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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we consider para-Kählerian manifolds satisfying various curvature conditions of the pseudosymmetric type. Let ( $M, J, g$ ) be a paraKählerian manifold. We prove the following theorems: The Ricci-pseudosymmetry of $(M, J, g)$ reduces to the Ricci-semisymmetry. The pseudosymmetry as well as the Bochner-pseudosymmetry and the paraholomorphic projective-pseudosymmetry of the manifold $(M, J, g)$ always reduces to the semisymmetry in dimensions $>4$. The paraholomorphic projective-pseudosymmetry reduces to the pseudosymmetry in dimension 4. Moreover, we establish new examples of para-Kählerian manifolds being Ricci-semisymmetric (in dimensions $\geqslant 6$ ) as well as pseudosymmetric (in dimension 4) or Bochner-pseudosymmetric (in dimension 4). We have given examples of semisymmetric para-Kählerian manifolds in [7] and [8].


## 1. Preliminaries

By a para-Kählerian manifold we mean a triple $(M, J, g)$, where $M$ is a connected differentiable manifold of dimension $n=2 m, J$ is a $(1,1)$-tensor field and $g$ is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on $M$ satisfying the conditions

$$
J^{2}=I, \quad g(J X, J Y)=-g(X, Y), \quad \nabla J=0
$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ is Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathrm{M}, \nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ and $I$ is the identity tensor field.

Let $(M, J, g)$ be a para-Kählerian manifold. By $R(X, Y)$ we denote its curvature operator, $R(X, Y)=\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]-\nabla_{[X, Y]}$. The Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor $R$, the Ricci curvature tensor $S$ and the scalar curvature $r$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(X, Y, Z, W)=g(R(X, Y) Z, W), \\
S(X, Y)=\operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto R(Z, X) Y\}, \\
r=\operatorname{Tr}_{g} S
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\widetilde{S}$ be the Ricci operator given by $S(X, Y)=g(\widetilde{S} X, Y)$. For these tensor fields, the following identities are satisfied

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(J X, J Y)=-R(X, Y), \quad R(J X, Y)=-R(X, J Y), \\
S(J X, Y)=-S(J Y, X), \quad S(J X, J Y)=-S(X, Y), \\
\operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto R(X, Y) J Z\}=-2 S(X, J Y),  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto R(J Z, X) Y\}=S(X, J Y) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Next, for a symmetric ( 0,2 )-tensor field $A$ on $M$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we define the endomorphism $X \wedge_{A} Y$ of $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$
\left(X \wedge_{A} Y\right) Z=A(Y, Z) X-A(X, Z) Y, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)
$$

In the case when $A=g$, we shall write $\wedge$ instead of $\wedge_{g}$. The Bochner curvature tensor $B$ is defined by [1], [8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(X, Y)= & R(X, Y)-\frac{1}{n+4}(X \wedge(\widetilde{S} Y)+(\widetilde{S} X) \wedge Y-(J X) \wedge(\widetilde{S} J Y) \\
& -(\widetilde{S} J X) \wedge(J Y)+2 g(J X, Y) \widetilde{S} J+2 g(\widetilde{S} J X, Y) J) \\
& +\frac{r}{(n+4)(n+2)}(X \wedge Y-(J X) \wedge(J Y)+2 g(J X, Y) J)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that the Bochner curvature (0,4)-tensor, $B(X, Y, Z, W)=g(B(X, Y) Z, W)$, has the same algebraic properties as the usual curvature tensor. Moreover, for this tensor, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
B(J X, J Y)=-B(X, Y), \\
\operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto B(Z, X) Y\}=0, \quad \operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto B(J Z, X) Y\}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The paraholomorphic projective curvature tensor P of $(M, J, g)$ is defined in the following manner [9], [10], [7]

$$
P(X, Y)=R(X, Y)-\frac{1}{n+2}\left(X \wedge_{S} Y-(J X) \wedge_{S}(J Y)+2 g(\widetilde{S} J X, Y) J\right)
$$

Notice that this tensor has the following properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(X, Y)=-P(Y, X), \quad \operatorname{Tr}\{Z \mapsto P(Z, X) Y\}=0 \\
\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i} P\left(X, e_{i}, e_{i}, W\right)=\frac{1}{n+2}(n S(X, W)-\operatorname{rg}(X, W)) . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the above and in the sequel, $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is an orthonormal frame and $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the indicator of $e_{i}, \varepsilon_{i}=g\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)= \pm 1$.

## 2. Main result

For a $(0, k)$-tensor $(k \geqslant 1)$ field $T$ on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, we define a ( $0, k+2$ )-tensor field $R \cdot T$ by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \cdot T)\left(U, V, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=-\sum_{s=1}^{k} T\left(X_{1}, \ldots, R(U, V) X_{s}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is called: semisymmetric if $R \cdot R=0$; Ricci-semisymmetric if $R \cdot S=0$ (see [2], [6], [11]).

To formulate the notions of various pseudosymmetry type curvature conditions, we define also a ( $0, k+2$ )-tensor $(k \geqslant 1)$ field $Q(g, T)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(g, T)\left(U, V, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right)=-\sum_{s=1}^{k} T\left(X_{1}, \ldots,(U \wedge V) X_{s}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be Ricci-pseudosymmetric [6] if there exists a function $L_{S}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
R \cdot S=L_{S} Q(g, S)
$$

Clearly, every Ricci-semisymmetric manifold is also Ricci-pseudosymmetric. The converse is not true in general [6]. However, we shall prove that the Ricci-pseudosymmetry reduces to the Ricci-semisymmetry in the class of para-Kählerian metrics.

Theorem 1. Every Ricci-pseudosymmetric para-Kählerian manifold is Ricci-semisymmetric.

Proof. Assume that a para-Kählerian manifold $(M, J, g)$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \cdot S)(U, V, X, Y)=L_{S} Q(g, S)(U, V, X, Y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that in virtue of (1) and (4), we have

$$
(R \cdot S)(J U, J V, X, Y)=-(R \cdot S)(U, V, X, Y)
$$

Thus by (6), we have

$$
L_{S} Q(g, S)(U, V, X, Y)=-L_{S} Q(g, S)(J U, J V, X, Y)
$$

Suppose that $L_{S}$ is non-zero at a certain point $p \in M$. Then the above equality gives

$$
Q(g, S)(U, V, X, Y)=-Q(g, S)(J U, J V, X, Y)
$$

or in view of (5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(U, Y) g(V, X)-S(V, Y) g(U, X)+S(U, X) g(V, Y) \\
& -S(V, X) g(U, Y)=-S(Y, J U) g(X, J V)+S(Y, J V) g(X, J U) \\
& -S(X, J U) g(Y, J V)+S(X, J V) g(Y, J U)
\end{aligned}
$$

This, by contraction with respect to $V, X$ and applying of (1), we find

$$
S(Y, U)=\frac{r}{n} g(Y, U)
$$

that is, the manifold is Einstein. This gives $R \cdot S=0$, which completes the proof.

Now, we give examples of Ricci-semisymmetric para-Kählerian manifolds.
Example 1. Let $\left(x_{i}\right)$ be the Cartesian coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ and $\partial_{i}=\partial / \partial x^{i}$. Define a pseudoRiemannian metric $g$ by

$$
\left[g\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{6}+x_{3}^{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{5}+x_{4}^{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and a (1, 1)-tensor field $J$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \partial_{1}=-\partial_{1}+\left(x_{6}+x_{3}^{2}\right) \partial_{3}, \quad J \partial_{2}=\partial_{2}-\left(x_{5}+x_{4}^{2}\right) \partial_{4}, \\
& J \partial_{3}=\partial_{3}, \quad J \partial_{4}=-\partial_{4}, \quad J \partial_{5}=-\partial_{5}, \quad J \partial_{6}=\partial_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is a straightforward verification that $(J, g)$ is a para-Kählerian structure on $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ wich is Riccisemisymmetric and non-semisymmetric (e.g., the component $\left.(R \cdot R)_{131212}=-1 / 2 \neq 0\right)$. To get Ricci-semisymmetric non-semisymmetric manifolds in dimensions $n=6+2 p, p \geqslant 1$, it is sufficient to take the product of the para-Kählerian manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^{6}, J, g\right)$ and the standard para-Kählerian flat space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 p}, J_{0}, g_{0}\right)$.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is said to be pseudosymmetric [6] if there exists a function $L_{R}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=L_{R} Q(g, R) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, every semisymmetric manifold is also pseudosymmetric. The converse is not true in general [6].

Theorem 2. Let $(M, J, g)$ be a pseudosymmetric para-Kählerian manifold.
(a) If $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, then $(M, J, g)$ is Ricci flat.
(b) If $\operatorname{dim} M>4$, then $(M, J, g)$ is semisymmetric.

Proof. Assume that the condition (7) is satisfied everywhere on $M$. Now, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{R} Q(g, R)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W)=-L_{R} Q(g, R)(J U, J V, X, Y, Z, W) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the function $L_{R}$ is non-zero at a point $p \in M$. Therefore, (8) takes the form

$$
Q(g, R)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W)=-Q(g, R)(J U, J V, X, Y, Z, W)
$$

Contracting, the last relation with respect to $X, V$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} Q(g, R)\left(U, e_{i}, e_{i}, Y, Z, W\right)=-\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} Q(g, R)\left(J U, J e_{i}, e_{i}, Y, Z, W\right)
$$

which, with the help (5), can be rewritten in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n R(U, Y, Z, W)-R(U, Y, Z, W)+R(Y, U, Z, W)+R(Z, Y, U, W) \\
& +R(W, Y, Z, U)+g(U, Z) S(Y, W)-g(U, W) S(Y, Z)= \\
& +R(U, Y, Z, W)-R(Y, U, Z, W)-R(J U, W, Y, J Z)+R(J U, Z, Y, J W) \\
& +2 g(Y, J U) S(Z, J W)-g(Z, J U) S(W, J Y)+g(W, J U) S(Z, J Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using (1) and the first Bianchi identity, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n-4) R(U, Y, Z, W)-2 g(Y, J U) S(Z, J W)+g(Z, J U) S(W, J Y)  \tag{9}\\
& \quad-g(W, J U) S(Z, J Y)+g(U, Z) S(Y, W)-g(U, W) S(Y, Z)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Let $n=4$. Substituting $J Y$ instead of $Y$ in (9), contracting the obtained relation with respect to $Y, U$ and using (1), we find $S=0$.
(b) Let $n>4$. Contracting (9) with respect to $Y, Z$, we find

$$
S(U, W)=\frac{r}{n} g(U, W) .
$$

This implies $R \cdot S=0$. Using this fact in (9), we obtain $R \cdot R=0$.

Examples of semisymmetric para-Kählerian manifolds can be found in [7] and [8]. Below, we give an example of a 4-dimensional pseudosymmetric para-Kählerian manifold which is non-semisymmetric.

Example 2. Let $U$ be the open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ consisting of points at which $x_{1}>0$. Define a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ by

$$
\left[g\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-2 x_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 x_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 x_{1}^{-1} & -x_{2} x_{1}^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & -x_{2} x_{1}^{-1} & 2 x_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{-1}-2 x_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and a (1, 1)-tensor field $J$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \partial_{1}=\partial_{2}, \quad J \partial_{2}=\partial_{1}, \quad J \partial_{3}=-x_{2} x_{1}^{-1} \partial_{3}-\left(2 x_{1}\right)^{-1} \partial_{4}, \\
& J \partial_{4}=\left(2 x_{2}^{2} x_{1}^{-1}-2 x_{1}\right) \partial_{3}+x_{2} x_{1}^{-1} \partial_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One verifies that $(J, g)$ is para-Kählerian structure on $U$. Moreover, it can be checked that the structure is non-semisymmetric and pseudosymmetric with $L_{R}=\left(2 x_{1}^{3}\right)^{-1}$.

Now, we consider a para-Kählerian manifold, whose Bochner curvature tensor fulfills the condition

$$
R \cdot B=L_{B} Q(g, B),
$$

where $L_{B}$ is a function on $M$. Such a manifold will be called Bochner-pseudosymmetric. In the special case when $R \cdot B=0$, the manifold is said to be Bochner-semisymmetric [8].
Theorem 3. Every Bochner-pseudosymmetric para-Kählerian manifold of dimension $n>4$ is Bochner-semisymmetric.
Proof. Let $(M, J, g)$ be a para-Kählerian manifold which is Bochner-pseudosymmetric. In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 1, we find

$$
L_{B} Q(g, B)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W)=-L_{B} Q(g, B)(J U, J V, X, Y, Z, W)
$$

Let $L_{B}$ be non-zero at $p \in M$. Then we have

$$
Q(g, B)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W)=-Q(g, B)(J U, J V, X, Y, Z, W)
$$

or in view of (5) with $T=B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(U, Y, Z, W) g(V, X)-B(V, Y, Z, W) g(U, X)+B(X, U, Z, W) g(V, Y) \\
& -B(X, V, Z, W) g(U, Y)+B(X, Y, U, W) g(V, Z)-B(X, Y, V, W) g(U, Z) \\
& +B(X, Y, Z, U) g(V, W)-B(X, Y, Z, V) g(U, W) \\
& =B(J V, Y, Z, W) g(X, J U)-B(J U, Y, Z, W) g(X, J V) \\
& +B(X, J V, Z, W) g(Y, J U)-B(X, J U, Z, W) g(Y, J V)+B(X, Y, J V, W) g(Z, J U) \\
& -B(X, Y, J U, W) g(Z, J V)+B(X, Y, Z, J V) g(W, J U)-B(X, Y, Z, J U) g(W, J V)
\end{aligned}
$$

Contracting the last identity with respect to $X, V$ and next using (2) and the first Bianchi identity for $B$, we find

$$
(n-4) B(U, Y, Z, W)=0
$$

This gives immediately $B=0$, which completes the proof.
Remark 1. In paper [8], we have shown that for a para-Kählerian manifold, the Bochner semisymmetry always implies the semisymmetry at points where the Bochner tensor does not vanish.
The assertion of Theorem 3 does not hold in dimension 4; see the following example.
Example 3. Let $h$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $h \neq 0$ and $h^{\prime} \neq 0$ at any point. On $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, define a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ by

$$
\left[g\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
-h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) / 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h\left(x_{1}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) / 2 & -x_{2} h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
0 & 0 & -x_{2} h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) & -h\left(x_{1}\right)+2 x_{2}^{2} h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

and a (1, 1)-tensor field $J$ by

$$
J \partial_{1}=\partial_{3}, \quad J \partial_{2}=2 x_{2} \partial_{3}+\partial_{4}, \quad J \partial_{3}=\partial_{1}, \quad J \partial_{4}=\partial_{2}-2 x_{2} \partial_{2} .
$$

Then $(J, g)$ is a para-Kählerian structure which is non-pseudosymmetric and Bochner pseudosymmetric with

$$
L_{B}=\frac{h\left(x_{1}\right) h^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-h^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)}{h^{2}\left(x_{1}\right) h^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)} .
$$

A para-Kählerian manifold $(M, J, g)$ will be called paraholomorphic projective-pseudosymmetric if there exists a function $L_{P}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
R \cdot P=L_{P} Q(g, P) .
$$

Theorem 4. Let $(M, J, g)$ be a paraholomorphic projective-pseudosymmetric para-Kählerian manifold.
(a) If $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, then $(M, J, g)$ is Ricci flat and pseudosymmetric.
(b) If $\operatorname{dim} M>4$, then $(M, J, g)$ is semisymmetric.

Proof. If $R \cdot P=0$ at a certain point of $M$, then $R \cdot R=0$ at this point (it was really shown in the paper [7], Theorem 1, since this is a pointwise property). In the sequel, we assume that $R \cdot P \neq 0$ at a point of $M$. Let $G$ be the contracted tensor $P$,

$$
G(X, W)=\sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} P\left(X, e_{i}, e_{i}, W\right)
$$

Thus, by (3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(X, W)=\frac{1}{n+2}(n S(X, W)-r g(X, W)) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(M, J, g)$ is paraholomorphic projective-pseudosymmetric, the following formula is fulfilled

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \cdot P)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W)=L_{P} Q(g, P)(U, V, X, Y, Z, W) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contracting (11) with respect to $Y, Z$ and using (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
(R \cdot G)(U, V, X, W)=L_{P} Q(g, P)(U, V, X, W)
$$

Hence, using (10) and (4), we get

$$
(R \cdot S)(U, V, X, W)=L_{P} Q(g, S)(U, V, X, W)
$$

This by Theorem 1 implies $R \cdot S=0$. Note that $L_{P}$ is non-zero at $p$. Then $Q(g, S)=0$ at this point. Therefore, in virtue of (3) and (11), we find $R \cdot R=L_{P} Q(g, R)$. Thus, ( $M, J, g$ ) is pseudosymmetric. To finish the proof it is sufficient to use Theorem 2.

Final remarks. 1. The notion of the para-Kählerian manifold used in the presented paper is different from that applied in papers [6], [5], where the structure tensor $J$ is an almost complex structure and the metric $g$ is positive definite.
2. The local components of geometric objects (that is, the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann, Ricci, Bochner and paraholomorphic projective curvature tensors and the scalar curvature) in our examples were calculated with the help of Mathematica programs.
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