ON A SUBCLASS OF HARMONIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CONVOLUTION

G. Shelake, S. Joshi, S. Halim

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and study a subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by convolution and integral convolution. Coefficient bounds, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution conditions and convex combination are determined for functions in this family.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Keywords: Harmonic univalent functions, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution.

1. INTRODUCTION

A continuous function f is said to be a complex-valued harmonic function in a simply connected domain D in complex plane \mathbb{C} if both real part of f and imaginary part of f are real harmonic in D. Such functions can be expressed as

$$f = h + \bar{g} \tag{1}$$

where h and g are analytic in D. We call h the analytic part and g the co-analytic part of f. A necessary and sufficient condition for f to be locally univalent and sense-preserving in D is that |h'(z)| > |g'(z)| for all z in D, see [3].

Every harmonic function $f = h + \bar{g}$ is uniquely determined by the coefficients of power series expansions in the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ given by

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad z \in U, |b_1| < 1,$$
(2)

where $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ for $n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{C}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ For further information about these mappings, one may refer to [1, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11].

In 1984, Clunie and Sheil-Small [3] studied the family S_H of all univalent sensepreserving harmonic functions f of the form (1) in U, such that h and g are represented by (2). Note that S_H reduces to the well-known family S, the class of all

normalized analytic univalent functions h given in (2), whenever the co-analytic part g of f is zero. Let K and K_H denote the respective subclasses of S and S_H where the images of f(U) are convex.

The convolution of two functions of the form

$$\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n z^n \text{ and } \Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \nu_n z^n, \ \mu_n, \nu_n \ge 0$$
(3)

is given by

$$(\Phi * \Psi)(z) = \Phi(z) * \Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \nu_n z^n$$

and the integral convolution is defined by

$$(\Phi\diamond\Psi)(z)=\Phi(z)\diamond\Psi(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^\infty\frac{\mu_n\nu_n}{n}z^n$$

Towards the end of last century, Jahangiri [8], Frasin [7], Silverman [10], and Silverman and Silvia [11] were amongst those who focused on the harmonic starlike functions. Later Ozturk S. et. al [9] defined the class $S_H^*(\lambda, \alpha)$ consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ such that h and g are of the form

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n, \quad g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| z^n$$
(4)

which satisfy the condition

$$Re\left\{\frac{zh'(z)-\overline{zg'(z)}}{\lambda(zh'(z)-\overline{zg'(z)})+(1-\lambda)(h(z)+\overline{g(z)})}\right\} \ge \alpha,$$

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ and for all $z \in U$.

Let $S_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ denote the subclass of S_H of functions of the form $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_H$ that satisfy the condition

$$Re\left\{\frac{h(z)*\Phi(z)-\overline{g(z)*\Psi(z)}}{\lambda(h(z)*\Phi(z)-\overline{g(z)*\Psi(z)})+(1-\lambda)(h(z)\diamond\Phi(z)+\overline{g(z)\diamond\Psi(z)})}\right\} \ge \alpha, \quad (5)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and Φ, Ψ are as given in (3). We further let $TS_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ denote the subclass of $S_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ consisting of functions $f = h + \bar{g} \in S_{H}$ such that h and g are of the form (4). We note that the family

 $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ is of special interest because it contains various classes of wellknown harmonic univalent functions as well as many new ones. For different choice of Φ, Ψ, λ and α we obtain following various classes introduced by other authors:

(i)
$$TS_H^*(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \lambda, \alpha) = TS_H^*(\lambda, \alpha)$$
 (see Ozturk et al.[9]).

(ii)
$$TS_{H}^{*}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, 0, \alpha) = TS_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$$
 (see Jahangiri [8]).

- (iii) $TS_{H}^{*}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}, 0, 0) = TS_{H}^{*}$ (see Silverman et al. [11]).
- (iv) $TS_H^*(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, 0, 0) = TS_H^{*0}$ (see Avci et al.[2] and Silverman [10]).
- (v) $TS_{H}^{*}(\frac{z+z^{2}}{(1-z)^{3}}, \frac{z+z^{2}}{(1-z)^{3}}, 0, \alpha) = K_{H}^{*}(\alpha)$ (see Jahangiri [8]).
- (vi) $TS_{H}^{*}(\frac{z+z^{2}}{(1-z)^{3}},\frac{z+z^{2}}{(1-z)^{3}},0,0) = K_{H}^{*0}$ (see Silverman [10]).
- (vii) $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, 0, \alpha) = TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \alpha)$ (see Dixit et al.[4]).
- (viii) $TS_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, 0, \alpha) = \overline{HST}(\phi, \chi, 0, \alpha)$ (see El-Ashwah[6] and Dixit et al.[4])

In this paper, we obtain coefficient bounds for the subclasses $S_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$, we also obtain distortion bounds, extreme points, convolution conditions, and convex combination for functions in $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

2. Main Results

We begin with a sufficient condition for functions in $S_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 1. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be of the form (2). Furthermore, let

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |b_n| \le 1, \quad (6)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $n^2(1-\alpha) \leq \mu_n[n-(1+\alpha)(\lambda n-\lambda+1)] \leq \nu_n[n-(1+\alpha)(\lambda n+\lambda-1)]$. Then f is sense-preserving harmonic univalent in U and for $\lambda \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}$, $f \in S_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof. We first note that f is sense-preserving in U. This is because

$$\begin{aligned} |h'(z)| &\ge 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} > 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n| \\ &\ge 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha}\right) |a_n| \\ &\ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha}\right) |b_n| > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha}\right) |b_n||z|^{n-1} \\ &\ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n||z|^{n-1} \ge |g'(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used hypothesis of the theorem.

Now to show that f is univalent in U , suppose $z_1, z_2 \in U$ so that $z_1 \neq z_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| \\ &= 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z_1^n - z_2^n)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z_1^n - z_2^n)} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|a_n|} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_n|} \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $f \in S_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$. By using the fact that $Re(w) > \alpha$ if and only if $|1 - \alpha + w| > |1 + \alpha - w|$, it suffices to show that,

$$|(1 - \alpha)B(z) + A(z)| - |(1 + \alpha)B(z) - A(z)| > 0,$$
(7)

where $A(z) = h(z) * \Phi(z) - \overline{g(z) * \Psi(z)}$ and $B(z) = \lambda A(z) + (1 - \lambda)(h(z) \diamond \Phi(z) + \overline{g(z) \diamond \Psi(z)})$.

Substituting A(z) and B(z) in (7) as well as making use of (6) and $\lambda \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |A(z) + (1 - \alpha)B(z)| - |A(z) - (1 + \alpha)B(z)| \\ &= \left| [1 + \lambda(1 - \alpha)](h(z) * \Phi(z) - \overline{g(z) * \Psi(z)}) + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \lambda)(h(z) \diamond \Phi(z) + \overline{g(z) \diamond \Psi(z)}) \right| \\ &- \left| [1 - \lambda(1 + \alpha)](h(z) * \Phi(z) - \overline{g(z) * \Psi(z)}) - (1 + \alpha)(1 - \lambda)(h(z) \diamond \Phi(z) + \overline{g(z) \diamond \Psi(z)}) \right| \\ &= \left| (2 - \alpha)z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[1 + (1 - \alpha) \left(\lambda + \frac{1 - \lambda}{n} \right) \right] \mu_n a_n z^n \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 + (1 - \alpha) \left(\lambda - \frac{1 - \lambda}{n} \right) \right] \nu_n \overline{b_n z^n} \right| \qquad (\text{where } \nu_1 = 1) \\ &- \left| -\alpha z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[1 - (1 + \alpha) \left(\lambda + \frac{1 - \lambda}{n} \right) \right] \mu_n a_n z^n \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[1 - (1 + \alpha) \left(\lambda - \frac{1 - \lambda}{n} \right) \right] \nu_n \overline{b_n z^n} \right| \qquad (\text{where } \nu_1 = 1) \\ &\geq 2(1 - \alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n - 1)}{n(1 - \alpha)} \mu_n |a_n| |z|^{n-1} \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n + 1)}{n(1 - \alpha)} \nu_n |b_n| |z|^{n-1} \right\} \\ &> 2(1 - \alpha)|z| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n - 1)}{n(1 - \alpha)} \mu_n |a_n| \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n + 1)}{n(1 - \alpha)} \nu_n |b_n| \right\} \\ &\geq 0 \quad from (6). \end{split}$$

The coefficient bound (6) is sharp for the functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)} \right) x_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)} \right) \overline{y_n z^n},$$

where
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1.$$

Next, we show that the above sufficient condition is also necessary for functions in $TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ be of the form (4). Then $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_n| \le 1, \quad (8)$$

where, $0 \le \alpha < 1, \ 0 \le \lambda \le 1, \ n^2(1-\alpha) \le \mu_n[n-(1+\alpha)(\lambda n-\lambda+1)] \le \nu_n[n-(1+\alpha)(\lambda n+\lambda-1)].$

Proof. The if part, follows from Theorem 1. To prove the only if part, let $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ then from (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ℜ\left\{\frac{h(z)*\Phi(z)-\overline{g(z)*\Psi(z)}}{\lambda(h(z)*\Phi(z)-\overline{g(z)}*\Psi(z))+(1-\lambda)(h(z)\diamond\Phi(z)+\overline{g(z)}\diamond\Psi(z))}-\alpha\right\}\\ &=Re\left\{\frac{(1-\alpha)z-\sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty}\mu_{n}\frac{[n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)]}{n}|a_{n}|z^{n}-\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\nu_{n}\frac{[n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)]}{n}|b_{n}|\bar{z}^{n}\right\}\\ &\frac{1-\alpha}{z-\sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty}\mu_{n}\left[\lambda+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)\right]|a_{n}|z^{n}+\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\nu_{n}\left[\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)-\lambda\right]|b_{n}|\bar{z}^{n}\right]}{2-\sum\limits_{n=2}^{\infty}\mu_{n}\left[\lambda+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)\right]|a_{n}|z^{n}+\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\nu_{n}\left[\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)-\lambda\right]|b_{n}|\bar{z}^{n}\right]}\right\}\\ &>0. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose z to be real and $z \to 1^-$, we get

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\mu_n\frac{[n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)]}{n}|a_n|-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\nu_n\frac{[n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)]}{n}|b_n|}{1-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\mu_n\left[\lambda+\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)\right]|a_n|+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\nu_n\left[\left(\frac{1-\lambda}{n}\right)-\lambda\right]|b_n|} \ge 0,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{[n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)]}{n} |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n \frac{[n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)]}{n} |b_n| \le 1-\alpha,$$

which is the required condition (8).

In addition to the above main result, the following results are further properties concerning the class $TS_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$. These results agree with previously obtained ones by other authors.

Theorem 3. If $f \in TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mu_2(2 - \alpha - \alpha\lambda) \leq \mu_n(n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n-1)) \leq \nu_n(n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n+1))$ for $n \geq 2$. Then we have,

$$|f(z)| \le (1+|b_1|)r + 2\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} - \frac{1+\alpha-2\alpha\lambda}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)}\nu_1|b_1|\right)r^2, \ |z| = r < 1,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \ge (1 - |b_1|)r - 2\left(\frac{(1 - \alpha)}{\mu_2(2 - \alpha - \alpha\lambda)} - \frac{1 + \alpha - 2\alpha\lambda}{\mu_2(2 - \alpha - \alpha\lambda)}\nu_1|b_1|\right)r^2, \ |z| = r < 1,$$

Proof. We only prove the right hand inequality. The proof for the left hand inequality is similar and will be omitted.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n|+|b_n|)r^n \\ &\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (|a_n|+|b_n|)r^2 \\ &\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)}{2(1-\alpha)} (|a_n|+|b_n|)r^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} \times$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu_n}{n} \frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} |a_n| + \frac{\nu_n}{n} \frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} |b_n|\right) r^2$$

$$\leq (1+|b_1|)r + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{1+\alpha-2\alpha\lambda}{1-\alpha} \nu_1 |b_1|\right) r^2$$

$$\leq (1+|b_1|)r + 2 \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} - \frac{1+\alpha-2\alpha\lambda}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} \nu_1 |b_1|\right) r^2.$$

The upper bound given for $f\in TS^*_H(\Phi,\Psi,\lambda,\alpha)$ is sharp and equality occurs for the function

$$f(z) = z + |b_1|\bar{z} + 2\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)} - \frac{1+\alpha-2\alpha\lambda}{\mu_2(2-\alpha-\alpha\lambda)}\nu_1|b_1|\right)\bar{z}^2, \ |b_1| \le \frac{1-\alpha}{(1+\alpha-2\alpha\lambda)\nu_1}.$$

The following covering result follows from the left hand inequality in Theorem 3.

Corollary 4. Let $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$\left\{ w : |w| < \frac{1}{A} [A - (1 - \alpha) + ((1 + \alpha - 2\alpha\lambda)\nu_1 - A)|b_1|] \right\} \subset f(U),$$

where $A = \frac{\mu_2}{2}(2 - \alpha - \alpha \lambda)$.

Now we determine the extreme points of $TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$

Theorem 5. Let

$$h_1(z) = z, \ h_n(z) = z - \frac{n}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n - 1)} \right) z^n \ (n = 2, 3, ...)$$

and

$$g_n(z) = z + \frac{n}{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n+1)} \right) \bar{z}^n \quad (n = 1, 2...).$$

Then $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if it can be expressed as

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n h_n + y_n g_n,$$

where $x_n \ge 0, y_n \ge 0, x_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x_n + y_n \ge 0$, and $y_1 = 0$. In particular, the extreme points of $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ are h_n and g_n .

Proof. Suppose

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n h_n + y_n g_n$$

=
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n + y_n) z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda (n - 1)} \right) x_n z^n$$

+
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda (n + 1)} \right) y_n \overline{z}^n.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} \right) \frac{n}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)} \right) x_n$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} \right) \frac{n}{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)} \right) y_n$$
$$=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n = 1 - x_1 \le 1$$

and so $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$. Conversely, if $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$, then

$$|a_n| \le \frac{n}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)} \right)$$
 and $|b_n| \le \frac{n}{\nu_n} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)} \right)$.

Setting

$$x_n = \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda (n - 1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_n| \quad (n = 2, 3...)$$

and

$$y_n = \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda (n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_n| \quad (n = 1, 2...)$$

Then note that by Theorem 2, $0 \le x_n \le 1$ (n = 2, 3...) and $0 \le y_n \le 1$ (n = 1, 2...). We define $x_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} x_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$, by Theorem 2 we obtain $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n h_n + y_n g_n$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Next, we show that $TS_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ is closed under convex combinations of its members.

Theorem 6. The class $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ is closed under convex combination. Proof. For i = 1, 2, 3... let $f_i \in TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$, where f_i is given by

$$f_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_{i_n}| z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_{i_n}| \bar{z}^n.$$

Then by Theorem 2,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_{i_n}| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_{i_n}| \le 1$$
(9)

For $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1$, $0 \le t_i \le 1$, the convex combination of f_i may be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_{i_n}| \right) z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_{i_n}| \right) \bar{z}^n.$$

Then by 6,

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} \right) \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |a_{i_n}| \right| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} \right) \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i |b_{i_n}| \right|$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i \left\{ \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_{i_n}| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |b_{i_n}| \right\}$$
$$\leq 1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i = 1. \ from \ (9)$$

and so by Theorem 2, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i f_i(z) \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha).$

Finally we show that the class $TS_H^*(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ is invariant under convolution. For harmonic functions $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \bar{z}^n$ and $F(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \bar{z}^n$, we define the convolution of two harmonic functions f and F as

$$(f * F)(z) = f(z) * F(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n A_n z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n B_n \overline{z}^n$$

Theorem 7. If $f \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ and $F \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$ then $f * F \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n| \bar{z}^n$ and $F(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |A_n| z^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |B_n| \bar{z}^n$

be in $TS_{H}^{*}(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$, Then by Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n-\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n+\alpha-\alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1-\alpha} \right) |b_n| \le 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |A_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha \lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |B_n| \le 1.$$

So for the coefficients of f * F we can write

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_n A_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_n B_n|$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n - \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n-1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu_n}{n} \left(\frac{n + \alpha - \alpha\lambda(n+1)}{1 - \alpha} \right) |b_n| \leq 1.$$

Thus $f * F \in TS^*_H(\Phi, \Psi, \lambda, \alpha)$.

Acknowledgements. The first author is thankful to University Grant Commission, New Delhi, for financial support vide grant No. 47-473/12(WRO).

References

[1] O. Ahuja, *Planar harmonic univalent and related mappings*, J. Ineq Pure Appl. Math. 6, 4 (2005), Art 122.

[2] Y. Avci, E. Zlotkiewicz, On harmonic univalent mappings, Ann. Univ.Mariae Curie Skłodowska Sect. A. 44(1990), 1-7.

[3] J. Clunie, T. Sheil-Small, *Harmonic univalent functions*, Ann. Acad Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Mat.9 (1984), 3-25.

[4] k. Dixit, A. Pathak, S. Porwal, R. Agarwal, On a subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by convolution and integral convolution, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 69, 3 (2011), 255-264.

[5] P. Duren, *Harmonic mappings in the plane*, Cambridge Tract in Mathematics (Cambridge University Press)(2004), ISBN 0-521-64121-73.

[6] El-Ashwah, Subclass of univalent harmonic functions defined by dual convolution, Journal of Inequalities and Applications (2013), 2013:537.

[7] B. Frasin, Comprehensive family of harmonic univalent functions SUT J. Math.42, 1 (2006), 145-155.

[8] J. Jahangiri, *Harmonic functions starlike in the unit disc*, J. Math. Anal.Appl. 235(1999), 470-477.

[9] M. Ozturk, S. Yalcin, M. Yamankaradeniz, *Convex subclasses of harmonic starlike functions*, Applied Mathematics and Computation. 154 (2004), 449-459.

[10] H. Silverman, *Harmonic univalent functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math Anal Appl. 220(1998), 283-289.

[11] H. Silverman, E. Silvia, *Subclasses of harmonic univalent functions*, New Zealand J. 28(1999), 275-284.

Girish D. Shelake Department of Mathematics, Willingdon College, Sangli-416415 Maharashtra,India email: shelakegd@gmail.com

Santosh B. Joshi Department of Mathematics, Walchand College, Sangli-416415, Maharashtra,India email: joshisb@hotmail.com

Suzeini A. Halim Institute of Mathematical sciences, Universit Malaya (Malaysia) email: *suzeini@um.edu.my*