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Abstract. A tree is said to be starlike if exactly one of its vertices has degree greater than two. We show that almost all starlike trees are hyperbolic, and determine all exceptions. If $k$ is the maximal vertex degree of a starlike tree and $\lambda_{1}$ is its largest eigenvalue, then $\sqrt{k} \leq \lambda_{1}<k / \sqrt{k-1}$. A new way to characterize integral starlike trees is put forward.
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## 1. Introduction

A tree in which exactly one vertex has degree greater than two is said to be starlike [10]. In some recent works various spectral properties of starlike trees were studied $[1,3,4,6]$. Among other results, it is shown that no two starlike trees are cospectral [4].

Let $P_{n}$ denote the path on $n$ vertices. By $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ we denote the starlike tree which has a vertex $v_{1}$ of degree $k \geq 3$ and which has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)-v_{1}=P_{n_{1}} \cup P_{n_{2}} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n_{k}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tree has $n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{k}+1$ vertices. Clearly, the parameters $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$ determine the starlike tree up to isomorphism. In what follows, it will be assumed that $n_{1} \geq n_{2} \geq \cdots \geq n_{k} \geq 1$.

We say that the starlike tree $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ has $k$ branches, the lengths of which are $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}$, respectively.

Let $G$ be a simple graph of order $n$. The spectrum of $G$ consists of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$ of its ( 0,1 )-adjacency matrix $A$. The characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix, $\operatorname{det}(\lambda I-A)$, is called the characteristic polynomial of the graph $G$ and is denoted by $\phi(G, \lambda)$ or simply by $\phi(G) \quad[2]$.

If $G$ is a graph and $v$ is its arbitrary vertex, then $[2,8]$

$$
\phi(G)=\lambda \phi(G-v)-\sum_{u} \phi(G-u-v)-2 \sum_{C} \phi(G-C)
$$

with the first summation on the right-hand side going over vertices $u$ adjacent to the vertex $v$ and the second summation over all cycles $C$ embracing the vertex $v$. Applying this recurrence relation to starlike trees we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)=\lambda \prod_{i=1}^{k} \phi\left(P_{n_{i}}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[\phi\left(P_{n_{i}-1}\right) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{V}_{i}} \phi\left(P_{n_{j}}\right)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{i}=\{1,2, \ldots, k\} \backslash\{i\}$.

## 2. Characterization of Hyperbolic Starlike Trees

Let $\Gamma$ be a Coxeter group and $G$ the corresponding Coxeter graph. The Coxeter graph $G$ is said to be hyperbolic if the group $\Gamma$ is realizable as a reflection group in a hyperbolic space. Maxwell [5] demonstrated that $G$ is hyperbolic if and only if it has an eigenvalue greater than 2 and all other eigenvalues are less than 2.

It happens that, with a few exceptions, all starlike trees are hyperbolic. In order to show this we need:

Lemma 1. At most one eigenvalue of a starlike tree is greater than two.
Proof. All the eigenvalues of the path graphs are less than two. Consequently, in view of (1), all the eigenvalues of $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)-v_{1}$
are less than two. Therefore, by the interlacing theorem (see [2, p. 19]), $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ may possess at most one eigenvalue greater than two.

Theorem 1. All starlike trees, except $S(n-3,1,1)$ for $n \geq 4, S(5,2,1)$, $S(4,2,1), S(3,3,1), S(3,2,1), S(2,2,2), S(2,2,1)$ and $S(1,1,1,1)$ are hyperbolic.

The exceptional graphs specified in Theorem 1 are depicted in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1 The only starlike trees which are not hyperbolic, i.e., which do not have the property $\lambda_{1}>2>\lambda_{2}$.

Proof. Theorem 1 is a proper consequence of a result by Smith [9] (see also [2, p. 78-79] which characterizes all graphs with $\lambda_{1} \leq 2$. What only needs to be done is to select among them those which are starlike trees. In view of Lemma 1, all other starlike trees must be hyperbolic.

## 3. Bounds for the Largest Eigenvalue of a Starlike Tree

Theorem 2. If $\lambda_{1}$ is the largest eigenvalue of the starlike tree $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, n_{k}\right)$, then $\sqrt{k} \leq \lambda_{1}<k / \sqrt{k-1}$ holds for any positive integers $n_{1} \geq$ $n_{2} \geq \cdots \geq n_{k} \geq 1$.

Proof. The lower bound is elementary because the star on $k+1$ vertices is a subgraph of any starlike tree $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$. The largest eigenvalue of this star is $\sqrt{k}$.

In order to deduce the upper bound for $\lambda_{1}$ consider first the case when $n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{k}=n$ and write $S(k \cdot n)$ instead of $S(n, n, \ldots, n)$. Then Eq. (2) reduces to

$$
\phi(S(k \cdot n))=\phi\left(P_{n}\right)^{k-1}\left[\lambda \phi\left(P_{n}\right)-(k-1) \phi\left(P_{n-1}\right)\right] .
$$

The largest eigenvalue $S(k \cdot n)$ is a root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \phi\left(P_{n}\right)=(k-1) \phi\left(P_{n-1}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting $\lambda=2 \cos \theta$, the relation (3) becomes $2 \cos \theta \sin (n+$ 1) $\theta / \sin \theta=(k-1) \sin n \theta / \sin \theta$. By setting $t^{1 / 2}=e^{i \theta}$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{n+2}-(k-1) t^{n+1}+(k-1) t-1}{t-1}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{n+1}-(k-2) t^{n}-\cdots-(k-2) t+1=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t_{i}$ and $t_{i}^{-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ are the roots of the Eq. (5) then $\lambda_{i}^{*}= \pm\left[t_{i}^{1 / 2}+t_{i}^{-1 / 2}\right]$ are the roots of Eq. (3).

Denote by $Q_{n+1}(t)$ the polynomial in relation (5). Then for any $n>1$ we have that $Q_{n+1}(k-2)<0$ and $Q_{n+1}(k-1)>0$. Hence $Q_{n+1}(t)$ has a zero $t_{*}$ in the interval $(k-2, k-1)$. Since $d \lambda(t) / d t=d\left(t^{1 / 2}+t^{-1 / 2}\right) / d t=$ $\frac{1}{2}[1 / \sqrt{t}-1 /(t \sqrt{t})]>0$ for any $t>(k-2)$, it follows that $\lambda\left(t_{*}\right)>\lambda(k-2)=$ $(k-1) / \sqrt{k-2} \geq 2$. In view of Lemma 1 this implies that $\lambda\left(t_{*}\right)$ is the largest eigenvalue of the graph $S(k \cdot n)$. Since $\lambda_{1}=\lambda\left(t_{*}\right)<\lambda(k-1)=k / \sqrt{k-1}$ we obtain that the statement is true for any graph $S(k \cdot n)$.

The proof is now completed by setting $n=n_{1}$ and by taking into account that $\lambda_{1}\left(S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq \lambda_{1}(S(k \cdot n))$ because $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is a subgraph of $S(k \cdot n)$.

Corollary 2.1. For any integer $k>1$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(S(k \cdot n))=\frac{k}{\sqrt{k-1}}
$$

Proof. The case when $k=2$ is trivial so its proof is omitted. If $k>2$, then according to (4) it is sufficient to show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[t^{n+2}-(k-1) t^{n+1}+(k-1) t-1\right]=-\infty
$$

in the point $k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}$ for any fixed positive integer $m$. This can be verified directly. Therefore, since $Q_{n+1}\left(k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}\right)<0$ for sufficiently large values of $n$, we conclude that $Q_{n+1}(t)$ has a zero in the interval $\left(k-1-\frac{1}{10^{m}}, k-1\right)$.

Corollary 2.2. For any positive integer $k$ and for $n=1$ the spectrum of $S(k \cdot n)$ reads:

$$
\{0(k-1 \text { times }) ; \pm \sqrt{k}\}
$$

for $n=2$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$
\{ \pm 1(k-1 \text { times }) ; 0 ; \pm \sqrt{k+1}\}
$$

for $n=3$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{ \pm \sqrt{2}(k-1 \text { times }) ; 0(k-1 \text { times }) ; \pm \sqrt{\frac{(k+2) \pm \sqrt{(k-2)^{2}+4 k}}{2}}\right\}
$$

for $n=4$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$
\left\{\sqrt{\frac{3 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}}(k-1 \text { times }) ; 0 ; \pm \sqrt{\frac{(k+3) \pm \sqrt{(k-1)^{2}+4}}{2}}\right\}
$$

## 4. Characterization of Integral Starlike Trees

The following result was earlier communicated by Watanabe and Schwenk [10]. The proof presented here is different and somewhat shorter. A graph is said to be integral if all its eigenvalues are integers.

Theorem 3. A starlike tree $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is integral if and only if either $n_{i}=1$ for all $i$ and $\sqrt{k}$ is an integer, or $n_{i}=2$ for all $i$ and $\sqrt{k+1}$ is an integer.

Proof. The fact that the above specified starlike trees are integral is verified directly from Corollary 2.2. What is less easy to envisage is that there are no other integral starlike trees.

First let $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ be a starlike tree with $1 \leq n_{i} \leq 2$ for $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, k$, such that $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is neither $S(k \cdot 1)$ nor $S(k \cdot 2)$. Then from Theorem 2 we obtain that $k<\left[\lambda_{1}\left(S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)\right]^{2}<k+1$, implying that $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is not integral.

Consider next the case when $n_{1} \geq 4$. Since $P_{n_{1}}$ is a subgraph of $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ it follows that $S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ has at least one eigenvalue in the interval $\left[2 \cos \frac{3 \pi}{n_{1}+1}, 2 \cos \frac{4 \pi}{n_{1}+1}\right]$. Consequently, this tree cannot be integral.

What remains is to examine starlike trees for which $n_{1}=3$. Assume that there is an integral starlike tree $S=S\left(3, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$. Using Corollary 2.2 we find that $k<\left[\lambda_{1}(S)\right]^{2} \leq \frac{(k+2)+\sqrt{(k-2)^{2}+4 k}}{2}<k+2$, wherefrom it follows $\lambda_{1}(S)=\sqrt{k+1}$ (because $\lambda_{1}$ is assumed to be an integer).

It is not difficult to see that it cannot be $n_{2}=3$. Indeed, the spectrum of $S\left(3,3, n_{3}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ contains all the eigenvalues of $P_{3}$ among which is $\sqrt{2}$.

Therefore we may assume that $n_{2} \leq 2$.
Further, $\lambda_{1}\left(S\left(2, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)<\lambda_{1}(S)=\lambda_{1}(S(k \cdot 2))=\sqrt{k+1}$. The left-hand side inequality follows because $S\left(2, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ is a subgraph of $S\left(3, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$.

Because $\lambda_{1}\left(S\left(2, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)<\lambda_{1}(S(k \cdot 2))$ the tree $S\left(2, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ must be a proper subgraph of $S(k \cdot 2)$, and therefore $n_{k}=1$.

In order to simplify the notation we denote here $S\left(3, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ as $S(3, p$. $2, q \cdot 1$ ), where $p$ and $q$ stand for the number of branches of length two and one, respectively.

According to (2), having in mind that $p=(k-1)-q$, by straightforward calculation we obtain

$$
\phi(S(3, p \cdot 2, q \cdot 1))=\lambda \phi\left(P_{1}\right)^{q-1} \phi\left(P_{2}\right)^{p-1} \cdot R_{6}(\lambda)
$$

where

$$
R_{6}(\lambda)=\lambda^{6}-(k+3) \lambda^{4}+(2 k+q+2) \lambda^{2}-(2 q+1) .
$$

If $p \geq 1$ then from the latter relation follows $R_{6}(\sqrt{k+1})=0$, wherefrom we conclude that $q(k-1)-1=0$, i. e., $q=\frac{1}{k-1}<1$. This is a contradiction since it must be $q \geq 1$. If, however, $p=0$ then the characteristic polynomial of $S(3, p \cdot 2, q \cdot 1)$ reduces to

$$
\phi(S(3,(k-1) \cdot 1))=\lambda \phi\left(P_{1}\right)^{k-2} \cdot R_{4}(\lambda)
$$

where

$$
R_{4}(\lambda)=\lambda^{4}-(k+2) \lambda^{2}+(2 k-1) .
$$

Since $R_{4}(\sqrt{k+1})=k-2 \geq 1$ we conclude that $\phi\left(S\left(3, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right), \sqrt{k+1}\right) \neq$ 0 , i. e., that $\sqrt{k+1}$ is not an eigenvalue of $S\left(3, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, a contradiction.
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