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## 1. The object of the paper

Let $(M, g)$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold of dimension $\geq 3$. The manifold $(M, g)$ is locally symmetric if $\nabla R=0$, on $M$, where $\nabla$ is its Levi-Civita connection and $R$ the curvature tensor. The proper generalization of locally symmetric manifolds form semi-symmetric manifolds. They are characterized by the condition

$$
R \cdot R=0
$$

which holds on $M$, where $R$ acts as a derivation. Some of the investigations of such manifolds gave rise to the next generalization, namely to the pseudosymmetric manifolds, i.e., manifolds satisfying on some set $\mathcal{U} \subset M$ the
condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=\mathcal{L} Q(g, R) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a function on $U$ and $Q$ is a special operator (see section 2 ).
A manifold $(M, g), \operatorname{dim} M \geq 3$, is said to be Ricci pseudosymmetric, resp. Ricci semi-symmetric, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot \rho=\mathcal{L} Q(g, \rho), \quad \text { resp. } R \cdot \rho=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on the appropiate set $\mathcal{U} \subset M$, and $\rho$ is the Ricci tensor.
For a survey of results on different aspects of pseudosymmetric manifolds, we refer to [3]; see also [2], [10], [11], [14]. Among other problems there were studied the extrinsic characterizations of Ricci pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian spaces of constant curvature in terms of the shape operator. Namely, in [1] (see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) the following result is proved

Let $M$ be a hypersurface of a semi-Reimannian space of constant curvature and dimension $n \geq 3$. Then $M$ is Ricci pseudosymmetric if and only if at every point $p \in M$, the second fundamental form $h$ satisfies one of the following conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\alpha h+\beta q, \quad \alpha, \beta \in R, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
h^{3}=\operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\lambda h, \quad \lambda \in R .
$$

In practicular, for semi-Euclidean space, the previous result imply
A hypersurface $M$ of semi-Euclidean space of dimension $n \geq 3$ is Ricci pseudosymmetric if and only if for every point $p \in M$ the tensor $R \cdot \rho$ vanishes at $p$, or (1.3) holds.

In section 4 of the present paper, we adopt the notion of pseudosymmetry and Ricci pseudosymmetry to the complex structure of the anti-Kähler manifolds and then we extend the above theorems for the h-hypersurface of anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvature. To do this, we use two formulas proved in section 3, valid for h-hypersurface of the anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvature. In section 2 , we explain notations used in the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a connected differentiable manifold endoved with pseudoRiemannian metric $G$ and a $(1,1)$ tensor field $F$ such that, with respect to the local coordinates, holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{B}^{A} F_{C}^{B}=-\delta_{C}^{A}, \quad F_{A}^{E} F_{B}^{D} G_{E D}=-G_{A B}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{D} F_{B}^{A}=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $(\widetilde{M}, G)$ and $A, B, C, D \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2 m\}$, $2 m=\operatorname{dim} \widetilde{M}$. The manifold $(\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ is said to be anti-Kähler manifold [12]. In some papers $(\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ is named B-manifold ([6],[7],[13]) and in some others - the Kähler manifold with the Norden metric ([8],[9]).

The manifold ( $\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ is orientable and evendimensional. The metric $G$ of such a manifold is indefinite and the signature is $(m, m)$. Also, tr $F=0$.

We denote by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\widetilde{R}_{A B C D} & \text { - the Riemannian curvature tensor, } \\
\widetilde{\rho}_{A B}=\widetilde{R}_{A B C}^{C} & \text { - the Ricci tensor, } \\
\widetilde{*}_{A B}=F_{A}^{D} \widetilde{\rho}_{D B} & \text { - the second Ricci tensor, } \\
\widetilde{\kappa}=G^{A B} \widetilde{\rho}_{A B} & \text { - the scalar curvature, } \\
\stackrel{*}{\kappa}=G^{A B} \stackrel{\widetilde{\mu}}{\rho}^{*} & \text { - the second scalar curvature. }
\end{array}
$$

Since $\widetilde{\nabla} F=0$, the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensors satisfy

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
F_{A}^{L} F_{B}^{M} \widetilde{R}_{L M C D}=-\widetilde{R}_{A B C D},  \tag{2.2}\\
F_{A}^{L} F_{B}^{M} \widetilde{\rho}_{L M}=-\widetilde{\rho}_{A B} \\
F_{A}^{L} F_{B}^{M} \stackrel{\widetilde{*}}{\rho}_{L M}=-\widetilde{\stackrel{ }{\rho}}_{A B} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The manifold ( $\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ is of pointwise constant totally real sectional curvature if at $p \in M,([6],[7])$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{R}_{A B C D}= \\
\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}(p)}{4 m(m-1)}\left(G_{A D} G_{B C}-G_{A C} G_{B D}-F_{A}^{L} G_{L D} F_{B}^{M} G_{M C}+F_{A}^{L} G_{L C} F_{B}^{M} G_{M D}\right) \\
-\frac{\widetilde{*}(p)}{4 m(m-1)}\left(G_{A D} F_{B}^{L} G_{L C}+G_{B C} F_{A}^{L} G_{L D}-G_{A C} F_{B}^{L} G_{L D}-G_{B D} F_{A}^{L} G_{L C}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $m \geq 3$, both functions $\widetilde{\kappa}$ and $\underset{\kappa}{\widetilde{*}}$ are constants.
Now, we consider a differentiable submanifold $M$ of $\widetilde{M}, \operatorname{dim} M=2 n$, $n=m-1$. Suppose that $M$ is expressed in each neighbourhood $\widetilde{U}$ of $\widetilde{M}$ by the equations

$$
x^{A}=x^{A}\left(u^{a}\right),
$$

where $x^{A}$ are the local coordinates of $\widetilde{M}$ in $\widetilde{U}$ and $u^{a}$ are the local coordinates in $U=\widetilde{U} \cap M$. Lowercase Latin indices $a, b, c, \ldots, i, j, k, \ldots$ run over the range $\{1,2, \ldots, 2 n\} . M$ is said to be a h-hypersurface (holomorphic hypersurface) of $\widetilde{M}$ if the restriction $g$ of $G \overline{\text { on } M \text { has the maximal rank and the }}$ complex structure $F$ leaves invariant the tangent space of $M$ at each point $p \in M$. $F$ induces on $M$ the complex structure $f$ such that ( $M, g, f$ ) itself is an anti-Kähler manifold [4]. Similarly to (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}^{a} f_{a}^{j}=-\delta_{i}^{j}, \quad f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} g_{a b}=-g_{i j}, \quad \nabla_{i} f_{j}^{k}=0, \\
& \left.\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} R_{a b l m}=-R_{i j l m}, & \stackrel{*}{\rho} i j=f_{i}^{a} \rho_{a j}, \\
f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} \rho_{a b}=-\rho_{i j}, & f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} \stackrel{*}{\rho}_{a b}=-\stackrel{*}{\rho}_{i j},
\end{array}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the metric $g$, and $R_{i j l m}, \rho_{i j}$ and $\stackrel{*}{\rho}_{i j}$ denote the local components of the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the second Ricci tensor, respectively. We denote by $\kappa$ and $\stackrel{*}{\kappa}$ the scalar curvature and the second scalar curvature of $(M, g, f)$.

Because $F$ leaves invariant the tangent space of $M$, it leaves invariant the normal space, too. There exist locally vector fields $N_{1 \mid}$ and $N_{2 \mid}$ normal to $M$, such that ([4]):

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{A B} N_{1 \mid}{ }^{A} N_{1 \mid}^{B}=-G_{A B} N_{2 \mid}{ }^{A} N_{2 \mid}^{B}=1, \quad G_{A B} N_{1 \mid}{ }^{A} N_{2 \mid}^{B}=0, \\
F_{B}^{A} N_{1 \mid}^{B}=-N_{2 \mid}{ }^{A}, \quad F_{B}^{A} N_{2 \mid}^{B}=N_{1 \mid}^{A} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Denoting by $h$ and $k$ the second fundamental forms corresponding to $N_{1 \mid}$ and $N_{2 \mid}$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}=f_{i}^{a} k_{a j}, \quad k_{i j}=-f_{i}^{a} h_{a j} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we shall use

$$
h_{i j}^{2}=h_{i}{ }^{a} h_{a j}, \quad h_{i j}^{3}=h_{i}{ }^{a} h_{a j}^{2} .
$$

It is easy to see that the following conditions are satisfied

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} h_{a b}=-h_{i j}, \quad f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} k_{a b}=-k_{i j}  \tag{2.6}\\
f_{i}^{a} h_{a j}=f_{j}^{a} h_{a i}, \quad f_{i}^{a} k_{a j}=f_{j}^{a} k_{i a} \\
h_{i j}^{2}=h_{j i}^{2}, \quad f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} h_{a b}^{2}=-h_{i j}^{2}, \quad f_{i}^{a} h_{a j}^{2}=f_{j}^{a} h_{i a}^{2} \\
h_{i j}^{3}=h_{j i}^{3}, \quad f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} h_{a b}^{3}=-h_{i j}^{3}, \quad f_{i}^{a} h_{a j}^{3}=f_{j}^{a} h_{i a}^{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let at $p \in M, A$ and $D$ be two symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors and $B$ the curvature like tensor, satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} A_{a b}=-A_{i j}, \quad f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} D_{a b}=-D_{i j}  \tag{2.7}\\
f_{i}^{a} f_{j}^{b} B_{a b l m}=-B_{i j l m} \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $T$ be a $(0,4)$ tensor. We define the tensors $B \cdot A, B \cdot T, Q(A, D)$, $Q(A, B)$ by the formulas

$$
\begin{gather*}
(B \cdot A)_{r s i j}=A_{a j} B_{i r s}^{a}+A_{i a} B_{j r s}^{a}  \tag{2.9}\\
(B \cdot T)_{r s i j l m}=T_{a j l m} B_{i r s}^{a}+T_{i a l m} B_{j r s}^{a}+T_{i j a m} B_{l r s}^{a}+T_{i j l a} B_{m r s}^{a}  \tag{2.10}\\
Q(A, D)_{r s i j}=A_{r i} D_{s j}+A_{r j} D_{s i}-A_{s i} D_{r j}-A_{s j} D_{r i}  \tag{2.11}\\
-f_{r}^{a} f_{s}^{b}\left(A_{a i} D_{b j}+A_{a j} D_{b i}-A_{b i} D_{a j}-A_{b j} D_{a i}\right) \\
Q(A, B)_{r s i j l m}=A_{r i} B_{s j l m}+A_{r j} B_{i s l m}+A_{r l} B_{i j s m}+A_{r m} B_{i j l s} \\
-A_{s i} B_{r j l m}-A_{s j} B_{i r l m}-A_{s l} B_{i j r m}-A_{s m} B_{i j l r} \\
-f_{r}^{a} f_{s}^{b}\left(A_{a i} B_{b j l m}+A_{a j} B_{i b l m}+A_{a l} B_{i j b m}+A_{a m} B_{i j l b}\right.  \tag{2.12}\\
\left.-A_{b i} B_{a j l m}-A_{b j} B_{i a l m}-A_{b l} B_{i j a m}-A_{b m} B_{i j l a}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark. The operator $Q$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is defined in the following way (e.g. see [1],[2],[3]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(A, D)_{r s i j}= & A_{r i} D_{s j}+A_{r j} D_{s i}-A_{s i} D_{r j}-A_{s j} D_{r i} \\
Q(A, B)_{r s i j l m}= & A_{r i} B_{s j l m}+A_{r j} B_{i s l m}+A_{r l} B_{i j s m}+A_{r m} B_{i j l s} \\
& -A_{s i} B_{r j l m}-A_{s j} B_{i r l m}-A_{s l} B_{i j r m}-A_{s m} B_{i j l r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (2.11) and (2.12) are the same operators, but adopted to the complex structure of the manifold.

We note that
$Q(A, D)=-Q(D, A) \quad$ and therefore $\quad Q(A, A)=0$,
$Q(f A, f D)=-Q(A, D) \quad$ and therefore $\quad Q(f A, D)=Q(A, f D)$,
$Q(A, f A)=0, \quad Q(f D, B)=Q(D, f B)$.
For the latter use, we present
Lemma 2.1 ([4]) Let as a point $p \in M, A$ and $D$ be two symmetric $(0,2)$ tensors satisfying (2.7). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(A, D)=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\delta A+\bar{\delta} f A, \quad \delta, \bar{\delta} \in R \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $X$ be a vector such that

$$
X^{a} X^{b} A_{a b}=\omega \neq 0, \quad X^{a} \bar{X}^{b} A_{a b}=\bar{\omega} \neq 0
$$

where $\bar{X}^{i}=f_{a}^{i} X^{a}$. We put

$$
\eta=X^{a} X^{b} D_{a b}, \quad \bar{\eta}=X^{a} \bar{X}^{b} D_{a b}
$$

Transvecting (2.14) with $X^{i} X^{r}$, and symmetrizing the resulting equality, we get

$$
\omega D_{s j}-\eta A_{s j}-\bar{\omega} f_{s}^{a} D_{a j}+\bar{\eta} f_{s}^{a} A_{a j}=0
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\omega f_{i}^{a} D_{a j}-\eta f_{i}^{a} A_{a j}+\bar{\omega} D_{i j}-\bar{\eta} A_{i j}=0
$$

These two relations imply

$$
D_{i j}=\frac{\omega \eta+\bar{\omega} \bar{\eta}}{\omega^{2}+\bar{\omega}^{2}} A_{i j}-\frac{\omega \bar{\eta}-\bar{\omega} \eta}{\omega^{2}+\bar{\omega}^{2}} f_{i}^{a} A_{a j}
$$

But this is just the relation (2.15).
3. H-hypersurface of an anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures

The Gauss equation for an h-hypersurface ( $M, g, f$ ) reads

$$
\widetilde{R}_{A B C D} \frac{\partial x^{A}}{\partial u^{i}} \frac{\partial x^{B}}{\partial u^{j}} \frac{\partial x^{C}}{\partial u^{l}} \frac{\partial x^{D}}{\partial u^{m}}=R_{i j l m}-\left(h_{i m} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j m}\right)+\left(k_{i m} k_{j l}-k_{i l} k_{j m}\right) .
$$

Now, we suppose that the ambient manifold $(\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ is a manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures. Then, substituting (2.3) into above Gauss equation, and taking into account that $m=n+1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j l m}=K G_{i j l m}+\stackrel{*}{K} f_{i}^{a} G_{a j l m}+E_{i j l m} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{i j l m}=g_{i m} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j m}-f_{i m} f_{j l}+f_{i l} f_{j m},  \tag{3.2}\\
& E_{i j l m}=h_{i m} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j m}-k_{i m} k_{j l}+k_{i l} k_{j m}  \tag{3.3}\\
& K=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{4 n(n+1)}, \quad \stackrel{*}{K}=-\frac{\widetilde{*}}{4 n(n+1)}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $f_{i j}=f_{i}^{a} g_{a j}$.
The relation (3.1) yields

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{i m}=2(n-1)\left(K g_{i m}+\stackrel{*}{K} f_{i m}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h h_{i m}+\operatorname{tr} k f_{i}^{a} h_{a m}-2 h_{i m}^{2},  \tag{3.5}\\
\stackrel{*}{\rho}_{i m}=2(n-1)\left(K f_{i m}-\stackrel{*}{K} g_{i m}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h f_{i}^{a} h_{a m}-\operatorname{tr} k h_{i m}-2 f_{i}^{a} h_{a m}^{2},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\kappa=4 n(n-1) K+(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{2}\right),  \tag{3.6}\\
\stackrel{*}{\kappa}=-4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}-2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k-2 \operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We note that, because of $k_{i j}=-f_{i}^{a} h_{a j}$, we have $\operatorname{tr} k=-\operatorname{tr}(f h)$. In view of (3.1), we have

$$
R \cdot R=K G \cdot R+\stackrel{*}{K}(f G) \cdot R+E \cdot R .
$$

Using (2.12), we can easy to see that

$$
G \cdot R=Q(g, R), \quad(f G) \cdot R=Q(f g, R) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=K Q(g, R)+\stackrel{*}{K} Q(f g, R)+E \cdot R \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand

$$
E \cdot R=K(E \cdot G)+\stackrel{*}{K}(E \cdot f G)+E \cdot E
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(E \cdot G)_{r s i j l m}= \\
&= G_{a j l m} E_{i r s}^{a}+G_{i a l m} E_{j r s}^{a}+G_{i j a m} E_{l r s}^{a}+G_{i j l a} E_{m r s}^{a} \\
&= g_{j l}\left(E_{m i r s}+E_{i m r s}\right)-g_{j m}\left(E_{l i r s}+E_{i l r s}\right) \\
&+g_{i m}\left(E_{l j r s}+E_{j l r s}\right)-g_{i l}\left(E_{m j r s}+E_{j m r s}\right) \\
&-f_{i m}\left(f_{l}^{a} E_{a j r s}+f_{j}^{a} E_{a l r s}\right)+f_{i l}\left(f_{m}^{a} E_{a j r s}+f_{j}^{a} E_{a m r s}\right) \\
&-f_{j l}\left(f_{m}^{a} E_{a i r s}+f_{i}^{a} E_{a m r s}\right)+f_{j m}\left(f_{l}^{a} E_{a i r s}+f_{i}^{a} E_{a l r s}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

because of

$$
E_{m i r s}=-E_{m i r s} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{m}^{a} E_{a i r s}=-f_{i}^{a} E_{a m r s}
$$

Similary we have $E \cdot f G=0$, and therefore (3.7) reduces to

$$
R \cdot R=K Q(g, R)+\stackrel{*}{K} Q(f g, R)+E \cdot E .
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(E \cdot E)_{r s i j l m}= \\
&=-\left[h_{r i}^{2} E_{s j l m}+h_{r j}^{2} E_{i s l m}+h_{r l}^{2} E_{i j s m}+h_{r m}^{2} E_{i j l s}\right. \\
&-h_{s i}^{2} E_{r j l m}-h_{s j}^{2} E_{i r l m}-h_{s l}^{2} E_{i j r m}-h_{s m}^{2} E_{i j l r} \\
&-f_{r}^{a} f_{s}^{b}\left(h_{a i}^{2} E_{b j l m}+h_{a j}^{2} E_{i b l m}+h_{a l}^{2} E_{i j b m}+h_{a m}^{2} E_{i j l b}\right. \\
&\left.\left.-h_{b i}^{2} E_{a j l m}-h_{b j}^{2} E_{i a l m}-h_{b l}^{2} E_{i j a m}-h_{b m}^{2} E_{i j l a}\right)\right] \\
&=-Q\left(h^{2}, E\right)_{r s i j l m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can state
Proposition 3.1. The relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R \cdot R)_{r s i j l m}=K Q(g, R)_{r s i j l m}+\stackrel{*}{K} Q(f g, R)_{r s i j l m}-Q\left(h^{2}, E\right)_{r s i j l m} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds good for any h-hypersurface of an anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures.

Transvecting (3.8) with $g^{j l}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot \rho=K Q(g, \rho)+\stackrel{*}{K}(f g, \rho)+Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have
Proposition 3.2. The relation (3.9) holds good for any h-hypersurface of an anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures.

## 4. H-pseudosymmetry

In the case of anti-Kähler manifolds, we adopt the conditions (1.1) and (1.2) to the complex structure of the manifold introducing the following

Definition. The anti-Kähler manifold $(M, g, f)$ is said to be $\underline{h-p s e u d o-~}$ symmetric if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot R=\mathcal{L}_{1} Q(g, R)+\mathcal{L}_{2} Q(f g, R) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied on some set $U \subset M$, where $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are some scalar function on $U$.

The manifold $(M, g, f)$ is said to be Ricci h-pseudosymmetric if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \cdot \rho=\mathcal{L}_{1} Q(g, \rho)+\mathcal{L}_{2} Q(f g, \rho) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied on $U$.
Now, we consider h-hypersurface ( $M, g, f$ ) of the anti-Kähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures. Then, according to the Proposition 3.2, the relation (3.9) holds good. Thus, if $(M, g, f)$ is also Ricci h -pseudosymmetric, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}-K\right) Q(g, \rho)+\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}-\stackrel{*}{K}\right) Q(f g, \rho)=Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall examine two cases.
Case (1). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{1}=K \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=\stackrel{*}{K} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (4.3) reduces to

$$
Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right)=0
$$

and, in view of Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}+\delta h+\bar{\delta} f h \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if (4.5) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}\right. & \left.+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right)= \\
& =-2 \delta Q(h, h)-2 \bar{\delta} Q(h, f h)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and (3.9) reduces to

$$
R \cdot \rho=K Q(g, \rho)+\stackrel{*}{K} Q(f g, \rho)
$$

i.e., (4.4) holds.

Thus, we can state
Theorem 4.1. Let $(M, g, f)$ be h-hypersurface of the anti-Kähler manifold $(M, G, F)$ of constant totally real sectional curvatures. Then (4.5) is the necessary and the sufficient condition for $(M, g, f)$ to be Ricci $h$ pseudosymmetric on the appropriate set $U \subset M$ such that (4.4) holds.

Remark. According to (3.4), (4.4) turns into

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1}=\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{4 n(n+1)}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2}=-\frac{\stackrel{\widetilde{\kappa}}{\kappa}}{4 n(n+1)},
$$

where $\widetilde{\kappa}$ and $\stackrel{\widetilde{*}}{\kappa}$ are the first and the second scalar curvatures of $\widetilde{M}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n$.

Corollary. Let $(M, g, f)$ be h-hypersurface of the flat anti-Kähler manifold. Then (4.5) is the necessary and the sufficient condition for $(M, g, f)$ to be Ricci semisymmetric.

Case (2) If

$$
\lambda_{1}=\mathcal{L}_{1}-\frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{4 n(n+1)} \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2}=\mathcal{L}_{2}+\frac{\widetilde{\widetilde{\kappa}}}{4 n(n+1)} \neq 0
$$

then (4.3) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} Q(g, \rho)+\lambda_{2} Q(f g, \rho)=Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} Q(f g, \rho)-\lambda_{2} Q(g, \rho)=-Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} k h^{2}-\operatorname{tr} h f h^{2}+2 f h^{3}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the local coordinates, the left hand side of (4.6) is the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}\left(g_{r i} \rho_{s j}+g_{r j} \rho_{s i}-g_{s i} \rho_{r j}-g_{s j} \rho_{r i}-f_{r i} \stackrel{*}{\rho_{s j}}-f_{r j} \stackrel{*}{\rho} s i+f_{s i} \stackrel{*}{\rho_{r j}}+f_{s j} \stackrel{*}{\rho}{ }_{r i}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2}\left(f_{r i} \rho_{s j}+f_{r j} \rho_{s i}-f_{s i} \rho_{r j}-f_{s j} \rho_{r i}+g_{r i} \stackrel{*}{\rho} s j+g_{r j} \stackrel{*}{\rho_{s i}}-g_{s i} \stackrel{*}{\rho} r r j-g_{s j} \stackrel{*}{\rho} r i\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, by transvection with $g^{r i}$ we get

$$
\lambda_{1}(2 n \rho-\kappa g+\stackrel{*}{\kappa} f g)+\lambda_{2}(2 n \stackrel{*}{\rho}-\kappa f g-\stackrel{*}{\kappa} g) .
$$

In the similar way, we obtain from

$$
Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right)
$$

the following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\left[\operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k \operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr} h^{3}\right] h+\left[\operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr} k \operatorname{tr} h^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{3}\right)\right] f h \\
& +\left[(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}\right] h^{2}+2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} h h^{3}-2 \operatorname{tr} k f h^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, as a consequence of (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{1} Q(2 n \rho-\kappa g+\stackrel{*}{\kappa} f g, h)+\lambda_{2} Q(2 n \stackrel{*}{\rho}-\kappa f g-\stackrel{*}{\kappa} g, h) \\
=-\left[(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}\right] Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right)  \tag{4.8}\\
+2 \operatorname{tr} h Q\left(h, h^{3}\right)+2 \operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, f h^{3}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

But, the right hand side of (4.8) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[-(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}+( \right.}\left.\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}\right] Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right) \\
& \quad+2 \operatorname{tr} h Q\left(h, h^{3}\right)+2 \operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, f h^{3}\right) \\
&=-\operatorname{tr} h[ \left.\operatorname{tr} h Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right)-2 Q\left(h, h^{3}\right)\right] \\
&+ \operatorname{tr} k\left[\operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr} h Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right)+2 Q\left(h, f h^{3}\right)\right] \\
&=-\operatorname{tr} h Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} h h^{2}+\operatorname{tr} k f h^{2}-2 h^{3}\right)+\operatorname{tr} k Q\left(h, \operatorname{tr} k h^{2}-\operatorname{tr} h f h^{2}+2 f h^{3}\right) \\
&=-\operatorname{tr} h[ \left.\lambda_{1} Q(g, \rho)+\lambda_{2} Q(f g, \rho)\right]+\operatorname{tr} k\left[-\lambda_{1} Q(f g, \rho)+\lambda_{2} Q(g, \rho)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

because of (4.6) and (4.7). Thus, (4.8) is of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1} Q(2 n \rho-\kappa g+\stackrel{*}{\kappa} f g, h)+\lambda_{2} Q(2 n \stackrel{*}{\rho}-\kappa f g-\stackrel{*}{\kappa} g, h)  \tag{4.9}\\
& =-\lambda_{1}[\operatorname{tr} h Q(g, \rho)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(f g, \rho)]+\lambda_{2}[\operatorname{tr} k Q(g, \rho)-\operatorname{tr} h Q(f g, \rho)]
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, using (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(2 n \rho-\kappa g+\stackrel{*}{\kappa} f g, h) \\
=[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(g, h)+[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(f g, h)+4 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q(2 n \stackrel{*}{\rho}-\kappa f g-\stackrel{*}{\kappa} g, h) \\
=[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(f g, h)-[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(g, h)+4 n Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

such that (4.9) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{1}\{ & {[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(g, h)+[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(f g, h) } \\
& \left.+4 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h Q(g, \rho)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(f g, \rho)\right\} \\
+\lambda_{2}\{ & {[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(f g, h)-[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(g, h) }  \tag{4.10}\\
& \left.+4 n Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr} k Q(g, \rho)+\operatorname{tr} h Q(f g, \rho)\right\}=0
\end{align*}
$$

If we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & {[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(g, h)+[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(f g, h) } \\
& +4 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h Q(g, \rho)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(f g, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f P= & {[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(f g, h)-[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}-\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(g, h) } \\
& +4 n Q\left(f h, h^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h Q(f g, \rho)-\operatorname{tr} k Q(g, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
Q\left(h, f h^{2}\right)=Q\left(f h, h^{2}\right)
$$

This means that (4.10) can be expressed in the form

$$
\lambda_{1} P+\lambda_{2} f P=0
$$

This relation, together with

$$
-\lambda_{2} P+\lambda_{1} f P=0
$$

yields

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}\right) P=0
$$

and $P=0$ if at last one of the conditions $\lambda_{1} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{2} \neq 0$ is satisfied. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa] Q(g, h)+[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}] Q(f g, h)} \\
& +4 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr} h Q(g, \rho)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(f g, \rho)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[4 n(n-1) K-\kappa+(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}\right] Q(g, h) } \\
+ & {[4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}+2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k] Q(f g, h) }  \tag{4.11}\\
- & 2 \operatorname{tr} h Q\left(g, h^{2}\right)-2 \operatorname{tr} k Q\left(g, f h^{2}\right)+4 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(g, \rho)=\operatorname{tr} h Q(g, h)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(g, f h)-2 Q\left(g, h^{2}\right) \\
& Q(f g, \rho)=\operatorname{tr} h Q(f g, h)+\operatorname{tr} k Q(g, h)-2 Q\left(g, f h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, according to (3.6),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 n(n-1) K-\kappa+(\operatorname{tr} h)^{2}-(\operatorname{tr} k)^{2}=2 \operatorname{tr}\left(h^{2}\right) \\
& 4 n(n-1) \stackrel{*}{K}+\stackrel{*}{\kappa}+2 \operatorname{tr} h \operatorname{tr} k=-2 \operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and (4.11) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{tr} h^{2} Q(g, h)-\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right) Q(f g, h) \\
& -\operatorname{tr} h Q\left(g, h^{2}\right)-\operatorname{tr} k Q\left(g, f h^{2}\right)+2 n Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q\left(h-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n} g+\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n} f g, h^{2}-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h^{2}}{2 n} g+\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)}{2 n} f g\right) \\
& =Q\left(h, h^{2}\right)+Q\left(g, \frac{\operatorname{tr} h^{2}}{2 n} h\right)-Q\left(g, \frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)}{2 n} f h\right) \\
& \quad-Q\left(g, \frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n} h^{2}\right)+Q\left(g, \frac{\operatorname{tr} f h}{2 n} f h^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This, in view of (4.12), means that

$$
Q\left(h-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n} g+\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n} f g, h^{2}-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h^{2}}{2 n} g+\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)}{2 n} f g\right)=0
$$

from which, applying Lemma 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{2}-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h^{2}}{2 n} g & +\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)}{2 n} f g=\delta\left(h-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n} g+\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n} f g\right) \\
& +\bar{\delta}\left(f h-\frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n} f g-\frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n} g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}=\delta h+\bar{\delta} f h+\mu g+\bar{\mu} f g \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =\frac{\operatorname{tr} h^{2}}{2 n}-\delta \frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n}-\bar{\delta} \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n} \\
\bar{\mu} & =-\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(f h^{2}\right)}{2 n}+\delta \frac{\operatorname{tr}(f h)}{2 n}-\bar{\delta} \frac{\operatorname{tr} h}{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, if (4.13) holds, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(h^{2}, E\right) & =Q(\delta h+\bar{\delta} f h+\mu g+\bar{\mu} f g, E) \\
& =\delta Q(h, E)+\bar{\delta} Q(f h, E)+\mu Q(g, E)+\bar{\mu} Q(f g, E)
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
Q(h, E)=Q(f h, E)=0
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(h^{2}, E\right)=\mu Q(g, E)+\bar{\mu} Q(f g, E) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of $Q(g, G)=Q(f g, G)=0$, we have

$$
\mu Q(g, K G+\stackrel{*}{K} f G)=0, \quad \bar{\mu} Q(f g, K G+\stackrel{*}{K} f G)=0
$$

that is, the relation (4.14) is equivalent to

$$
Q\left(h^{2}, E\right)=\mu Q(g, K G+\stackrel{*}{K} f G+E)+\bar{\mu} Q(f g, K G+\stackrel{*}{K} f G+E)
$$

In the other words

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q\left(h^{2}, E\right)=\mu Q(g, R)+\bar{\mu} Q(f g, R) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Proposition 3.1, for any h-hypersurface of the antiKähler manifold of constant totally real sectional curvatures, the relation (3.8) holds, which, in view of (4.15) becomes

$$
R \cdot R=(K-\mu) Q(G, R)+(\stackrel{*}{K}-\bar{\mu}) Q(f g, R) .
$$

This means that if (4.15) holds, then $(M, g, f)$ is h-pseudosymmetric. But h-pseudosymmetric manifold is Ricci h-pseudosymmetric, too. Thus, we can state

Theorem 4.2. Let $(M, g, f)$, $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n$, be a h-hypersurface of the anti-Kähler manifold ( $\widetilde{M}, G, F)$ of constant totally real sectional curvatures. Let $\widetilde{\kappa}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}_{\kappa}^{\kappa}}$ be the first and the second scalar curvatures of $(\widetilde{M}, G, F)$. Then (4.13) is the necessary and the sufficient condition for $(M, g, f)$ to be, on the appropriate set $U \subset M$, Ricci $h$-pseudosymmetric such that at least one of the relations

$$
\mathcal{L}_{1} \neq \frac{\widetilde{\kappa}}{4 n(n+1)}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{2} \neq \frac{\widetilde{*}}{4 n(n+1)}
$$

is satisfied.
5. Remark. H-pseudosymmetry is also considered in [5]. In that paper it is proved that every anti-Kähler manifold satisfying the Roter type equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, Z, W)= & N_{1} \Gamma(X, Y, Z, W)+N_{2} \Gamma(f X, Y, Z, W) \\
& +N_{3} G(X, Y, Z, W)+N_{4} G(f X, Y, Z, W)
\end{aligned}
$$

on some set $U \subset M$, is h-pseudosymmetric, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(X, Y, Z, W)= & \rho(X, W) \rho(Y, Z)-\rho(X, Z) \rho(Y, W) \\
& -\stackrel{*}{\rho}(X, W) \stackrel{*}{\rho}(Y, Z)+\stackrel{*}{\rho}(X, Z) \stackrel{*}{\rho}(Y, W)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{4}$ are some scalar functions on $U$.
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