

Triple Positive Solutions to Initial-boundary Value Problems of Nonlinear Delay Differential Equations

Yuming Wei^{*,1,2}, Patricia J. Y. Wong³

¹ Department of Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China

² School of Mathematical Science, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, 541004, China

³ School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the existence of triple positive solutions to the boundary value problem of nonlinear delay differential equation

$$\begin{cases} (\phi(x'(t)))' + a(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x_0 = 0, \\ x(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism with $\phi(0) = 0$, and x_t is a function in $C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R})$ defined by $x_t(\sigma) = x(t + \sigma)$ for $-\tau \leq \sigma \leq 0$. By using a fixed-point theorem in a cone introduced by Avery and Peterson, we provide sufficient conditions for the existence of triple positive solutions to the above boundary value problem. An example is also presented to demonstrate our result. The conclusions in this paper essentially extend and improve the known results.

Keywords: Boundary value problems; Positive solutions; Delay differential equations; Increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism.

AMS(2000) Mathematical Subject Classification: 34B15.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, for any intervals I and J of \mathbb{R} , we denote by $C(I, J)$ the set of all continuous functions defined on I with values in J . Let τ be a nonnegative real number and $t \in [0, 1]$, and let x be a continuous real-valued function defined at least on $[t - \tau, t]$. We define $x_t \in C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R})$ by

$$x_t(\sigma) = x(t + \sigma), \quad -\tau \leq \sigma \leq 0.$$

* Corresponding author, E-mail address: ymwei@gxnu.edu.cn (Yuming Wei)

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(10671012) and SRFDP of China (20050007011).

In this paper we consider the nonlinear delay differential equation

$$(\phi(x'(t)))' + a(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1.1)$$

with the conditions

$$x_0 = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$x(1) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Note that, according to our notation, (1.2) means $x(\sigma) = 0$ for $-\tau \leq \sigma \leq 0$. Also, $f(t, u, v, \mu)$ is a nonnegative real-valued continuous function defined on $[0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R})$, $a(t)$ is a nonnegative continuous function defined on $(0, 1)$, and $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an *increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism* (defined below) with $\phi(0) = 0$.

A projection $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an *increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, $\phi(x) \leq \phi(y)$ if $x \leq y$;
- (2) ϕ is a continuous bijection and its inverse mapping ϕ^{-1} is also continuous;
- (3) $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$.

In the above definition, we can replace condition (3) by the following stronger condition:

- (4) $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

If conditions (1), (2) and (4) hold, then ϕ is homogeneously generating a p -Laplacian operator, i.e., $\phi(x) = |x|^{p-2}x$ for some $p > 1$.

In recent years, the existence of solutions to nonlinear boundary value problems of delay differential equations has been extensively studied, see [1], [4], [8], [10]-[25], and the references therein. However, there is little research on problems involving the increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism operator. In [18], Liu and Zhang study the existence of positive solutions of the quasilinear differential equation

$$\begin{cases} (\phi(x'))' + a(t)f(x(t)) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ x(0) - \beta x'(0) = 0, & x(1) + \delta x'(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism with $\phi(0) = 0$. They obtain the existence of one or two positive solutions by using a fixed-point theorem in cones. However, in the literature, the existence of *three* positive solutions has never been established for boundary value problems of delay differential equations with increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism operators. Therefore, the aim of this paper is to offer some criteria for the existence of triple positive solutions to the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3). We also emphasize the generality of the nonlinear term f considered in (1.1) which involves the first-order derivative. Our main tool is a fixed-point theorem in cones introduced by Avery and Peterson [2]. Note that existence of triple solutions to many other boundary value problems of ordinary differential

equations have been tackled in the literature; see for example [5, 6, 22, 23] and the references cited therein.

By a solution of boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3), we mean a function $x \in C[-\tau, 1] \cap C^1[0, 1]$ that satisfies (1.1) when $0 < t < 1$, while $x(t) = 0$ for $-\tau \leq t \leq 0$, and $x(1) = 0$. Throughout, we shall assume that

$$(H_1) \quad f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}), [0, \infty));$$

$$(H_2) \quad a \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1[0, 1] \text{ with } a(t) > 0 \text{ on } (0, 1).$$

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, for the convenience of the readers we give some definitions and the fixed-point theorem of Avery and Peterson [2]. The main results are developed in Section 3. As an application, we also include an example.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some background materials cited from cone theory in Banach spaces. The following definitions can be found in the books by Deimling [7] and by Guo and Lakshmikantham [9].

Definition 2.1 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. A nonempty, closed, convex set $P \subset E$ is said to be a cone provided the following are satisfied:*

$$(a) \text{ if } y \in P \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0, \text{ then } \lambda y \in P;$$

$$(b) \text{ if } y \in P \text{ and } -y \in P, \text{ then } y = 0.$$

If $P \subset E$ is a cone, we denote the order induced by P on E by \leq , i.e., $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$.

Definition 2.2 *A map α is said to be a nonnegative continuous concave functional in a cone P of a real Banach space E if $\alpha : P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\alpha(tx + (1 - t)y) \geq t\alpha(x) + (1 - t)\alpha(y).$$

A map γ is said to be a nonnegative continuous convex functional in a cone P of a real Banach space E if $\gamma : P \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous and for all $x, y \in P$ and $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\gamma(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq t\gamma(x) + (1 - t)\gamma(y).$$

Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P . For positive numbers a, b, c, d , we define the following convex sets of P :

$$P(\gamma, d) = \{x \in P : \gamma(x) < d\},$$

$$P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) = \{x \in P : b \leq \alpha(x), \gamma(x) \leq d\},$$

$$P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) = \{x \in P : b \leq \alpha(x), \theta(x) \leq c, \gamma(x) \leq d\},$$

and the closed set

$$R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) = \{x \in P : a \leq \psi(x), \gamma \leq d\}.$$

The following fixed-point theorem due to Avery and Peterson is fundamental in the proof of our main result.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]) *Let P be a cone in a real Banach space E . Let γ and θ be nonnegative continuous convex functionals on P , α be a nonnegative continuous concave functional on P , and ψ be a nonnegative continuous functional on P satisfying $\psi(\lambda x) \leq \lambda\psi(x)$ for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and for some positive numbers M, d ,*

$$\alpha(x) \leq \psi(x), \quad \|x\| \leq M\gamma(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Suppose that $T : \overline{P(\gamma, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ is completely continuous and there exist positive numbers a, b, c with $a < b$ such that

$$(S_1) \quad \{x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d) : \alpha(x) > b\} \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \alpha(Tx) > b \text{ for } x \in P(\gamma, \theta, \alpha, b, c, d);$$

$$(S_2) \quad \alpha(Tx) > b \text{ for } x \in P(\gamma, \alpha, b, d) \text{ with } \theta(Tx) > c;$$

$$(S_3) \quad 0 \notin R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) \text{ and } \psi(Tx) < a \text{ for } x \in R(\gamma, \psi, a, d) \text{ with } \psi(x) = a.$$

Then T has at least three fixed points $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \overline{P(\gamma, d)}$ such that

$$\gamma(x_i) \leq d, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad b < \alpha(x_1); \quad a < \psi(x_2) \text{ with } \alpha(x_2) < b; \quad \psi(x_3) < a.$$

3 Main Results

In this section, we impose growth conditions on f which allow us to apply Theorem 2.1 to establish the existence of triple positive solutions to the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Let $E = C^1[-\tau, 1]$ be a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x(t)|, \max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x'(t)| \right\}.$$

From (1.1) we have $(\phi(x'(t)))' = -a(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) \leq 0$; thus x is concave on $[0, 1]$. Consequently, we define a cone $P \subset E$ by

$$P = \{x \in E : x(t) \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in [-\tau, 1], x_0 = 0, x(1) = 0, x \text{ is concave on } [0, 1]\}. \quad (3.1)$$

For $x \in P$, define

$$u(t) = \int_0^t \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^t a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds - \int_t^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_t^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds,$$

where $0 < t < 1$. Clearly, $u(t)$ is continuous and strictly increasing in $(0, 1)$ and $u(0^+) < 0 < u(1^-)$. Thus, $u(t)$ has a unique zero in $(0, 1)$. Let $t_0 = t_x$ (i.e., t_0 is dependent on x) be the zero of $u(t)$ in $(0, 1)$. It follows that

$$\int_0^{t_0} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{t_0} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds = \int_{t_0}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds. \quad (3.2)$$

To apply Theorem 2.1, we define the nonnegative continuous concave functional α_1 , the nonnegative continuous convex functionals θ_1, γ_1 , and the nonnegative continuous functional ψ_1 on the cone P by

$$\alpha_1(x) = \min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |x(t)|, \quad \gamma_1(x) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'(t)|, \quad \psi_1(x) = \theta_1(x) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)|,$$

where $x \in P$ and $k (\geq 3)$ is an integer.

We will need the following lemmas in deriving the main result.

Lemma 3.1 *For $x \in P$, there exists a constant $M \geq 1$ such that*

$$\max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x(t)| \leq M \max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x'(t)|.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 of [3], there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)| \leq L \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'(t)|. \quad (3.3)$$

Since $x_0 = 0$ implies $x(t) = 0 = x'(t)$ for $t \in [-\tau, 0]$, it is then clear that

$$\max_{t \in [-\tau, 0]} |x(t)| = \max_{t \in [-\tau, 0]} |x'(t)|. \quad (3.4)$$

Now let $M = \max\{L, 1\}$; thus (3.3) and (3.4) yield that

$$\max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x(t)| \leq M \max_{t \in [-\tau, 1]} |x'(t)|. \quad \square \quad (3.5)$$

With Lemma 3.1 and the concavity of x for all $x \in P$, the functionals defined above satisfy

$$\frac{1}{k} \theta_1(x) \leq \alpha_1(x) \leq \theta_1(x), \quad \|x\| = \max\{\theta_1(x), \gamma_1(x)\} \leq M \gamma_1(x), \quad \alpha_1(x) \leq \psi_1(x). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Let the operator $T : P \rightarrow E$ be defined by

$$Tx(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & -\tau \leq t \leq 0, \\ \int_0^t \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{t_0} a(r) f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, & 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \\ \int_t^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^s a(r) f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, & t_0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where t_0 is defined by (3.2). It is well known that boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a positive solution x if and only if $x \in P$ is a fixed point of T .

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Then $TP \subset P$ and $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. By (3.7), we have for $x \in P$,

$$Tx(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in [-\tau, 1], \quad Tx(\sigma) = 0, \quad \sigma \in [-\tau, 0], \quad Tx(1) = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, $Tx(t_0)$ is the maximum value of Tx on $[0, 1]$, since

$$(Tx)'(t) := \begin{cases} 0, & -\tau \leq t \leq 0, \\ \phi^{-1} \left(\int_t^{t_0} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r)dr \right) \geq 0, & 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \\ -\phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^t a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r)dr \right) \leq 0, & t_0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

is continuous and nonincreasing in $[0, 1]$ and $(Tx)'(t_0) = 0$. So Tx is concave on $[0, 1]$, which together with (3.8) shows that $TP \subset P$. Using a similar argument as in [3], one can show that $T : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous. \square

We can further prove the following result: for $x \in P$,

$$\min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} Tx(t) \geq \frac{1}{k} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} Tx(t). \quad (3.10)$$

In fact, from (3.7) we have

$$Tx(t) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{Tx(t_0)}{t_0}t \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} Tx(t)t, & 0 \leq t \leq t_0, \\ \frac{Tx(t_0)}{1-t_0}(1-t) \geq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} Tx(t)(1-t), & t_0 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies that (3.10) holds.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \min \left\{ \int_{1/k}^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r)dr \right) ds, \int_{1/2}^{(k-1)/k} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r)dr \right) ds \right\}, \\ \rho &= \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 a(r)dr \right), \\ N &= \max \left\{ \int_{1/k}^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r)dr \right) ds, \int_{1/2}^{(k-1)/k} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r)dr \right) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to apply the Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem to the operator T to give sufficient conditions for the existence of at least three positive solutions to boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that (H_1) and (H_2) hold. Let $0 < a < b \leq \frac{Md}{k}$ and suppose that f satisfies the following conditions:*

$$(A_1) \quad f(t, u, v, \mu) < \phi\left(\frac{d}{\rho}\right) \text{ for } (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, Md] \times [-d, d], \|\mu\| \leq Md;$$

$$(A_2) \quad f(t, u, v, \mu) > \phi\left(\frac{kb}{\delta}\right) \text{ for } (t, u, v) \in \left[\frac{1}{k}, \frac{k-1}{k}\right] \times [b, kb] \times [-d, d], \|\mu\| \leq kb;$$

$$(A_3) \quad f(t, u, v, \mu) < \phi\left(\frac{a}{N}\right) \text{ for } (t, u, v) \in [0, 1] \times [0, a] \times [-d, d], \|\mu\| \leq kb.$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2 and x_3 such that

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} |x'_i(t)| \leq d, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$b < \min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |x_1(t)|, \quad a < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_2(t)|$$

with

$$\min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |x_2(t)| < b,$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_3(t)| < a.$$

Proof. The boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a solution x if and only if x solves the operator equation $x = Tx$. Thus, we set out to verify that the operator T satisfies the Avery-Peterson fixed point theorem, which then implies the existence of three fixed points of T .

For $x \in \overline{P(\gamma_1, d)}$, we have $\gamma_1(x) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'(t)| \leq d$, and, by Lemma 3.1, $\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x(t)| \leq Md$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Then condition (A_1) implies that $f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) \leq \phi(d/\rho)$. On the other hand, $x \in P$ implies that $Tx \in P$, so Tx is concave on $[0, 1]$ and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |(Tx)'(t)| = \max\{|(Tx)'(0)|, |(Tx)'(1)|\}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1(Tx) &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} |(Tx)'(t)| \\ &= \max \left\{ \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^{t_0} a(r) f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^1 a(r) f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{d}{\rho} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_0^1 a(r) dr \right) = \frac{d}{\rho} \rho = d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $T : \overline{P(\gamma_1, d)} \rightarrow \overline{P(\gamma_1, d)}$.

To check condition (S_1) of Theorem 2.1, choose

$$x_0(t) = -4k^2b \left(t - \frac{1}{2k} \right)^2 + kb, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

It is easy to see that $x_0 \in P(\gamma_1, \theta_1, \alpha_1, b, kb, d)$ and $\alpha_1(x_0) > b$, and so

$$\{x \in P(\gamma_1, \theta_1, \alpha_1, b, kb, d) : \alpha_1(x) > b\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Now, for $x \in P(\gamma_1, \theta_1, \alpha_1, b, kb, d)$ with $\alpha_1(x) > b$ and $t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]$, we have

$$b \leq x(t) \leq kb, \quad |x'(t)| \leq d.$$

Thus, for $t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]$, it follows from condition (A_2) that

$$f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) > \phi(kb/\delta).$$

By definition of α_1 and P , by (3.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_1(Tx) &= \min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |(Tx)(t)| \geq \frac{1}{k} \max_{[0,1]} Tx(t) = \frac{1}{k}(Tx)(t_0) \\
 &= \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{t_0} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{t_0} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_0}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{k} \left\{ \min \left\{ \int_0^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \int_{1/2}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \right\} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{k} \min \left\{ \int_{1/k}^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \int_{1/2}^{(k-1)/k} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \right\} \\
 &> \frac{1}{k} \frac{kb}{\delta} \delta = b,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\alpha_1(Tx) > b$ for all $x \in P(\gamma_1, \theta_1, \alpha_1, b, kb, d)$. This shows that condition (S_1) of Theorem 2.1 is satisfied.

Moreover, by (3.6) we have

$$\alpha_1(Tx) \geq \frac{1}{k} \theta_1(Tx) > \frac{1}{k} kb = b, \quad (3.11)$$

for all $x \in P(\gamma_1, \theta_1, \alpha_1, b, kb, d)$ with $\theta_1(Tx) > kb$. Hence, condition (S_2) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled.

Finally, we show that condition (S_3) of Theorem 2.1 holds as well. Clearly, $0 \notin R(\gamma_1, \psi_1, a, d)$ since $\psi_1(0) = 0 < a$. Suppose that $x \in R(\gamma_1, \psi_1, a, d)$ with $\psi_1(x) = a$. Then, by condition (A_3) , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi_1(Tx) &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} |(Tx)(t)| = (Tx)(t_0) \\
 &= \int_0^{t_0} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{t_0} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \\
 &= \int_{t_0}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{t_0}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \\
 &\leq \max \left\{ \int_0^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds, \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \int_{1/2}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r)f(r, x(r), x'(r), x_r) dr \right) ds \right\} \\
 &< \frac{a}{N} \max \left\{ \int_0^{1/2} \phi^{-1} \left(\int_s^{1/2} a(r) dr \right) ds, \int_{1/2}^1 \phi^{-1} \left(\int_{1/2}^s a(r) dr \right) ds \right\} \\
 &= \frac{a}{N} N = a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have $\psi_1(Tx) = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |Tx(t)| < a$. So condition (S_3) of Theorem 2.1 is met.

Since (3.6) holds for $x \in P$, all the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the boundary value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has at least three positive solutions x_1, x_2 and x_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'_i(t)| &\leq d, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ b &< \min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |x_1(t)|, \quad a < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_2(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\min_{t \in [1/k, (k-1)/k]} |x_2(t)| < b,$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_3(t)| < a.$$

The proof is complete. \square

To illustrate our main results, we shall now present an example.

Example 3.1 Consider the boundary value problem with increasing homeomorphism and positive homomorphism

$$\begin{cases} (\phi(x'(t)))' + f(t, x(t), x'(t), x_t) = 0, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ x(\sigma) = 0, & -\tau \leq \sigma \leq 0, \\ x(1) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\phi(x') = |x'(t)|x'(t)$,

$$f(t, u, v, \mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^t}{4} + 2306u^{10} + \frac{v}{15000} + \left(\frac{\mu}{30000}\right)^3, & u \leq 4, \\ \frac{e^t}{4} + 2306(5-u)u^{10} + \frac{v}{15000} + \left(\frac{\mu}{30000}\right)^3, & 4 \leq u \leq 5, \\ \frac{e^t}{4} + 2306(u-5)u^{10} + \frac{v}{15000} + \left(\frac{\mu}{30000}\right)^3, & 5 \leq u \leq 6, \\ \frac{e^t}{4} + 2306 \cdot 6^{10} + \frac{v}{15000} + \left(\frac{\mu}{30000}\right)^3, & u \geq 6. \end{cases}$$

Choose $a = 1/2$, $b = 1$, $k = 4$, $M = 1$, $d = 30000$. We note that $\delta = \frac{1}{12}$, $\rho = 1$, $N = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}$. Consequently, $f(t, u, v, \mu)$ satisfies

$$f(t, u, v, \mu) < \phi\left(\frac{d}{\rho}\right) = 9 \times 10^8$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $0 \leq u \leq 30000$, $-30000 \leq v \leq 30000$, $\|\mu\| \leq 30000$, and

$$f(t, u, v, \mu) > \phi\left(\frac{4b}{\delta}\right) = 2304$$

for $1/4 \leq t \leq 3/4$, $1 \leq u \leq 4$, $-30000 \leq v \leq 30000$, $\|\mu\| \leq 4$, and

$$f(t, u, v, \mu) < \phi\left(\frac{a}{N}\right) = 4.5$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $0 \leq u \leq 1/2$, $-30000 \leq v \leq 30000$, $\|\mu\| \leq 4$.

All the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Hence, the boundary value problem (3.12) has at least three positive solutions x_1 , x_2 and x_3 such that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x'_i(t)| \leq 30000, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$1 < \min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |x_1(t)|, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_2(t)|,$$

with

$$\min_{t \in [1/4, 3/4]} |x_2(t)| < 1,$$

and

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |x_3(t)| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Remark 3.1 The same conclusions of Theorem 3.1 hold when ϕ fulfills conditions (1), (2) and (4). In particular, for the p -Laplacian operator $\phi(x) = |x|^{p-2}x$, for some $p > 1$, our conclusions are true and new.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Singular boundary value problems for superlinear second order ordinary and delay differential equations. *J. Differential Equations* 130 (1996), 333-355.
- [2] R. Avery and A. C. Peterson, Three positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 42 (2001), 313-322.
- [3] Z. Bai, Z. Gui and W. Ge, Multiple positive solutions for some p -Laplacian boundary value problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 300 (2004), 477-490.
- [4] C. Bai and J. Ma, Eigenvalue criteria for existence of multiple positive solutions to boundary value problems of second-order delay differential equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 301 (2005), 457-476.
- [5] K. L. Boey and P. J. Y. Wong, Existence of triple positive solutions of two-point right focal boundary value problems on time scales. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 50 (2005), 1603-1620.
- [6] C. J. Chyan and P. J. Y. Wong, Triple solutions of focal boundary value problems on time scale. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 49 (2005), 963-979.
- [7] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [8] L. H. Erbe and Q. Kong, Boundary value problems for singular second-order functional-differential equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 53 (1994), 377-388.
- [9] Dajun Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [10] D. Jiang, Multiple positive solutions for boundary value problems of second-order delay differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15 (2002), 575-583.

- [11] D. Jiang and J. Wei, Existence of positive periodic solutions for nonautonomous delay differential equations. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 20 (1999), 715-720.
- [12] D. Jiang, X. Xu, D. O'Regan and R. P. Agarwal, Singular positive and semipositone boundary value problems of second order delay differential equations. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 55 (2005), 483-498.
- [13] D. Jiang and L. Zhang, Positive solutions for boundary value problems of second order delay differential equations. *Acta Math. Sinica* 46 (2003), 739-746.
- [14] J. W. Lee and D. O'Regan, Existence results for differential delay equations. II. *Nonlinear Anal.* 17 (1991), 683-702.
- [15] J. W. Lee and D. O'Regan, Existence results for differential delay equations. I. *J. Differential Equations* 102 (1993), 342-359.
- [16] X. Lin and X. Xu, Singular semipositone boundary value problems for second-order delay differential equations. *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* 25 (2005), 496-502.
- [17] Y. Liu, Global attractivity for a class of delay differential equations with impulses. *Math. Appl. (Wuhan)* 14 (2001), 13-18.
- [18] B. F. Liu and J. H. Zhang, The existence of positive solutions for some nonlinear boundary value problems with linear mixed boundary conditions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 309 (2005), 505-516.
- [19] J. Ren, B. Ren and W. Ge, Existence of a periodic solution for a nonlinear neutral delay differential equation. *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* 27 (2004), 89-98.
- [20] X. Shu and Y. Xu, Triple positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems for second-order functional differential equations. *Acta Math. Sinica* 48 (2005), 1113-1120.
- [21] Y. Wang and G. Wei, Existence of positive solutions to the one-dimensional p -Laplacian equation with delay. Doctoral thesis, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 2006.
- [22] P. J. Y. Wong, Multiple fixed-sign solutions for a system of generalized right focal problems with deviating arguments. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 323 (2006), 100-118.
- [23] P. J. Y. Wong, Multiple fixed-sign solutions for a system of higher order three-point boundary value problems with deviating arguments. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 55 (2008), 516-534.
- [24] S. Xiong, Stability of an impulsive nonlinear functional differential system with finite delays. *Pure Appl. Math. (Xian)* 21 (2005), 39-45.
- [25] X. Xu, Multiple positive solutions for singular semi-positone delay differential equation. *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2005 (2005), No. 70, 1-12.

(Received November 21, 2008)