TETRACYCLIC HARMONIC GRAPHS

B. BOROVIĆANIN, I. GUTMAN, M. PETROVIĆ

(Presented at the 1st Meeting, held on February 22, 2002)

A b s t r a c t. A graph G on n vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n is said to be harmonic if $(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))^t$ is an eigenvector of its (0, 1)-adjacency matrix, where $d(v_i)$ is the degree (= number of first neighbors) of the vertex v_i , $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Earlier all acyclic, unicyclic, bicyclic and tricyclic harmonic graphs were characterized. We now show that there are 2 regular and 18 non-regular connected tetracyclic harmonic graphs and determine their structures.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 05C50, 05C75 Key Words: Harmonic graphs, Spectra (of graphs), Walks

1. Introduction

Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a graph with |V(G)| = n vertices and |E(G)| = m edges, whose vertices are labeled by v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n . A walk of length k in G is an ordered (k + 1)-tuple of vertices, $(v_{i_0}, v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_k})$, such that for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$, $(v_{i_{j-1}}, v_{i_j}) \in E(G)$. The number of all walks of length k in the graph G is denoted by $W_k(G)$. It is both consistent and convenient to set $W_0(G) = n$; note also that $W_1(G) = 2m$.

B. Borovićanin, I. Gutman, M. Petrović

In a recent work [7] the way in which $W_k(G)$ increases with k was studied. For this an auxiliary quantity $\Delta_k(G)$ was introduced [4, 5], defined as

$$\Delta_k(G) = W_{k+1}(G) W_{k-1}(G) - W_k(G)^2 .$$

It is easy to show that the equality $\Delta_k(G) = 0$ holds for all $k \ge 1$ if and only if G is a regular graph. There exist graphs for which the equality $\Delta_k(G) = 0$ holds for all k > 1. These were named harmonic graphs [4, 5] and may be viewed as a peculiar generalization of regular graphs. Grünewald [6] determined all harmonic trees (for details see below) and the present authors together with Grünewald determined all unicyclic, bicyclic and tricyclic harmonic graphs [1]. In this work we go a step further and find all tetracyclic harmonic graphs. In order to do this we need some preparation.

If the graph G has p components, then c = m - n + p is the cyclomatic number of G and this graph is said to be c-cyclic. In particular, if c = 4 we speak of tetracyclic graphs. If the graph G is connected (p = 1) and c = 0then G is a tree.

The number of first neighbors of the vertex v_i is the degree of this vertex and is denoted by $d(v_i)$. A vertex of degree k will be referred to as a k-vertex. The column-vector $(d(v_1), d(v_2), \ldots, d(v_n))^t$ is denoted by d(G).

The number of k-vertices is denoted by n_k . Then

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} n_k = n , \qquad (1)$$

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} k n_k = 2m . (2)$$

A graph G is said to be *harmonic* [4, 5] if there exists a constant λ , such that the equality

$$\lambda d(v_i) = \sum_{(v_i, v_j) \in E(G)} d(v_j) \tag{3}$$

holds for all i = 1, 2, ..., n. The fact that the property $W_k(G) = 0$ for all k > 0 is a consequence of Eq. (3) has been demonstrated elsewhere [4, 5].

In [1] the following connection to graph spectral theory [2] was pointed out. If A(G) is the adjacency matrix of G then the system of equations (3) is equivalent to

$$A(G) d(G) = \lambda d(G) . \tag{4}$$

Consequently, the graph G is harmonic if and only if d(G) is one of its eigenvectors. A graph satisfying Eqs. (3) and (4) will be referred to as a λ -

harmonic graph. Clearly, λ is the eigenvalue associated with the eigenvector d(G). It is easy to show [1] that λ must be a non-negative integer.

Summing the expressions (3) over all i = 1, 2, ..., n we obtain

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} k(k-\lambda) n_k = 0 , \qquad (5)$$

which is a necessary, but not sufficient, condition that harmonic graphs must obey.

2. Some Auxiliary Results

In our previous work [1] a number of results were obtained, applicable either to all harmonic graphs or to harmonic graphs with small number of cycles. Here we re-state (without proof) some of these results, needed for the proof of our main result, i. e., of Theorem 10. These are the Lemmas 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8. The result stated here as Theorem 3 is due to Grünewald [6]. We also state (with proof) a novel Lemma 9.

Lemma 1. (a) Let the graph G' be obtained from the graph G by adding to it an arbitrary number of 0-vertices. Then G' is harmonic if and only if G is harmonic.

(b) If G is a graph without 0-vertices, then G is λ -harmonic if and only if all its components are λ -harmonic.

(c) Every regular graph is harmonic. Every regular graph of degree k is k-harmonic.

Lemma 2. Let G be a connected λ -harmonic graph. Then

(a) λ is the greatest eigenvalue of G and its multiplicity is one;

- (b) if m > 0 then $\lambda \ge 1$;
- (c) $\lambda = 1$ if and only if n = 2 and m = 1.

From Lemma 1 we conclude that it is reasonable to restrict our considerations to connected non-regular graphs. The fact that such harmonic graphs do exist and that their structure is non-trivial became evident after the discovery of Theorem 3 [6].

Let λ be a positive integer. Construct the *Grünewald tree* T_{λ} in the following manner. T_{λ} has a total of $\lambda^3 - \lambda^2 + \lambda + 1$ vertices, of which one vertex is a $(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)$ -vertex, $\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$ vertices are λ -vertices and

 $(\lambda - 1)(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)$ vertices are 1-vertices. Each λ -vertex is connected to $\lambda - 1$ 1-vertices and to the $(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)$ -vertex.

Theorem 3 [6]. For any positive integer λ there exists a unique λ -harmonic tree, isomorphic to T_{λ} .

Lemma 4. The Grünewald tree T_2 is the unique connected non-regular 2-harmonic graph.

Bearing in mind Lemmas 2 and 4, in the following we may assume that $\lambda \geq 3$.

Lemma 5. (a) In a λ -harmonic graph every 1-vertex is adjacent to a vertex of degree λ .

(b) If a λ -harmonic graph is not regular, then it has a vertex of degree greater than λ .

(c) In a harmonic graph (with n > 2) no 1-vertex is attached to any vertex of greatest degree.

Lemma 6. If x is a vertex of a λ -harmonic graph, then $d(x) \leq \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$. If $d(x) = \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$ then x belongs to a Grünewald tree T_{λ} . Otherwise, $d(x) < \lambda^2 - \lambda + 1$.

Lemma 7. Let $G \neq T_{\lambda}$ be a connected c-cyclic λ -harmonic graph with $\lambda \geq 3$. Then $c \geq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 2)$.

Lemma 8. For the λ -harmonic tree, $n_1 = (\lambda - 1) n_{\lambda}$. For any other connected λ -harmonic graph, $n_1 \leq (\lambda - 2) n_{\lambda}$.

For the below considerations is of importance the relation [1]

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} (k-2) n_k = 2 c - 2 \tag{6}$$

obtained by combining the equalities (1) and (2) and using the fact that the respective graphs are connected (m = n + c - 1).

Before we formulate our main result – Theorem 10 – we demonstrate the validity of another auxiliary result that will be often used in the proof of Theorem 10.

Lemma 9. Let v be a vertex of a λ -harmonic graph, such that $d(v) > \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4$, and let u be a vertex adjacent to v. Then $d(u) = \lambda$.

P r o o f. Let $v \in V(G)$, $d(v) > \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4$ and $u, u_2, \ldots, u_{d(v)}$ be the vertices of G adjacent to the vertex v. Assume first that $d(u) = \lambda - 1$. Then, because of (3),

$$\lambda d(u) = \lambda(\lambda - 1) = d(v) + d(x_1) + \dots + d(x_{\lambda - 2})$$

where $v, x_1, \ldots, x_{d(u)-1}$ are the vertices adjacent to the vertex u. This yields

$$d(x_1) + \dots + d(x_{\lambda-2}) = \lambda^2 - \lambda - d(v)$$

$$< \lambda^2 - \lambda - (\lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4)$$

$$= 2(\lambda - 2) .$$

If follows that there must exist at least one i $(i = 1, 2, ..., \lambda - 2)$, such that $d(x_i) = 1$, which because of Lemma 5 (a) is impossible.

Therefore, it cannot be $d(u) = \lambda - 1$.

Consider now the case $d(u) = \lambda - t$ for some $t \ge 2$. Then from Eq. (3),

$$\lambda d(u) = \lambda(\lambda - t) = d(v) + d(x_1) + \dots + d(x_{\lambda - t - 1}) > \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4 + \lambda - t - 1$$

i. e.,

$$\lambda \left(\lambda - t \right) > \lambda^2 - 2 \,\lambda + 3 - t$$

i. e.,

$$\lambda \left(t - 2 \right) < t - 3 \ . \tag{7}$$

This again is a contradiction: for t = 2 inequality (7) becomes 0 < -1. For t > 2 inequality (7) implies $\lambda < (t-3)/(t-2) < 1$ which is impossible in view of the assumption $\lambda \ge 3$.

Thus, it cannot be $d(u) < \lambda - 1$.

Consequently, if $d(v) > \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 4$ and $(u, v) \in E(G)$ then it must be $d(u) \ge \lambda$.

If, however, the degree of any neighbor of the vertex v is greater or equal to λ then from

$$\lambda d(v) = d(u) + d(u_2) + \dots + d(u_{d(v)})$$

there follows that it must be $d(u) = d(u_2) = \cdots = d(u_{d(v)}) = \lambda$. This implies Lemma 9.

3. The Main Result

Theorem 10. There are exactly 18 non-regular connected tetracyclic harmonic graphs, depicted in Fig. 1.

Fig 1. The connected non-regular tetracyclic harmonical graphs

P r o o f. Because of Lemma 7, if c = 4 then λ cannot be greater than 3. Then, in view of Lemmas 2 and 4, we conclude that it must be $\lambda = 3$. By Lemma 6, if D is the maximal vertex degree in a tetracyclic harmonic graph, then $D \leq 6$. From Lemma 5 (b) we then conclude that only the following three cases need to be examined:

Case 1: $\lambda = 3$, D = 6, Case 2: $\lambda = 3$, D = 5, Case 3: $\lambda = 3$, D = 4.

C as e 1. From Lemma 8 follows that $n_3 - n_1 \ge 0$. By means of relation (6), for c = 4 we get

$$-n_1 + n_3 + 2\,n_4 + 3\,n_5 + 4\,n_6 = 6$$

from which

$$2n_4 + 3n_5 + 4n_6 - 6 = n_1 - n_3 \le 0$$

and we conclude that

$$n_4 \le 1$$
 ; $n_5 = 0$; $n_6 = 1$. (8)

From Eq. (5) we get

$$-2n_1 - 2n_2 + 4n_4 + 10n_5 + 18n_6 = 0$$

which, by taking into account (8), implies

$$n_1 + n_2 = 9 + 2 n_4$$
.

According to Lemma 9, a 6-vertex (i. e., a vertex of degree 6) is adjacent only to 3-vertices. The two neighbors of every 3-vertex, adjacent to a 6-vertex, must be a 1 and a 2-vertex. Therefore, $n_1 \ge 6$, $n_2 \ge 3$ and, consequently, $n_1 + n_2 \ge 9$. In what follows we distinguish between two subcases.

Subcase 1.1.

$$n_4 = 0$$
; $n_5 = 0$; $n_6 = 1$; $n_3 = n_1 + 2$; $n_1 + n_2 = 9$ (9)

In this case it is easy to see that $n_1 = 6$, $n_2 = 3$, $n_3 = 8$, $n_4 = 0$, $n_5 = 0$, $n_6 = 1$. Each of the three 2-vertices must be adjacent to two 3-vertices (which, in turn, are adjacent to the 6-vertex), and an excess of two 3-vertices remains. Therefore there cannot exist a 3-harmonic graph satisfying the conditions (9).

```
Subcase 1.2.

n_4 = 1; n_5 = 0; n_6 = 1; n_3 = n_1; n_1 + n_2 = 11 (10)
```

The 4 and 6-vertices are adjacent only to 3-vertices, and therefore the number of 3-vertices is greater than or equal to 10. Because of $n_2 \ge 3$ we now have $n_1 = n_3 \ge 10$ and $n_1 + n_2 \ge 13$, which contradicts to the last equality in (10). Therefore, there cannot exist a 3-harmonic graph satisfying the conditions (10).

C a s e 2. Equations (5) and (6) now become

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -2\,n_1 - 2\,n_2 + 4\,n_4 + 10\,n_5 &=& 0\\ -n_1 + n_3 + 2\,n_4 + 3\,n_5 &=& 6 \end{array}$$

which together with the relation $n_3 - n_1 \ge 0$ imply that either $n_4 = 0$, $n_5 = 2$ or $n_4 = 1$, $n_5 = 1$ or $n_4 = 0$, $n_5 = 1$. We distinguish between three subcases.

Subcase 2.1.

$$n_4 = 0$$
; $n_5 = 2$; $n_3 = n_1$; $n_1 + n_2 = 10$ (11)

Because of Lemma 9, every 5-vertex is adjacent only with 3-vertices. Therefore $n_3 \geq 10$ and $n_1 \geq 10$ and in view of the last equality in (11), $n_1 = 10, n_2 = 0, n_3 = 10, n_4 = 0, n_5 = 2$. Denote the two 5-vertices by u and v. Denote the 3-vertices adjacent to u and v by x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5 and y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_5 , respectively. The three neighbors of any 3-vertex are a 5-, a 3- and a 1-vertex. The graph induced by the 3-vertices is $5K_2$, and there are either one or three or five edges connecting the vertices $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_5\}$. In view of this, G_1, G_2 and G_3 (depicted in Fig. 1) are the only 3-harmonic graphs satisfying the conditions (11).

Subcase 2.2.

$$n_4 = 1$$
; $n_5 = 1$; $n_3 = n_1 + 1$; $n_1 + n_2 = 7$ (12)

By Lemma 9, the 5– and 4-vertices are adjacent only with 3-vertices. Furthermore, no 3-vertex can be simultaneously adjacent to a 5– and a 4-vertex. Consequently, $n_3 \ge 9$, which implies $n_1 = n_3 - 1 \ge 8$ and $n_1 + n_2 \ge 8$. This violates the last equality in (12), and we conclude that there cannot exist a 3-harmonic graph satisfying the conditions (12).

Subcase 2.3.

$$n_4 = 0$$
; $n_5 = 1$; $n_3 = n_1 + 3$; $n_1 + n_2 = 5$ (13)

If there is a 3-harmonic graph obeying conditions (13), then its vertices have the following properties:

(i) The 5-vertex is adjacent only to 3-vertices (by Lemma 9), and thus $n_3 \ge 5$ and $n_1 = n_3 - 3 \ge 2$.

(*ii*) The 1-vertices are adjacent to only those 3-vertices which are adjacent to the 5-vertex.

(*iii*) Every 2-vertex is adjacent to two 3-vertices. Furthermore, $n_2 \neq 1$, because if it were $n_2 = 1$ then the 3-vertex adjacent to this 2-vertex would be adjacent either to another 2-vertex or to a 4-vertex, which both are impossible.

(*iv*) Exactly n_1 3-vertices, which are adjacent to the 5-vertex, are adjacent to one 3– and one 1–vertex. Each of the remaining $5-n_1$ 3-vertices, adjacent to the 5-vertex, are adjacent to a pair of 2-vertices.

Bearing in mind the above, the parameters n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5 may assume the following values:

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5
(a)	2	3	5	0	1
(b)	3	2	6	0	1
(c)	5	0	8	0	1

(a) In view of the properties (i)-(iv), we conclude that the graph G_4 (depicted in Fig. 1) is the only 3-harmonic graph satisfying the values of the parameters n_i , i = 1, 2, ..., 5, given under (a).

(b) The only 3-vertex not adjacent to the 5-vertex, is adjacent to three other 3-vertices, implying that G_5 is the only 3-harmonic graph with the vertex degree distribution (b).

(c) Any of the three 3-vertices, which are not adjacent to the 5-vertex, are adjacent only to 3-vertices. The graph induced by these vertices is either K_3 or P_3 . Therefore G_6 and G_7 are the only 3-harmonic graphs obeying the choice (c) of the parameters n_i .

C a s e 3. Equalities (5) and (6) now become

$$n_1 + n_2 = 2 n_4$$

- n_1 + n_3 + 2 n_4 = 6

from which, in view of $n_3 - n_1 \ge 0$, there follows that n_4 may assume the value 1 or 2 or 3. We thus distinguish three subcases.

B. Borovićanin, I. Gutman, M. Petrović

Subcase 3.1.

$$n_4 = 1$$
; $n_3 = n_1 + 4$; $n_1 + n_2 = 2$ (14)

In this case $n_1 = 0$. Indeed, if it were $n_1 > 0$ then the 3-vertex adjacent to a 1-vertex would be adjacent also to two 4-vertices, which is impossible. Therefore, $n_1 = 0$, $n_2 = 2$, $n_3 = 4$, $n_4 = 1$. The 4-vertex must be adjacent to four 3-vertices. Every 3-vertex is adjacent to a 2–, a 3– and a 4-vertex. From this we conclude that G_8 and G_9 (depicted in Fig. 1) are the only graphs with the required properties.

Subcase 3.2.

$$n_4 = 2$$
; $n_3 = n_1 + 2$; $n_1 + n_2 = 4$ (15)

The vertices of 3-harmonic graphs obeying conditions (15) have the following properties:

(i) Every 1-vertex is adjacent to a 3-vertex.

(*ii*) n_1 3-vertices are adjacent to one 1– and two 4-vertices. The remaining two 3-vertices are adjacent to a 2–, a 3– and a 4-vertex.

(iii) Exactly one 2-vertex is adjacent to two 3-vertices. All other 2-vertices are adjacent to a 2– and a 4-vertex. Therefore the number of 2-vertices is odd.

(*iv*) Every 4-vertex is adjacent to an even number of vertices of odd degree (i. e., to an even number of 3-vertices).

From the above follows that the parameters n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 may assume two sets of values:

	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4
(a)	3	1	5	2
(b)	1	3	3	2

The graphs G_{10} and G_{11} are the only 3-harmonic graphs satisfying conditions (a) and (b), respectively.

Subcase 3.3.

$$n_4 = 3$$
; $n_3 = n_1$; $n_1 + n_2 = 6$ (16)

This time the vertices have the following properties: (i) Every 1-vertex is adjacent to a 3-vertex.

(ii) Every 3-vertex is adjacent to one 1– and two 4-vertices.

(*iii*) Every 2-vertex is adjacent to a 4– and a 2-vertex. Therefore the number of 2-vertices is even.

(*iv*) Every 4-vertex is adjacent to an even number of vertices of odd degree (i. e., to an even number of 3-vertices).

In this subcase the following vertex degree distributions may occur:

1		n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4
	(a)	6	0	6	3
	(b)	4	2	4	3
	(c)	2	4	2	3
	(d)	0	6	0	3

(a) Taking into account properties (*ii*) and (*iv*) we conclude that the 3– and 4-vertices are connected by exactly 12 edges, and that every 4-vertex is adjacent to four 3-vertices. This results in the graph G_{12} .

(b) Taking into account properties (*ii*) and (*iv*) we see that the 3– and 4-vertices are connected by exactly 8 edges. Further, one 4-vertex is adjacent to four 3-vertices whereas each of the other two 4-vertices is adjacent to one 2-, one 4– and two 3-vertices. This results in the graph G_{13} .

(c) Because of *(ii)* and *(iv)* this time two 3-vertices must be adjacent to the same pair of 4-vertices. These two 4-vertices are not adjacent, because otherwise the third 4-vertex would be adjacent to 2-vertices only, which is impossible. Further, every 4-vertex adjacent to 3-vertices is adjacent also to a 2- and a 4-vertex. Taking into account the mutual connectedness of the 2-vertices we arrive at the graphs G_{14} and G_{15} , which are the only 3-harmonic species with vertex degree distribution (c).

(d) Every 4-vertex is adjacent to two 2-vertices and to two 4-vertices. Thus, the 4-vertices must be mutually adjacent. In view of this, and bearing in mind *(iii)*, the only possible solutions are the graphs G_{16} , G_{17} and G_{18} , depicted in Fig. 1.

By this all possible cases have been examined. The proof of Theorem 10 is complete. $\hfill \Box$

4. The Regular Case

In order to complete the list of connected tetracyclic harmonic graphs we prove the following elementary result. **Theorem 11.** There are exactly 2 regular connected tetracyclic harmonic graphs, depicted in Fig. 2.

Fig 2. The connected regular tetracyclic harmonic graphs

P r o o f. A regular graph of degree D has $\frac{1}{2}\,n\,D$ edges. If it is connected and tetracyclic, then

$$\frac{1}{2} n D - n + 1 = 4$$
 i. e., $n = \frac{6}{D-2}$

For D = 3, 4, 5 and $D \ge 6$ we obtain n = 6, 3, 2 and n < 2, respectively. Thus only the case D = 3, n = 6 is possible.

It is well known [3] (and easy to show) that there are exactly two cubic graphs on 6 vertices, the graphs G_{19} and G_{20} depicted in Fig. 2. \Box

5. Summary

Together with the results reported elsewhere [1, 6] we may now summarize the achievements of the search for harmonic graphs with small number of cycles. For a fixed value of the cyclomatic number c the number of connected c-cyclic regular and non-regular harmonic graphs is denoted by $\#\mathbf{r}(c)$ and $\#\mathbf{nr}(c)$, respectively. The following are the known values of $\#\mathbf{r}(c)$ and $\#\mathbf{nr}(c)$:

c	$\#\mathbf{r}(c)$	$\#\operatorname{nr}(c)$	remark
0	1	∞	one for each $\lambda \geq 1$
1	∞	0	one for each $n \ge 3$; $\lambda = 2$
2	0	0	
3	1	4	all with $\lambda = 3$
4	2	18	all with $\lambda = 3$
≥ 5	finite	finite	

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Borović anin, S. Grünewald, I. Gutman, M. Petrović, Harmonic graphs with small number of cycles, Discrete Math., in press.
- [2] D. C v e t k o v i ć, M. D o o b, H. S a c h s, Spectra of graphs theory and application, Barth, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [3] D. C v e t k o v i ć, M. P e t r i ć, A table of connected graphs on six vertices, Discr. Math. 50 (1984), 37–49.
- [4] A. Dress, I. Gutman, On the number of walks in a graph, Appl. Math. Letters, in press.
- [5] A. D r e s s, I. G u t m a n, Asymptotic results regarding the number of walks in a graph, Appl. Math. Letters, in press.
- [6] S. Grünewald, Harmonic trees, Appl. Math. Letters, in press.
- [7] I. G u t m a n, C. R ü c k e r, G. R ü c k e r, On walks in molecular graphs, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 41 (2001), 739–745.

Faculty of Science University of Kragujevac P. O. Box 60 YU–34000 Kragujevac Yugoslavia