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#### Abstract

For any positive integer $m$ let $F_{m}=2^{2^{m}}+1$ be the $m$ th Fermat number. In this short note we show that the only solutions of the diophantine equation $F_{m}=\binom{n}{k}$ are the trivial ones, i.e., those with $k=1$ or $n-1$. Key words and phrases: Fermat numbers, Pascal triangle, diophantine equations.


## Resumen

Para cualquier entero positivo $m$ sea $F_{m}=2^{2^{m}}+1$ el $m$-simo número de Fermat. En esta breve nota demostramos que las únicas soluciones de la ecuación diofántica $F_{m}=\binom{n}{k}$ son las triviales, es decir aquellas para las cuales $k=1$ o $n-1$
Palabras y frases clave: Números de Fermat, triángulo de Pascal, ecuaciones diofánticas.

## 1 Introduction

For any integer $m \geq 0$ let $F_{m}=2^{2^{m}}+1$ be the $m$ th Fermat number. A triangular number is a positive integer of the form $\binom{n}{2}$ for some positive integer $n$. In [2], Krishna showed that the only triangular Fermat number is $F_{0}=$ $3=\binom{3}{2}$. This result appears also in Radovici-Mărculescu [4]. Both proofs are immediate and are based on modular arguments. In this note, we extend the above result. Our main theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\binom{n}{k}, \quad \text { for some } n \geq 2 k \geq 2 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $k=1$.
Notice that the condition $n \geq 2 k$ is not really restrictive because of the symmetry of the binomial coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{k}=\binom{n}{n-k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result can be interpreted by saying that the Fermat numbers sit in the Pascal triangle only in the trivial way.

A connection of the Fermat numbers with the Pascal triangle was pointed out in the paper of Hewgill [1]. We mention that several other diophantine equations involving the Pascal triangle and Fermat numbers were previously investigated. For example, in [3] we determined all binomial coefficients which can be numbers of sides of regular polygons which can be constructed with the ruler and the compass.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Assume that equation (1) has a solution with $k>1$. Notice that in this case $m>4$ because $F_{m}$ is prime for $m=0,1, \ldots, 4$. We first show that $k<2^{m}$. Indeed, assume that $k \geq 2^{m}$. Since $m \geq 5$, it follows that $k \geq 2^{5}=32$. One can easily check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k!<\left(\frac{k}{2.2}\right)^{k} \quad \text { for all } k \geq 10 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, inequality (3) follows from Stirling's formula. Equation (1) and inequality (3) now imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{2^{m}}+1= & F_{m}=\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n(n-1) \ldots(n-k+1)}{k!}>\frac{(n-k)^{k}}{k!} \\
& >\left(\frac{2.2(n-k)}{k}\right)^{k} \geq(2.2)^{k} \geq(2.2)^{2^{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
1+\frac{1}{2^{2^{m}}}>\left(\frac{2.2}{2}\right)^{2^{m}}=\left(1+\frac{1}{10}\right)^{2^{m}}>1+\frac{2^{m}}{10}
$$

or

$$
10>2^{m+2^{m}}
$$

which is certainly impossible for $m \geq 5$. Thus, $k<2^{m}$.
At this point, we recall the following well-known result due to Lucas. Assume that $p$ is a prime and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=n_{0}+n_{1} p+\cdots+n_{t} p^{t} \quad \text { for some } n_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}, \text { with } n_{t} \neq 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=k_{0}+k_{1} p+\cdots+k_{t} p^{t} \quad \text { for some } k_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{k} \equiv\binom{n_{0}}{k_{0}}\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}} \cdots\binom{n_{t}}{k_{t}} \quad(\bmod p) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\prod_{p \mid n} p^{\alpha_{p}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left\{p: p \mid n \text { and } p \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, let $n=n_{1} d$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1}=\prod_{p \in A} p^{\alpha_{p}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that $d \mid k$. This is clear if $d=1$. Assume that $d>1$ and choose a prime number $q \mid d$. Since all the prime divisors of $F_{m}$ are congruent to 1 modulo $2^{m+1}$, it follows that $q \not \backslash F_{m}$. But since $q|d| n$, it follows that if one writes $n$ in base $q$ according to formula (4), then one gets that $n_{0}=0$. If $q \nmid k$, then $k_{0}>0$ and now formula (6) would imply that

$$
F_{m} \equiv\binom{n}{k} \equiv\binom{0}{k_{0}} \cdot\binom{n_{1}}{k_{1}} \cdots \cdot\binom{n_{t}}{k_{t}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q)
$$

which is impossible because $q$ does not divide $F_{m}$. Hence, every prime divisor of $d$ divides $k$ as well. To show that $d \mid k$, we need to show that if $q^{\alpha} \| d$ for some $\alpha \geq 1$, then $q^{\alpha} \mid k$. Assuming that this were not so, it follows that $q^{\beta} \| k$ for some $\beta<\alpha$. But in this case, $n_{\beta}=0$ and $k_{\beta} \neq 0$ which, via formula (6), would imply again that $q$ divides $F_{m}$, which is impossible.
Hence, $d \mid k$. In particular, since $k<2^{m}$, it follows that $d<2^{m}$ as well. We now notice that since $n_{1}$ is a product of primes from $A$, it follows that
$n_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$. This implies that $n \equiv d\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$. However, since $d \leq k<2^{m}<2^{m+1}$, Lucas's theorem for the prime $p=2$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\binom{n}{k} \equiv\binom{d}{k} \quad(\bmod 2) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F_{m}$ is odd and $d \leq k$, formula (10) implies that $d=k$. Thus, $k \mid n$. We may now write equation (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\frac{n}{k} \cdot\binom{n-1}{k-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{n}{k}$ is an integer. At this point, one should notice that the relevant feature of the preceeding argument was based only on the shape of the prime divisors of $F_{m}$. Hence, one can iterate the above argument to get that $(k-i) \mid(n-i)$ for all $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$. This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv i(\bmod k-i) \equiv k(\bmod k-i), \quad \text { for all } i=0,1, \ldots, k-1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\operatorname{lcm}(1,2, \ldots, k)=[1,2, \ldots, k] . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From formula (12), we get that $n \equiv k(\bmod N)$. Write $n=k+a N$ for some positive integer $a$. Now equation (1) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}=\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n}{k} \cdot \frac{n-1}{k-1} \cdots \cdots \frac{n-k+1}{1}=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1+a \frac{N}{k-i}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N_{i}=\frac{N}{k-i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$. Notice that exactly one of the numbers $N_{i}$ is odd and all the other ones are even. Indeed, the only odd number $N_{i}$ corresponds to $i=k-2^{\mu}$, where $2^{\mu}$ is the largest power of 2 less than or equal to $k$. We now look again at equation (14) and we write it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{m}}+1=\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(1+a N_{i}\right)=1+a S_{1}+a^{2} S_{2}+\ldots+a^{k} S_{k} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{j}$ is the $j$ th fundamental symmetric polynomial in the $N_{i}$ 's. Since eactly one of the numbers $N_{i}$ is odd, it follows that $S_{1}$ is odd and that $S_{j}$ is even for all $j \geq 1$. Equation number (15) can now be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{2^{m}}=a\left(S_{1}+a S_{2}+\ldots+a^{k-1} S_{k}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From formula (16), one can see right away that the factor $S_{1}+a S_{2}+\ldots+a^{k-1} S^{k}$ is odd and larger than 1 (here is where $k>1$ is really used), so it cannot divide the power of 2 from the left hand side of equation (16).
The Theorem is therefore proved.
Remark. One can mimic the above arguments to show that is $a>1$ is any positive integer and $F_{m}(a)=a^{2^{m}}+1$ is the $m$ th generalized Fermat number, then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(a)=\binom{n}{k}, \quad \text { for some } n \geq 2 k \text { and } k \geq 2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only finitely many computable solutions. That is, there exists a constant $C(a)$ depending only on $a$ such that all solutions of equation (17) satisfies $m<C(a)$. The fact that there are sometimes non-trivial solutions of (17) is illustrated by the example

$$
F_{1}(3)=\binom{5}{2}
$$

A generalization of the result from the present paper to diophantine equations involving equal values of binomial coefficients and members of Lucas sequences will be given in a forthcoming paper.
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