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Abstract. Distribution semigroup in the sense of Wang and Kunstmana and the properties of infinitesimal generator are considered with in exponentially bounded distributions. Results are applied on a class of equations of the form $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} 4-A n=f, f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{+}(L(e))$, where $D(A) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ or $D(A) \subset E=C_{b}(\mathbb{R})$.
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## 0. Introduction

Distribution semigroups of Lions [12] and, later introduced, $n$-times integrated semigroups of Arendt [2], have been studied by many authors. The aim has been applications to Cauchy problems with the luck of regularity conditions or with non-densely defined infinitesimal generators. The references contain enough informations in these sense. Wang [23] and Kunstmann [11] introduced quasi-distribution semigroups and exponentially bounded distribution semigroups which we call (DS) and (EDS).

In this paper we analyze the properties of the infinitesimal generator of such a semigroup within distribution theory. As a basic space we use the test function space $\mathcal{K}_{1}$. This is a natural framework for exponentially bounded distributions. The density of $D(A)$ in $E$ and of a set $\{S(\varphi, x) ; x \in$ $\left.D(A), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\right\}$ in $D(A)$, where $S$ is a (EDS) with the infinitesimal generator $A$, is used in solving equations of the form $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u-A u=f$, where $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(D(A)), A=\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}, \sup \operatorname{Re}(p(x))<\infty, p(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j}(i x)^{j}$ and $D(A) \subset E=L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ or $D(A) \subset E=C_{b}(\mathbb{R})$.

## 1. Preliminaries

We denote by $E$ a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\| ; L(E)=L(E, E)$ is the space of bounded linear operators from $E$ into $E$ and $C(\mathbb{R}, L(E))$ is the space continuous mappings from $\mathbb{R}$ into $L(E)$. We refer to [18-19] and [21] for the definitions of spaces $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, their strong duals and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(E)=L(\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), E)$. Moreover, we refer to $[21]$ for the space

$$
\mathcal{S}_{+}=\left\{\varphi ;\left|t^{k} \varphi^{(\nu)}(t)\right|<C_{k, \nu}, t \in[0, \infty), k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)
$$

and its dual $\mathcal{S}_{+}^{\prime}$, which consists of tempered distributions supported by $[0, \infty)$. Recall ([7]), the space of exponentially decreasing test functions on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{\varphi ; e^{k|t|}\left|\varphi^{(\nu)}(t)\right|<C_{k, \nu}, t \in \mathbb{R}, k, \nu \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$. This space has the same topological properties as $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. The space $\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is defined in an appropriate way. The strong dual of $\mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$, is the space of exponential distributions. The space $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ consists of distributions supported by $[0, \infty)$. It is the dual space to $\mathcal{K}_{1+}=$ $\left\{\varphi ; e^{k|t|}\left|\varphi^{(\nu)}(t)\right|<C_{k, \nu}, t \in[0, \infty), k, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right\}$ which has the same topological properties as $\mathcal{S}_{+}$. Spaces $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(E), \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(E)$ are defined in an appropriate way. Their properties, similar to $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(E)$ and $\mathcal{S}_{+}^{\prime}(E)$, are given in [15]. Note,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}) \text { if and only if } e^{-r|x|} f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}) \text { for some } r \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $S:[0, \infty) \rightarrow L(E)$ be strongly continuous. Then it is exponentially bounded at infinity if there exist $M \geq 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|S(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case $\varphi \mapsto \int_{0}^{\infty} S(t) \varphi(t) d t, \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$, defines an element of $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$.

We need a representation for elements of $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$. This is given in part a) of the next theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $S \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$.
a) There exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{0}$ there exist a strongly continuous function $F_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L(E), \operatorname{supp} F_{n} \subset[0, \infty)$ and positive constants $m_{n}$ and $C_{n}$, such that
$\left\|F_{n}(t)\right\| \leq C_{n} e^{m_{n} t}, t \geq 0, S=F_{n}^{(n)}\left(^{(n)}\right.$ is the distributional $n$-th derivative).
b) Let $\psi, \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle S(t,\langle S(s, x), \psi(s)\rangle), \varphi(t)\rangle \\
=\int S_{n_{0}}\left(t, S_{n_{0}}(s, x)\right) \psi^{\left(n_{0}\right)}(s) \varphi^{\left(n_{0}\right)}(t) d s d t . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

c) Let $\varphi(t, s) \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $\varphi_{\nu}(t)$, $\psi_{\nu}(s)$ be sequences in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ such that the product sequence $\varphi_{\nu}(t) \cdot \psi_{\nu}(s)$ converge to $\varphi(t, s)$ in $\mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$. Then the limit

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle S\left(t,\left\langle S(s, x), \psi_{\nu}(s)\right\rangle\right), \varphi_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle, \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

exists and defines an element of $\mathcal{K}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ which we denote by $S(t, S(s, x))$ i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle S(t, S(s, x)), \varphi(t, s)\rangle=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle S\left(t,\left\langle S(s, x), \psi_{\nu}(s)\right\rangle\right), \varphi_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle, \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

d) Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $r, p \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} S(t, S(s, x)), \varphi(t, s)\right\rangle=(-1)^{r}\left\langle S(t, S(s, x)), \frac{\partial^{r}}{\partial t^{r}} \varphi(t, s)\langle;\right.  \tag{i}\\
\left\langle\frac{\partial^{p}}{\partial s^{p}} S(t, S(s, x)), \varphi(t, s)\right\rangle=\left\langle S\left(t, \frac{\partial^{p}}{\partial s^{p}} S(s, x)\right), \varphi(t, s)\right\rangle  \tag{ii}\\
=(-1)^{p}\left\langle S(t, S(s, x)), \frac{\partial^{p}}{\partial s^{p}} \varphi(t, s)\right\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Part a) can be proved in the same way as in the case of scalar valued distributions.

Parts b), c) and d) are consequences of the continuity and linearity of $S \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$, more precisely of the generalized Fubini-type theorem.

Using (1) one can prove easily:
$f \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$ if and only if $e^{-r|x|} f \in \mathcal{S}_{+}^{\prime}(L(E))$ for some $r \geq 0$.
Let $f$ satisfy (5). Then the Laplace transformation of $f$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda)=\widehat{f}(\lambda)=\left\langle f(t), e^{-\lambda t} \eta(t)\right\rangle, R e \lambda>r,
$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, supp $\eta=[-\varepsilon, \infty), \varepsilon>0$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[0, \infty)$. As in the case of tempered distributions, one can easily show that this definition does not depend on $\eta$ (cf.[21]).If $f \in L^{1}([0, \infty), E)$ (which means $\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) d t\right\|_{E}<$ $\infty$, then

$$
\widehat{f}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} f(t) d t=\left\langle f(t), e^{-\lambda t}\right\rangle, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda>0
$$

where integral is taken in Bochner's sense.
The convolution of $f \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(E)$ and $g \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by $\langle f *$ $g, \varphi\rangle=\langle f, \check{g} * \varphi\rangle, \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathbb{R}),(\check{g}(t)=g(-t))$. One can prove easily that $f * g=g * f \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(E)$.

In the sequal, we will use the family of distributions

$$
f_{\alpha}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{H(t) t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>0 \\ f_{\alpha+n}^{(n)}(t), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \leq 0, \alpha+n>0, n>0\end{cases}
$$

where $H$ is Heaviside's function. Note $f_{-1}=\delta^{\prime}$.
Let $S \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$ and $R(\lambda)=\mathcal{L}(S)(\lambda), R e \lambda>\omega(c f$. [2]). Then $(R(\lambda))_{R e \lambda \geq \omega}$ is a pseudoresolvent if and only if there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_{n_{0}}(t)=\left(S * f_{n_{0}}\right)(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$, is continuous, $\operatorname{supp} S_{n_{0}} \subset[0, \infty)$ and, for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle S(t, S(s, x)), \varphi(t) \psi(s)\rangle=\left\langle\left(S_{n_{0}}\left(t, S_{n_{0}}(s, x)\right)\right)^{\left(n_{0}, n_{0}\right)}, \varphi(t) \psi(s)\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle\frac { 1 } { ( n _ { 0 } - 1 ) ! } \left(\int_{t}^{t+s}(t+s-r)^{n_{0}-1} S_{n_{0}}(r, x) d r\right.\right.  \tag{6}\\
\left.\left.-\int_{0}^{s}(t+s-r)^{n_{0}-1} S_{n_{0}}(r, x) d r\right)^{\left(n_{0}, n_{0}\right)}, \varphi(t) \psi(s)\right\rangle
\end{gather*}
$$

The next definition is equivalent to the one given by Kunstmann and Wang, with $\mathcal{D}$ instead of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$.

Definition 1.Let $S \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$. Then $S$ is called exponentially bounded distribution semigroup ( $E D S$ ), in short, if there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $S_{n_{0}}=S * f_{n_{0}}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, supported by $[0, \infty)$, exponentially bounded, satisfies (6) and it is non-degenerate: $\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$, implies $x=0$.

We will also use the notation $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for an (EDS). If (6) holds for $\psi \in$ $\mathcal{D}(-\infty, a)$ for some $a>0,(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called a local distribution semigroup. This definition coincides with the definition of (DS) of Kunstmann and Wang but with $\mathcal{D}$ instead of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$.

Also the next definition is equivalent to the known one of cited authors.
Definition 2. A closed operator $A$ is the generator of an $(E D S)(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ if $(a, \infty) \subset \rho(A)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $(\lambda I-A)^{-1}=\mathcal{L}(S)(\lambda)$, Re $\lambda>a$ holds and $\lambda \mapsto(\lambda I-A)^{-1}$ is injective, where the Laplace transformation is understood in the sense of distribution theory.

As in case with $\mathcal{D}$ instead of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$, one can simply prove the next theorem.
Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a generator of a $(E D S)(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Then, for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{1}$, we have
a) $A\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle=\langle S(t, A x), \varphi(t)\rangle, \quad x \in D(A)$.
b) $\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle \in D(A), x \in E$.
c) $\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle=\left\langle f_{1}(t, x), \varphi(t)\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(f_{1} * S\right)(t, A x), \varphi(t)\right\rangle, x \in D(A)$ and

$$
A\left\langle\left(f_{1} * S\right)(t, x), \varphi(t)\right\rangle=\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle-\left\langle f_{1}(t, x), \varphi(t)\right\rangle, x \in E .
$$

In particular

$$
A\langle S(t, x), \varphi(t)\rangle=-\left\langle S(t, x), \varphi^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle-\varphi(0) x, \quad x \in E .
$$

We refer to Definition 6.1 in [12] for a distribution semigroup, (DS-L) in short and exponentially distribution semigroups (EDS-L) in short in the sense of Lions. If $D(A)$ is dense in $E$, then these notions coincide with (DS) and (EDS).

## 2. Comments on generators

Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be a $(\mathrm{DS})$ or (EDS). Recall $S(T, \cdot), T \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows:
$y=S(T, x)$, if $S(T * \psi, x)=S(\phi, y), \phi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$. The set of $x \in E$ for which this holds is denoted by $D(T)$. It follows that the domain of $S\left(-\delta^{\prime}, \cdot\right)$ is $D(A)$ and $S\left(-\delta^{\prime}, x\right)=A x, x \in D(A)$.

Let $S_{n}(\cdot, x)=S(\cdot, x) * f_{n}, x \in E$ be an $n$-times integrated semigroup determined by the (EDS), $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ with the infinitesimal generator $A$.

One can simply prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}(t, x)=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle S_{n}(s, x), \rho_{\nu}(t-s)\right\rangle, \quad t \geq 0, \\
& S_{n}\left(\varphi^{(n)}, x\right)=(-1)^{n} S(\varphi, x), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}, x \in E,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{\rho_{\nu}\right\}$ is $\delta$ sequences in $\mathcal{D}_{0},\left(\rho_{\nu} \rightarrow \delta, \nu \rightarrow \infty\right)$.
Theorem 3. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an (EDS) and $\left(S_{n}(t)=\left(S * f_{n}(t)\right)_{t \geq 0}\right.$ be an $n$-times integrated exponentially bounded semigroup, $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with the infinitesimal generator $A$. Then
a) $D(S(f))=D\left(S_{n}\left(f^{(n)}\right)\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R}), \operatorname{supp} f \subset[0, \infty)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}\left(f^{(n)}, x\right)=(-1)^{n} S(f, x), \quad x \in D(S(f)), \\
& S_{n}(h, x)=S_{n}(\delta(t-h), x), \quad x \in E, \quad h>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{gathered}
(-1)^{n} S_{n}\left(\delta^{(n)}, x\right)=x, \quad x \in E, \\
(-1)^{n} S_{n}\left(-\delta^{(n+1)}, x\right)=A x, \quad x \in D(A) .
\end{gathered}
$$

b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=(n+1)!\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S_{n}(h) x-\frac{h^{n}}{n!} x}{h^{n+1}}, x \in D(A) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove only b). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. Since,

$$
\frac{(n+1)!}{h^{n+1}}\left(\varphi(h)-\frac{h^{n}}{n!} \varphi^{(n)}(0)\right) \rightarrow \varphi^{(n+1)}(0), \quad \text { as } \quad h \rightarrow 0^{+},
$$

it follows

$$
\frac{(n+1)!}{h^{n+1}}\left\langle\delta(t-h)-\frac{h^{n}}{n!}(-1)^{n} \delta^{(n)}(t), \varphi(t)\right\rangle \rightarrow
$$

$$
\rightarrow(-1)^{n+1}\left\langle\delta^{(n+1)}(t), \varphi(t)\right\rangle, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D} \text { as } h \rightarrow 0^{+} .
$$

Then, for $x \in D(A)$ we have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
(n+1)!\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S_{n}(h, x)-\frac{h^{n}}{n!} x}{h^{n+1}}=(n+1)!\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S_{n}(\delta(t-h), x)-S_{n}\left(\frac{h^{n}}{n!}(-1)^{n} \delta^{(n)}(t), x\right)}{h^{n+1}} \\
=(n+1)!\lim _{h \downarrow 0} \frac{S_{n}\left(\delta(t-h)-\frac{h^{n}}{n!}(-1)^{n} \delta^{(n)}(t), x\right)}{h^{n+1}} \\
=S_{n}\left((-1)^{n+1} \delta^{(n+1)}(t), x\right)=S\left(-\delta^{\prime}, x\right)=A x .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 4. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an (EDS) with the infinitesimal generator $A$ and $F=\left\{S(\varphi, x), x \in D(A), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\right\}$. Then $F$ is dense in $D(A)$.

Proof. Let $x \in D(A)$. Since

$$
S\left(-\delta_{\nu}^{\prime}, x\right)=\lim _{h \downarrow 0}\left\langle\frac{S(t+h, x)-S(t, x)}{h}, \delta_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle,
$$

there exists a sequence $\left(h_{\nu}\right)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}, h_{\nu} \rightarrow 0^{+}$, such that

$$
\left\langle\frac{S\left(t+h_{\nu}, x\right)-S(t, x)}{h_{\nu}}, \delta_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \nu \rightarrow \infty
$$

and therefore

$$
\left\langle S\left(t+h_{\nu}, x\right)-S(t, x), \delta_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \nu \rightarrow \infty
$$

But we have $\left\langle S(t, x), \delta_{\nu}(t)\right\rangle \rightarrow x, \nu \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$
\left(\left\langle S(t, x), \delta_{\nu}(t-h)\right\rangle\right)_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

is a sequence in $F$ which converges to $x$. Thus $F$ is dense in $D(A)$.
Theorem 4 implies that there exists a closed subspace $E_{0}$ of $E$ such that $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is an (EDS -L) on $E_{0}$, where $E_{0}$ is the closure in $E$ of the set $F=\left\{S(\varphi, x) ; x \in D(A), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\right\}$.

The following theorem is proved by Wang and Kunstmann. We reformulate it with $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ instead of $\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 5. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an (EDS) with the infinitesimal generator A. Then the restriction of $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on $E_{0} \times \mathcal{K}_{1},\left(S_{\mid E_{0} \times \mathcal{K}_{1}}\right)$, is an $(E D S-L)$.

## 3. Applications

Example. Let $E=C_{b}(\mathbb{R})$, or $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $A$ be defined by $A f=$ $\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} D^{j} f$, where $D^{j}=\frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{C}, p(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j}(i x)^{j}, k \geq 1, \alpha_{k} \neq$ $0, \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re}(p(x))<\infty$, where $D(A)=\left\{f \in E, \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_{j} D^{j} f \in E\right.$, distributionally $\}$. It is known that $D(A)$ is not dense in $E$ (cf.[10]).

Let $S_{t}(f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{p(x) t}\right) * f$. Here $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transformation and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denotes is inverse; $\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i \lambda t} f(t) d t, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is an (EDS) because $S_{t}(f)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} e^{p(x) s} d s\right) * f, \in D(A)$, is 1time integrated semigroup. Moreover, since the set $\{\langle S(t, f), \varphi(t)\rangle, f \in$ $\left.D(A), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_{0}\right\}$ is dense in $D(A)$ it follows that $S_{t}$ is (EDS-L) on the subspace $E_{0}=\left\{\overline{\left.\langle S(t, f), \varphi(t)\rangle, f \in D(A), \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{0}\right\}}\right.$. Note ([16]), $A$ generates a norm continuous $\alpha$-times integrated semigroup for $\alpha \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$ equal to $S_{t} * f_{\alpha}, t \geq 0$.

Recall, for $U \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E, D(A))), V \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(D(A), E))$ and $\operatorname{supp} U \subset$ $[a, \infty)$, $\operatorname{supp} V \subset[b, \infty), a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $U * V$ and $V * U$ are defined as in [19]. Moreover, they are elements of $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(D(A)))$ and $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}(L(E))$ respectively and their supports are bounded on the left.

Now we apply our results to equation

$$
u^{\prime}=A u+T, \quad T \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}\left(L\left(E_{0}\right)\right)
$$

We refer to this equations in the case $T \in \mathcal{S}_{1+}^{\prime}\left(L\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$ to ([12],[15]).
Theorem 6. Let $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ be an (EDS-L) with the infinitesimal generator A. Then

$$
\left(-A+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) * S=I_{E_{0}}, S *\left(-A+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=I_{D(A)}
$$

where

$$
-A+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\delta \otimes A+\delta^{\prime} \otimes I
$$

b) $u=S * T$ in $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}\left(L\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$ is a unique solution of (11)

Remark. In particular, with the notation given above this theorem gives the unique solution to $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x)-\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j} \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} u(t, x)=f, f \in \mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}\left(L\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$ in $\mathcal{K}_{1+}^{\prime}\left(L\left(E_{0}\right)\right)$.
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