# ORDER OF CONVEXITY OF INTEGRAL TRANSFORMS AND DUALITY

S. VERMA, S. GUPTA, S. SINGH

ABSTRACT. Recently, Ali et al. [2] defined the class  $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  consisting of functions f which satisfy

$$\Re e^{i\phi}\left((1-\alpha+2\gamma)\frac{f(z)}{z}+(\alpha-2\gamma)f'(z)+\gamma zf''(z)-\beta\right)>0,$$

for all  $z \in E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  and for  $\alpha, \gamma \ge 0$  and  $\beta < 1$ ,  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$  (the set of reals). For  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , they discussed the convexity of the integral transform

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) := \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a non-negative real-valued integrable function satisfying the condition  $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$ . The aim of present paper is to find conditions on  $\lambda(t)$  such that  $V_{\lambda}(f)$  is convex of order  $\delta$  ( $0 \le \delta \le 1/2$ ) whenever  $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . As applications, we study various choices of  $\lambda(t)$ , related to classical integral transforms.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C80.

Keywords: Starlike function, Convex function, Hadamard product, Duality.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of analytic functions f defined in the open unit disc  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$  with the normalization f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0. Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 = \{g : g(z) = f(z)/z, f \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . Let S be the subclass of  $\mathcal{A}$  consisting of univalent functions in E. A function  $f \in S$  is said to be starlike or convex, if f maps E conformally onto the domains, respectively, starlike with respect to the origin and convex. The generalization of these two classes are given by the following analytic characterizations :

$$S^*(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta, \quad 0 \le \beta < 1 \right\}$$

$$K(\beta) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta, \quad 0 \le \beta < 1 \right\}.$$

For  $\beta = 0$ , we usually set  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and K(0) = K.

For two functions  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \cdots$  and  $g(z) = z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \cdots$ in  $\mathcal{A}$ , their Hadamard product (or convolution) is the function f \* g defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

For  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , Fournier and Ruscheweyh [8] introduced the operator

$$F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) := \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt,$$
(1)

where  $\lambda$  is a non-negative real-valued integrable function satisfying the condition  $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$ . This operator contains some of the well-known operators such as Libera, Bernardi and Komatu as its special cases. This operator has been studied by a number of authors for various choices of  $\lambda(t)$  (for example see [1], [4], [6], [8]). Fournier and Ruscheweyh [8] applied the duality theory ([10, 11]) to prove the starlikeness of the linear integral transform  $V_{\lambda}(f)$  when f varies in the class

$$\mathcal{P}(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} | \Re e^{i\phi} \left( f'(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in E \right\}.$$

In 1995, Ali and Singh [3] discussed the convexity properties of the integral transform (1) for functions f in the class  $\mathcal{P}(\beta)$ . In 2002, Choi et al. [7] investigated convexity properties of the integral transform (1) for functions f in the class

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} | \Re e^{i\phi} \left( (1-\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \gamma f'(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in E \right\}.$$

It is evident that the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}(\beta)$  is closely related to the class  $\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta)$  defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} | \Re e^{i\phi} \left( f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \, z \in E \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta)$  if and only if zf' belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}(\beta)$ .

In a very recent paper, R. M. Ali et al. [2] discussed the convexity of the integral transform (1) for the functions f in a more general class  $W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  given by

$$\left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} | \Re e^{i\phi} \left( (1 - \alpha + 2\gamma) \frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma) f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in E \right\}$$
(2)

Note that  $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(1,0) \equiv \mathcal{P}(\beta)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha,0) \equiv \mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(1+2\gamma,\gamma) \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\gamma}(\beta)$ .

In the present paper, we shall mainly tackle the problem of finding a sharp estimate of the parameter  $\beta$  that ensures  $V_{\lambda}(f)$  to be convex of order  $\delta$  for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ . To prove our result, we shall need the duality theory for convolutions, so we include here some basic concepts and results from this theory. For a subset  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$ , we define

$$\mathcal{B}^* = \{g \in \mathcal{A}_0 : (f * g)(z) \neq 0, z \in E, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{B}.\}$$

The set  $\mathcal{B}^*$  is called the dual of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Further, the second dual of  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{B}^{**} = (\mathcal{B}^*)^*$ . We state below a fundamental result.

Theorem 1. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \beta + (1 - \beta) \left( \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz} \right) : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\}, \ \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \ \beta \neq 1.$$

Then, we have

- 1.  $\mathcal{B}^{**} = \left\{ g \in \mathcal{A}_0 : \exists \phi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \Re\{e^{i\phi}(g(z) \beta)\} > 0, \ z \in E \right\}.$
- 2. If  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two continuous linear functionals on  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $0 \notin \Gamma_2$ , then for every  $g \in \mathcal{B}^{**}$  we can find  $v \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\frac{\Gamma_1(g)}{\Gamma_2(g)} = \frac{\Gamma_1(v)}{\Gamma_2(v)}.$$

The basic reference to this theory is the book by Ruscheweyh [10] (see also [11]).

## 2. Preliminaries

We follow the notations used in [1]. Let  $\mu \ge 0$  and  $\nu \ge 0$  satisfy

$$\mu + \nu = \alpha - \gamma \text{ and } \mu \nu = \gamma.$$
 (3)

When  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $\mu$  is chosen to be 0, in which case,  $\nu = \alpha \ge 0$ . When  $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$ , (3) yields  $\mu + \nu = 1 + \gamma = 1 + \mu\nu$ , or  $(\mu - 1)(1 - \nu) = 0$ .

(i) For γ > 0, then choosing μ = 1 gives ν = γ.
(ii) For γ = 0, then μ = 0 and ν = α = 1.

Whenever the particular case  $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$  will be considered, the values of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  for  $\gamma > 0$  will be taken as  $\mu = 1$  and  $\nu = \gamma$  respectively, while  $\mu = 0$  and  $\nu = 1 = \alpha$  in the case when  $\gamma = 0$ .

Next we introduce two auxiliary functions. Let

$$\phi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)}{n+1} z^n,$$
(4)

and

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^n$$
$$= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{dsdt}{(1-t^{\nu}s^{\mu}z)^2}.$$
(5)

Here  $\phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1}$  denotes the convolution inverse of  $\phi_{\mu,\nu}$  such that  $\phi_{\mu,\nu} * \phi_{\mu,\nu}^{-1} = z/(1-z)$ . If  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = \alpha$ , and it is clear that

$$\psi_{0,\alpha}(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{n\alpha+1} z^n = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1-t^{\alpha}z)^2}.$$

If  $\gamma > 0$ , then  $\nu > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$ , and making the change of variables  $u = t^{\nu}$ ,  $v = s^{\mu}$  results in

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - uvz)^2} du dv.$$

Thus the function  $\psi_{\mu,\nu}$  can be written as

$$\psi_{\mu,\nu}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1}}{(1 - uvz)^2} du dv, & \gamma > 0; \\ \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{(1 - t^\alpha z)^2}, & \gamma = 0, \, \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Let q be the solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(t^{1/\nu}q(t)\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\mu\nu}t^{1/\nu-1}\int_{0}^{1}\frac{(1-\delta)-(1+\delta)st}{(1-\delta)(1+st)^{3}}s^{1/\mu-1}ds, & \gamma > 0,\\ \frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{(1-\delta)-(1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{3}}t^{1/\alpha-1}, & \gamma = 0, \, \alpha > 0, \end{cases}$$
(7)

satisfying q(0) = 1.

Solving the differential equation (7), we have

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw.$$
(8)

In particular,

$$q_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)st}{(1-\delta)(1+st)^{3}} s^{1/\alpha - 1} ds, \ \gamma = 0, \ \alpha > 0.$$
(9)

Further let

$$\Lambda_{\nu}(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \frac{\lambda(x)}{x^{1/\nu}} dx, \quad \nu > 0,$$
(10)

and

$$\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \begin{cases} \int_t^1 \Lambda_{\nu}(x) x^{1/\nu - 1 - 1/\mu} dx, & \gamma > 0, \\ \Lambda_{\alpha}(t), & \gamma = 0, \ (\mu = 0, \ \nu = \alpha > 0). \end{cases}$$
(11)

For the function  $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)$ , we define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}}(h_{\delta}) = \begin{cases} \Re \int_{0}^{1} t^{1/\mu - 1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \begin{bmatrix} h_{\delta}'(tz) - \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{3}} \\ h_{\delta}'(tz) - \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{3}} \end{bmatrix} dt, \quad \gamma > 0, \\ \Re \int_{0}^{1} t^{1/\alpha - 1} \Pi_{0,\alpha}(t) \begin{bmatrix} h_{\delta}'(tz) - \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{3}} \end{bmatrix} dt, \quad \gamma = 0, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where  $h_{\delta}(z)$  is defined as

$$h_{\delta}(z) = \frac{z\left(1 + \frac{\epsilon + 2\delta - 1}{2 - 2\delta}z\right)}{(1 - z)^2}, \quad |\epsilon| = 1.$$
(13)

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mu \ge 0$ ,  $\nu \ge 0$  satisfy (3). Define  $\beta < 1$  by

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{(1 - \beta)} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)q(t)dt,$$
(14)

where q(t) is the solution of the initial-value problem (7). Further for  $\Lambda_{\nu}(t)$  and  $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)$  defined by (10) and (11) respectively, assume that  $t^{1/\nu}\Lambda_{\nu}(t) \to 0$ , and  $t^{1/\nu}\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to 0^+$ . Then for  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ ,  $V_{\lambda}(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)) \subset K(\delta)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}}(h_{\delta}) \geq 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}}(h_{\delta})$  and  $h_{\delta}$  are defined by equations (12) and (13) respectively.

*Proof.* As the case  $\gamma = 0$  ( $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = \alpha$ ) corresponds to the Theorem 2.3 in [5], so we will prove the result only when  $\gamma > 0$ . Let

$$H(z) = (1 - \alpha + 2\gamma)\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma)f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z).$$

Since  $\mu + \nu = \alpha - \gamma$  and  $\mu \nu = \gamma$ , therefore

$$H(z) = (1 + \gamma - (\alpha - \gamma))\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - \gamma - \gamma)f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z)$$
  
=  $(1 + \mu\nu - \mu - \nu)\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\mu + \nu - \mu\nu)f'(z) + \mu\nu z f''(z).$ 

Writing  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , we obtain from (4)

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1}(n\nu + 1)(n\mu + 1)z^n = f'(z) * \phi_{\mu,\nu}(z),$$

and (5) gives that

$$f'(z) = H(z) * \psi_{\mu,\nu}(z).$$
 (15)

Now, for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , we have

$$\Re\left\{e^{i\phi}\frac{H(z)-\beta}{1-\beta}\right\}>0.$$

Thus, in the view of the Theorem 1, we may confine ourselves to functions  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  for which

$$H(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta) \left(\frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz}\right), \ |x| = |y| = 1.$$

Thus (15) gives

$$f'(z) = \left( (1 - \beta) \frac{1 + xz}{1 + yz} + \beta \right) * \psi_{\mu,\nu}(z),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \left( (1-\beta) \frac{1+xw}{1+yw} + \beta \right) dw * \psi(z).$$
(16)

Here  $\psi := \psi_{\mu,\nu}$ .

A well-known result from the theory of convolutions [9, Pg 94] (also see [11]) states that

$$F \in K(\delta) \iff \frac{1}{z}(zF' * h_{\delta})(z) \neq 0, \ z \in E,$$

where  $h_{\delta}$  is as defined in (13). Hence  $F \in K(\delta)$  if and only if

$$0 \neq \frac{1}{z}(V_{\lambda}(f)(z)*zh'_{\delta}(z)) = \frac{1}{z} \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt * zh'_{\delta}(z) \right] = \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(t)}{1-tz} dt * \frac{f(z)}{z} * h'_{\delta}(z)$$

Using (16), we have

$$0 \neq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1-tz} dt * \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left((1-\beta)\frac{1+xw}{1+yw} + \beta\right) dw * \psi(z)\right] * h'_{\delta}(z)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\lambda(t)}{1-tz} dt * h'_{\delta}(z) * \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left((1-\beta)\frac{1+xw}{1+yw} + \beta\right) dw\right] * \psi(z)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t)h'_{\delta}(tz) dt * (1-\beta) \left[\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\frac{1+xw}{1+yw} + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)}\right) dw\right] * \psi(z)$$

$$= (1-\beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t)h'_{\delta}(tz) dt + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)}\right] * \frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1+xw}{1+yw} dw * \psi(z)$$

$$= (1-\beta) \left[\int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \left(\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} h'_{\delta}(tw) dw\right) dt + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)}\right] * \frac{1+xz}{1+yz} * \psi(z).$$

This holds if and only if [11, p. 23]

$$\begin{split} \Re(1-\beta) \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h'_{\delta}(tw) dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)} \right] * \psi(z) \ge 1/2, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re(1-\beta) \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h'_{\delta}(tw) dw \right) dt + \frac{\beta}{(1-\beta)} - \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)} \right] * \psi(z) \ge 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h'_{\delta}(tw) dw - q(t) \right) dt \right] * \psi(z) \ge 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z h'_{\delta}(tw) dw - q(t) \right) dt \right] * \psi(z) \ge 0, \quad (\text{using (14)}), \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( h'_{\delta}(tz) - q(t) \right) dt \right] * \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z \psi(w) dw \ge 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \left[ \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( h'_{\delta}(tz) - q(t) \right) dt \right] * \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{(n\nu + 1)(n\mu + 1)} \ge 0, \quad (\text{using (5)}) \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{(n\nu + 1)(n\mu + 1)} * h'_{\delta}(tz) - q(t) \right) dt \ge 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{d\eta d\zeta}{(1 - \eta^\nu \zeta^\mu z)} * h'_{\delta}(tz) - q(t) \right) dt \ge 0, \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \Re \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 h'_{\delta}(tz\eta^\nu \zeta^\mu) d\eta d\zeta - q(t) \right) dt \ge 0, \end{split}$$

which can also be written as

$$\Re \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\mu\nu} h'_{\delta}(tzuv) u^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv du - q(t) \right) dt \ge 0.$$

Writing w = tu, we get

$$\Re \int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(t)}{t^{1/\nu}} \left[ \int_0^t \int_0^1 h'_{\delta}(wzv) w^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv dw - \mu \nu t^{1/\nu} q(t) \right] dt \ge 0.$$

An integration by parts with respect to t and (7) gives

$$\Re \int_0^1 \Lambda_{\nu}(t) \left[ \int_0^1 h'_{\delta}(tzv) t^{1/\nu - 1} v^{1/\mu - 1} dv - t^{1/\nu - 1} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \delta - (1 + \delta)st}{(1 - \delta)(1 + st)^3} s^{1/\mu - 1} ds \right] dt \ge 0.$$

Again writing w = vt and  $\eta = st$  above inequality reduces to

$$\Re \int_0^1 \Lambda_{\nu}(t) t^{1/\nu - 1/\mu - 1} \left[ \int_0^t h'_{\delta}(wz) w^{1/\mu - 1} dw - \int_0^t \frac{1 - \delta - (1 + \delta)\eta}{(1 - \delta)(1 + \eta)^3} \eta^{1/\mu - 1} d\eta \right] dt \ge 0,$$

which after integration by parts with respect to t yields

$$\Re \int_0^1 \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) t^{1/\mu - 1} \left[ h'_{\delta}(tz) - \frac{1 - \delta - (1 + \delta)t}{(1 - \delta)(1 + t)^3} \right] dt \ge 0.$$

Thus  $F \in K(\delta)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_{\mu,\nu}}(h_{\delta}) \geq 0$ .

Finally, to prove the sharpness, let  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  be of the form for which

$$(1 - \alpha + 2\gamma)\frac{f(z)}{z} + (\alpha - 2\gamma)f'(z) + \gamma z f''(z) = \beta + (1 - \beta)\frac{1 + z}{1 - z}$$

Using a series expansion we obtain that

$$f(z) = z + 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\nu + 1)(n\mu + 1)} z^{n+1}.$$

Thus

$$F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt = z + 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{n}}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^{n+1},$$

where  $\tau_n = \int_0^1 \lambda(t) t^n dt$ . From (7), it is a simple exercise to write q(t) in a series expansion as

$$q(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{1-\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)(n+1-\delta)}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} t^n.$$
 (17)

Now, by (14) and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} &= -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)q(t)dt \\ &= -\int_0^1 \lambda(t) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)(n+1-\delta)}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} t^n \right] dt \\ &= -1 - \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)(n+1-\delta)}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} \int_0^1 \lambda(t)t^n dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2(1-\beta)} = -\frac{1}{1-\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)(n+1-\delta)\tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)}.$$
 (18)

Finally, we see that

$$F'(z) = 1 + 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)\tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^n.$$

Therefore

$$(zF'(z))' = 1 + 2(1-\beta)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^2 \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} z^n.$$

For z = -1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (zF')'(-1) &= 1 + 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)^2 \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} \\ &= 1 + 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1)(n+1-\delta) \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} + 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \delta(n+1) \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} \\ &= 1 - (1-\delta) + \delta 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1) \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} \quad (\text{Using}(18)) \\ &= \delta \left( 1 + 2(1-\beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (n+1) \tau_n}{(n\nu+1)(n\mu+1)} \right) \\ &= \delta F'(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (zF'(z))'/F'(z) at z = -1 equals  $\delta$ . This implies that the result is sharp for the order of convexity.

## 4. Consequences of Theorem 2

To obtain a sufficient condition for the convexity of order  $\delta$  of the integral transform (1) by a much easier method, we present the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\Lambda_{\nu}(t)$ ,  $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)$  be integrable on [0,1] and positive on (0,1). Also, suppose that  $t^{1/\nu}\Lambda_{\nu}(t) \to 0$ , and  $t^{1/\nu}\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to 0^+$ . Assume further that  $\mu \geq 1$  and

$$\frac{\left(-t\Pi'_{\mu,\nu}(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)\right)}{(1+t)(1-t)^{1+2\delta}} \text{ is decreasing on } (0,1).$$

$$\tag{19}$$

For  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ , if  $\beta$  satisfies (14), then  $V_{\lambda}(f) \in K(\delta)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\gamma > 0$ , integration by parts with respect to t yields

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \left( \Re(h'_{\delta}(tz)) - \frac{1-\delta-(1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{3}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left( \Re\frac{h_{\delta}(tz)}{z} - \frac{t(1-\delta(1+t))}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{2}} \right) dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1} \left( -t \Pi'_{\mu,\nu}(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \right) \left( \Re\frac{h_{\delta}(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1-\delta(1+t)}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^{2}} \right) dt. \end{split}$$

Also for  $\mu \ge 1$ , the function  $t^{1/\mu-1}$  is decreasing on (0,1). Thus, the condition (19) along with Theorem 1 from [8] yields

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\mu}-1} \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) \left( \Re \left( h'_{\delta}(tz) \right) - \frac{1-\delta - (1+\delta)t}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^3} \right) dt > 0.$$

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 evidently leads to the desired result.

Below, we obtain the conditions to ensure convexity of  $V_{\lambda}(f)$ . As defined in (11) and (10), for  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t) = \int_t^1 \Lambda_{\nu}(x) x^{1/\nu - 1 - 1/\mu} dx, \text{ and } \Lambda_{\nu}(t) = \int_t^1 \frac{\lambda(x)}{x^{1/\nu}} dx.$$

In order to apply Theorem 3, we have to prove that the function

$$k(t) = \frac{\left(t^{\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \Lambda_{\nu}(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)\right)}{(1+t)(1-t)^{1+2\delta}} := \frac{p(t)}{(1+t)(1-t)^{1+2\delta}}$$

is decreasing in (0,1). Since k(t) > 0 and

$$\frac{k'(t)}{k(t)} = \frac{p'(t)}{p(t)} + \frac{2(t+\delta(1+t))}{1-t^2} \\
= \frac{2(t+\delta(1+t))}{(1-t^2)p(t)} \left[ \frac{(1-t^2)p'(t)}{2(t+\delta(1+t))} + p(t) \right] = \frac{2(t+\delta(1+t))}{(1-t^2)p(t)} [q(t)] \text{ (say)}.$$

Thus to prove that  $k'(t) \leq 0$ , it is enough to prove that  $q(t) \leq 0$ . Since q(1) = 0, so it remains to show that q(t) is increasing over (0,1). Now

$$q'(t) = \frac{(1+t)}{2(t+\delta(1+t))^2} \left[ (1-t)(t+\delta(1+t))p''(t) - (1-t-\delta(1+t))(1+2\delta)p'(t) \right].$$

So,  $q'(t) \ge 0$  for  $t \in (0, 1)$  is equivalent to the inequality  $r(t) \ge 0$ , where

$$r(t) = (1-t)(t+\delta(1+t))p''(t) - (1-t-\delta(1+t))(1+2\delta)p'(t)$$

By using the idea similar to the one used to prove Theorem 3.1 in [6], we can write

$$r(t) = -\lambda(t)t^{1-\frac{1}{\mu}} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right) X(t) + Z(t) + \frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} X(t) \right] + \left[ \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right) X(t) + Z(t) \right] \left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right) t^{\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1} \int_{t}^{1} A(s) ds$$

where,

$$A(t) = \lambda(t)t^{-1/\nu},$$
  

$$X(t) = (1-t)(t+\delta(1+t)),$$
  

$$Z(t) = -t(1-t-\delta(1+t))(1+2\delta).$$
(20)

Clearly, A(t) > 0 and X(t) > 0 for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, r(t) is non-negative if

$$\left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right)X(t) + Z(t) + \frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)}X(t) \le 0 \text{ and } \left[\left(\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 1\right)X(t) + Z(t)\right]\left(\frac{1}{\nu} - 1\right) \ge 0.$$
(21)

Since  $\nu \geq 1$ , we can rewrite the condition (21) as follows :

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu} - \left(\frac{X(t) + Z(t)}{X(t)}\right) \text{ and } \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 2 \le -\left(\frac{X(t) + Z(t)}{X(t)}\right).$$

In view of the fact that X(t) + Z(t) and X(t) are non-negative on (0,1), the above inequality further reduces to

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{\mu} - 2 \le 0.$$
(22)

For  $\mu \ge 1$ , condition (3) implies  $\nu \ge \mu \ge 1$ . Thus, condition (22) implies that r(t) is non-negative if

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu}, \qquad \nu \ge \mu \ge 1.$$
(23)

These conditions leads to the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that both  $\Lambda_{\nu}(t)$ ,  $\Pi_{\mu,\nu}(t)$  are integrable on [0,1] and positive on (0,1). Let  $\lambda(t)$  be a non-negative real-valued integrable function on [0,1] and satisfy the condition

$$\frac{t\lambda'(t)}{\lambda(t)} \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu}, \qquad \nu \ge \mu \ge 1.$$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $\beta < 1$  with

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{(1 - \beta)} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)q(t)dt,$$

where q(t) is defined by (8). Then  $F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) \in K(\delta)$  for  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . The conclusion does not hold for smaller values of  $\beta$ .

On the other hand, when  $\gamma = 0$  ( $\mu = 0$ ,  $\nu = \alpha > 0$ ), so we get the following result.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $\lambda(t)$  be a non-negative real-valued integrable function on [0,1]. Assume that both  $\Lambda_{\alpha}(t)$ ,  $\Pi_{0,\alpha}(t)$  are integrable on [0,1] and positive on (0,1). Let  $\lambda(1) = 0$  and  $\lambda$  satisfies the condition

$$t\lambda''(t) - \frac{1}{\alpha}\lambda'(t) \ge 0,$$
  $\alpha \ge 1.$ 

Let  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$  and  $\beta < 1$  with

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{(1 - \beta)} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t) q_\alpha(t) dt,$$

where  $q_{\alpha}(t)$  is defined by 9 with  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . Then  $F(z) = V_{\lambda}(f)(z) \in K(\delta)$ . The conclusion does not hold for smaller values of  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* As in Theorem 2, for  $\gamma = 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , we have  $V_{\lambda}(f)(z) \in K(\delta)$  if

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} \Pi_{0,\alpha}(t) \left( \Re \left( h'_{\delta}(tz) \right) - \frac{1 - \delta - (1 + \delta)t}{(1 - \delta)(1 + t)^3} \right) dt > 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \left( t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \lambda(t) + \left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \Lambda_\alpha(t) \right) \left( \Re \frac{h_\delta(tz)}{tz} - \frac{1-\delta(1+t)}{(1-\delta)(1+t)^2} \right) dt > 0.$$

Since  $t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}$  is decreasing on (0,1) for  $\alpha \geq 1$ , thus to apply Theorem 1 in [8], it is enough to show that

$$p(t) = \frac{t^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\lambda(t) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\Lambda_{\alpha}(t)}{(1+t)(1-t)^{1+2\delta}} := \frac{k(t)}{(1+t)(1-t)^{1+2\delta}}$$

is decreasing on (0,1). Here, logarithmic differentiation implies that

$$\frac{p'(t)}{p(t)} = \frac{2(t+\delta(1+t))}{(1-t^2)k(t)} \left[ \frac{(1-t^2)k'(t)}{2(t+\delta(1+t))} + k(t) \right].$$

Since p(t) > 0 for  $\alpha \ge 1$ , thus to prove that  $p'(t) \le 0$  on (0,1) it remains to show that

$$r(t) = k(t) + \frac{(1 - t^2)k'(t)}{2(t + \delta(1 + t))} \le 0.$$

Since r(1) = 0, so  $r(t) \le 0$  if r(t) is increasing on (0,1). Thus, r'(t) is non-negative if

$$\frac{t^{\frac{-1}{\alpha}}(1+t)}{2(t+\delta(1+t))}\left\{X(t)t\lambda''(t) + \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)X(t) + Z(t)\right]\lambda'(t)\right\} \ge 0,$$

where X(t) and Z(t) are as defined in (20). Further simplification yields that

$$t\lambda''(t) + \left(\frac{X(t) + Z(t)}{X(t)} - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)\lambda'(t) \ge 0.$$

Since, X(t) and X(t) + Z(t) are non-negative in (0,1), thus  $r'(t) \ge 0$  is equivalent to

$$t\lambda''(t) - \frac{1}{\alpha}\lambda'(t) \ge 0,$$
  $\alpha \ge 1,$ 

which completes the proof.

**Remark 1.** Observe that results in [2] can be obtained from our results by setting  $\delta = 0$ .

## 5. Applications

In this section, we apply Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 to obtain certain results regarding convexity of well-known integral operators. The proofs of the following results run on the same lines as given in [2] and hence omitted.

Consider  $\lambda$  to be defined as

$$\lambda(t) = (1+c)t^c, \qquad c > -1.$$

Then the integral transform

$$F_c(z) = V_\lambda(f)(z) = (1+c) \int_0^1 t^{c-1} f(tz) dt, \qquad c > -1, \qquad (24)$$

is the well-known Bernardi integral operator. The classical Alexander and Libera transforms are special cases of (24) with c = 0 and c = 1 respectively. For this special case of  $\lambda$ , the following result holds.

**Theorem 6.** Let c > -1 and  $0 < \gamma \le \alpha \le 1 + 2\gamma$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = -(1 + c) \int_0^1 t^c q(t) dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw.$$

Then for  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ , we have  $V_{\lambda}(\mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)) \subset K(\delta)$  provided c satisfies the condition:

$$c \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu}, \ \nu \ge \mu \ge 1.$$

The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

Writing  $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in Theorem 6 gives the following criteria of convexity:

**Corollary 7.** Let  $-1 < c \le 3 - 1/\gamma$  and  $\gamma \ge 1$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = -(1 + c) \int_0^1 t^c q(t) dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw.$$

Then for  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ , we have  $V_{\lambda}(\mathcal{R}_{\beta}(\gamma)) \subset K(\delta)$ . The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

Further, letting  $\gamma = 1$  and c = 0 in Corollary 7, we have

**Corollary 8.** Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = \frac{1}{1 - \delta} \left( \delta \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \log 2 \right)$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta}(1)$ , then Alexander transform  $F_0(z) \equiv A[f](z) = \int_0^1 \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt$  is convex of order  $\delta$  where  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

**Remark 2.** 1. For  $\delta = 0$ ,

$$\beta_0 = \frac{1 - 2\log 2}{2 - 2\log 2} = -0.629\dots$$

Then, for f satisfying

$$\Re e^{i\phi} \left( f'(z) + z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in E,$$

Alexander transform A[f] is convex. It has been shown in [8] that  $\beta_0$  is the best possible bound here.

2. We note that for  $\delta = 1/2$ ,  $\beta_{1/2} = 0.590 \dots$  Then, for f satisfying

$$\Re e^{i\phi} \left( f'(z) + z f''(z) - \beta \right) > 0, \ z \in E,$$

Alexander transform A[f] is convex of order 1/2.

While, the case c = 0 in Theorem 6 yields yet another interesting result, which we state as a theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $0 < \gamma \leq \alpha \leq 1 + 2\gamma$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies

$$\Re\left(F'(z) + \alpha z F''(z) + \gamma z^2 F'''(z)\right) > \beta, \qquad z \in E,$$

and  $\beta < 1$  satisfies

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = -\int_0^1 q(t)dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw,$$

then for  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ , F belongs to  $K(\delta)$ . The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

To state our next theorem, we define

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} (a+1)(b+1)\frac{t^a(1-t^{b-a})}{b-a}, & b \neq a;\\ (a+1)^2 t^a \log(1/t), & b = a, \end{cases}$$
(25)

where b > -1 and a > -1. Then,

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = G_f(a,b;z) = \begin{cases} \frac{(a+1)(b+1)}{b-a} \int_0^1 t^{a-1} (1-t^{b-a}) f(tz) dt, & b \neq a; \\ (a+1)^2 \int_0^1 t^{a-1} \log(1/t) f(tz) dt, & b = a. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 10.** Let b > -1, a > -1 and  $0 < \gamma \le \alpha \le 1 + 2\gamma$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)q(t)dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw$$

and  $\lambda(t)$  is defined by (25). If  $f \in W_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , then the convolution operator  $G_f(a, b; z)$ belongs to  $K(\delta)$  with  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$  if

$$a \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu}, \qquad \qquad \nu \ge \mu \ge 1.$$

The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

Substituting  $\alpha = 1 + 2\gamma$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\mu = 1$  in Theorem 6, gives the following result :

**Corollary 11.** Let b > -1,  $-1 < a \le 3 - 1/\gamma$  and  $\gamma \ge 1$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta-1/2}{1-\beta}=-\int_0^1\lambda(t)q(t)dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw.$$

and  $\lambda(t)$  is defined by (25). If  $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\beta}(\gamma)$ , then the convolution operator  $G_f(a, b; z)$  belongs to  $K(\delta)$  with  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$ . The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

While for  $\gamma = 0$ , with an application of Theorem 5, we get the following result: **Theorem 12.** Let b > -1, a > -1 and  $\alpha \ge 1$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta-1/2}{1-\beta} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t) q_\alpha(t) dt,$$

where  $q_{\alpha}$  is given by

$$q_{\alpha}(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)st}{(1-\delta)(1+st)^{3}} s^{1/\alpha - 1} ds$$

and  $\lambda(t)$  is defined by (25). If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\beta}(\alpha)$ , then the convolution operator  $G_f(a, b; z)$ belongs to  $K(\delta)$  with  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$  if one of the following conditions holds : (i)  $-1 < a \leq 0$  and a = b, or (ii)  $-1 < a \leq 0$  and  $-1 < a < b \leq 1 + 1/\alpha$ .

The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

Now, we define

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} t^a \left( \log(1/t) \right)^{p-1}, \ a > -1, \ p \ge 0.$$

In this case,  $V_{\lambda}$  reduces to the Komatu operator [9]

$$V_{\lambda}(f)(z) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^1 \left( \log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right)^{p-1} t^{a-1} f(tz) dt, \ a > -1, \ p \ge 0.$$

For p = 1 Komatu operator gives the Bernardi integral operator. For this  $\lambda$ , the following result holds.

**Theorem 13.** Let a > p-2 > -1 and  $0 < \gamma \le \alpha \le 1+2\gamma$ . Let  $\beta < 1$  satisfy

$$\frac{\beta - 1/2}{1 - \beta} = -\int_0^1 \lambda(t)q(t)dt,$$

where q is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\delta) - (1+\delta)swt}{(1-\delta)(1+swt)^3} s^{1/\mu-1} w^{1/\nu-1} ds dw$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{W}_{\beta}(\alpha, \gamma)$ , then the function

$$\Phi_p(a;z) * f(z) = \frac{(1+a)^p}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^1 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right)^{p-1} t^{a-1} f(tz) dt$$

belongs to  $K(\delta)$  with  $\delta \in [0, 1/2]$  if

$$a \le 2 + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu}, \qquad \qquad \nu \ge \mu \ge 1.$$

The value of  $\beta$  is sharp.

## References

[1] R. M. Ali, A. O. Badghaish, V. Ravichandran and A. Swaminathan, *Starlikeness of integral transforms and duality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 385 (2012), 808-822.

[2] R. M. Ali, M. M. Nargesi and V. Ravichandran, *Convexity of integral transforms and duality*, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. (2012), 1-22.

[3] R. M. Ali and V. Singh, *Convexity and starlikeness of functions defined by a class of integral operators*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 26, 4 (1995), 299-309.

[4] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy and D. J. Prabhakaran, *Duality techniques for certain integral transforms to be starlike*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 293 (2004), 355-373.

[5] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy and D. J. Prabhakaran, *Convexity of inte*gral transforms and function spaces, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18, 1-2 (2007), 1-14.

[6] R. Balasubramanian, S. Ponnusamy and D. J. Prabhakaran, On extremal problems related to integral transforms of a class of analytic functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336, 1 (2007), 542-555.

[7] J. H. Choi, Y.C. Kim and M. Saigo, *Geometric properties of convolution opera*tors defined by Gaussian hypergeometric functions, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 13, 2 (2002), 117-130.

[8] R. Fournier and S. Ruscheweyh, On two extremal problems related to univalent functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 24, 2 (1994), 529-538.

[9] Y. Komatu, On analytic prolongation of a family of operators, Mathematica (Cluj) 32, 55(2) (1990), 141-145.

[10] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory (Montreal: Les Presses de l'Universite de Montreal).

[11] S. Ruscheweyh, Duality for Hadamard products with applications to extremal problems for functions regular in unit disc, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 210 (1975), 63-74.

Sarika Verma, Sushma Gupta and Sukhjit Singh Department of Mathematics, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal-148106, (Punjab) India email: sarika.16984@gmail.com