# **PROPERTIES OF** *B*-*θ*-COMPACT SPACES

N. GOWRISANKAR, N. RAJESH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present and study the notion of firm b- $\theta$ -continuity to investigate b- $\theta$ -compactness. We also present some properties of b- $\theta$ -compactness in terms of nets and ultranets.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54D10

Keywords: Topological spaces, b-open sets,  $b-\theta$ -open sets,  $b-\theta$ -compact.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real analysis concerns the variously modified forms of continuity, separation axioms etc. by utilizing generalized open sets. For a subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , Cl(A) and Int(A) denote the closure of A and the interior of A, respectively. A set A is called b-open [1] (=  $\gamma$ -open [2]) if  $A \subset$  $Int(Cl(A)) \cup Cl(Int(A))$ . The complement of b-open set is called b-closed. The intersection of b-closed sets of X containing A is called the b-closure [1] of A and is denoted by  $b \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . A set A is b-closed if and only if  $A = b \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . The b- $\theta$ -closure [3], denoted by  $b\operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $b\operatorname{Cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every b-open set U containing x. A subset A is called b- $\theta$ -closed [3] if  $A = b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$ . By [3], it is proved that, for a subset A,  $b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(A)$  is the intersection of all b- $\theta$ -closed sets containing A. The complement of a b- $\theta$ -closed set is called b- $\theta$ -open. The family of all b- $\theta$ -open (resp. b- $\theta$ -closed) sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $B\theta O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $B\theta C(X,\tau)$ ). In this paper, we present and study the notion of firm b- $\theta$ -continuity to investigate b- $\theta$ -compactness. We also present some properties of b- $\theta$ -compactness in terms of nets and ultranets. Moreover, we introduce and investigate some basic properties of  $b - \theta - (m, n)$ -compact spaces.

# 2. Characterization of b- $\theta$ -compact spaces

**Definition 1.** A subset K of a nonempty set X is said to be b- $\theta$ -compact relative to  $(X, \tau)$  if every cover of K by b- $\theta$ -open sets of X has a finite subcover. We say that  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact if X is b- $\theta$ -compact.

**Definition 2.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is said to have property  $\mathcal{P}$  if for every b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\nabla$  of Y there exists a finite cover (the members of which need not be necessarily b- $\theta$ -open)  $\{A_1, A_2, ..., A_n\}$  of X such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , there exists  $U_i \in \nabla$  such that  $f(A_i) \subset U_i$ .

Recall that a function  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be quasi-*b*- $\theta$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is *b*- $\theta$ -open in X for every *b*- $\theta$ -open set V of Y.

**Theorem 1.** A topological space X is b- $\theta$ -compact if and only if for every topological space Y and every quasi-b- $\theta$ -continuous function  $f: X \to Y$ , f has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Proof. Let the topological space X be b- $\theta$ -compact and the function  $f : X \to Y$  be quasi-b- $\theta$ -continuous. Suppose that  $\Omega$  be a b- $\theta$ -open cover of Y. The set f(X) is b- $\theta$ -compact relative to Y. This means that there exists a finite sub-family  $\{U_1, U_2, ..., U_n\}$  of  $\Omega$  which cover f(X). Then the sets  $A_1 = f^{-1}(U_1)$ ,  $A_2 = f^{-1}(U_2), ..., A_n = f^{-1}(U_n)$  form a cover of X such that  $f(A_i) \subset U_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Conversely, suppose that X is a topological space such that for every topological space Y and every quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function  $f : X \to Y$ , f has property  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows that the identity function  $id_X : X \to X$  has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence, for every b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\Omega$  of X, there exists a finite cover  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  of X such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  there exists a set  $U_i \in \Omega$  such that  $A_i = id_X(A_i) \subset U_i$ . Then  $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$  are finite b- $\theta$ -subcover of  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  was an arbitrary b- $\theta$ -open cover of X, the space X is b- $\theta$ -compact.

**Definition 3.** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is called firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous if for every b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\nabla$  of Y there exists a finite b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\Omega$  of X such that for every  $U \in \Theta$ , there exists a set  $G \in \Omega$  such that  $f(U) \subset G$ .

**Remark 1.** It should be noted that if the topological space, then every quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function  $f: X \to Y$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

**Lemma 2.** Let X, Y, Z and W be topological spaces. Let  $g : X \to Y$  and  $h : Z \to W$  be quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous functions and let  $f : Y \to Z$  be firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous. Then the functions  $f \circ g : X \to Z$  and  $h \circ f : Y \to W$  are firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

**Lemma 3.** Let X and Y be topological spaces. Suppose that  $f : X \to Y$  is a quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function which has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then f is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

**Theorem 4.** The following statements are equivalent for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ : (i) X is b- $\theta$ -compact.

(ii) The identity function  $id_X : X \to X$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous;

(iii) Every quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function from X to X is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous;

(iv) Every quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function from X to a topological space Y is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous;

(v) Every quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function from X to a topological space Y has the property  $\mathcal{P}$ ;

(vi) For each topological space Y and each quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function  $f: Y \to X$ , f is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let X be a b- $\theta$ -compact space. The identity function  $id_X : X \to X$  is quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous and by Remark 1  $id_X$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $f: X \to X$  is any quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous function. By (ii), the identity function  $id_X: X \to X$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous. Therefore by Lemma 2  $f = id_X: X \to X$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$ : Suppose that  $f: X \to Y$  is any quasi *b*- $\theta$ -continuous function. The identity function  $id_X: X \to X$  is firmly *b*- $\theta$ -continuous and by (iii)  $id_X$  is firmly *b*- $\theta$ -continuous. As a consequence of Lemma 2, we have that  $f = f \circ id_X: X \to Y$  is firmly *b*- $\theta$ -continuous.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (v)$ : Obvious.

 $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$ : This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

 $(vi) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Suppose that  $id_X : X \to X$  is the identity function. Then  $id_X$  is quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous and by (vi)  $id_X$  is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous.

 $(i) \Rightarrow (vi)$ : Suppose that  $\nabla$  is a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of *X*. Since *X* is *b*- $\theta$ -compact, then there is a finite *b*- $\theta$ -subcover  $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$  of  $\nabla$ . Let  $A_i = f^{-1}(U_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . We have that  $f(A_i) \subset U_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Therefore, *f* is firmly *b*- $\theta$ -continuous.

**Definition 4.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $b \cdot \theta \cdot T_1$  if for each pair of distinct points x and y of X, there exist  $b \cdot \theta \cdot open$  sets U and V of X such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ , and  $y \in V$  and  $x \notin V$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $f : X \to Y$  is a firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous function, where X is a topological space and Y is a b- $\theta$ -T<sub>1</sub> space, then f is quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary point of X and V be a b- $\theta$ -open set of Y containing f(x). We define a b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\Omega$  of Y such that  $\Omega = \{V, Y \setminus f(x)\}$ . Since f is firmly b- $\theta$ -continuous, it follows that there exists a finite b- $\theta$ -open cover  $\{P_1, P_2, ..., P_n\}$  of X such that  $f(P_i) \subset V$  or  $f(P_i) \subset Y \setminus f(x)$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Let  $x \in P_j$  for some index j. Since  $f(P_j)$  contains f(x), so it follows that  $f(P_j) \subset V$ . This shows that f is quasi b- $\theta$ -continuous.

3. Properties of b- $\theta$ -compact spaces in terms of nets and ultranets

**Definition 5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_{\ell}, \ell \in L\}$  be a net of X. We say that a net  $\{x_{\ell}, \ell \in L\}$  b- $\theta$ -converges to x if for each b- $\theta$ -open set U containing x, there exists an element  $\ell_0 \in L$  such that  $\ell \geq \ell_0$  implies  $x_{\ell} \in U$ .

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $G = \{F_i : i \in I\}$  be a filterbase of X and  $x \in X$ . A filterbase G is said to be b- $\theta$ -converge to x if there exists a member  $F_i \in G$  such that  $F_i \subseteq U$  for each b- $\theta$ -open set containing x.

**Theorem 6.** If  $x \in U$  and  $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$ , then there exists a net  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  that b- $\theta$ -converges to x and  $x_i \in U$  for each  $i \in I$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in U$  and  $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$  which means  $U = b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(U)$ . This means that if  $x \in N$  and  $N \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  then  $N \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that there exists an element  $x_N \in N \cap U$ . This implies that  $\{x_N\}_{N \in I} b \cdot \theta$ -converges to x.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a net in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $U \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$ , where  $x_i \in U$  for each  $i \in I$ . If  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  b- $\theta$ -converges to x, then  $x \in U$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$  b- $\theta$ -converges to x, then  $x \notin U$ . Then there exists a b- $\theta$ -open set N such that  $x \in N$  and  $N \cap U = \emptyset$ . This means that there exists  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $x_i \in N$  for each  $i \geq i_0$ . Then  $x_i$  is not an element of U for each  $i \geq i_0$ . But this is a contradiction and hence the result.

**Definition 7.** A point y is a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  if for each  $i_0 \in I$  and  $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \in U$ , there exists an  $i_1 \ge i_0$  such that  $x_{i_1} \in U$ .

**Theorem 8.** Let  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  be an ultranet and y be a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of the net. Then the ultranet  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  b- $\theta$ -converges to y.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  is an ultranet in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and y be a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of the net,  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ . Suppose that,  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  does not b- $\theta$ -converge to y. This means that there exists  $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  such that  $y \in U$  and  $\ell_i$  is not an element of U for any  $i \in I$ . So y is not a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ .

**Theorem 9.** Let  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  be a net in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $y \in X$  is a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ , if and only if a subnet of  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$  b- $\theta$ -converges to y.

*Proof.* Let  $(\ell_i)_{i\in I}$  have a subnet  $(\ell_{k_j})_{j\in J}$  that *b*- $\theta$ -converges to *y* and *J* be a directed set. Now suppose that  $y \in X$  is not a *b*- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $(\ell_i)_{i\in I}$ . This means that there exists  $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  and  $i_o \in I$  such that,  $s_{i_1}$  is not an element of *U* for every  $i_1 \geq i_0$ . Then  $(\ell_{k_j})_{j\in J}$  does not *b*- $\theta$ -converge to *y*. Conversely, assume that *y* is a *b*- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $(\ell_i)_{i\in I}$ .  $J = \{(i, U) : i \in I, y \in U, U \in B\theta O(X, \tau) \text{ and } i_{i\in I}\}$ 

 $\ell_i \in U$  is a partially ordered set where  $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$ , if  $i \leq i_1$  and  $V \subset U$ . (i)  $(i, U) \leq (i, U)$  for every  $(i, U) \in J$ . Because,  $i \leq i$  and  $U \subset U$  for every  $i \in I$  and  $U \in B\theta O(X,\tau)$ . (ii) Let  $(i,U) \leq (i_1,V)$  and  $(i_1,V) \leq (i,U)$ . Then,  $i \leq i_1, V \subset U$ and  $i_1 \leq i, U \subset V$ . This follows that  $i = i_1, V = U$ . Then, $(i_1, V) = (i, U)$ . (iii) Let  $(i, U), (i_1, V)$  and  $(i_2, W) \in J$  such that  $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$  and  $(i_1, V) \leq (i_2, W)$ . Since I is a directed set,  $i \leq i_2$  where  $i \leq i_1$  and  $i_1 \leq i_2$ . Also, we know that  $W \subset U$  where  $V \subset U$  and  $W \subset V$ . Then,  $(i, U) \leq (i_2, W)$  where  $i \leq i_2$  and  $W \subset U$ . Consequently, J is a partially ordered set. Now let  $(i, U), (i_1, V) \in J$ . Then  $U \cap V \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$ . We know that  $U \cap V \subset U$  and  $U \cap V \subset V$  and  $y \in U \cap V$ . Since y is a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $(\ell_i)_{i \in I}$ , there exists  $i_2 \in I$  such that  $i \leq i_2, i_1 \leq i_2$ and  $s_{i_2} \in U \cap V$ . Then  $(i_1, V) \leq (i_2, U \cap V)$  and  $(i, U) \leq (i_2, U \cap V)$ . This means that J is a directed set. Define  $k: J \to I$  by k(i, A) = i. (a)  $(i, U) \leq (i_1, V)$  means that  $i \leq i_1$ . Then  $k(i, U) \leq k(i_1, V)$ . (b) Let  $i, i_1 \in I$  and  $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  which contains y. Then there exists  $i_2 \in I$  such that  $i \leq i_2, i_1 \leq i_2$  and  $\ell_{i_2} \in U$ . This means that  $(i_2, U) \in J$ ,  $i \leq k(i_2, U)$  and  $i_1 \leq k(i_1, U)$ . This follows that  $\{\ell_{k(i,U)}\}_{i \in I}$ . Consider the set  $U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  which contains y. There exists  $i_0 \in I$  such that  $\ell_{i_0} \in U$ . Then  $(i_o, U) \in J$ . For every  $(i, V) \in J$  that  $(i_0, U) \leq (i, V), V \subset U$  and  $\ell_i \in V$ . This follows that  $\ell_{k(i,V)} \in U$  for every  $(i_0,U) \leq (i,V)$ . So the subnet,  $\{\ell_{k(i,U)}\}_{(i,U)\in J}, b-\theta$ -converges to y.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact.

(ii) For any family  $\Psi$  of b- $\theta$ -closed subsets of X such that  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$ .

(iii)  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$  for any family  $\Psi$  of b- $\theta$ -closed subsets of X such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L \neq \emptyset$ where  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  is a finite subfamily.

*Proof.*  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let  $(X, \tau)$  be *b*- $\theta$ -compact and  $\Psi$  be a family of *b*- $\theta$ -closed subsets such that  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$ . Then  $[\cap_{K \in \Psi} K]^c = [\emptyset]^c$ . This means that  $\cup_{K \in \Psi} K^c = X$ . There exists a finite subfamily  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$ .

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : Let  $\Psi$  be a family of b- $\theta$ -closed subsets of X. From the assumption if  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a finite subfamily  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$ . This means that if  $\Psi$  does not have any finite subfamily  $\Phi$  such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$ , then  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$ .

 $(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : Let  $\Psi$  be a family of  $b \cdot \theta$ -closed subsets of X. From the assumption if  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L \neq \emptyset$  for any subfamily  $\Phi \subset \Psi$ , then  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K \neq \emptyset$ . This means that, if  $\cap_{K \in \Psi} K = \emptyset$ , then there exists at least one subfamily  $\Phi \subset \Psi$  such that  $\cap_{L \in \Phi} L = \emptyset$ .  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ : Let  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $b \cdot \theta$ -open cover of X. Then,  $\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i = X$ . This means that  $\cap_{i \in I} U_i^c = \emptyset$  and  $U_i^c \in B\theta C(X, \tau)$  for each  $i \in I$ . It follows from the assumption

that there exists a finite subfamily  $J \subset I$  such that  $\bigcap_{j \in J} U_j^c = \emptyset$ . So  $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j = X$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact.

**Theorem 11.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact if and only if every net has at least one b- $\theta$ -cluster point in the topological space.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \tau)$  be b- $\theta$ -compact and  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  be any net in this space. Let as consider a family  $b\operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_j)$  of subsets, where  $B_j = \{x_i : j \leq i\}$ . Then,  $b\operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_j) \in$  $B\theta C(X,\tau)$  for any  $j \in I$  and the intersection of finitely many of  $b\operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_j)$  is nonempty. It follows from theorem 10 that  $\bigcap_{i \in J} b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_i) \neq \emptyset$  for  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ compact. Let  $y \in \bigcap_{i \in J} b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_i)$ . Then  $y \in b \operatorname{Cl}_{\theta}(B_i)$  for any  $j \in I$ . Consider  $y \in U, U \in B\theta O(X, \tau)$  and  $r \in I$ . Then  $U \cap B_r \neq \emptyset$ . So  $U \cap B_k \neq \emptyset$  for any  $k \in I$ such that  $k \geq r$ . Consequently y is a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ . Now suppose that every net in  $(X, \tau)$  has at least one b- $\theta$ -cluster point. Let  $\{F_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of b- $\theta$ -closed sets where intersection of finitely many of  $F_i$ 's is nonempty. Consider the set  $J = \{ \cap_{j=1}^{n} G_{i_j} : \{G_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^{n} \subset \{F_i\}_{i \in I} \}$  and the relation "  $\leq$  ", where  $A \leq B$ whenver  $B \subset A$  and  $A, B \in J$ . (i)  $A \subset A$  for every set  $A \in J$ . This means that  $A \leq A$  for every set  $A \in J$ . (ii) We know that if  $A \supset B$  and  $B \supset A$ , then A = B. So  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$  then A = B. (iii) We know that if  $C \supset B$  and  $B \supset A$ , then  $C \supset A$ . So, if  $C \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ , then  $C \leq A$ . This means that  $(J, \leq)$  is a directed set and partially ordered. Let us consider the function  $\ell: J \to X$  such that  $\ell(A) \in A$  for every  $A \in J$ . Then  $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$  is a net in X and by the assumption has a b- $\theta$ -cluster point. Let y be the b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\{\ell_A\}_{A \in J}$ . We know that if  $A \in J$ and  $F_k \leq A$ , then  $A \subset F_k$ , where  $F_k \in \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ . So  $\ell_B \in F_k$  whenever  $A \leq B$ . Then,  $\{\ell_A\}_{A\in J}$  is residually in  $F_k$ . By theorem 9, since y is a b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\{l_A\}_{A\in J}$ , a subnet of  $\{l_A\}_{A \in J}b - \theta$ -converges to y. Since  $\{l_A\}_{A \in J}$  is residually in  $F_k$  for each k, such a subnet would be residually in  $F_k$  for each k. By theorem 7,  $y \in F_k$  for each k. So  $\cap_{i \in I} F_i \neq \emptyset$ . By theorem 10,  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact.

**Theorem 12.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact if and only if every ultranet in it is b- $\theta$ -convergent.

Proof. Suppose  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -compact and  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$  is an ultranet in  $(X, \tau)$ . By theorem 11,  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$  has atleast one b- $\theta$ -cluster point. From theorem 8,  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$  b- $\theta$ converges to its b- $\theta$ -cluster point. Hence,  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$  is b- $\theta$ -convergent. Conversely, assume that every ultra net in  $(X, \tau)$  is b- $\theta$ -convergent. Let  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a net in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since every net has a subnet which is an ultranet, so there exists a subnet of  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ which is an ultranet. This ultrane b- $\theta$ -converges to a point which is b- $\theta$ -cluster point of  $\{\ell_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

4. b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact spaces

**Definition 8.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact if from every b- $\theta$ -open covering  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  of X whose cardinality I, denoted by card I, is at most n, one can selecet a subcovering  $\{U_i : j \in J\}$  of X whose card J is at most m.

**Definition 9.** A subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact subspace if the subspace A is b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact.

**Definition 10.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be a completely  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact if every subspace X is  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact.

**Remark 2.** It should be noted that a b- $\theta$ -(1, n)-compact space is a b- $\theta$ -n-compact space and b- $\theta$ - $(1, \infty)$ -compact space is the usual b- $\theta$ -compact space. A b- $\theta$ - $(\omega, \infty)$ -compact space is a b- $\theta$ -Lindeloff space.

**Definition 11.** A family  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  of subsets of a set X is said to have the *m*-intersection property if every subfinily of cardinality at most m has a non-void intersection.

**Theorem 13.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact if and only if every family  $\{P_i\}$  of  $b \cdot \theta$ -closed sets  $P_i \subseteq X$  having the m-intersection property also has the n-intersection property.

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the following equivalent statements: (i) X is  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact. (ii) If  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $b \cdot \theta$ -open cover of X such that card  $I \leq n$ , then there is a subcover  $\{U_{i_j} : j \in J\}$  of X such that card  $J \leq m$ . (iii) If  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $b \cdot \theta$ -open sets such that card  $I \leq n$  and every subfamily  $\{U_{i_j}\}$  of card  $J \leq m$  has the property  $X \setminus (\bigcup_i U_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$ . (iv) If  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a family of  $b \cdot \theta$ -open sets such that  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{j \in J} U_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$  whenever card  $J \leq m$ , then  $X \setminus (\bigcup_{j \in J} U_{i_j}) \neq \emptyset$  whenever card  $J \leq n$ . (v) If  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  is a family of  $b \cdot \theta$ -closed sets having the *m*-intersection property, then  $\{P_i\}$  has also the *n*-intersection property.

**Theorem 14.** If a space X is  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact and if Y is a  $b \cdot \theta$ -closed subset of X, then Y is a  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact subspace of X.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of *Y* such that card  $I \leq n$ . By adding  $U_j = X \setminus Y$ , we obtain a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of *X* with cardinality at most *n*. By eliminating  $U_j$ , we have a subcover of  $\{U_i\}$  whose cardinality is at most *m*.

**Theorem 15.** If X is a space such that every b- $\theta$ -open subset of X is a b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact subspace of X, then X is completely b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact.

*Proof.* Let  $Y \subset X$  and  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of Y such that card  $I \leq n$ . Then the family  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of the *b*- $\theta$ -open set  $\cup_i U_i$ . Then there is a subfamily  $\{U_{i_j} : j \in J\}$  of card  $J \leq m$  which covers  $\cup_i U_i$ . This subfamily also covers the set Y and therefore Y is *b*- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact.

**Theorem 16.** Let X be a topological space and  $\{Y_k : k \in K\}$  be a family of subsets of X. If every  $Y_k$  is  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact for some  $m \ge cardK$ , then  $U_{k \in K} Y_k$  is a  $b \cdot \theta \cdot (m, n)$ -compact subspace of X.

*Proof.* If  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  is a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of  $Y = \bigcup_K Y_k$ , then it is a *b*- $\theta$ -open cover of  $Y_k$  for every  $k \in K$ . If card  $I \leq n$ , then  $\{U_i\}$  contains a subfamily  $\{U_{i_{j_k}} : j_k \in J_k\}$  for which card  $J_k \leq m$  and is a covering of  $Y_k$ . The union of these families is a *b*- $\theta$ -open subfamily of  $\{U_i\}$  which covers Y and its cardinality is at most m.

**Definition 12.** A point  $x \in X$  is said to be an m-b- $\theta$ -accumulation point of a set S in X if for every b- $\theta$ -open set  $U_x$  containing x, we have card  $(U_x \cap S) > m$ . It shouled be noted that if m = 0, 1 or  $\omega$ , then the relation card  $(U_x \cap S) > m$  means that  $U_x \cap S \neq \emptyset$ , not finite or not countable.

**Theorem 17.** Let X be a topological space and  $S \subset X$  and card S > m. If X is b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact for some n > m, then S has a b- $\theta$ -accumulation point in X. If X is b- $\theta$ - $(m, \infty)$ -compact, then S has an m-b- $\theta$ -accumulation point in X

Proof. Let  $S \subset X$  and S be the cardinality at most n which has no b- $\theta$ -accumulation points in X. Then for each  $x \in X$ , there is a b-open set  $U_x$  such that at most one point of S belongs to  $U_x$ . Suppose U is the union of all sets  $U_x$  which contain no points of S. Let  $U_s$  denote the union of all sets  $U_x$  which contain the point  $s \in S$ . Then U and  $U_s$  are b- $\theta$ -open sets. Therefore  $\{U, U_s\}$  is a b- $\theta$ -open cover of X of cardinality at most n. If X is b- $\theta$ -(m, n)-compact, then this cover contains a subcover of cardinality at most m. But this subcover must contain every  $U_s$  since  $s \in S$  is covered only by  $U_s$ . Hence card  $S \leq m$ . If the cardinality of a set S is greater than m, then S has at least one b- $\theta$ -accumulation point in X. The two other cases can be proved similarly with a little modification.

#### References

[1] D. Andrijevic, On b-open sets, Mat. Vesnik, 48(1996), 59-64.

[2] A. A. El-Atik, A study of some types of mappings on topological spaces, Master's Thesis, Facutly of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt (1997).

[3] J. H. Park, Strongly b-θ-continuous functions, Acta. Math. Hungar., 110(4), 2006, 347-359.

N. Gowrisankar
70/232 Kollupettai Street,
M. Chavady, Thanjavur-613001,
Tamilnadu, India.
email: gowrisankartnj@gmail.com

N. Rajesh Department of Mathematics, Rajah Serfoji Govt. College, Thanjavur-613005, Tamilnadu, India. email: nrajesh\_topology@yahoo.co.in