# LINEAR QUASI-MCCOY RINGS 

M.J. Nikmehr, M. Deldar, H. Daneshmand

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce linear quasi-McCoy rings which are a generalization of weak quasi-Armendariz rings. It is shown, for a semiprime ring $R$, $\frac{R[x]}{\left(x^{n}\right)}$ and $R[x]$ are linear quasi-McCoy. Also, it is shown $M_{n}(R)$ is linear quasi-McCoy if $R$ is linear quasi McCoy ring. Various properties of linear quasi-McCoy rings are also observed.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $R$ denote an associative ring with identity. Given a ring $R$, the polynomial ring with an indeterminate $x$ over $R$ is denoted by $R[x]$. Rege and Chhawchharia [18] introduced the notion of an Armendariz ring. A ring $R$ is an Armendariz if whenever polynomials $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}, g(x)=$ $b_{0}+b_{1} x+\cdots+b_{m} x^{m} \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x) g(x)=0$, then $a_{i} b_{j}=0$ for all $i, j$. The name Armendariz ring was chosen because Armendariz (1974) had shown that a reduced ring (i. e., a ring without nonzero nilpotent elements) satisfies this condition. Some properties of Armendariz rings have been studied in [1, 2, 9, 18, 16, 17]. According to Hirano [4], a ring is called quasi-Armendariz if whenever polynomials $f(x)=$ $a_{0}+a_{1} x+\cdots+a_{n} x^{n}, g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x+\cdots+b_{m} x^{m} \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$, then $a_{i} R b_{j}=0$ for all $i, j$.

Recall that a ring $R$ is called reversible if $a b=0$ implies $b a=0$, for all $a, b \in R$. $R$ is called semicommutative if for all $a, b \in R, a b=0$ implies $a R b=0$. In [15] has shown reduced rings are reversible and reversible rings are semicommutative, but the converse is not true in general. According to Nielsen [15], a ring $R$ is called right McCoy (resp., left McCoy) if for any polynomials $f(x), g(x) \in R[x] \backslash\{0\}$, $f(x) g(x)=0$ implies $f(x) r=0$ (resp., $s g(x)=0$ ) for some $0 \neq r \in R$ (resp., for some $0 \neq s \in R$ ).

A ring is called $M c C o y$ if it is both left and right McCoy. By McCoy [14], commutative rings are McCoy rings. Reduced rings are Armendariz and Armendariz rings are McCoy. In [3] Baser and Kaynarca studied a generalization of quasi Armendariz rings, which is called weak quasi Armendariz.

A ring $R$ is called weak quasi Armendariz if for $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x, g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x \in$ $R[x], f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$ implies $a_{i} R b_{j}=0$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq 1$. They showed $M_{n}(R), T_{n}(R)$ and $R[x]$ over a weak quasi-Armendariz ring are too. Motivated by the above results, we investigate a generalization of weak quasi-Armendariz rings which we call a linear quasi-McCoy ring and study several results.

## 2. Linear Quasi-McCoy Rings

We begin this section by the following definition and also we study properties of linear quasi-McCoy rings.

Definition 1. A ring $R$ is called a right linear quasi-McCoy ring if for $f(x)=$ $a_{0}+a_{1} x$ and $g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x$ in $R[x], f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$ implies $f(x) R s=0$ for some nonzero $s \in R$. (i. e. $a_{i} R s=0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 1$ ). Left linear quasi McCoy rings are defined analogously.

The following lemma will be used very frequently in this paper.
Lemma 1. [4, Lemma 2.1] Let $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ be two elements of $R[x]$. Then $f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$ if and only if $f(x) R g(x)=0$.

Clearly, any weak quasi-Armendariz ring is linear quasi-McCoy. In the following, we will see that the converse is not true.

Recall that for a ring $R$ and an $(R, R)$-bimodule $M$, the trivial extention of $R$ by $M$ is the $\operatorname{ring} T(R, M)=R \oplus M$ with the usual addition and the multiplication $\left(r_{1}, m_{1}\right)\left(r_{2}, m_{2}\right)=\left(r_{1} r_{2}, r_{1} m_{2}+m_{1} r_{2}\right)$. This is isomorphic to the ring of all matrices $\left(\begin{array}{cc}r & m \\ 0 & r\end{array}\right)$, where $r \in R, m \in M$ and the usual matrix operations are used.

Example 1. The commutative rings are linear quasi-McCoy but need not be weak quasi Armendariz. Consider the polynomial $f(x)=(\overline{4}, \overline{0})+(\overline{4}, \overline{1}) x$ over the ring $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8 \mathbb{Z}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8 \mathbb{Z}}$. The square of this polynomial is zero but the product $(\overline{4}, \overline{0})(\overline{4}, \overline{1})=(\overline{0}, \overline{4})$ is not zero.

By [3, Theorem 2.7], if $R$ is a semiprime ring, then $R, R[x], S_{n}(R), R[x], \frac{R[x]}{\left(x^{n}\right)}$ and $V_{n}(R)$ (for $n \geq 2$ ) are weak quasi-Armendariz rings, and so linear quasi-McCoy rings.

Proposition 1. Let $R$ be a ring and $\Delta$ be a multiplicative closed subset of $R$ consisting of central regular elements. Then $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy if and only if $\Delta^{-1} R$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

Proof. Let $S=\Delta^{-1} R$. Assume that $S$ is linear quasi-McCoy. Let $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x$ and $g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x \in R[x]$ such that $f(x) R g(x)=0$. For any $r \in R$ with $w \in \Delta$, $0=w^{-1} f(x) r g(x)=f(x)\left(w^{-1} r\right) g(x)$. So we have $f(x) S g(x)=0$. Since $S$ is linear quasi-McCoy, $a_{i} S u^{-1} c=0$ for some nonzero $u^{-1} c \in S(0 \leq i \leq 1)$, and so $a_{i} R c=0$. Therefore, $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

Conversely, suppose that $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy. Let $F(x)=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} x$ and $G(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x \in S[x]$ such that $F(x) S G(x)=0$, where $\alpha_{i}=u^{-1} a_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}=v^{-1} b_{j}$ with $a_{i}, b_{j} \in R$ and regular elements $u, v \in R$. Since $\Delta$ is contained in the center of $R$ and $F(x) S G(x)=0$, for any $w^{-1} r \in S$, we have

$$
0=u^{-1}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x\right)\left(w^{-1} r\right) v^{-1}\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x\right)=(u v w)^{-1}\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x\right) r\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x\right)
$$

Let $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x$ and $g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x$. Then $f(x), g(x) \in R[x]$ with $f(x) R g(x)=$ 0 . Since $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy, $a_{i} R c=0$ for some nonzero $c \in R(0 \leq i \leq 1)$.

This shows that $\alpha_{i} S v^{-1} c=0(0 \leq i \leq 1)$. Therefore, $S$ is linear quasi-McCoy.
Corollary 2. Let $R$ be a ring. Then $R[x]$ is linear quasi-McCoy if and only if $R\left[x ; x^{-1}\right]$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

Proof. It follows directly from since $\Delta=\left\{1, x, x^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is clearly a multiplicatively closed subset of $R[x]$ and $R\left[x, x^{-1}\right]=\Delta^{-1} R[x]$.

Proposition 2. Let e be a central idempotent of a ring $R$. If $e R$ and $(1-e) R$ are linear quasi-McCoy, then $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

Proof. Suppose that both $e R$ and $(1-e) R$ are linear quasi-McCoy. Let $f(x)=$ $a_{0}+a_{1} x$ and $g(x)=b_{0}+b_{1} x \in R[x]$ with $f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$. Then for any $r \in$ $R, 0=e(f(x) r g(x))=e f(x)(e r) e g(x),(e f(x)=f(x), g(x) e=g(x))$ and $(1-$ e) $f(x)((1-e) r)(1-e) g(x)=0$, and so $e f(x)(e R)[x] e g(x)=0$ and $(1-e) f(x)((1-$ e) $R)[x](1-e) g(x)=0$.

Since $e R$ and $(1-e) R$ are linear quasi-McCoy, for all $i$ we have $e a_{i}(e R) e c=0$ and $(1-e) a_{i}((1-e) R)(1-e) t=0$ for some $s, t \in R$. Thus, $e\left(a_{i} R c\right)=0$ and $(1-e)\left(a_{i} R t\right)=0$ for all $i$, and hence $a_{i} R c t=(1-e) a_{i} R c t+e\left(a_{i} R c t\right)=0$. Therefore, $R$ is linear quasi -McCoy .

For a nonempty subset $S$ of a ring $R$, we write $r_{R}(S)=\{c \in R \mid S c=0\}$ and $\ell_{R}(S)=\{c \in R \mid c S=0\}$, which are called the right and left annihilators of $S$ in $R$, respectively.

Proposition 3. If $R$ is a linear quasi-McCoy and the one-sided annihilator $A$ of $a$ nonempty subset in $R$ is a two-sided ideal of $R$, then $R / A$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

Proof. Let $A=r_{R}(S)$ be a two -sided ideal of a linear quasi-McCoy ring $R$ for $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq R$. Let $\bar{a}=a+A$ for $a \in R$. Suppose $f(x)=\overline{a_{o}}+\overline{a_{1}} x$ and $g(x)=$ $\overline{b_{0}}+\overline{b_{1}} x \in(R / A)[x]$ with $f(x)(R / A)[x] g(x)=\overline{0}$. From $f(x)(R / A)[x] g(x)=\overline{0}$, we get $f(x) \bar{r} g(x)=\overline{0}$ for any $\bar{r} \in R / A$. Hence, $a_{0} r b_{0}, a_{0} r b_{1}+a_{1} r b_{0}, a_{1} r b_{1} \in A$, and so $s a_{0} r b_{0}=0, s\left(a_{0} r b_{1}+a_{1} r b_{0}\right)=0$ and $s a_{1} r b_{1}=0$ for any $r \in R$ and $s \in S$. Thus, $\left(s a_{0}+s a_{1} x\right) R[x]\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x\right)=0$. Since $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy, we have $s\left(a_{i} R t\right)=0$ for some $t \in R$, for any $i$ and $s \in S$, and hence $a_{i} R t \subseteq A$. Thus $\overline{a_{i}}(R / A) \bar{t}=\overline{0}$ for any $i$, and therefore $R / A$ is linear quasi-McCoy.

In the following we will show that $M_{n}(R)$ and $T_{n}(R)$ over a linear quasi-McCoy ring $R$ are linear quasi-McCoy.

Proposition 4. For a ring $R$, we consider the following conditions:

1. $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy.
2. $M_{n}(R)$ is linear quasi-McCoy for any $n \geq 1$.
3. $M_{n}(R)$ is linear quasi-McCoy for some $n \geq 1$.

Then $(1) \Rightarrow(2) \Rightarrow(3)$.
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let $R$ be a linear quasi-McCoy ring. Note that $M_{n}(R)[x] \cong$ $M_{n}(R[x])$. We let $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{1} A_{i} x^{i}, g(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{1} B_{j} x^{j} \in M_{n}(R[x])$ with $A_{i}=\left(a_{s t}^{i}\right)$ and $B_{j}=\left(b_{v w}^{j}\right)$. We write $f(x)=\left(f_{s t}\right), g(x)=\left(g_{v w}\right) \in M_{n}(R[x])$ with $f_{s t}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{1} a_{s t}^{i} x^{i}$ and $g_{v w}=\sum_{i=0}^{1} b_{v w}^{j} x^{j}$. Put $f(x) M_{n}(R)[x] g(x)=0$, then equivalently, $f(x) M_{n}(R[x]) g(x)=0$. Let $E_{i j}$ denote the matrix unit with $(i, j)$-entry 1 and zero elsewhere. From $f(x)\left(R E_{h k}\right) g(x)=0$, we get $f_{\alpha h} R g_{k \beta}=0$ for all $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$. Since $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy, we have $a_{s t}^{i} R c_{s t}=0$ for some $c_{s t} \in R$ and for all $i$ and $1 \leq s, t \leq n$. Let

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{1 i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{2 i} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_{n i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

It then follows that $A_{i} M_{n}(R) S=0$ for all $i$, concluding that $M_{n}(R)$ is linear quasiMcCoy.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ is obvious.

Corollary 3. Let $R$ be a ring. If $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy then $T_{n}(R)$ is linear quasi McCoy.

Proposition 5. Finite direct product of linear quasi-McCoy rings is linear quasiMcCoy.

Proof. Let $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}$ be linear quasi McCoy rings and $R=\prod_{k=1}^{n} R_{k}$. Suppose that $f(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{1} a_{i} x^{i}, g(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{1} b_{j} x^{j} \in R[x] \backslash\{0\}$, such that $f(x) R[x] g(x)=0$, where $a_{i}=\left(a_{i 1}, a_{i 2}, \ldots, a_{i n}\right), b_{j}=\left(b_{j 1}, b_{j 2}, \ldots, b_{j n}\right) \in R$. Set

$$
f_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{1} a_{i k} x^{i}, g_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{1} b_{j k} x^{j}
$$

for each $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $f_{k}(x) R[x] g_{k}(x)=0$ and $R_{k}$ is linear quasi-McCoy, there exists $s_{k} \in R_{k}$ such that $a_{i k} R s_{k}=0$. Let $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)$ then $a_{i} R s=0$. Therefore $R$ is linear quasi-McCoy.
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