For $f \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, Theorem 1 immediately yields

$$2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq 1 - \beta,$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)} \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, from (17) and (31) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n &\leq (1 - \beta) - 2\alpha C_2^\eta(b, \mu) \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \\ &\leq (1 - \beta) - 2\alpha C_2^\eta(b, \mu) \frac{(1 - \beta)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)} \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \beta}{C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} na_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)} := \delta \quad (32)$$

which, in view of the definition (29) proves Theorem 7.

Now we determine the neighborhood for the class $R^{*(\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ which we define as follows. A function $f \in \mathcal{T}$ is said to be in the class $R^{*(\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ if there exists a function $g \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \rho, \quad (z \in U, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1). \quad (33)$$

Theorem 8. *If $g \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$ and*

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{\delta C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha) - (1 - \beta)} \quad (34)$$

then

$$N_\delta(g) \subset R^{*(\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \eta). \tag{35}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in N_\delta(g)$ we then find from (28) that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \leq \delta$$

which implies that the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Next, since $g \in R^*(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \eta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n = \frac{(1 - \beta)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2} \times \frac{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha) - (1 - \beta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha)}{2C_2^\eta(b, \mu)(1 + \alpha) - (1 - \beta)} \\ &= 1 - \rho. \end{aligned}$$

provided that ρ is given precisely by (35). Thus by definition, $f \in R^{*(\rho)}(\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \eta)$ for ρ given by (35), which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Alexander, *Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions*, Ann. of Math., **17**(1915), 1222.
- [2] H.S.Al-Amiri, *On a subclass of close-to-convex functions with negative coefficients*, Mathematica 31(54), No.1 (1989), 1-7.
- [3] O.Altintas, *A subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Ho-cellepe. Bull. Natur. Sci. Engg., 19 (1990), 15-24.

- [4] S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 135,(1969), 429–446.
- [5] J. Choi and H. M. Srivastava, *Certain families of series associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **170** (2005), 399-409.
- [6] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, *Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 276 (2002), 432445.
- [7] C. Ferreira and J. L. Lopez, *Asymptotic expansions of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **298**(2004), 210-224.
- [8] T. M. Flett, *The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **38**(1972), 746765
- [9] M. Garg, K. Jain and H. M. Srivastava, *Some relationships between the generalized Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials and Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **17**(2006), 803-815.
- [10] A.W.Goodman, *Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (8) (1957), 598-601.
- [11] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **176**(1993), 138147.
- [12] S.-D. Lin and H. M. Srivastava, *Some families of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions and associated fractional derivative and other integral representations*, Appl. Math. Comput., **154**(2004), 725-733.
- [13] S.-D. Lin, H. M. Srivastava and P.-Y. Wang, *Some expansion formulas for a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **17**(2006), 817-827.
- [14] G.Murugusundaramoorthy, *Unified class of analytic functions with negative coefficients involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 1 Issue 3(2009), 71-84.
- [15] G.Murugusundaramoorthy, *Subordination results and integral means inequalities for k - uniformly starlike functions defined by convolution involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Studia Univ. "Babes-Bolyai", Mathematica, Volume LV, Number 4,(2010)155–165
- [16] G.Murugusundaramoorthy, *A subclass of analytic functions associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Hacepetee J Maths, Volume 39 (2) (2010), 265 - 272
- [17] J. K. Prajapat and S. P. Goyal, *Applications of Srivastava-Attiya operator to the classes of strongly starlike and strongly convex functions*, J. Math. Inequal., **3**(2009), 129-137.
- [18] S.Rucheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., 81 (1981),521-527.

[19] D. Raducanu and H. M. Srivastava, *A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **18**(2007), 933-943.

[20] S.M.Sarangi and B.A. Uralegaddi, *The radius of convexity and starlike for certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients I*, Rend. Acad.Nat. Lincei., 65 (1978), 38 - 42.

[21] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **51**, (1975), 109 - 116.

[22] H. M. Srivastava and A. A. Attiya, *An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination*, Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., **18**(2007), 207–216.

[23] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Series associated with the Zeta and related functions*, Dordrecht, Boston, London: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

Gangadharan Murugusundaramoorthy
School of Advanced Sciences
VIT University
Vellore - 632014, Tamil Nadu, India.
email : gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Kaliappan Vijaya
School of Advanced Sciences
VIT University
Vellore - 632014, Tamil Nadu, India.
email : kvijaya@vit.ac.in

Nanjundan Magesh
Department of Mathematics
Government Arts College (Men)
Krishnagiri-635001, Tamil Nadu, India.
email : nmagi_2000@yahoo.co.in