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#### Abstract

In the framework of Chinea-Gonzales we study the class of almost contact metric manifolds locally realized as twisted product manifolds $I \times{ }_{\lambda} F, I$ being an open interval, $F$ an almost Hermitian manifold and $\lambda>0$ a smooth function. Local classification theorems for the generalized Sasakian space-forms in the considered class are obtained as well.
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## 1 Introduction

Warped products play an interesting role in clarifying the interrelation between almost Hermitian (a.H.) and almost contact metric (a.c.m.) manifolds in a given class. The first result in this direction, due to Kenmotsu, states that any Kenmotsu manifold is, locally, isometric to a warped product manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F$, where $F$ is a Kähler manifold, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open interval and $\lambda: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function defined by: $\lambda(t)=C e^{t}$, $C>0([15])$. In 2007 Dileo and Pastore extended this result, proving that any almost Kenmotsu manifold ( $M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) such that the tensor field $L_{\xi} \varphi$ vanishes is locally realized as a warped product manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F$, where $F$ is an almost Kähler manifold and $\lambda(t)=C e^{t}, C>0([7])$.

On the other hand, suitable warped product manifolds are nice examples of generalized Sasakian space-forms (g.S. space-forms). In fact, given a smooth function $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0$, and an a.H. manifold $F$, the warped product $\mathbb{R} \times_{\lambda} F$ is endowed with an a.c.m. structure naturally induced by the a.H. structure on $F$. If $F$ is a generalized complex space-form, then $\mathbb{R} \times_{\lambda} F$ is a g.S. space-form ([1]).

As an extension of warped products, Bishop introduced the concept of umbilic products, also called twisted products ([4]). In [21] Ponge and Reckziegel stated a splitting theorem for a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ that admits two complementary foliations $L, K$ whose leaves intersect perpendicularly. If the leaves of $L$ are totally geodesics and the leaves of $K$ totally umbilic, then $(M, g)$ is locally isometric to a twisted product $M^{\prime} \times_{\lambda} M^{\prime \prime}$ such that $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ are leaves of $L$ and $K$, respectively.

[^0]Moreover, if the leaves of $K$ are extrinsic spheres, then $M^{\prime} \times M^{\prime \prime}$ is a warped product. This last statement corresponds to the decomposition theorem of Hiepko ([13]).

In this paper, involving a.H. and a.c.m. manifolds, we provide a new link between the Gray-Hervella work on a.H. manifolds and the Chinea-Gonzales classification of a.c.m. manifolds ( $[12,5]$ ).

More precisely, let $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ be an a.H. manifold and $\lambda: I \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a positive smooth function, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ being an open interval. On $I \times F$ one considers the twisted product metric $g_{\lambda}$ of the Euclidean metric on $I$ and $\widehat{g}$ by $\lambda$ and the a.c.m. structure $\left(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g_{\lambda}\right)$ naturally induced by $(\widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ as in (2.1). The a.c.m. manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F=$ $\left(I \times F, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g_{\lambda}\right)$ is called the twisted product of $I$ and $F$ by $\lambda$. Firstly, we prove that $I \times_{\lambda} F$ belongs to the Chinea-Gonzales class $\underset{1 \leq i \leq 5}{\oplus} C_{i}$, briefly denoted by $C_{1-5}$.
An algebraic characterization of a.c.m. manifolds which fall in the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$ is obtained, also. Combining this result with the Ponge and Reckziegel theorem, one proves that any $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold is locally realized as a twisted product $]-\varepsilon . \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, $\varepsilon>0, F$ being an a.H. manifold and $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth positive function. A differential equation involving $\omega(\xi)$, where $\omega$ is the Lee form, specifies the $\mathcal{C}_{1-5^{-}}$ manifolds that are, locally, warped products.
Then, we point our attention to the classes $\mathcal{C}_{h} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}, h \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. We prove that $\mathcal{C}_{h} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$ consists of the $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifolds that are, locally, a twisted product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, where $F$ belongs to the Gray-Hervella class $\mathcal{W}_{h}$. Moreover, any $\mathcal{C}_{h} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold such that $\omega(\xi)=-1$ is locally a warped product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F, F\right.$ being a $\mathcal{W}_{h}$-manifold and $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right.$ acting as $\lambda(t)=C e^{t}, C>0$.
The last section deals with g .S. space-forms $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ that fall in the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$. By repeated applications of the second Bianchi identity, we prove that $M$ is, locally, a warped product manifold. Moreover, if $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 7$ and $f_{2}$ never vanishes, then $M$ falls in the class $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ and is, locally, a warped product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F, F\right.$ being a complex space-form. Finally, we establish a local classification in the case $f_{2}=0$.

In this article all manifolds are assumed to be connected.

## 2 Twisted product manifolds

Given an a.H. manifold $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$, an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth function $\lambda: I \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lambda>0$, on $I \times F$ we consider the a.c.m. structure $\left(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g_{\lambda}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi\left(a \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, U\right)=(0, \widehat{J} U), \quad \eta\left(a \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, U\right)=a, a \in \mathcal{F}(I \times F), U \in \mathcal{X}(F) \\
& \xi=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, 0\right), \quad g_{\lambda}=\pi^{*}(d t \otimes d t)+\lambda^{2} \sigma^{*}(\widehat{g}) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\pi: I \times F \rightarrow I, \sigma: I \times F \rightarrow F$ denoting the canonical projections.
Note that $g_{\lambda}$ is the twisted product metric of the Euclidean metric $g_{0}$ and $\widehat{g}$. If $\lambda$ only depends on the coordinate $t$, then $g_{\lambda}$ is the warped product metric of $g_{0}$ and $\widehat{g}$. Then the a.c.m. manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F=\left(I \times F, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g_{\lambda}\right)$ is called, respectively, the twisted product manifold and the warped product manifold of $\left(I, g_{0}\right)$ and $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ by $\lambda$. Through the paper, we'll identify any vector field $U$ on $F$ with $(0, U) \in \mathcal{X}(I \times F)$. The Levi-Civita connections $\nabla$ of $I \times_{\lambda} F$ and $\widehat{\nabla}$ of $F$ are related by:
$\nabla_{U} V=\widehat{\nabla}_{U} V-g_{\lambda}(U, V) \operatorname{grad} \log \lambda+g_{\lambda}(U, \operatorname{grad} \log \lambda) V+g_{\lambda}(V, \operatorname{grad} \log \lambda) U$,
for any vector fields $U, V$ on $F$, where $\operatorname{grad}$ stands for $\operatorname{grad}_{g_{\lambda}}$ ([21]). The following relations are well-known, also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\xi} \xi=0, \quad \nabla_{\xi} U=\nabla_{U} \xi=\xi(\log \lambda) U, \quad U \in \mathcal{X}(F) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we recall some basic data involving a.c.m. and a.H. manifolds.
Given an a.c.m. manifold ( $M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g$ ) with fundamental form $\Phi, \Phi(X, Y)=g(X, \varphi Y)$, and Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$, for any $h \in\{1, \ldots, 12\}$ one considers the projection $\tau_{h}$ of $\nabla \Phi$ on the vector bundle $\mathcal{C}_{h}(M)$ whose fibre at any $x \in M$ is the linear space $\mathcal{C}_{h}\left(T_{x} M\right)$ considered in [5]. Putting $\mathcal{C}(M)=\underset{1 \leq h \leq 12}{\oplus} \mathcal{C}_{h}(M)$, to any section $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}(M)$ are associated the 1 -forms $c(\alpha), \bar{c}(\alpha)$ given, in a local orthonormal frame on $M$, by $c(\alpha)(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} \alpha\left(e_{i}, e_{i}, X\right)$ and $\bar{c}(a)(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n+1} \alpha\left(e_{i}, \varphi e_{i}, X\right)$.
The Lee form $\omega$ of $M$, defined by $\omega=-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\delta \Phi \circ \varphi+\nabla_{\xi} \eta\right)+\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n} \eta$, if $n \geq 2$, and $\omega=\nabla_{\xi} \eta+\frac{\delta \eta}{2} \eta$, if $n=1$, depends on the projections $\tau_{4}, \tau_{5}$ and $\tau_{12}$ according to the formulas:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega(X)=\frac{1}{2(n-1)} c\left(\tau_{4}\right)(\varphi X)+\frac{1}{2 n} \bar{c}\left(\tau_{5}\right)(\xi) \eta(X), \text { if } n \geq 2 \\
\omega(X)=\tau_{12}(\xi, \xi, \varphi X)+\frac{1}{2} \bar{c}\left(\tau_{5}\right)(\xi) \eta(X), \text { if } n=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\left(N, J^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ be an a.H. manifold with Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\prime}$ and fundamental form $\Omega^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}(X, Y)=g^{\prime}\left(X, J^{\prime} Y\right)$. For any $h \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$, one considers the component $\tau_{h}^{\prime}$ of $\nabla^{\prime} \Omega^{\prime}$ on the vector bundle $\mathcal{W}_{h}(N)$ over $N$ whose fibre at each point $p \in N$ is the linear space $\mathcal{W}_{h}\left(T_{p} N\right)$ introduced in [12].

If $\operatorname{dim} N=2 m \geq 4$, the 1 -form $\omega^{\prime}=-\frac{1}{2(m-1)} \delta^{\prime} \Omega^{\prime} \circ J^{\prime}$ is called the Lee form and depends on the projection $\tau_{4}^{\prime}$. In fact, with respect to a local orthonormal frame $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2 m}$, one has $\omega^{\prime}(X)=\frac{1}{2(m-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{2 m} \tau_{4}^{\prime}\left(E_{i}, E_{i}, J^{\prime} X\right)$.

The next result is useful in determining the Chinea-Gonzales class of a twisted product manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F$ and in relating the covariant derivatives $\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\Omega}, \nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$, where $\widehat{\Omega}, \Phi_{\lambda}$ denote the fundamental forms of $F, I \times_{\lambda} F$, respectively. The Lee forms of $F, I \times_{\lambda} F$ are denoted by $\widehat{\omega}, \omega_{\lambda}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ be a $2 n$-dimensional a.H. manifold, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open interval and $\lambda: I \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth positive function. Then, for the twisted product manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F$ the following relations hold
i) $\nabla_{\xi \varphi}=0$,
ii) $\nabla_{X} \xi=-\xi(\log \lambda) \varphi^{2} X, X \in \mathcal{X}(I \times F)$,
iii) $\delta \eta=-2 n \xi(\log \lambda)$ and $\delta \Phi_{\lambda}(\xi)=0$,
iv) $\omega_{\lambda}=\sigma^{*}(\widehat{\omega})-d(\log \lambda)$, if $n \geq 2$, and $\omega_{\lambda}=-\xi(\log \lambda) \eta$, if $n=1$.

Proof. Formula (2.3) implies i), ii). Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2 n}$ be a local $\widehat{g}$-orthonormal frame on $F$. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ one puts $e_{i}=\frac{1}{\lambda} U_{i}$, so that $\left\{\xi, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}\right\}$ is an adapted local orthonormal frame on $I \times_{\lambda} F$. Applying ii), one easily obtains $\delta \eta=-2 n \xi(\log \lambda)$. Furthermore, considering $U, V \in \mathcal{X}(F)$, by (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) V= & \left(\widehat{\nabla}_{U} \widehat{J}\right) V+\varphi V(\log \lambda) U-V(\log \lambda) \varphi U  \tag{2.4}\\
& +g_{\lambda}(U, V) \varphi(\operatorname{grad} \log \lambda)-g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi V) \operatorname{grad} \log \lambda
\end{align*}
$$

So, considering an adapted frame as above, by (2.4) and i) we obtain $\delta \Phi_{\lambda}(\xi)=0$, and $\delta \Phi_{\lambda}(U)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}} \sum_{i=!}^{2 n} g_{\lambda}\left(\left(\nabla_{U_{i}} \varphi\right) U_{i}, U\right)=\widehat{\delta} \widehat{\Omega}(U)-2(n-1) \varphi U(\log \lambda), U \in \mathcal{X}(F)$.
Hence, if $n \geq 2$, one gets $\omega_{\lambda}(U)=\widehat{\omega}(U)-U(\log \lambda), \omega_{\lambda}(\xi)=\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n}=-\xi(\log \lambda)$. Finally, if $n=1$, ii) and iii) give $\omega_{\lambda}=-\xi(\log \lambda) \eta$ and iv) follows.

Remark 2.1. By Proposition 2.1 it follows that, if $\operatorname{dim} F \geq 4$, the Lee form of $I \times_{\lambda} F$ vanishes if and only if there exists a smooth positive function $\mu$ on $F$ such that $\mu \circ \sigma=\lambda$ and $\widehat{\omega}=d(\log \mu)$. Furthermore, one easily obtains that the $\mathcal{C}_{4}$-component of the covariant derivative $\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$ vanishes if and only if $\sigma^{*}(\widehat{\omega})=d(\log \lambda)-\xi(\log \lambda) \eta$.

Proposition 2.2. In the same hypothesis of Proposition 2.1, for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, the $\mathcal{C}_{i}$-component of $\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$ vanishes if and only if the $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-component of $\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\Omega}$ vanishes.

Proof. Firstly, we point out that the statement holds if $\operatorname{dim} F=2$. In fact, in this case, for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, the $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-component of $\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\Omega}$ as well as the $\mathcal{C}_{i}$-component of $\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$ vanish. Now, we assume that $\operatorname{dim} F=2 n \geq 4$ and we consider the $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-projection $\tau_{i}$ of $\widehat{\nabla} \widehat{\Omega}$ and the $\mathcal{C}_{i}$-projection $\widehat{\tau}_{i}$ of $\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$. Let $U, V, W$ be vector fields on $F$. Applying the theory developed in $[5,12]$ and Proposition 2.1 it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{4}(U, V, W)= & -\omega_{\lambda}(\varphi W) g_{\lambda}(U, V)+\omega_{\lambda}(\varphi V) g_{\lambda}(U, W) \\
& -\omega_{\lambda}(W) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi V)+\omega_{\lambda}(V) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi W) \\
= & \lambda^{2} \widehat{\tau}_{4}(U, V, W)+\varphi W(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, V)-\varphi V(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, W) \\
& +W(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi V)-V(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi W) \\
\tau_{i}(U, V, W)= & 0, \quad i \in\{5, \ldots, 12\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore by (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{U} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)(V, W)= & \lambda^{2}\left(\widehat{\nabla}_{U} \widehat{\Omega}\right)(V, W)-\varphi V(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, W)-V(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi W) \\
& +\varphi W(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, V)+W(\log \lambda) g_{\lambda}(U, \varphi V)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \tau_{i}(U, V, W)=\lambda^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \widehat{\tau}_{i}(U, V, W)$, and $\tau_{i}(U, V, W)=\lambda^{2} \widehat{\tau}_{i}(U, V, W)$, $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Then, the statement follows since for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $X, Y$ tangent to $I \times_{\lambda} F$, one has $\tau_{i}(\xi, X, Y)=\tau_{i}(X, Y, \xi)=0$.

Proposition 2.3. Given an a.c.m. manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+1$ the following conditions are equivalent
i) $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold,
ii) $\nabla \eta=-\frac{1}{2 \eta} \delta \eta(g-\eta \otimes \eta), \quad \nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$,
iii) $\nabla \eta=-\frac{1}{2 n} \delta \eta(g-\eta \otimes \eta), \quad L_{\xi} \varphi=0$,
$L_{\xi}$ denoting the Lie derivative with respect to $\xi$.
Proof. In the hypothesis i) one puts $\nabla \Phi=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \tau_{i}$ and applies the theory developed in [5] to evaluate the contribution of each projection $\tau_{i}$ in the calculus of $\nabla \eta, \nabla_{\xi} \varphi$. Since, for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, 5\}$ and $X, Y$ tangent to $M$ one has $\tau_{i}(\xi, X, Y)=0$, we get $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$. Moreover, from the relations $\tau_{i}(X, \xi, Y)=0, \quad c\left(\tau_{i}\right)(\xi)=0, \quad i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $\tau_{5}(X, \xi, Y)=\frac{1}{2 n} \bar{c}\left(\tau_{5}\right)(\xi) g(X, \varphi Y)=\frac{1}{2 n} \delta \eta g(X, \varphi Y), \quad c\left(\tau_{5}\right)(\xi)=0$ one obtains $\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{X} \Phi\right)(\xi, \varphi Y)=-\frac{1}{2 n} \delta \eta(g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y))$ and ii) follows.
The equivalence ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ iii) is an easy consequence of the relation $\left(L_{\xi} \varphi\right) X=\left(\nabla_{\xi} \varphi\right) X-\nabla_{\varphi X} \xi+\varphi\left(\nabla_{X} \xi\right), \quad X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Finally, we assume ii) and write $\nabla \Phi=\sum_{i=1}^{12} \tau_{i}$. Considering $X, Y$ tangent to $M$, by direct calculus we have $0=\left(\nabla_{\xi} \Phi\right)(\varphi X, \varphi Y)=-\tau_{11}(\xi, X, Y)$. This implies $\tau_{11}=0$. Since $\nabla_{\xi} \eta=0$, we also have $\tau_{12}=0$ and $\left(\nabla_{X} \Phi\right)(\xi, \varphi Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=\tau_{5}(X, \xi, \varphi Y)$ entails $\sum_{i=6}^{10} \tau_{i}(X, \xi, \varphi Y)=0$. In particular, this implies $c\left(\tau_{6}\right)(\xi)=0$, so $\tau_{6}=0$. Hence, we get

$$
\left(\tau_{7}+\tau_{8}+\tau_{9}+\tau_{10}\right)(X, \xi, \varphi Y)=0, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)
$$

Finally, the properties
$\left(\tau_{7}+\tau_{8}\right)(\varphi X, \xi, Y)+\left(\tau_{7}+\tau_{8)}(X, \xi, \varphi Y)=0, \quad\left(\tau_{9}+\tau_{10}\right)(\varphi X, \xi, Y)=\left(\tau_{9}+\tau_{10}\right)(X, \xi, \varphi Y)\right.$, $\tau_{i}(X, \xi, \varphi Y)=\tau_{i}(Y, \xi, \varphi X), i \in\{8,9\}, \quad \tau_{i}(X, \xi, \varphi Y)=-\tau_{i}(Y, \xi, \varphi X), i \in\{7,10\}$, imply the vanishing of $\tau_{7}, \tau_{8}, \tau_{9}, \tau_{10}$.

We recall that, if $M$ is a 5 -dimensional a.c.m. manifold, the vector bundles $\mathcal{C}_{1}(M)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{3}(M)$ are trivial. Hence, in dimensions five, Proposition 2.3 gives a characterization of the class $\mathcal{C}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$. In dimensions three the total class is $\mathcal{C}_{5} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{6} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{9} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{12}$, therefore the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$ reduces to $\mathcal{C}_{5}$. More generally, in any dimensions, $2 n+1$, $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifolds are characterized by $\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\frac{1}{2 n} \delta \eta(\eta(Y) \varphi X+g(X, \varphi Y) \xi)$ and are called $f$-Kenmotsu manifolds $\left(f=-\frac{1}{2 n} \delta \eta\right)$. If $f=1$, one obtains Kenmotsu manifolds ([15]). Moreover, in dimensions three, the relation $\nabla \eta=-\frac{1}{2} \delta \eta(g-\eta \otimes \eta)$ implies $\nabla_{\xi} \varphi=0$ and by Proposition 2.3, we get the next result.

Corollary 2.4. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an a.c.m. manifold such that $\operatorname{dim} M=3$. Then $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold if and only if $\nabla \eta=-\frac{1}{2}(g-\eta \otimes \eta)$.

Now, we are able in specifying the class of twisted product manifolds.
Let $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ be a $2 n$-dimensional manifold and $\lambda: I \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth positive function, $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ being an open interval. By Propositions 2.1, 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 it follows that $I \times_{\lambda} F$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold if $n=1$, a $\mathcal{C}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold if $n=2$, as well as $I \times_{\lambda} F$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$ for any $n \geq 3$. Via Remark 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 , under suitable restrictions on the class of $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$, one can state that $I \times_{\lambda} F$ belongs to a particular subclass of $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$. For instance, if $n \geq 2$ and $(\widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ is a Kähler structure, then $I \times_{\lambda} F$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold. For any $i \in\{1,2,3\}, I \times{ }_{\lambda} F$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_{i} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$, provided that $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ is a $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-manifold.
Finally, we consider a warped product manifold $I \times_{\lambda} F$ and assume that the Lee form of $F$ vanishes. Then, since $d \lambda=\xi(\lambda) \eta$, by Proposition 2.1 one has $\omega_{\lambda}=-\xi(\log \lambda) \eta$ and the $\mathcal{C}_{4}$-component of $\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}$ vanishes. It follows that, for any $i \in\{1,2,3\}, I \times_{\lambda} F$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{i} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold, provided that $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ is a $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-manifold.

## 3 Local description of $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifolds

In this section we give a local description of $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifolds and a characterization of those manifolds which belong to the classes $\mathcal{C}_{5}, \mathcal{C}_{h} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$, for any $h \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.
Following ([6]), an isometry $f(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ between a.c.m. manifolds is said to be an almost contact (a.c.) isometry if $f_{*} \circ \varphi=\varphi^{\prime} \circ f_{*}, f_{*} \xi=\xi^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an a.c.m. manifold in the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$. Then the distribution $D$ associated with the subbundle $\operatorname{ker} \eta$ of TM is integrable and totally
umbilic and the orthogonal distribution $D^{\perp}$ is totally geodesic. The manifold $M$ is, locally, a.c. isometric to a twisted product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F, \varepsilon>0, F\right.$ being an a.H. manifold and $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a smooth function, $\lambda>0$. Furthermore, $M$ is, locally, a warped product if and only if $d \omega(\xi)=\xi(\omega(\xi)) \eta$, $\omega$ denoting the Lee form.
Proof. By Proposition 2.3 one has $\nabla \eta=-\omega(\xi)(g-\eta \otimes \eta)$, hence $\eta$ is closed and $\nabla_{\xi} \xi=0$. It follows that $D$ is integrable and $D^{\perp}$ is totally geodesic. Let $N$ be a leaf of $D$, denote by $g^{\prime}$ the metric induced by $g$ and put $J^{\prime}=\varphi_{\mid T N}$. Then $\left(N, J^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ is an a.H. manifold. Since for any $X \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ one has $\nabla_{X} \xi=-\omega(\xi) X,\left(N, g^{\prime}\right)$ is an umbilic submanifold with mean curvature vector field $H=\omega(\xi) \xi_{\mid N}$. It follows that $D$ is a totally umbilic foliation. Moreover, $D$ is a spheric foliation, i.e. each leaf of $D$ is an extrinsic sphere, if and only if $0=\nabla \frac{\perp}{X}(\omega(\xi) \xi)=X(\omega(\xi)) \xi$, for any section $X$ of $D$. It follows that $D$ is spheric if and only if $d \omega(\xi)=\xi(\omega(\xi)) \eta$.
By Theorem 1 and Proposition 3 in [21], $(M, g)$ is locally isometric to a twisted product. Hence, considering $p \in M$, there exist a (connected) open neighborhood $U$ of $p, \varepsilon>0$, a Riemannian manifold $(F, \widehat{g})$, a smooth function $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\times F \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda>0$, and an isometry $f:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F \rightarrow U\right.$ such that the canonical foliations of the product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times F\right.$ correspond, via $f$, to the foliations $D, D^{\perp}$. Hence, we have $f^{*}\left(g_{\mid U}\right)=d t \otimes d t+\lambda^{2} \widehat{g}, f_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\xi_{\mid U}$ and, for any $\left.t \in\right]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[, f_{t}(F)\right.$ is an integral manifold of $D$, where $f_{t}=f(t, \cdot)$. So, one defines an almost complex structure $\widehat{J}$ on $F$ which makes $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ an a.H. manifold and proves that $f$ realizes an a.c. isometry between the twisted product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$ and $\left(U, \varphi_{\mid U}, \xi_{\mid U}, \eta_{\mid U}, g_{\mid U}\right)$.

As remarked in Section 2, in dimensions three the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$ reduces to $\mathcal{C}_{5}$. So, Theorem 3.1 entails that any $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is, locally, a.c. isometric to a twisted product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F, F\right.$ being an a.H. manifold. Since $\operatorname{dim} F=2, F$ is a Kähler manifold, as well as any leaf of $D$ inherits from $M$ a Kähler structure.
Considering $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold $M$ is said to be foliated by $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-leaves if each leaf $\left(N, g^{\prime}=g_{\mid T N \times T N}, J^{\prime}=\varphi_{\mid T N}\right)$ of $D$ is in the Gray-Hervella class $\mathcal{W}_{i}$.
In order to characterize, in dimension $2 n+1$, the $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifolds that are foliated by $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-leaves, we put our attention to the classes $\mathcal{C}_{i} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$, for any $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$, and list the defining conditions, that are easily obtained applying the theory developed in [5] and related results ( $[8,9]$ ).
$\mathcal{C}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}: \quad\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X=\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n} \eta(X) \varphi X, \quad\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) Y=-\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n} g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)$
$\mathcal{C}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}: \quad d \Phi=-\frac{\delta \eta}{n} \eta \wedge \Phi, \quad d \eta=0, \quad L_{\xi} \varphi=0$
$\mathcal{C}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}: \quad\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{\varphi X} \varphi\right) \varphi Y+\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n} \eta(Y) \varphi X, \quad \delta \Phi=0$
$\mathcal{C}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}: \quad\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\omega(Y) \varphi X+\omega(\varphi Y) \varphi^{2} X+g(X, \varphi Y) B-g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) \varphi B, \quad B=\omega^{\sharp}$.
The class $\mathcal{C}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$ contains nearly Kenmotsu manifolds, which are realized putting $\delta \eta=-2 n$ in the defining condition. Putting $\delta \eta=-2 n$ in the defining condition of $\mathcal{C}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$ one obtains the almost Kenmotsu manifolds such that $L_{\xi} \varphi=0$. These manifolds are locally described in [7] and recently studied in different settings ([20]).

Proposition 3.2. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+1 \geq 5$. For any $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$ the following conditions are equivalent
i) $M$ is foliated by $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-leaves;
ii) $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{i} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold.

Proof. Let $\left(N, J^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ be a leaf of $D$ and denote by $\nabla^{\prime}$ its Levi-Civita connection. Since $N$ is a totally umbilical submanifold of $M$ with mean curvature vector field
$H=\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n} \xi_{\mid N}$, for any $X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{X}(N)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X^{\prime}} \varphi\right) Y^{\prime}=\left(\nabla_{X^{\prime}}^{\prime} J^{\prime}\right) Y^{\prime}+g^{\prime}\left(X^{\prime}, J^{\prime} Y^{\prime}\right) H \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, considering two vector fields $X, Y$ such that $\varphi^{2} X, \varphi^{2} Y$ are tangent to $N$ and writing $X=-\varphi^{2} X+\eta(X) \xi, Y=-\varphi^{2} Y+\eta(Y) \xi$, by polarization, (3.1) and Proposition 2.3 one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\left(\nabla_{\varphi^{2} X}^{\prime} J^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2} Y+\frac{\delta \eta}{2 n}(\eta(Y) \varphi X+g(X, \varphi Y) \xi) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, in each case, the equivalence i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ ii) is obtained by a routine calculus using Proposition 2.3, (3.1), (3.2) and the defining condition of $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-manifold ([12]).
Corollary 3.3. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold. Then $M$ is foliated by Kähler leaves if and only if $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold.

Finally, we consider a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ such that $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+1 \geq 5$ and $\delta \eta=-2 n$. Since $\omega(\xi)=-1$ is constant, $M$ is, locally, a warped product manifold. More precisely, given $p \in M$, there exist an open neighborhood $U$ of $p$, an a.H. manifold $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$, a smooth positive function $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an a.c. isometry $f:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F \rightarrow U\right.$ such that $f^{*}\left(g_{\mid U}\right)=d t \otimes d t+\lambda^{2} \widehat{g}, f_{*}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\xi_{\mid U}$. Then one has $f^{*}(\eta)=d t$ and, by Proposition 2.1, we obtain $-2 n=\delta \eta \circ f=-2 n \frac{d \log \lambda}{d t}$. It follows that $\lambda$ acts as $\lambda(t)=C e^{t}$, for some constant $C>0$.
Clearly, given $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ and $M$ in the class $\mathcal{C}_{i} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$, then $M$ is, locally, a warped product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$ where $F$ is a $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-manifold and $\lambda(t)=C e^{t}, C>0$.
Note that, in the case $i=2$, we reobtain the local classification of almost Kenmotsu manifolds such that $L_{\xi} \varphi=0$ ([7]).

## 4 Local description of generalized Sasakian-spaceforms

In [1] the authors call generalized Sasakian-space-form (g.S. space-form), denoted $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$, an a.c.m. manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ which admits three smooth functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ such that the curvature tensor $R$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=f_{1} \pi_{1}+f_{2} S+f_{3} T \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi_{1}, S, T$ being the algebraic curvature tensor fields defined by
$\pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)=g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y$,
$S(X, Y, Z)=2 g(X, \varphi Y) \varphi Z+g(X, \varphi Z) \varphi Y-g(Y, \varphi Z) \varphi X$,
$T(X, Y, Z)=\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y-\eta(Y) \eta(Z) X+g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \xi-g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi$.
In [11] we proved that g.S. space-forms are characterized as the $N(k)$-manifolds with pointwise constant (p.c.) $\varphi$-sectional curvature $c$ admitting a smooth function $l$ such that $R(X, Y, X, Y)-R(X, Y, \varphi X, \varphi Y)=l\left(\|X\|^{2}\|Y\|^{2}-g(X, Y)^{2}-g(X, \varphi Y)^{2}\right)$, for any vector fields $X, Y$ orthogonal to $\xi$. Moreover, the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, c, k, l$ are related by $f_{1}=\frac{c+3 l}{4}, f_{2}=\frac{c-l}{4}, f_{3}=\frac{c+3 l}{4}-k$.

Now, we describe g.S. space-forms which fall in the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$, stating two theorems in dimension $2 n+1 \geq 7$. Firstly, we prove some preliminary results.

Proposition 4.1. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold with Lee form $\omega$ and assume that $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is a g.S. space-form. Then, the functions $k=f_{1}-f_{3}$ and $\omega(\xi)$ are constant on each leaf of $D$ and are related by $k+\omega(\xi)^{2}=\xi(\omega(\xi))$.

Proof. By direct calculus, applying Proposition 2.3, one has

$$
R(X, Y, \xi)=Y(\omega(\xi))(X-\eta(X) \xi)-X(\omega(\xi))(Y-\eta(Y) \xi)-\omega(\xi)^{2}(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)
$$ and comparing with the $N(k)$-condition, $R(X, Y, \xi)=k(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)$, one gets (4.2) $\left(k+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right)(\eta(Y) X-\eta(X) Y)=Y(\omega(\xi))(X-\eta(X) \xi)-X(\omega(\xi))(Y-\eta(Y) \xi)$.

Hence, for two orthogonal sections $X, Y$ of $D$, one has $Y(\omega(\xi)) X-X(\omega(\xi)) Y=0$ and this implies the constancy of the function $\omega(\xi)$ on each leaf of $D$. Putting $X=\xi$ in (4.2), for any section $Y$ of $D$ we have $\left(k+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right) Y=\xi(\omega(\xi)) Y$. Hence, we get $d \omega(\xi)=\xi(\omega(\xi)) \eta=\left(k+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right) \eta$. Differentiating, since $d \eta=0$, one obtains $0=d k \wedge \eta+2 \omega(\xi) d \omega(\xi) \wedge \eta=d k \wedge \eta$ and the constancy of $k$ on the leaves of $D$ follows.

Let $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be a manifold as in Proposition 4.1. By Theorem 3.1, $M$ is, locally, a warped product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F,(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})\right.$ being an a.H. manifold and $\lambda:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a positive smooth function. Let $f:]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F \rightarrow U\right.$ be an a.c. isometry and evaluate the curvature $\widehat{R}$ of $F$. So, considering $t \in]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[$, for any $x \in F, X, Y, Z \in T_{x} F$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{R}_{x}(X, Y, Z) & =\left(\lambda(t)^{2}\left(f_{1} \circ f\right)(t, x)-\lambda^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)\left(\widehat{g}_{x}(Y, Z) X-\widehat{g}_{x}(X, Z) Y\right) \\
& +\lambda(t)^{2}\left(f_{2} \circ f\right)(t, x)\left(2 \widehat{g}_{x}(X, \widehat{J} Y) \widehat{J} Z+\widehat{g}_{x}(X, \widehat{J} Z) \widehat{J} Y-\widehat{g}_{x}(Y, \widehat{J} Z) \widehat{J} X\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $(F, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g})$ is a generalized complex space-form ([22]). Therefore, applying the results stated in $[22,18]$, under suitable restrictions on the dimension, one classifies the a.H. structure on $F$. Anyway, to get all the possible information on the a.c.m. structure on $M$, we apply the second Bianchi identity, starting by (4.1).

Considering vector fields $U, X, Y, Z$ on $M$, by Proposition 2.3, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{U} S\right)(X, Y, Z)= & 2 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Y\right) \varphi Z+2 g(X, \varphi Y)\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z \\
& +g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z\right) \varphi Y+g(X, \varphi Z)\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Y  \tag{4.3}\\
& -g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z\right) \varphi X-g(Y, \varphi Z)\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) X
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{U} T\right)(X, Y, Z)= & -\omega(\xi) \eta(Z)(g(\varphi U, \varphi X) Y-g(\varphi U, \varphi Y) X) \\
& -\omega(\xi) g(\varphi U, \varphi Z)(\eta(X) Y-\eta(Y) X)+\omega(\xi)(g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \\
& -g(Y, Z) \eta(X)) \varphi^{2} U-\omega(\xi)(g(X, Z) g(\varphi U, \varphi Y)  \tag{4.4}\\
& -g(Y, Z) g(\varphi U, \varphi X)) \xi
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be a g.S. space-form, with $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n+1 \geq 5$ and Lee form $\omega$. Assume that $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold. Then, for any unit section $X$ of $D$, one has
i) $X\left(f_{1}\right)=-X\left(f_{2}\right)=-3 f_{2} \omega(X)$,
ii) $f_{2}\left(\omega(X)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, \varphi X\right)\right)=0, Y$ unit section of $D$ orthogonal to $X, \varphi X$.

Proof. Let $U, X, Y, Z$ be sections of $D$. Applying the second Bianchi identity, (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
0=U & \left(f_{1}\right) \pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)+U\left(f_{2}\right) S(X, Y, Z)+X\left(f_{1}\right) \pi_{1}(Y, U, Z) \\
& +X\left(f_{2}\right) S(Y, U, Z)+Y\left(f_{1}\right) \pi_{1}(U, X, Z)+Y\left(f_{2}\right) S(U, X, Z) \\
& +f_{2}\left\{2 \left(g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Y\right)+g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) U\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+g\left(U,\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X\right)\right) \varphi Z+2\left(g(X, \varphi Y)\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z\right. \\
& \left.+g(Y, \varphi U)\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z+g(U, \varphi X)\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Z\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& +\left(g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z\right)-g\left(U,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z\right)\right) \varphi Y \\
& +\left(g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Z\right)-g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Z\right)\right) \varphi U+\left(g\left(U,\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Z\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Z\right)\right) \varphi X+g(X, \varphi Z)\left(\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) U\right) \\
& +g(Y, \varphi Z)\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) U-\left(\nabla_{U} \varphi\right) X\right) \\
& \left.+g(U, \varphi Z)\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X-\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We choose unit vector fields $X$ and $Y$ orthogonal to $X, \varphi X$. Putting $Z=X, U=\varphi Y$ in (4.5) one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi Y\left(f_{1}\right) Y+2 X\left(f_{2}\right) \varphi X-Y\left(f_{1}\right) \varphi Y-f_{2}\left(3 g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right) \varphi X\right. \\
& \left.-2\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X-g\left(\varphi Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X\right) \varphi Y-g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X\right) Y\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the scalar product by $\varphi Y$ and $\varphi X$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
Y\left(f_{1}\right)-3 f_{2} g\left(\varphi Y,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) X\right)=0  \tag{4.6}\\
2 X\left(f_{2}\right)-3 f_{2} g\left(X,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Y\right)=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

These relations imply $X\left(f_{1}+f_{2}\right)=0$, for any unit section $X$ of $D$. Let $Y$ be a unit section of $D$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \varphi e_{1}, \ldots, \varphi e_{n}, \xi\right\}$ a local orthonormal frame with $e_{1}=Y$. By (4.6) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
2(n-1) Y\left(f_{1}\right)-3 f_{2} \delta \Phi(\varphi Y)= & 2(n-1) Y\left(f_{1}\right)-3 f_{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n}\left(g\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) e_{i}, \varphi Y\right)\right. \\
& \left.+g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi e_{i}} \varphi\right) \varphi e_{i}, \varphi Y\right)\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

so $3 f_{2} \omega(Y)=-\frac{3}{2(n-1)} f_{2} \delta \Phi(\varphi Y)=-Y\left(f_{1}\right)$, hence i) and ii) follow.
Proposition 4.3. Let $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be a g.S. space-form as in Lemma 4.2. If $n \geq 3$, the following properties hold
i) the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are constant on each leaf of $D$,
ii) $f_{2}(\omega-\omega(\xi) \eta)=0$,
iii) For any vector fields $X, Y$ one has $f_{2}\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y-\omega(\xi)(\eta(Y) \varphi X+g(X, \varphi Y) \xi)\right)=0$.

Proof. Let $U, Y$ be sections of $D$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}, \xi\right\}$ a local orthonormal frame. We put $Z=X=e_{i}$ in (4.5) and sum over $i \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$. Applying Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 2.3, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & (2 n-5)\left(Y\left(f_{1}\right) U-U\left(f_{1}\right) Y\right)+\varphi Y\left(f_{1}\right) \varphi U-\varphi U\left(f_{1}\right) \varphi Y \\
& -2 g(Y, \varphi U) \sum_{i=1}^{2 n} e_{i}\left(f_{1}\right) \varphi e_{i}+f_{2}\left\{2 \sum_{i=1}^{2 n} g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) U\right) \varphi e_{i}\right.  \tag{4.8}\\
& +2 g(Y, \varphi U) \sum_{i=1}^{2 n}\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} \varphi\right) e_{i}+\left(\nabla_{\varphi U} \varphi\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) U \\
& -\delta \Phi(U) \varphi Y+\delta \Phi(Y) \varphi U\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that $\|Y\|=1, g(Y, U)=g(Y, \varphi U)=0$, take in (4.8) the scalar product by $\varphi Y$ and obtain

$$
\varphi U\left(f_{1}\right)+f_{2}\left(2 g\left(\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) Y, U\right)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Y, U\right)+\delta \Phi(U)\right)=0
$$

Applying Lemma 4.2, for any section $U$ of $D$ we have $(n-2) f_{2} \omega(U)=0$ and ii) follows. So, also applying Lemma 4.2, we obtain i). Considering three sections $U, Y$, $Z$ of $D$, by (4.8), i) and ii) we get

$$
f_{2}\left(-2 g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Z} \varphi\right) U\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi U} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) U, Z\right)\right)=0
$$

This also implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=f_{2}\left(-2 g\left(Y,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Z} \varphi\right) U\right)+2 g\left(U,\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) Z\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi U} \varphi\right) Y, Z\right)-g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi Z} \varphi\right) U, Y\right)\right. \\
& \left.-g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) U, Z\right)+g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi U} \varphi\right) Z, Y\right)\right)=-3 f_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{\varphi Z} \varphi\right) \varphi Y+\left(\nabla_{\varphi Y} \varphi\right) \varphi Z, \varphi U\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, for any sections $X, Y, Z$ of $D$ we have $f_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y+\left(\nabla_{Y} \varphi\right) X, Z\right)=0$.
Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 n}, \xi\right\}$ be a local orthonormal frame. For any $i \in\{1, \ldots, 2 n\}$ we put $Y=e_{i}$ in (4.5), take the scalar product with $\varphi e_{i}$ and sum the obtained expressions. Since $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are constant on the leaves of $D$, using the last formula, for any sections $X, U$, $Z$ of $D$, we have $f_{2} g\left(\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) U, Z\right)=0$. Hence, also applying Proposition 2.3, for any sections $X, U$ of $D$, one obtains

$$
f_{2}\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) U=-f_{2}\left(\nabla_{X} \eta\right) \varphi U \xi=f_{2} \omega(\xi) g(X, \varphi U) \xi
$$

Finally, considering $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, one writes $X=-\varphi^{2} X+\eta(X) \xi, Y=-\varphi^{2} Y+$ $\eta(Y) \xi$, applies polarization, Proposition 2.3 and the above formula and gets iii).
Lemma 4.4. Let $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ be a g.S. space-form as in Lemma 4.2. If $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 7$, one has $d f_{1}=2 f_{3} \omega(\xi) \eta, \quad d f_{2}=2 f_{2} \omega(\xi) \eta, \quad d f_{3}=\xi\left(f_{3}\right) \eta$.
Proof. Let $Z$ be a vector field on $M$ and $X, Y$ sections of $D$. One applies

$$
\left(\nabla_{\xi} R\right)(X, Y, Z)+\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, \xi, Z)+\left(\nabla_{Y} R\right)(\xi, X, Z)=0
$$

(4.1), (4.3), (4.4), Proposition 4.3 and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla_{X} S\right)(Y, \xi, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} S\right)(X, \xi, Z)=-2 \omega(\xi) S(X, Y, Z) \\
& \left(\nabla_{X} T\right)(Y, \xi, Z)-\left(\nabla_{Y} T\right)(X, \xi, Z)=-2 \omega(\xi) \pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\xi\left(f_{1}\right)-2 f_{3} \omega(\xi)\right) \pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)+\left(\xi\left(f_{2}\right)-2 f_{2} \omega(\xi)\right) S(X, Y, Z)  \tag{4.9}\\
& +X\left(f_{3}\right) T(Y, \xi, Z)-Y\left(f_{3}\right) T(X, \xi, Z)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Z=\xi$ in (4.9) we have $X\left(f_{3}\right) Y-Y\left(f_{3}\right) X=0$. It follows that $f_{3}$ is constant on any leaf of $D$ and $d f_{3}=\xi\left(f_{3}\right) \eta$. Furthermore, (4.9) reduces to

$$
\left(\xi\left(f_{1}\right)-2 f_{3} \omega(\xi)\right) \pi_{1}(X, Y, Z)+\left(\xi\left(f_{2}\right)-2 f_{2} \omega(\xi)\right) S(X, Y, Z)=0
$$

This implies $\xi\left(f_{1}\right)=2 f_{3} \omega(\xi), \xi\left(f_{2}\right)=2 f_{2} \omega(\xi)$ and by Proposition 4.3 the proof is completed.

Theorem 4.5. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold such that $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 7$. Assume that $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is a g.S. space-form. If $f_{2}$ never vanishes, then
i) $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold and admits a cosymplectic structure with constant $\varphi$-sectional curvature $\operatorname{sign}\left(f_{2}\right)$,
ii) $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is, locally, a.c. isometric to a warped product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, where $\varepsilon>0, \lambda>0$ is a smooth function and $F$ is a Kähler manifold with non-zero constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 we have

$$
\omega=\omega(\xi) \eta, d f_{2}=2 f_{2} \omega,\left(\nabla_{X} \varphi\right) Y=\omega(\xi)(\eta(Y) \varphi X+g(X, \varphi Y) \xi), X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)
$$

Hence $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{5}$-manifold with exact Lee form $\omega=d \log \left|f_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows that the a.c.m. structure $\left(\varphi,\left|f_{2}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi,\left|f_{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta,\left|f_{2}\right| g\right)$ on $M$ is cosymplectic and has constant $\varphi$ sectional curvature $\frac{f_{2}}{\left|f_{2}\right|}=\operatorname{signf}_{2}([10])$. Moreover, $M$ is foliated by Kähler leaves and one easily proves that each leaf $\left(N, J^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ of $D$ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c^{\prime}=4 f_{2 \mid N}$. By Theorem 3.1, $M$ is, locally, a warped product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, where $F$ is biholomorphic to a leaf of $D$. Hence $F$ is a Kähler manifold with non-zero constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Finally, we describe the conformally flat g.S. space-forms in $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$.
As stated by Kim, in dimensions $2 n+1 \geq 5$, the conformal flatness of a g.S. space-form $M\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right)$ is equivalent to $f_{2}=0$. These spaces are described in [16], under the hypothesis that the Reeb vector field is Killing. Note that, if $M$ is a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold, we have $\left(L_{\xi} g\right)(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{n} \delta \eta g(\varphi X, \varphi Y)$. Hence $\xi$ is Killing if and only if $\delta \eta=0$. It follows that the result in [16] cannot be directly applied. Examples of g.S. spaceforms in the class $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$ can be constructed. For instance, as in [16], given $\widehat{c}>0$, one considers the nearly Kähler manifold $\left(S^{6}, \widehat{J}, \widehat{g}\right), \widehat{g}$ denoting the metric of constant curvature $\widehat{c}$. Given a smooth, non constant, positive function $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the warped product manifold $\mathbb{R} \times_{\lambda} S^{6}$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{5}$ and is a g.S. space-form with functions $f_{1}=\frac{\widehat{c}-\lambda^{\prime 2}}{\lambda^{2}}, f_{2}=0, f_{3}=\frac{\widehat{c}-\lambda^{\prime 2}}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}{\lambda}$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $\mathcal{C}_{1-5}$-manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 7$ and Lee form $\omega$. Assume that $M$ is a conformally flat g.S. space-form with p.c. $\varphi$-sectional curvature c. Then, one of the cases occurs
i) $c=-\omega(\xi)^{2}$ and $M$ is, locally, a warped product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, where $\varepsilon>0, \lambda>0$ is a smooth function and $F$ is a flat a.H. manifold,
ii) $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}$ is a non-zero constant. Then, $\omega(\xi)=0$ and $M$ is, locally, a Riemannian product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\times F$, where $\varepsilon>0$ and $F$ is an a.H. manifold with non-zero constant sectional curvature,
iii) $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}$ is non-constant and never vanishes. Then $M$ is, locally, a warped product $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F, \lambda>0\right.$ being a smooth function and $F$ an a.H. manifold with non-zero constant sectional curvature.

Proof. Since $M$ is conformally flat, we have $f_{2}=0, c=f_{1}, d c=2 f_{3} \omega(\xi) \eta$ and $M$ is an $N(k)$-manifold such that $c-f_{3}=k=\xi(\omega(\xi))-\omega(\xi)^{2}$. These relations imply $d\left(c+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right)=2 \omega(\xi)\left(f_{3}+\xi(\omega(\xi))\right) \eta$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(c+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right)=2\left(c+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right) \omega(\xi) \eta \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\omega(\xi) \eta$ is closed, $\omega(\xi)$ being constant on the leaves of $D$ and $\eta$ closed. Therefore, locally, $\omega(\xi) \eta$ can be expressed as $-\frac{1}{2} d(\log \tau)$, for some positive function $\tau$. Then, (4.10) implies the existence of a real number $a$ such that $\frac{a}{\tau}=c+\omega(\xi)^{2}$. Together with the connectedness of $M$ this means that either $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}=0$ or $c+$ $\omega(\xi)^{2} \neq 0$. Furthermore, any leaf $\left(N, J^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ of $D$ has constant sectional curvature $c^{\prime}=\left(c+\omega(\xi)^{2}\right)_{\mid N}$.

Now, we discuss the cases a) $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}=0$, b) $c+\omega(\xi)^{2} \neq 0$.
In a) $M$ is, locally, a.c. isometric to a warped product manifold $]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon\left[\times_{\lambda} F\right.$, where $F$ is a flat a.H. manifold. In fact, $F$ is biholomorphic to a leaf of $D$.
In b), if $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}$ is constant, by (4.10) we have $\omega(\xi)=0$. It follows that any leaf of $D$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of $M$ and has constant sectional curvature $c \neq 0$. So, both the distributions $D$ and $D^{\perp}$ are totally geodesic and ii) is realized. If $c+\omega(\xi)^{2}$ is non-constant, we obtain iii), applying Theorem 3.1, also.
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