RESTRICTION OF STABLE BUNDLES ON AN ABELIAN SURFACE. THE c₂=1 CASE

CRISTIAN VIOREL ANGHEL

ABSTRACT. In this note we describe the restriction map from the moduli space of stable rank 2 bundle with $c_2 = 1$ on a jacobian X of dimension 2, to the moduli space of stable rank 2 bundles on the corresponding genus 2 curve C embedded in X.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14D20, 14H60.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C a smooth curve of genus 2 and X his jacobian wich is a smooth projective algebraic surface. The base field is the complex number field. We denote by

 $M_{(2, C, 1)}$

the moduli space of rank 2 bundle on X with $c_1 = C$ and $c_2 = 1$. Also we denote by

 $M_{(2, K)}$

the moduli space of stable rank 2 bundle on C with determinant K i.e. the canonical class of C. Obviously, for any

$$E \in M_{(2, C, 1)}$$

the restriction $E_{|C}$ is a rank 2 bundle on C with determinant K. The natural questions wich appear are the followings: is $E_{|C}$ a stable (or at least semi-stable) bundle on C and if yes, what is the induced map

$$M_{(2, C, 1)} \longrightarrow M_{(2, K)}$$
?

As we shall see, the restriction is semi-stable, and the restriction map can be described explicitly, in terms of the generalised theta divisor associated to E, a result announced in [1].

Acnowledgements. This problem, was proposed to me by my former advisor Professor Arnaud Beauville. I would like to thank him for this and for many discutions on this subject.

107

This note was presented at ICTAMI 2007 and was partially supported by the ISAGET 2-CEx06-11-20 grant.

2. Notations

For X the jacobian of a genus 2 curve C, we denote by $F_0 = \mathcal{O}(C) \otimes \mathcal{J}_0$, where \mathcal{J}_0 is the sheaf of ideals of the origin of X. Also, using F_0 we can construct a unique extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow F_{-1} \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

wich has $c_1 = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and $c_2 = 1$. The first result we need is the following, proved in [3]:

Theorem 0.1 For any rank 2 bundle E on X with $c_1 = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and $c_2 = 1$ there are uniques $x, y \in X$ such that $E \simeq T_x^* F_{-1} \otimes P_y$, where T_x^* is the pull-back by the x-translation and P_y is the line bundle on X wich correspond to y by the canonical isomorphism $X \longrightarrow \hat{X}$ defined by the principal polarisation C. As consequence the moduli space is isomorphic with $X \times X$.

For the moduli space on C we need the following theorem proved in [4]:

Theorem 0.2 Let F a semi-stable rank 2 bundle on C with determinant equal with the canonical class of C, and x_0 a Weierstrass point of C. Let

$$D_F = \{\xi \in Pic^1(C) \mid H^0(\xi \otimes F \otimes \mathcal{O}(-x_0)) \neq 0\}.$$

With these notations, D_F is a divisor of the linear system | 2C | on $Pic^1(C)$ and the map $F \longrightarrow D_F$ is an isomorphism between the moduli space of rank two bundles with canonical determinant and \mathbf{P}^3 .

3. The restriction theorem

Using the previous notations we have the following:

Theorem 0.3 a) The moduli space of rank 2 bundles on X with $c_1 = \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and $c_2 = 1$ is isomorphic with X.

b) For generic $y \in X$ the restriction $E_{|C}$ of $E \simeq T_{-2y}^* F_{-1} \otimes P_y$ is semi-stable but not stable.

c) The rational restriction map $X - \to \mathbf{P}^3$ is the quotient by the natural involution of X and the image is the Kummer surface.

108

Proof: a) First of all, for $E \simeq T_x^* F_{-1} \otimes P_y$ the determinant is $T^*_{x+2y} \mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and it is $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ iff x = -2y. So $M_{(2, C, 1)}$ is isomorphic with X.

b) For $E_0 = F_{-1}$ the restriction on C is given by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(x_0) \longrightarrow E_0 \mid_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(x_0)) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The moduli space on X is fine, so by openess of semi-stability in families, for a generic bundle the restriction is semi-stable.

c) Let $E \simeq E_y \simeq T_{-2y} * F_{-1} \otimes P_y$. The main point here is the calculus of $D_{E_{|C|}}$ for a generic E. From the exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow F_{-1} \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow 0$

we obtain the following for E:

$$0 \longrightarrow P_y \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow T^*_{-2y}F_0 \otimes P_y \longrightarrow 0.$$

For generic $y, P_{y|C} \simeq \mathcal{O}_C(x_0) \otimes y^{\vee}$ and $T_{-2y}^* F_0 \mid_C = y \otimes y$. So

$$E_{y|_C} \in Ext^1(y \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(x_0), y^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(x_0)).$$

Now we shall find $D_{E|C}$ for generic $E = E_y$, where

$$D_{E|C} = \{\xi \in Pic^1(C) \mid H^0(\xi \otimes E|_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-x_0)) \neq 0\}.$$

The cohomology exact sequence for

$$0 \longrightarrow y^{\vee} \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow y \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $E' = E_{|C} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-x_0)$, shows that for generic ξ , the condition $H^0(\xi \otimes E') \neq 0$ is equivalent to the fact that

$$\delta: H^0(y \otimes \xi) \longrightarrow H^1(y^{\vee} \otimes \xi)$$

is 0. Let's introduce the notations: $z = \mathcal{O}_C(x_0) \otimes \xi \otimes y^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{F} = T_{-2y}^* F_0$. Now, the fact that $\delta = 0$ is implied by (but not equivalent to) the surjectivity of

$$\varphi: Hom(P_z, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow Hom(\xi^{\vee}, y).$$

Using Propositions 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 from [3] the surjectivity of φ is equivalent to $H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes P_z^*) \neq 0$ wich turn out to be equivalent with $z \in C$. This last fact, using the group structure on X means that

$$C + y \subset D_{E_{|C|}}$$

and $D_{E_{|C|}}$ beeing in |2C|, we find that

109

$$C - y \subset D_{E_{|C|}}$$

also, and so

$$D_{E_{|C}} = C - y \cup C + y.$$

This last fact means that on the open set where it is defined, the restriction map is in fact the Kummer involution, proving c).

Also, the image of any bundle has a reducible divisor and so is only semi-stable but not stable, proving also the last part of b).

References

[1] C. Anghel: Restriction of stable bundles on a jacobian of genus 2 to an embedded curve, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform. nr. 15, 53-56 (2008).

[2] S. Mukai: Fourier functor and its applications to the moduli of bundles on an abelian variety, Algebraic Geometry, Sendai, 1985, Series : Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 10, (T. Oda ed.), 515-550, (1987).

[3] S. Mukai: Duality between D(X) and $D(\hat{X})$ with applications to Picard sheaves, Nagoya Math. J. 81, 153-173 (1981).

[4] M. S. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan: Moduli of vector bundles on a compact riemann surface, Ann. of Math. 89, 1, 14-51 (1969).

[5] H. Umemura: Moduli spaces of stables vector bundles over abelian surfaces, Nagoya Math. J. 71 47-60 (1980).

Cristian Viorel Anghel

Department of Mathematics

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy

Calea Grivitei nr. 21 Bucuresti Romania

email: Cristian. Anghel@imar.ro