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#### Abstract

Making use of a differential operator, we introduce and investigate two classes of multivalently analytic functions of complex order. In this paper, we obtain coefficient estimates and inclusion relationships involving the $(j, \delta)$ neighborhood of various subclasses of multivalently analytic functions of complex order.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $T(j, p)$ denote the class of functions of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(a_{k} \geq 0 ; p, j \in N=\{1,2, \ldots .\}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$.
A function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be $p$-valently starlike of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in U ; \quad 0 \leq \alpha<p ; p \in N) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $T_{j}^{*}(p, \alpha)$ the class of all $p$-valently starlike functions of order $\alpha$.
Also a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be $p$-valently convex of order $\alpha$ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in U ; 0 \leq \alpha<p ; p \in N) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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We denote by $C_{j}(p, \alpha)$ the class of all $p$-valently convex functions of order $\alpha$.
We note that ( see for example Duren [10] and Goodman [12] )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \in C_{j}(p, \alpha) \Longleftrightarrow \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{p} \in T_{j}^{*}(p, \alpha) \quad(0 \leq \alpha<p ; p \in N) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $f(z) \in T(j, p)$, we have ( see [9] )

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(q)}(z)=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!} a_{k} z^{k-q} \quad\left(q \in N_{0}=N \cup\{0\} ; p>q\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a function $f(z)$ in $T(j, p)$, we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{p}^{0} f^{(q)}(z)=f^{(q)}(z), \\
D_{p}^{1} f^{(q)}(z)=D f^{(q)}(z)=\frac{z}{(p-q)}\left(f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{z}{(p-q)} f^{(1+q)}(z) \\
=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right) a_{k} z^{k-q},  \tag{1.6}\\
D_{p}^{2} f^{(q)}(z)=D\left(D_{p}^{1} f^{(q)}(z)\right) \\
=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{2} a_{k} z^{k-q}, \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)=D\left(D_{p}^{n-1} f^{(q)}(z)\right) \quad(n \in N) \\
&= \frac{p!}{(p-q)!} z^{p-q}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} \frac{k!}{(k-q)!}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} a_{k} z^{k-q} \\
& \quad\left(p, j \in N ; q \in N_{0} ; p>q\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The differential operator $D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)$ was introduced by Aouf $[6,7]$. We note that, when $q=0$ and $p=1$, the differential operator $D_{1}^{n}=D^{n}$ was introduced by Salagean [19]. Also when $q=0$, the operator $D_{p}^{n}$ was introduced by Kamali and Orhan [13], Aouf [5] and Aouf and Mostafa [8].

Now, making use of the differential operator $D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)$ given by (1.8), we introduce a new subclass $R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ of the $p$-valently analytic function class $T(j, p)$ satisfying the following inquality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(p-q)\right)\right|<\beta \\
\left(z \in U ; p, j \in N ; q, n \in N_{0} ; b \in C \backslash\{0\} ; 0<\beta \leq 1 ; p>q\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now, following the earlier investigations by Goodman [11], Ruscheweyh [18], and others including Altintas and Owa [1], Altintas et al. ([2] and [3]), Murugusundaramoorthy and Srivastava [14], Raina and Srivastava [17], Aouf [4] and Srivastava and Orhan $[20]$ ( see also [15], [16] and [21]), we define the $(j, \delta)$-neighborhood of a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ by ( see, for example, [3, p. 1668] )

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j, \delta}(f)=\left\{g: g \in T(j, p), g(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right| \leq \delta\right\} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=z^{p}(p \in N) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we immediately have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j, \delta}(h)=\left\{g: g \in T(j, p), g(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \text { and } \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k\left|b_{k}\right| \leq \delta\right\} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, let $L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ denote the subclass of $T(j, p)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the inequality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{\left(D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(p-q) z^{p-q-1}}-\theta(p, q)\right)\right|<\beta \\
\left(z \in U ; p, j \in N ; q, n \in N_{0} ; b \in C \backslash\{0\} ; 0<\beta \leq 1 ; p>q\right), \tag{1.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\theta(p, q)=\frac{p!}{(p-q)!}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 & (q=0)  \tag{1.14}\\
p(p-1) \ldots(p-q+1) & (q \neq 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 1. Throughout our present paper, we assume that $\theta(p, q)$ is defined by (1.14).

## 2. Neighborhoods for the classes $R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ AND $L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving $N_{j, \delta}(h)$, we shall require Lemmas 1 and 2 below.

Lemma 1. Let the function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z)$ is in the class $R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(k+\beta|b|-p) \theta(k, q) a_{k} \leq \beta|b| \theta(p, q) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belong to the class $R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$. Then, in view of (1.8) and (1.9), we obtain the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z\left(D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(p-q)\right\}>-\beta|b| \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(k-p) \theta(k, q) a_{k} z^{k-p}}{\theta(p, q)-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(k, q) a_{k} z^{k-p}}\right\}>-\beta|b| \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $z=r(0 \leq r<1)$ in (2.3), we observe that the expression in the denominator of the left-hand side of (2.3) is positive for $r=0$ and also for all $r(0<r<1)$. Thus, by letting $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$through real values, (2.3) leads us to the desired assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1.

Conversely, by applying the hypothesis (2.1) and letting $|z|=1$, we find from (1.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{z\left(D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D_{p}^{n} f^{(q)}(z)}-(p-q)\right|=\left|\frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(k-p) \theta(k, q) a_{k} z^{k-p}}{\theta(p, q)-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(k, q) a_{k} z^{k-p}}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(k-p) \theta(k, q) a_{k}}{\theta(p, q)-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(k, q) a_{k}} \leq \frac{\beta|b|\left\{\theta(p, q)-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(k, q) a_{k}\right\}}{\theta(p, q)-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(k, q) a_{k}}=\beta|b| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have $f(z) \in R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$, which evidenlty completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Similarly, we can prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2. Let the function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ be defined by (1.1). Then $f(z) \in L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n+1} \theta(k, q) a_{k} \leq \beta|b| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our first inclusion relation involving $N_{j, \delta}(h)$ is given in the following theorem.
Theorem 3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{(j+p) \beta|b| \theta(p, q)}{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)} \quad(p>|b|) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta) \subset N_{j, \delta}(h) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$. Then, in view of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q) \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(k+\beta|b|-p) \theta(k, q) a_{k} \leq \beta|b| \theta(p, q), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which readily yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k} \leq \frac{\beta|b| \theta(p, q)}{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of (2.1) again, in conjunction with (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(j+p, q) \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k a_{k} \\
\leq \beta|b| \theta(p, q)+(p-\beta|b|)\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n} \theta(j+p, q) \sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} a_{k} \\
\leq \beta|b| \theta(p, q)+\frac{\beta|b|(p-\beta|b|) \theta(p, q)}{(j+\beta|b|)}=\frac{(j+p) \beta|b| \theta(p, q)}{(j+\beta|b|)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k a_{k} \leq \frac{(j+p) \beta|b| \theta(p, q)}{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)}=\delta \quad(p>|b|) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by means of the definition (1.12), establishes the inclusion relation (2.6) asserted by Theorem 3.

In a similar manner, by applying the assertion (2.4) of Lemma 2 instead of the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 1 to functions in the class $L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$, we can prove the following inclusion relationship.

Theorem 4. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{(j+p) \beta|b|}{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n+1} \theta(j+p, q)}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta) \subset N_{j, \delta}(h) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. NEIGHBORHOODS FOR THE CLASSES $R_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ AND $L_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for each of the classes

$$
R_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta) \text { and } L_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)
$$

which we define as follows.
A function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be in the class $R_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g(z) \in R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-1\right|<p-\alpha \quad(z \in U ; 0 \leq \alpha<p) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, a function $f(z) \in T(j, p)$ is said to be in the class $L_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ if there exists a function $g(z) \in L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ such that the inequality (3.1) holds true.

Theorem 5. If $g(z) \in R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=p-\frac{\delta\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)}{(j+p)\left\{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)-\beta|b| \theta(p, q)\right\}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j, \delta}(g) \subset R_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leq p(j+p)\left\{1-\beta|b| \theta(p, q)\left[\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)\right]^{-1}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in N_{j, \delta}(g)$. We find from (1.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} k\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right| \leq \delta \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which readily implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right| \leq \frac{\delta}{j+p} \quad(p, j \in N) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $g(z) \in R_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$, we have [cf. equation (2.8)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_{k} \leq \frac{\beta|b| \theta(p, q)}{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}-1\right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}-b_{k}\right|}{1-\sum_{k=j+p}^{\infty} b_{k}} \\
& \leq \frac{\delta}{j+p} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)}{\left\{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n}(j+\beta|b|) \theta(j+p, q)-\beta|b| \theta(p, q)\right\}}=p-\alpha, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that $\alpha$ is given by (3.2). Thus, by the above definition, $f(z) \in R_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ for $\alpha$ given by (3.2). This evidently proves Theorem 5 .

The proof of Theorem 6 below is similar to that of Theorem 5 .

Theorem 6. If $g(z) \in L_{j}(n, p, q, b, \beta)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=p-\frac{\delta\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n+1} \theta(j+p, q)}{(j+p)\left\{\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n+1} \theta(j+p, q)-\beta|b|\right\}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{j, \delta}(g) \subset L_{j}^{(\alpha)}(n, p, q, b, \beta), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leq p(j+p)\left\{1-\beta|b|\left[\left(\frac{j+p-q}{p-q}\right)^{n+1} \theta(j+p, q)\right]^{-1}\right\} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$
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