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A bstract. Let $K_{3}$ and $K_{3}^{\prime}$ be two complete graphs of order 3 with disjoint vertex sets. Let $B_{n}^{*}(0)$ be the 5-vertex graph, obtained by identifying a vertex of $K_{3}$ with a vertex of $K_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{n}^{* *}(0)$ be the 4-vertex graph, obtained by identifying two vertices of $K_{3}$ each with a vertex of $K_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ be graph of order $n$, obtained by attaching $k$ paths of almost equal length to the vertex of degree 4 of $B_{n}^{*}(0)$. Let $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ be the graph of order $n$, obtained by attaching $k$ paths of almost equal length to a vertex of degree 3 of $B_{n}^{* *}(0)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$ be the set of all connected bicyclic graphs of order $n$, possessing $k$ pendent vertices. One of the authors recently proved that among the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$, either $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ or $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ have the greatest spectral radius. We now show that for $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq k+5$, among the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$, the graph $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ has the greatest spectral radius.
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## 1. Introduction

The spectral radius (the greatest graph eigenvalue, also called "index") is an important and much studied spectral property of graphs [1-3]. In a
recent work [4] one of the present authors examined the spectral radius of connected bicyclic graphs of order $n$, possessing $k$ pendent vertices (vertices of degree 1 ), and arrived at the following result.

Let $K_{3}$ and $K_{3}^{\prime}$ be two complete graphs of order 3 with disjoint vertex sets. Let $B_{n}^{*}(0)$ be the 5 -vertex graph, obtained by identifying a vertex of $K_{3}$ with a vertex of $K_{3}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{n}^{* *}(0)$ be the 4 -vertex graph, obtained by identifying two vertices of $K_{3}$ each with a vertex of $K_{3}^{\prime}$. In other words, $B_{n}^{* *}(0)$ is the graph obtained by deleting an edge from $K_{4}$.

By $P_{\ell}$ is denoted the path of order $\ell$. Two paths $P_{\ell}$ and $P_{\ell^{\prime}}$ are said to be of almost equal length, if $\left|\ell-\ell^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.

The set of all connected bicyclic graphs of order $n$, possessing $k$ pendent vertices will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$.

The graph $B_{n}^{*}(k) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$ is obtained by attaching $k$ paths of almost equal length to the vertex of degree 4 of $B_{n}^{*}(0)$. The graph $B_{n}^{* *}(k) \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$ is obtained by attaching $k$ paths of almost equal length to the vertex of degree 3 of $B_{n}^{* *}(0)$.

Note that both $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ and $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ exist if and only if $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq k+5$.
Theorem 1 [4]. Provided that both $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ and $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ exist, among the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$, either $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ or $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ have the greatest spectral radius.

The obvious question that emerges from Theorem 1 is which of the two graphs $B_{n}^{*}(k), B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ has greater spectral radius. Solving this seemingly simple problem it turned out to be not quite easy. In this paper we offer its solution:

Theorem 2. Provided that both $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ and $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ exist, the spectral radius of $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ is greater than the spctral radius of $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$.

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need some preparations.

## 2. Some Auxiliary Results

Let $G$ be a simple graph (i.e., a graph without loops, multiple and/or directed and/or weighted edges). Its vertex and edge sets of a graph $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. The graph $G$ has $n$ vertices, i.e., $|V(G)|=n$.

The eigenvalues of $G$ will be denoted by $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i}(G)$ and, as usual [1], it is assumed that $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$. If the graph $G$ is connected, then $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}$.

The characteristic polynomial of the graph $G$ is denoted by $\phi(G, \lambda)$.
We need the following well known Lemmas [1].
Lemma 1. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ and let $\mathcal{C}(v)$ be the set of all cycles of $G$ that contain $v$. Then
$\phi(G, \lambda)=\lambda \phi(G-v, \lambda)-\sum_{(u, v) \in E(G)} \phi(G-u-v, \lambda)-2 \sum_{Z \in \mathcal{C}(v)} \phi(G-V(Z), \lambda)$,
where $G-V(Z)$ is the graph obtained by removing from $G$ the vertices belonging to $Z$.

Lemma 2. Let $v$ be a vertex of $G$, let $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n}$ be the eigenvalues of the graph $G$, and let $\mu_{1} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1}$ be the eigenvalues of $G-v$. Then the inequalities

$$
\lambda_{1} \geq \mu_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \mu_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1} \geq \lambda_{n}
$$

hold. If $G$ is connected, then $\lambda_{1}>\mu_{1}$.
Lemma 3. The characteristic polynomial of the $n$-vertex path $P_{n}$ satisfies the expression

$$
\phi\left(P_{n}, \lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4}}\left(x_{1}^{n+1}-x_{2}^{n+1}\right)
$$

where

$$
x_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda+\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda-\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-4}\right)
$$

are the roots of the equation $x^{2}-\lambda x+1=0$.
Lemma 4. If the graphs $G$ and $H$ have exactly one eigenvalue greater than some constant $a$, and if $\phi\left(G, \lambda_{1}(H)\right)>0$, then $\lambda_{1}(G)<\lambda_{1}(H)$.

In the proof that follows the special case of Lemma 4 , for $a=2$ will be used.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2

The graphs $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ and $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ are defined above. Evidently, in the case of $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ it must be $k \leq n-5$ whereas in the case of $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ it must be $k \leq n-4$. If $k=n-4$ then $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ does not exist, and then among
the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(k)$ the graph $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ has the greatest spectral radius. Therefore in the following we assume that $k<n-4$. If so, then at least one path attached to $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ possesses at least two vertices $(\ell \geq 2)$.

The vertex of $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ that has degree $k+4$ is denoted by $v$. Also the vertex of $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ that has degree $k+3$ is denoted by $v$. Denote by $\ell$ the maximal number of vertices of a path attached to the vertex $v$ of $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$. As already explained, $\ell \geq 2$.

Let $B^{* *}$ be the graph analogous to $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ in which all paths attached to vertex $v$ have $\ell$ vertices.

Let $B^{*}$ be the graph analogous to $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ in which all paths attached to vertex $v$ have $\ell-1$ vertices.

Evidently, $B^{*}$ is an induced subgraph of $B_{n}^{*}(k)$ whereas $B_{n}^{* *}(k)$ is an induced subgraph of $B^{* *}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2 ,

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right) \leq \lambda_{1}\left(B_{n}^{*}(k)\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $n=(\ell-1) k+5$. Also,

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(B^{* *}\right) \geq \lambda_{1}\left(B_{n}^{* *}(k)\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $n=\ell k+4$.
Thus for the proof of the Theorem it is sufficient to show that $\lambda_{1}\left(B^{* *}\right)<$ $\lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right)$. We do this in the following.

Because of Lemma 2, the graphs $B^{* *}$ and $B^{*}$ have exactly one eigenvalue greater than 2. (This is because all components of the subgraphs $B^{* *}-v$ and $B^{*}-v$ are paths, and the spectral radii of paths are less than 2. Therefore $\lambda_{2}\left(B^{* *}\right)<2$ and $\lambda_{2}\left(B^{*}\right)<2$. By direct calculation we check that in the case $n=6, k=1$, the greatest eigenvalues of $B^{* *}$ and $B^{*}$ are greater than 2. Therefore the greatest eigenvalues of $B^{* *}$ and $B^{*}$ are greater than 2 for all values of $n$ and $k$.)

Consequently, Lemma 4 is applicable to $B^{* *}$ and $B^{*}$ and it is sufficient to show that $\phi\left(B^{* *}, \lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right)\right)>0$.

By applying Lemma 1 to the vertex $v$ of $B^{* *}$ we obtain

$$
\phi\left(B^{* *}, \lambda\right)=\lambda \phi\left(P_{\ell}, \lambda\right)^{k-1}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}-5 \lambda-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell}, \lambda\right)-k\left(\lambda^{2}-2\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, \lambda\right)\right]
$$

In an analogous manner we obtain

$$
\phi\left(B^{*}, \lambda\right)=\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, \lambda\right)^{k-1}\left[\left(\lambda^{3}-5 \lambda-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, \lambda\right)-k\left(\lambda^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-2}, \lambda\right)\right] .
$$

Denote the greatest eigenvalue of $B^{*}$ by $r$. For $n=6$ and $k=1$ the greatest eigenvalue of $B^{*}$ is $2.709 \ldots$. Therefore, for any $n$ and $k$,

$$
r=\lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right) \geq 2.709
$$

From the above expression for $\phi\left(B^{*}, \lambda\right)$ it is seen that $r$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)-k\left(r^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-2}, r\right)=0
$$

from which

$$
k=\frac{\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)}{\left(r^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-2}, r\right)} .
$$

Now, the inequality $\phi\left(B^{* *}, r\right)>0$ holds if and only if

$$
r \phi\left(P_{\ell}, r\right)^{k-1}\left[\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell}, r\right)-k\left(r^{2}-2\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)\right]>0
$$

if and only if

$$
\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell}, r\right)-k\left(r^{2}-2\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)>0
$$

if and only if

$$
\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell}, r\right)-\frac{\left(r^{3}-5 r-4\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)}{\left(r^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-2}, r\right)}\left(r^{2}-2\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)>0
$$

Now, the expression $r^{3}-5 r-4$ is positive-valued for $r \geq 2.709$. Therefore the above inequality holds if and only if

$$
\left(r^{2}-2\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-1}, r\right)^{2}<\left(r^{2}-1\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell}, r\right) \phi\left(P_{\ell-2}, r\right) .
$$

From Lemma 3 we get

$$
\phi\left(P_{n}, r\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^{2}-4}}\left(r_{1}^{n+1}-r_{2}^{n+1}\right)
$$

where

$$
r_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r+\sqrt{r^{2}-4}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(r-\sqrt{r^{2}-4}\right)
$$

are the roots of the equation $x^{2}-r x+1=0$. From the Vieta formulas,

$$
r_{1}+r_{2}=r \quad ; \quad r_{1} r_{2}=1
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}=\left(r_{1}+r_{2}\right)^{2}-2 r_{1} r_{2}=r^{2}-2 \\
r_{1}^{4}+r_{2}^{4}=\left(r_{1}^{2}+r_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 r_{1}^{2} r_{2}^{2}=\left(r^{2}-2\right)^{2}-2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In view of the above, $\phi\left(B^{* *}, r\right)>0$ holds if and only if

$$
\frac{1}{r^{2}-4}\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r_{1}^{\ell}-r_{2}^{\ell}\right)^{2}<\frac{1}{r^{2}-4}\left(r^{2}-1\right)\left(r_{1}^{\ell+1}-r_{2}^{\ell+1}\right)\left(r_{1}^{\ell-1}-r_{2}^{\ell-1}\right)
$$

if and only if

$$
\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}-2\right)<\left(r^{2}-1\right)\left[r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}-\left(r^{2}-2\right)\right]
$$

if and only if

$$
r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}>\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r^{2}-3\right) .
$$

We now demonstrate that for $\ell \geq 2$ the series $a_{\ell}=r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}$ strictly increases.

Because

$$
r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}=\frac{r_{1}^{4 \ell}+1}{r_{1}^{2 \ell}}
$$

we get that

$$
\frac{a_{\ell+1}}{a_{\ell}}=\frac{r_{1}^{4 \ell+4}+1}{r_{1}^{4+2}+r_{1}^{2}}
$$

will be greater than unity (in which case $a_{\ell}$ increases) if and only if

$$
r_{1}^{4 \ell+4}+1>r_{1}^{4 \ell+2}+r_{1}^{2}
$$

i.e., if

$$
\left(r_{1}^{4 \ell+2}-1\right)\left(r_{1}^{2}-1\right)>0
$$

which is evidently obeyed since $r_{1}>1$.
We have previously shown that $\phi\left(B^{* *}, r\right)>0$ holds if and only if

$$
r_{1}^{2 \ell}+r_{2}^{2 \ell}>\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r^{2}-3\right) .
$$

Now, if this inequality is satisfied for $\ell=2$ it will be satisfied for all $\ell \geq 2$.
For $\ell=2$ we get

$$
r_{1}^{4}+r_{2}^{4}>\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r^{2}-3\right)
$$

if and only if

$$
\left(r^{2}-2\right)^{2}-2>\left(r^{2}-2\right)\left(r^{2}-3\right)
$$

if and only if $r^{2}>4$, which is evidently satisfied.
Thus we have demonstrated that

$$
\phi\left(B^{* *}, \lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right)\right)>0
$$

which, by Lemma 4, implies

$$
\lambda_{1}\left(B^{* *}\right)<\lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right)
$$

which, in turn, is sufficient for the validity of Theorem 2. It is interesting to note that we managed to verify the above inequalities without knowing the actual value of $r=\lambda_{1}\left(B^{*}\right)$.

By this the proof of the Theorem 2 is completed.
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