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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of functions $f(z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $U$. The Hadamard product of two functions $f(z)$ given by (1) and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ is defined as

$$
(f * g)(z)=(g * f)(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k} .
$$

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in the unit disc $U$. Then $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $g(z)$ in $U$, if there exists a Schwartz function $w(z)$, analytic in $U$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$. Further if $g(z)$ is univalent if $f(0)=g(0)$ and if $f(U) \subset g(U)$, then we write $f \prec g$.

For complex numbers $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q}$ and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ;\left(\beta_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathcal{Z}_{0}^{-} ; \mathcal{Z}_{0}^{-}=\right.$ $\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$ for $j=1,2, . ., s)$, we define the generalized hypergeometric function ${ }_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)$ as

$$
{ }_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{k} \ldots\left(\alpha_{q}\right)_{k} z^{k}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{k} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{k} k!},
$$

$$
\left(q \leq s+1 ; q, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} ; z \in U\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive integers and $(x)_{k}$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of gamma functions, as

$$
(x)_{k}=\frac{\Gamma(x+k)}{\Gamma(x)}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad k=0 \\ x(x+1) \ldots(x+k-1) & \text { if } k \in \mathbb{N}\end{cases}
$$

Corresponding to the function $g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)$ defined by

$$
g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)=z_{q} F_{s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{q} ; \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{s} ; z\right)
$$

Recently in $[9,14]$ an operator $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=f(z) * g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)= & (1-\lambda+\mu)\left(f(z) * g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)\right)+(\lambda-\mu) z\left(f(z) * g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\lambda \mu z^{2}\left(f(z) * g_{q, s}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1} ; z\right)^{\prime \prime}\right.
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{1}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m-1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. By the above definition, it is easy to note that
$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{k-1} \ldots\left(\alpha_{q}\right)_{k-1}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k-1}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{k-1} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{k-1}(k-1)!} a_{k} z^{k}$.
For brevity, let us take

$$
B_{k}=\frac{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)_{k-1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)_{k-1} \ldots\left(\alpha_{q}\right)_{k-1}}{\left(\beta_{1}\right)_{k-1}\left(\beta_{2}\right)_{k-1} \ldots\left(\beta_{s}\right)_{k-1}(k-1)!}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}
$$

For suitable values of $\alpha_{i^{\prime} s}, \beta_{j^{\prime} s}, q, s, \lambda$ and $\mu$ we can deduce several operators as a special case of this operator. For example see $[1,5,12]$.

Using this operator $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)$, we define a class $M$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}-1\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in U, b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary fixed numbers such that $-1 \leq$ $B \leq A \leq 1$.

We note that by specializing $b, m, \lambda, q, s, \alpha_{i^{\prime} s}, \beta_{i^{\prime} s}, A$, and $B$ in the function class $M$, we obtain several well-known as well as new subclasses of analytic functions. Here we list a few of them:

1. If we let $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=1$, then the class $M$ reduces to the well- known class

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; A, B):=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{\mathscr{D}^{m+1} f(z)}{\mathscr{D}^{m} f(z)}-1\right) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}
$$

where $\mathscr{D}^{m} f$ is the well- known Sălăgean operator. The class $\mathcal{H}^{m}(\delta ; A, B)$ has been introduced and studied by Attiya in [4].
2. For a choice of the parameter $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1$, $A=1$ and $B=-K$, the class $M$ reduces to the class

$$
\mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; K):=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A},\left|\frac{b-1+\frac{\mathscr{D}^{m+1} f(z)}{\mathscr{D}^{m} f(z)}}{b}-K\right|<K, z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}
$$

where $K>\frac{1}{2}$. The class $\mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; K)$ has been introduced and studied by Aouf, Darwish and Attiya in [3].
3. If we take $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1, b=1-\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, $A=1$ and $B=-1$ then the class $M$ reduces to the class

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m}^{*}(\alpha):=\left\{f: f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\mathscr{D}^{m+1} f(z)}{\mathscr{D}^{m} f(z)}\right\}>\alpha, z \in \mathcal{U}\right\}
$$

The class $\mathcal{S}_{m}^{*}(\alpha)$ has been introduced and studied by E. Kadioğlu in [8].
Apart from the these, several other well known as well as new classes of analytic functions can be obtained by specializing the parameters involved in the class $M$. For example, see $[2,3,10,11,13,15,16]$.

Let $\Omega$ denote the class of bounded analytic functions $w(z)$ in $U$ which satisfy the condition $w(0)=1$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$.

## 2. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR A FUNCTION TO BE IN $M$

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1) and let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}\{(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)+  \tag{3}\\
& |(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|\} B_{k}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq(A-B)|b|
\end{align*}
$$

hold, then $f(z)$ belongs to $M$.
Proof. Suppose that the inequality holds, then we have for $z \in U$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)|-|(A-B) b \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z) \\
-B\left[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right] \mid \\
=\left|\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}[(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)] B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}\right| \\
-\mid(A-B) b z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} \\
{[(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)] B_{k} a_{k} z^{k} \mid}
\end{array} \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}\{(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda) \\
& \quad+|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|\} B_{k}\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k}-(A-B)|b| r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right|-\mid(A-B) b \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)- \\
B\left[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right] \mid \\
\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}\{(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)+ \\
|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|\} B_{k}\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k}-(A-B)|b| r \leq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\frac{\left|\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}-1\right|}{\left|B\left[\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}-1\right]-(A-B) b\right|}<1
$$

Letting

$$
w(z)=\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}-1}{B\left[\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}-1\right]-(A-B) b}
$$

then $w(0)=0, w(z)$ is analytic in $|z|<1$ and $|w(z)|<1$. Hence we have

$$
\frac{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}{\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)}=\frac{1+[B+b(A-B)] w(z)}{1+B w(z)}
$$

which shows $f(z) \in M$.
If we let $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=1$ in Theorem 1, we have the following result.

Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{m}\{(k-1)+|(A-B) b-B(k-1)|\}\left|a_{n}\right| \leq(A-B)|b| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $f(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{m}(\delta ; A, B)$.
If we let $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}, \alpha_{2}=1, A=1$ and $B=-K$ in Theorem 1, we get the following interesting result.

Corollary 2. [3] Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\{(k-1)+|b(1+u)+u(k-1)|\} k^{m}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq|b(1+u)| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, then $f(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; K)$, where $u=1-\frac{1}{K}\left(K>\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

## 3. Estimation of Coefficients

Theorem 2. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $M$. (a)If $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}>\left[2(A-B) B \Re b+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)\right](k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)$, let

$$
G=\frac{(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}}{\left[2(A-B) B \Re\{b\}+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)\right](k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)}
$$

where $k=2,3, \ldots, m-1$. Let $N=\lfloor G\rfloor$ (Gauss symbol), the greatest integer not greater than $G$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{j}|(A-B) b-(k-2) B|}{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{m}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{j-1}(j-1)!B_{j}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=2,3, \ldots, N+2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{N+3}|(A-B) b-(k-2) B|}{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{m}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{j-1}(j-1)(N+1)!B_{j}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j>N+2$.
(b) If $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2} \leq\left[2(A-B) B \Re b+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)\right](k-1)(\lambda-\mu+$ $\lambda k \mu)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{m}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)(j-1) B_{j}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \geq 2$. The bounds (6) and (8)are sharp for all admissible $A, B, b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $j$.

Proof. Since $f(z) \in M$, the inequality (2)gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right|=  \tag{9}\\
& \quad\left\{[(A-B) b+B] \mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)-B\left[\mathcal{D}_{\lambda, \mu}^{m+1}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)\right\} w(z) .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (9) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k+2}^{\infty}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu) B_{k} a_{k} z^{k} \\
=\left\{(A-B) b z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}[(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)][1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}\right\} w(z) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{j}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda) B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k} \\
= & \left\{(A-B) b z+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}[(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)][1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}\right\} w(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for certain coefficients $c_{k}$. Since $|w(z)|<1$, we have

$$
\left|\sum_{k=2}^{j}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda) B_{k} a_{k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \mid(A-B) b z+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}[(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)] \\
& {[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m} B_{k} a_{k} z^{k} \mid . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z=r e^{i \theta}, r<1$. Applying the Parseval's formula on both sides of the above inequality and a simple computation we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2}^{j}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m}(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2} B_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \mid \\
& \leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2} r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2} a_{k}^{2} \mu^{2 k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Then on simplification we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{2 m}(j-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{2} B_{j}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
\leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}\left\{|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\} \\
\times[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $j \geq 2$.
Now the following two cases arise
(a) $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}>\left[2(A-B) B \Re b+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)\right](k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)$ suppose that $j \leq N+2$. Then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{(1+\lambda-\mu+2 \mu \lambda)(\lambda-\mu+2 \mu \lambda) B_{2}}
$$

which gives (6) for $j=2$. We establish (6) for $j<N+2$ from (10) by mathematical induction. Suppose (6) is valid for $j=2,3, \ldots,(k-1)$. Then it follows from (10) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{2 m}(j-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{2} B_{j}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}} \\
\leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}\left\{|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\times[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \\
\leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{j-1}\left\{|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\} \\
\times[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2} \\
\times \frac{\prod_{n=2}^{k}|(A-B) b-(n-2) B|^{2}}{[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2}}\left\{(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{k-1}(k-1)!\right\}^{2} \\
=(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}+\left[|(A-B) b-B(\lambda-\mu+2 \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(\lambda-\mu+2 \mu \lambda)^{2}\right] \frac{(A-B)^{2} b^{2}}{(\lambda-\mu+2 \mu \lambda)^{2}(1!)^{2}} \\
+\left\{|(A-B) b-2 B(\lambda-\mu+3 \mu \lambda)|^{2}-4(\lambda-\mu+3 \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\} \\
\frac{1}{(\lambda-\mu+3 \mu \lambda)^{4}(2!)^{2}}(A-B)^{2} b^{2}|(A-B) b-B|^{2}+\ldots
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\prod_{k=2}^{j}|(A-B) b-(k-2) B|^{2}}{\left\{(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{j-2}(j-2)!\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get

$$
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{\prod_{k=2}^{j}|(A-B) b-(k-2) B|^{2}}{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{m}(j-1)!(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{j-1} B_{j}} .
$$

Next we suppose that $j>N+2$. Then (10) gives that

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{2 m}(j-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{2} B_{j}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}} \\
\leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{N+2}\left\{|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\} \\
\times[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2} \\
+\sum_{k=3}^{j-1}\left\{|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|^{2}-(k-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)^{2}\right\}[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{2 m} B_{k}^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

on substituting the upper estimates of $a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{N+2}$ obtained above and simplifying we get (7).
(b) Let $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2} \leq\left[2(A-B) B \Re b+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)\right](k-1)(\lambda-\mu+\lambda k \mu)$.

It follows from (10) that

$$
[1+(j-1)(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)]^{2 m}(j-1)^{2}(\lambda-\mu+j \mu \lambda)^{2} B_{j}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2} \leq(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}
$$

which proves (8).
The bounds in (6) are sharp for the functions $f(z)$ given by

$$
D_{\lambda}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f(z)= \begin{cases}z(1+B z)^{\frac{(A-B) b}{B}} & \text { if } B \neq 0 \\ z \exp (A b z) & \text { if } B=0\end{cases}
$$

Also, the bounds in (8) are sharp for the functions $f_{k}(z)$ given by

$$
D_{\lambda}^{m}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) f_{k}(z)= \begin{cases}z(1+B z)^{\frac{(A-B) b}{B \lambda(k-1)}} & \text { if } B \neq 0 \\ z \exp \left(\frac{A b}{\lambda(k-1)} z^{k-1}\right) & \text { if } B=0\end{cases}
$$

We remark here that by specializing the parameters, the above result reduces to various other results obtained by several authors.

If we let $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=1$ in Theorem 2, we get the result due to Attiya [4].

Corollary 3. [4] Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}^{m}(\delta ; A, B)$.
(a) If $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}>(n-1)\left\{2 B(A-B) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(n-1)\right\}$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
G=\frac{(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2}}{(n-1)\left\{2 B(A-B) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(k-1)\right\}} \\
(\text { for } n=2,3, \ldots, m-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$M=[G]$ (Gauss symbol) and $[G]$ is the greatest integer not greater than $G$. Then, for $j=2,3, \ldots, M+2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{j^{m}(j-1)!} \prod_{n=2}^{j}|(A-B) b-(n-2) B| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j>M+2$

$$
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{j^{m}(j-1)(M+1)!} \prod_{n=2}^{M+3}|(A-B) b-(n-2) B|
$$

(b) If $(A-B)^{2}|b|^{2} \leq(n-1)\left\{2 B(A-B) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+\left(1-B^{2}\right)(n-1)\right\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B)|b|}{(j-1) j^{m}}, \quad j \geq 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds in (11) and (12) are sharp for all admissible $A, B, b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and for each $j$.

If we let $\lambda=1, \mu=0, q=2, s=1, \alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}=1, A=1$ and $B=-K$ in Theorem 2, we have

Corollary 4. [3] Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; K)$.
(a) If $2 u(n-1) R e\{b\}>(n-1)^{2}(1-u)-|b|^{2}(1+u)$, let

$$
G=\left[\frac{2 u(n-1) \operatorname{Re}(b)}{(n-1)^{2}(1-u)-|b|^{2}(1+u)}\right] . \quad \quad \text { forn }=1,3, \ldots, j-1
$$

Then, for $j=2,3, \ldots, G+2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{j^{m}(j-1)!} \prod_{n=2}^{j}|(1+u) b+(n-2) u| \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $j>G+2$,

$$
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{j^{m}(j-1)(G+1)!} \prod_{n=2}^{G+3}|(1+u) b+(n-2) u|
$$

(b) If $2 u(n-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\} \leq(n-1)^{2}(1-u)-|b|^{2}(1+u)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{j}\right| \leq \frac{(1+u)|b|}{(j-1) j^{m}} \quad j \geq 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=1-\frac{1}{K}$ and $\left(K>-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
Note that the inequalities (13) and (14) are sharp.

## 4. Subordination results for the class $M$

Definition 1. A sequence $\left\{b_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is called a subordinating factor sequence, if whenever $f(z)$ is analytic, univalent and convex in $U$, we have the subordination given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} a_{k} z^{k} \prec f(z) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in U$ and $a_{1}=1$.
Lemma 1. [17] The sequence $\left\{b_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For brevity, let us denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{k}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)=[1+(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)]^{m}\{(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)+ \\
&|(A-B) b-B(k-1)(\lambda-\mu+k \mu \lambda)|\} B_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\bar{M}$ be the class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ whose coefficients satisfy the condition (3). Note that $\bar{M} \subseteq M$.

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1), be in the class $\bar{M}$, where $-1 \leq A<B \leq 1$. Also let $\zeta$ denote the familiar class of functions $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ which are also univalent and convex in $U$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in U, g \in \zeta) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re(f(z))>-\frac{(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)} \quad(z \in U) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the constant $\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}$ is the best estimate.
Proof. Let $f(z) \in \bar{M}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \in \zeta$. Then

$$
\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}(f * g)(z)=\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\left(z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}\right)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}
$$

Thus by the definition (15), the assertion of the theorem will hold if the sequence $\left\{\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B) a_{k}}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating sequence with $a_{1}=1$. In view of Lemma 1 this will be true if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{1+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} a_{k} z^{k}\right\}>0 \quad(z \in U) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Re\left\{1+\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right\}= \\
& \Re\left\{1+\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} a_{1} z+\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}\right\} \\
& \geq \\
& \\
& \left.{(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} \left\lvert\, r+\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_{k}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k}}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}\right.\right\} }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sigma_{k}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)$ is a real increasing function of $k \quad(k \geq 2)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 1-\Re\left\{\left|\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}\right| r+\frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sigma_{k}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\left|a_{k}\right| r^{k}}{\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}\right\} \\
& \quad \geq 1-\left\{\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} r+\frac{(A-B)|b|}{(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)} r\right\} \\
& =1-r>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (19) holds in $U$. This proves the inequality (17). The inequality (18) follows by taking the convex function $g(z)=\frac{z}{1-z}=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z^{k}$ in (17). To prove the sharpness of the constant $\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}$, we consider $f_{0} z \in \bar{M}$ given by

$$
f_{0}(z)=z-\frac{(A-B) b}{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)} z^{2}
$$

Thus from (17) we have

$$
\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} f_{0}(z) \prec \frac{z}{1-z}
$$

It can be easily verified that

$$
\min \left\{\Re\left(\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]} f_{0}(z)\right)\right\}=-\frac{1}{2}
$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)}{2\left[(A-B)|b|+\sigma_{2}(\lambda, \mu, m, A, B)\right]}$ is the best possible.
For the sake of completeness, we state some of the new and various other known results by specializing the parameters involved in Theorem 3 .

Corollary 5 Let the function $f \in \mathcal{H}^{m}(b ; A, B)$ satisfy the condition (4). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2^{m-1}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}{(A-B)|b|+2^{m}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z)  \tag{20}\\
\left(z \in \mathcal{U} ; m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; g \in \mathcal{C}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re} f(z)>-\frac{(A-B)|b|+2^{m}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}{2^{m}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}, \quad(z \in \mathcal{U}) .
$$

In addition, the constant factor

$$
\frac{2^{m-1}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}{(A-B)|b|+2^{m}\{1+|(A-B) b-B|\}}
$$

in the subordination result (20) cannot be replaced by a larger one.
Corollary 6[7] Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belong to $\mathcal{S}_{m}^{*}(\alpha)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(n^{m+1}-\alpha n^{m}\right)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2^{m}-\alpha 2^{m-1}}{(1-\alpha)+\left(2^{m+1}-\alpha 2^{m}\right)}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z)  \tag{21}\\
\left(z \in \mathcal{U} ; m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; g \in \mathcal{C}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re} f(z)>-\frac{(1-\alpha)+\left(2^{m+1}-\alpha 2^{m}\right)}{2^{m+1}-\alpha 2^{m}} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

The constant factor

$$
\frac{2^{m}-\alpha 2^{m-1}}{(1-\alpha)+\left(2^{m+1}-\alpha 2^{m}\right)}
$$

in the subordination result (21) cannot be replaced by a larger one.
Corollary 7 [7] Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belong to $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\alpha)\left|a_{n}\right| \leq 1-\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2-\alpha}{5-3 \alpha}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(z \in \mathcal{U} ; m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; g \in \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Re} f(z)>-\frac{5-3 \alpha}{2(2-\alpha)} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})
$$

The constant factor $\frac{2-\alpha}{5-3 \alpha}$ in the subordination result (22) cannot be replaced by a larger one.

Corollary 8 [7] it Let the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ belong to $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$ satisfy the condition

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-\alpha)\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1-\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2-\alpha}{2(3-2 \alpha)}(f * g)(z) \prec g(z)  \tag{23}\\
& \quad\left(z \in \mathcal{U} ; m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} ; g \in \mathcal{C}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $\operatorname{Re} f(z)>-\frac{3-2 \alpha}{(2-\alpha)} \quad(z \in \mathcal{U})$. The constant factor $\frac{2-\alpha}{2(3-2 \alpha)}$ in the subordination result (23) cannot be replaced by a larger one.
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