# COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR A SUBCLASS OF BI-UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS USING SALAGEAN OPERATOR 
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Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce new subclasses $S T_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$ and $C V_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$ of bi-univalent functions defined in the open disk. Furthermore, we find upper bounds for the second and third coefficients for functions in these new subclasses using Salagean operator.
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## 1. Introduction,Definitions And Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathcal{C}:|z|<1\}$. Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$.

Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they are invertible and the inverse functions need not be defined on the entire unit disk $\mathbb{U}$. However, the famous Koebe one-quarter theorem ensures that the image of the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ under every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a disk of radius $1 / 4$. Thus every univalent function $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ satisfying $f^{-1}(f(z))=z,(z \in \mathbb{U})$ and $f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w,\left(|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ if both $f(z)$ and $f^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. We let $\Sigma$ to denote the class of bi-univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$ given by (1). If $f(z)$ is bi-univalent, it must be analytic in the boundary of the domain and
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such that it can be continued across the boundary of the domain so that $f^{-1}(z)$ is defined and analytic throughout $|w|<1$. Examples of functions in the class $\Sigma$ are

$$
\frac{z}{1-z},-\log (1-z)
$$

and so on.
The coefficient estimate problem for the class $\mathcal{S}$, known as the Bieberbach conjecture, is settled by de-Branges [4], who proved that for a function $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ in the class $\mathcal{S},\left|a_{n}\right| \leq n$, for $n=2,3, \cdots$, with equality only for the rotations of the Koebe function

$$
K_{0}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}
$$

In 1967, Lewin [7] introduced the class $\Sigma$ of bi-univalent functions and showed that $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.51$ for the functions belonging to $\Sigma$. It was earlier believed that for $f \in \Sigma$, the bound was $\left|a_{n}\right|<1$ for every $n$ and the extremal function in the class was $\frac{z}{1-z}$. E.Netanyahu [9] in 1969, ruined this conjecture by proving that in the set $\Sigma, \max _{f \in \Sigma}\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 4 / 3$. In 1969, Suffridge [13] gave an example of $f \in \Sigma$ for which $a_{2}=4 / 3$ and conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 4 / 3$. In 1981, Styer and Wright [12] disproved the conjecture that $\left|a_{2}\right|>4 / 3$. Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Kedzierawski [6] in 1985 proved this conjecture for a special case when the function $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are starlike functions. Brannan and Clunie [2] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Tan [14] in proved that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 1.485$ which is the best known estimate for functions in the class of bi-univalent functions.

Brannan and Taha [3] introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class $\Sigma$ similar to the familiar subclasses $S^{*}(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ of the univalent function class $\Sigma$. Recently, Ali et al.[1] extended the results of Brannan and Taha [3] by generalising their classes using subordination.

An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to an analytic function $g$, written $f(z) \prec$ $g(z)$, provided there is a Schwarz function $w$ defined on $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ satisfying $f(z)=g(w(z))$. Ma and Minda [8], unified various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either of the quantity $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ or $1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. For this purpose, they considered an analytic function $\phi$ with positive real part in the unit disk $U, \phi(0)=1$, $\phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\phi$ maps $U$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Such a function has a series expansion of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+B_{3} z^{3}+\cdots,\left(B_{1}>0\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Let a differential operator be defined [11] on a class of analytic functions of the form (1) as follows:

$$
D^{0} f(z)=f(z), \quad D^{1} f(z)=D f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)
$$

and in general

$$
D^{n} f(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)
$$

We easily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{k} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{k} a_{n} z^{n} \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1. Let b be a non-zero complex number. A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $S T_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \in \Sigma \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} f(z)}-1\right) \prec \phi(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{U}  \tag{5}\\
\quad \text { and } \quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{w\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} g(w)}-1\right) \prec \phi(w), \quad w \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $g$ is given by (2).
Definition 2. Let b be a non-zero complex number. A function $f(z)$ given by (1) is said to be in the class $C V_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f \in \Sigma \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right) \prec \phi(z), z \in \mathbb{U}  \tag{7}\\
\quad \text { and } \quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{w\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime}}\right) \prec \phi(w), w \in \mathbb{U}, \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the function $g$ is given by (2).

## 2. Coefficient estimates

Lemma 1. [10] If $p \in \wp$, then $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq 2$ for each $k$, where $\wp$ is the family of functions $p$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ for which $\Re p(z)>0, p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$.
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Theorem 2. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1). If $f \in S T_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}|b|}{\sqrt{\left|\left(2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right) 2^{2 m}\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)|b|}{2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in S T_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$, there exists two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that
$1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} f(z)}-1\right)=\phi(r(z)) \quad$ and $\quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{w\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} g(w)}-1\right)=\phi(s(z))$.
Define the functions $p$ and $q$ by
$p(z)=\frac{1+r(z)}{1-r(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad$ and $\quad q(z)=\frac{1+s(z)}{1-s(z)}=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$.
Or equivalently,
$r(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(p_{3}+\frac{p_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}-p_{2}\right)-\frac{p_{1} p_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right)$
and
$s(z)=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(q_{1} z+\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\left(q_{3}+\frac{q_{1}}{2}\left(\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}-q_{2}\right)-\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{2}\right) z^{3}+\cdots\right)$.
It is clear that $p$ and $q$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and $p(0)=1=q(0)$. Also $p$ and $q$ have positive real part in $\mathbb{U}$ and hence $\left|p_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{i}\right| \leq 2$. In the view of (11), (12) and (13), clearly,
$1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} f(z)}-1\right)=\phi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right) \quad$ and $\quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{w\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime}}{D^{m} g(w)}-1\right)=\phi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)$.

Using (13) and (14) together with (3), one can easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}\right)=1+\frac{B_{1} p_{1}}{2} z+\left(\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\frac{q(w)-1}{q(w)+1}\right)=1+\frac{B_{1} q_{1}}{2} w+\left(\frac{B_{1}}{2}\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{B_{2} q_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) w^{2}+\cdots . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f \in \Sigma$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1), computation shows that its inverse $g=f^{-1}$ has the expansion given by (2). It follows from (14), (15) and (16) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{m} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} b,  \tag{17}\\
2\left(3^{m}\right) a_{3}-\left(2^{2 m}\right) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} b B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b B_{2} p_{1}^{2} \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
-2^{m} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} b q_{1},  \tag{19}\\
\left(4\left(3^{m}\right)-\left(2^{2 m}\right)\right) a_{2}^{2}-2\left(3^{m}\right) a_{3}=\frac{1}{2} b B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b B_{2} q_{1}^{2} . \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (17) and (19), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (18), (20) and (21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) b}{4\left(\left(2.3^{m}-2^{2 m}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+2^{2 m}\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\right)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$ gives the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}|b|}{\sqrt{\left|\left(2.3^{m}-2^{2 m}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right) 2^{2 m}\right|}} .
$$

From (18)-(20), gives

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\frac{b B_{1}}{2}\left(\left(4\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}\right) p_{2}+2^{2 m} q_{2}\right)+3^{m} p_{1}^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right) b}{4\left(2\left(3^{2 m}\right)-3^{m} 2^{2 m}\right)} .
$$

Using the inequalities $\left|p_{1}\right| \leq 2,\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$ for functions with positive real part yields the desired estimation of $\left|a_{3}\right|$.
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For a choice of $\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. If $f \in S T_{\Sigma}\left(b, \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b|(A-B)}{\sqrt{\left|\left(2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}\right)(A-B) b+(1+B) 2^{2 m}\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|A-B|(1+|1+B|)|b|}{\left(2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}\right)} .
$$

If we let $\phi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=1+2 \alpha z+2 \alpha^{2} z^{2}+\cdots, 0<\alpha \leq 1$, in the above theorem, we get the following:

Corollary 4. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. If $f \in S T_{\Sigma}(b, \alpha)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b| 2 \alpha}{\sqrt{\mid 2 \alpha\left(2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}\right) b+(1-\alpha) 2^{2 m \mid}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(1+|\alpha-1|) 2 \alpha|b|}{2\left(3^{m}\right)-2^{2 m}} .
$$

Theorem 5. Let the function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ be given by (1). If $f \in C V_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}|b|}{\sqrt{2\left|\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+2\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right) 2^{2 m}\right|}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)|b|}{2\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f \in C V_{\Sigma}(b, \phi)$, there exists two analytic functions $r, s: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}$, with $r(0)=0=s(0)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(D^{m} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right)=\phi(r(z)) \quad \text { and } \quad 1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{w\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(D^{m} g(w)\right)^{\prime}}\right)=\phi(s(z)) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (11), (12), (15) and (16), one can easily verified that

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{m+1} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} b,  \tag{25}\\
6\left(3^{m}\right) a_{3}-4\left(2^{2 m}\right) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} b B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b B_{2} p_{1}^{2} \tag{26}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
-2^{m+1} a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} B_{1} b q_{1},  \tag{27}\\
\left(12\left(3^{m}\right)-4\left(2^{2 m}\right)\right) a_{2}^{2}-6\left(3^{m}\right) a_{3} & =\frac{1}{2} b B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} b B_{2} q_{1}^{2} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

From (25) and (27), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (26), (28) and (29) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right) b}{8\left(\left(3.3^{m}-2.2^{2 m}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+2\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right)\left(2^{2 m}\right)\right)} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$ gives the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$,

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}|b|}{\sqrt{2\left|\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right) B_{1}^{2} b+2\left(B_{1}-B_{2}\right) 2^{2 m}\right|}}
$$

From (26)-(28), gives

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\frac{b B_{1}}{2}\left(\left(12\left(3^{2 m}\right)-4\left(2^{2 m}\right)\right) p_{2}+4\left(2^{2 m}\right) q_{2}\right)+\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right) b p_{1}^{2} 3^{m+1}}{24\left(3^{m}\right)\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)} .
$$

Using the inequalities $\left|p_{1}\right| \leq 2,\left|p_{2}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{2}\right| \leq 2$ for functions with positive real part yields

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left(B_{1}+\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|\right)|b|}{2\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)} .
$$

For a choice of $\phi(z)=\frac{1+A z}{1+B z},-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 6. Let $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$. If $f \in S T_{\Sigma}\left(b, \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b|(A-B)}{\sqrt{2\left|\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)(A-B) b+2(1+B) 2^{2 m}\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|A-B|(1+|1+B|)|b|}{2\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)} .
$$
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If we let $\phi(z)=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\alpha}=1+2 \alpha z+2 \alpha^{2} z^{2}+\cdots, 0<\alpha \leq 1$, in the above theorem, we get the following:

Corollary 7. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. If $f \in S T_{\Sigma}(b, \alpha)$, then

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b| \alpha}{\sqrt{\mid\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right) \alpha b+(1-\alpha) 2^{2 m \mid}}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(1+|\alpha-1|) \alpha|b|}{\left(3^{m+1}-2^{2 m+1}\right)} .
$$

Remark 1. If we let $b=1, m=0$, Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 reduce to the result of R.M.Ali et.al [1], corollary 2.1 and corollary 2.2.
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