



Existence and concentration of solutions for nonautonomous Schrödinger–Poisson systems with critical growth

Yiwei Ye 

College of Mathematical Sciences, Chongqing Normal University, Chongqing 401331, PR China

Received 27 April 2017, appeared 12 December 2017

Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

Abstract. In this paper, we study the following Schrödinger–Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \mu\phi u = \lambda f(x, u) + u^5 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = \mu u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu, \lambda > 0$ are parameters and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Under certain general assumptions on $f(x, u)$, we prove the existence and concentration of solutions of the above system for each $\mu > 0$ and λ sufficiently large. Our main result can be viewed as an extension of the results by Zhang [*Nonlinear Anal.* 75(2012), 6391–6401].

Keywords: Schrödinger–Poisson system; critical growth; variational methods.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J47, 35B33.

1 Introduction and main results

Consider the following Schrödinger–Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \mu\phi u = \lambda f(x, u) + u^5 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = \mu u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu, \lambda > 0$ are parameters and $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Equation (1.1) or the more general one

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + K(x)\phi u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

arise from several interesting physical fields, such as in quantum electrodynamics, describing the interaction between a charged particle interacting with the electromagnetic field, and also in semiconductor theory and in plasma physics. For more details in physical background we refer to [5, 8] and the references therein.

 Email: yeyiwei2011@126.com

There are many papers studying the existence of solutions of system (1.2), see [2–4, 7–10, 12–14, 16–22] and their references. A lot of works focus on the study of problem (1.2) with the very special case $V = K = 1$ and $f(x, u) = |u|^{p-2}u$, and existence and multiplicity of positive solutions as well as radial or nonradial symmetric solutions are obtained, see e.g. [2, 3, 7–10, 13]. The Schrödinger–Poisson system with critical nonlinearity of the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \phi u = P(x)|u|^4u + \lambda Q(x)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad 2 < q < 6, \quad \lambda > 0, \end{cases}$$

has been studied in [22]. Besides some other conditions, Zhao et al. assume that $P \in C(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R})$, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} P(x) = P_\infty \in (0, +\infty)$ and $P(x) \geq P_\infty$ and prove the existence of one positive solution for $4 < q < 6$ and each $\lambda > 0$. It is also proven the existence of one positive solution for $q = 4$ and λ large enough. Zhang [18] considers the following type of Schrödinger–Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + \mu \phi u = f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = \mu u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^+)$ satisfies $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} f(u)/u^5 = K > 0$ and $f(u) \geq Ku^5 + Du^{q-1}$ for some $D > 0$, which exhibits a critical growth. Applying a combined technique consisting in a truncation argument and a monotonicity trick, he proves that for $\mu > 0$ small, problem (1.3) admits a positive solution for $q \in (2, 4]$ with D sufficiently large or $q \in (4, 6)$. In [20], the same author studies problem (1.1) when $V = 1$ and $f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + \lambda b(x)|u|^{q-2}u + u^5$, where $p, q \in (4, 6)$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. Under certain decay rate conditions on $K(x)$, $a(x)$ and $b(x)$, he proves the existence of ground state solution and two nontrivial solutions for $\lambda > 0$ small. Recently, the Schrödinger–Poisson system with nonconstant coefficient of the following version

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + \varepsilon \phi u = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta \phi = u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0, \end{cases}$$

has been discussed in Mao et al. [12]. Assuming that V is coercive, i.e. $V(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and f is local subcritical and 4-superlinear at the origin, the authors prove the existence of nontrivial solution and its asymptotic behavior depending on ε and λ .

Motivated by the works described above, in this paper, we try to prove the existence of solutions of problem (1.1) with a much more general nonlinearity in critical growth. Precisely, we make the following hypotheses.

(f₁) There exist $c_0 > 0$ and $2 < p_1 < p_2 < 6$ such that $|f(x, s)| \leq c_0(|s|^{p_1-1} + |s|^{p_2-1})$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

(f₂) $F(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and there exist $c_1, \rho_0 > 0$ and $q \in (2, 6)$ such that $F(x, s) \geq c_1|s|^q$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $|s| \geq \rho_0$.

(f₃) There exists $\theta \in (2, 6)$ such that $f(x, s)s - \theta F(x, s) \geq 0$ for all $(x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (f₁)–(f₃) are satisfied with $p_1 > 3q - 4$. Then, for any $\mu > 0$, problem (1.1) possesses a nontrivial solution u_λ for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large. Moreover, $u_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as an extension of the main results in [18]. Note that, in [18], the existence of solution is obtained by using the radially symmetric Sobolev space $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where the embedding $H_r^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($2 < s < 6$) is compact. However, in our case since

f is nonradially symmetric, we have to deal with (1.1) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the Sobolev embedding $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($2 < s < 6$) is not compact any more. Moreover, the critical exponential growth makes the problem more complicated. To overcome these difficulties, we use a truncation argument (see [11]) together with careful analysis of the $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ sequence and prove the $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ condition holds for a suitable range of c_λ indirectly.

Notations

- $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ($1 \leq s \leq +\infty$) is a Lebesgue space whose norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_s$.
- $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the usual Hilbert space endowed with the norm $\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) dx$.
- $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx$.
- S denotes the best Sobolev constant

$$S := \inf_{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}}^2}{\|u\|_6^2}.$$

- For every $2 \leq q < 6$, denote

$$S_q := \inf_{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|^2}{\|u\|_q^2}.$$

- C and C_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) denotes various positive constants, which may vary from line to line.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For simplicity, we assume $\mu = 1$ and denote $H = H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We first recall the following well-known facts.

Lemma 2.1 (see [4]). *For each $u \in H$, there exists a unique $\phi_u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solution of*

$$-\Delta \phi_u = u^2 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

Moreover,

- (i) $\phi_u \geq 0$;
- (ii) $\phi_{tu} = t^2 \phi_u, \forall t > 0$;
- (iii) there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\phi_u\|_{\mathcal{D}^{1,2}} \leq C_0 \|u\|_\alpha^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx \leq C_0 \|u\|_\alpha^4,$$

where $\alpha = 12/5$.

Define the functional associated to problem (1.1)

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\lambda F(x, u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right) dx,$$

where $u \in H$. It is easy to check that $I \in C^1(H, \mathbb{R})$ and $(u, \phi) \in H \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if and only if $u \in H$ is a critical point of I and $\phi = \phi_u$.

We introduce the cut-off function $\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\chi(s) = 1$ for $s \in [0, 1]$, $\chi(s) = 0$ for $s \in [2, +\infty)$, $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\|\chi'\|_\infty \leq 2$. Consider the truncated functional $I_T : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$I_T(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}K_T(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\lambda F(x, u) + \frac{1}{6}u^6 \right) dx,$$

where, for each $T > 0$, $K_T(u) = \chi\left(\frac{\|u\|_\alpha}{T}\right)$. For λ sufficiently large, we will find a critical point u_λ of I_T such that $\|u_\lambda\|_\alpha \leq T$ and so we conclude that u_λ is also a critical point of I .

Lemma 2.2. *The functional I_T possesses a mountain pass geometry:*

- (i) *there exist constants $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $I_T(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $\|u\| = \rho$;*
- (ii) *there exists $e \in H$ such that $\|e\| > \rho$ and $I_T(e) < 0$.*

Proof. It follows from (f₁) that

$$|F(x, s)| \leq c_0(|s|^{p_1} + |s|^{p_2}), \quad \forall (x, s) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, by Sobolev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - \lambda c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u|^{p_1} + |u|^{p_2}) dx - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u^6 dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2 - C(\|u\|^{p_1} + \|u\|^{p_2}) - \frac{1}{6}S^{-3}\|u\|^6. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p_1, p_2 > 2$, there exist $\alpha, \rho > 0$ such that $I_T|_{\|u\|=\rho} \geq \alpha$.

Choose $w \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $w \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.1 and (f₂), we have

$$I_T(tw) \leq \frac{t^2}{2}\|w\|^2 + C_0 t^4 \|w\|_\alpha^4 - \frac{t^6}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w^6 dx \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence there exists $t_0 > 0$ large enough such that $I_T(t_0 w) < 0$ and $\|t_0 w\| \geq \rho$. \square

Therefore, according to the mountain pass theorem (see [1]), there exists a $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ sequence $(u_n) \subset H$ such that

$$I_T(u_n) \xrightarrow{n} c_\lambda, \quad I'_T(u_n) \xrightarrow{n} 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$c_\lambda = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I_T(\gamma(t))$$

with $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], H) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_T(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\psi(x)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

where $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq r$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2r$. It is well known that S is attained by the function $\frac{\varepsilon^{1/4}}{(\varepsilon + |x|^2)^{1/2}}$. Direct calculation shows that (see [15]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|x|^2}{(1 + |x|^2)^3} dx + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}) := K_1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\varepsilon|^6 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{(1 + |x|^2)^3} dx := K_2 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_\varepsilon|^t dx = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{\frac{6-t}{4}}), & t \in (3, 6), \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} |\ln \varepsilon|), & t = 3, \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{t}{4}}), & t \in [2, 3), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where K_1, K_2 are positive constants and $S = K_1/K_2^{1/3}$. By the definition of c_λ , we have $c_\lambda \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I_T(tv_\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2.3. *There is a constant $D_0 > 0$ independent of λ such that $c_\lambda \leq \frac{D_0}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}}$.*

Proof. It follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$,

$$\frac{K_1}{2} \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 \leq \frac{3K_1}{2}, \quad \frac{K_2}{2} \leq \|v_\varepsilon\|_6^6 \leq \frac{3K_2}{2}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $F \geq 0$ for all (x, s) , one sees that

$$I_T(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \|v_\varepsilon\|^2 + \frac{t^4}{4} C_0 S_{12/5}^{-2} \|v_\varepsilon\|^4 - \frac{t^6}{6} \|v_\varepsilon\|_6^6.$$

Thus, using (2.4), there exist $t' > 0$ small and $t'' > 0$ large (independent of $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$) such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, t'] \cup [t'', +\infty)} I_T(tv_\varepsilon) \leq \frac{q-2}{2q} \left(\frac{3K_1}{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \left(\frac{1}{q\tilde{a}} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-2}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{a} = \frac{c_1}{2^{q/2}} \int_{|x| \leq 1} dx$.

Choose $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \min \{1, \varepsilon_1, r^2\})$ such that

$$\frac{t' \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \rho_0, \quad \frac{t''^4}{4} C_0 \|v_{\varepsilon_0}\|_6^4 \leq \frac{K_2}{12} t''^6. \quad (2.6)$$

By the definition of $v_{\varepsilon_0}(x)$, we get

$$v_{\varepsilon_0}(x) \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \forall |x| \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2},$$

and then

$$tv_{\varepsilon_0}(x) \geq \frac{t' \varepsilon_0^{-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}} \geq \rho_0, \quad \forall t \geq t', \quad \forall |x| \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}.$$

so that, by (f₂),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, tv_{\varepsilon_0}) dx \geq c_1 \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}} |tv_{\varepsilon_0}|^q dx \geq c_1 \int_{|x| \leq \varepsilon_0^{1/2}} \frac{\varepsilon_0^{-\frac{q}{4}}}{2^{\frac{q}{2}}} t^q dx = \tilde{a} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{(6-q)}{4}} t^q \quad (2.7)$$

for all $t \geq t'$, where \tilde{a} is the same constant as in (2.5). Hence, by (2.7), (2.6) and (2.4), we

deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{t \in [t', t'']} I_T(tv_{\varepsilon_0}) &\leq \sup_{t \in [t', t'']} \left(\frac{t^2}{2} \|v_{\varepsilon_0}\|^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} F(x, tv_{\varepsilon_0}) dx \right) + \left(\frac{t'^4}{4} C_0 \|v_{\varepsilon_0}\|_\alpha^4 - \frac{K_2 t'^6}{12} \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{t \geq t'} \left(\frac{3K_1}{4} t^2 - \lambda \tilde{a} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{6-q}{4}} t^q \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\frac{3K_1}{4} t^2 - \lambda \tilde{a} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{6-q}{4}} t^q \right) \\
&= \frac{q-2}{2q} \left(\frac{3K_1}{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \left(\frac{1}{q \tilde{a} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{6-q}{4}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-2}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.5) shows that

$$c_\lambda \leq \sup_{t \geq 0} I_T(tv_{\varepsilon_0}) \leq \frac{q-2}{2q} \left(\frac{3K_1}{2} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \left(\frac{1}{q \tilde{a} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{6-q}{4}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{q-2}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}} =: \frac{D_0}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.4. *There is a constant $D_1 > 0$ independent of λ such that, for any $(PS)_{c_\lambda}$ -sequence (u_n) with*

$$c_\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{D_1}{\lambda^{\frac{6}{p_1-2}}} \right),$$

(u_n) has a strongly convergent subsequence.

Proof. It follows from (2.1) and (f_3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_\lambda + o(1) \|u_n\| &= I_T(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I_T'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) K_T(u_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx \\
&\quad - \frac{\alpha}{4\theta T^\alpha} \chi' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_\alpha^\alpha}{T^\alpha} \right) \|u_n\|_\alpha^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^2 - \frac{|4-\theta|}{4\theta} C_0 2^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} T^4 - \frac{\alpha}{\theta} C_0 2^{\frac{4}{\alpha}} T^4,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in H . Thus, going if necessary to a subsequence, we may assume for each bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_n &\rightharpoonup u_\lambda \quad \text{in } H, \quad u_n(x) \rightarrow u_\lambda(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \\
u_n &\rightarrow u_\lambda \quad \text{in } L^t(\Omega) \quad (2 \leq t < 6), \\
|u_n(x)| &\leq w(x) \quad \text{for some } w \in L^t(\Omega).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We claim that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in H . Take

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx \xrightarrow{n} A, \quad K_T(u_n) \xrightarrow{n} B, \quad \chi' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_\alpha^\alpha}{T^\alpha} \right) \xrightarrow{n} D, \tag{2.9}$$

where A, B, D are nonnegative constants, and define the functionals J_T, Ψ_T on H by

$$\begin{aligned}
J_T(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{B}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx + \frac{AD}{4T^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^\alpha dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\lambda F(x, u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right) dx, \\
\Psi_T(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 + \frac{B}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_u u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\lambda F(x, u) + \frac{1}{6} u^6 \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.8), we see that, for any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u_n \cdot \nabla \psi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla \psi dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n \psi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\lambda \psi dx, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, u_n) \psi dx = \int_{\text{supp } \psi} f(x, u_n) \psi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, u_\lambda) \psi dx, \quad (2.11)$$

where we have used Lebesgue dominated convergent theorem in the last limit. From $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\phi_{u_n}(x) \rightarrow \phi_{u_\lambda}(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 , we know that $\phi_{u_n}(x)u_n(x) \rightarrow \phi_{u_\lambda}(x)u_\lambda(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^3 . Using the fact

$$\|\phi_{u_n} u_n\|_2 \leq \|\phi_{u_n}\|_6 \|u_n\|_3 \leq C_0 S^{-\frac{1}{2}} S_{12/5}^{-1} \|u_n\|^2 \|u_n\|_3 \leq C,$$

we get that $\phi_{u_n} u_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(\phi_{u_n} u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, $\phi_{u_n} u_n \rightharpoonup \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n \psi dx \xrightarrow{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda \psi dx. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover, observe that $\{|u_n|^{\alpha-2} u_n\} \subset L^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is bounded. This and the fact

$$|u_n(x)|^{\alpha-2} u_n(x) \rightarrow |u_\lambda(x)|^{\alpha-2} u_\lambda(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

implies that $|u_n|^{\alpha-2} u_n \rightharpoonup |u_\lambda|^{\alpha-2} u_\lambda$ in $L^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. So

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{\alpha-2} u_n \psi dx \xrightarrow{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_\lambda|^{\alpha-2} u_\lambda \psi dx. \quad (2.13)$$

Similarly, we deduce that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^5 \psi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_\lambda^5 \psi dx. \quad (2.14)$$

Combining (2.10)–(2.14), we achieve that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle I'_T(u_n), \psi \rangle \\ &= (u_n, \psi) + \left[K_T(u_n) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n \psi dx + \frac{\alpha}{4T^\alpha} \chi' \left(\frac{\|u_n\|_\alpha^\alpha}{T^\alpha} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^{\alpha-2} u_n \psi dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_n} u_n^2 dx \right] \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\lambda f(x, u_n) \psi + u_n^5 \psi) dx \\ &= (u_\lambda, \psi) + B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda \psi dx + \frac{\alpha AD}{4T^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_\lambda|^{\alpha-2} u_\lambda \psi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\lambda f(x, u_\lambda) \psi + u_\lambda^5 \psi) dx + o(1) \\ &= J'_T(u_\lambda) \psi + o(1), \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $J'_T(u_\lambda) = 0$.

Denote $v_n := u_n - u_\lambda$. By (f_1) and [23, Lemma 2.2], one obtains that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (F(x, u_n) - F(x, u_\lambda) - F(x, v_n)) dx = o(1) \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (f(x, u_n)u_n - f(x, u_\lambda)u_\lambda - f(x, v_n)v_n) dx = o(1). \quad (2.16)$$

From the Brezis–Lieb lemma (see [6]), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^\alpha - |u_\lambda|^\alpha - |v_n|^\alpha) dx = o(1), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_n|^6 - |u_\lambda|^6 - |v_n|^6) dx = o(1). \quad (2.17)$$

Furthermore, by [21, Lemma 2.2], we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi_{u_n}u_n^2 - \phi_{u_\lambda}u_\lambda^2 - \phi_{v_n}v_n^2) dx = o(1). \quad (2.18)$$

Hence, using (2.15)–(2.18) and the fact $J'_T(u_\lambda) = 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_T(u_n), u_n \rangle - \langle J'_T(u_\lambda), u_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \|v_n\|^2 + B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_n}v_n^2 dx + \frac{\alpha AD}{4T^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^\alpha dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\lambda f(x, v_n)v_n + v_n^6) dx + o(1) \\ &= \langle J'_T(v_n), v_n \rangle + o(1) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda + o(1) &= I_T(u_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|u_\lambda\|^2 + \|v_n\|^2) + \frac{B}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi_{u_\lambda}u_\lambda^2 + \phi_{v_n}v_n^2) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lambda (F(x, u_\lambda) + F(x, v_n)) dx - \frac{1}{6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u_\lambda^6 + v_n^6) dx + o(1) \\ &= \Psi_T(u_\lambda) + \Psi_T(v_n) + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

It follows from (2.19) that

$$\|v_n\|^2 \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x, v_n)v_n dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 dx + o(1). \quad (2.21)$$

Now we estimate the right-hand side of the above inequality. By (f_1) and Young's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, u)u| &\leq c_0 \left(|u|^{\frac{6-p_1}{2}} |u|^{\frac{3(p_1-2)}{2}} + |u|^{\frac{6-p_2}{2}} |u|^{\frac{3(p_2-2)}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\frac{6-p_1}{4} \varepsilon^{\frac{4}{6-p_1}} + \frac{6-p_2}{4} \varepsilon^{\frac{4}{6-p_2}} \right) |u|^2 + C_1 \left(\frac{p_1-2}{4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p_1-2}}} + \frac{p_2-2}{4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p_2-2}}} \right) |u|^6 \\ &\leq C_2 \varepsilon^{\frac{4}{6-p_1}} |u|^2 + C_2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p_1-2}}} |u|^6 \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small. Hence, substituting this equality into (2.21) and taking $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{(2\lambda C_2)^{\frac{6-p_1}{4}}}$, we deduce that for $\lambda > 0$ large

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 dx \right)^{1/3} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{C_2 \lambda}{\varepsilon^{\frac{4}{p_1-2}}} + 1 \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 dx + o(1) \\ &\leq C_3 \lambda^{\frac{4}{p_1-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 dx + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Let $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^6 dx \rightarrow l \geq 0$. If $l > 0$, then (2.22) implies that $l \geq \left(\frac{S}{2C_3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{6}{p_1-2}}}$. Choose $T > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{|4-\theta|}{4\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} C_0 + \frac{\alpha C_0}{\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right) S_{12/5}^{-1} T^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right). \quad (2.23)$$

Then, by $J'_T(u_\lambda) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_T(u_\lambda) &= \Psi_T(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle J'_T(u_\lambda), u_\lambda \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_\lambda\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) B \int \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda^2 dx - \frac{\alpha AD}{4\theta T^\alpha} \int |u_\lambda|^\alpha dx \\ &\geq \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \left(\frac{|4-\theta|}{4\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} C_0 + \frac{\alpha C_0}{\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right) S_{12/5}^{-1} T^2\right] \|u_\lambda\|^2 \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Hence, using (2.24), (2.20) and (2.19), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_\lambda + o(1) &\geq \Psi(v_n) + o(1) \\ &= \Psi(v_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle J'_T(v_n), v_n \rangle + o(1) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|v_n\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) B \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_n} v_n^2 dx - \frac{\alpha AC}{4\theta T^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n|^\alpha dx \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 dx + o(1) \\ &\geq \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \left(\frac{|4-\theta|}{4\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} C_0 + \frac{\alpha C_0}{\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right) S_{12/5}^{-1} T^2\right] \|v_n\|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 dx + o(1) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v_n^6 dx + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$c_\lambda \geq \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}\right) l \geq \left(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{6}\right) \left(\frac{S}{2C_3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{6}{p_1-2}}} =: \frac{D_1}{\lambda^{\frac{6}{p_1-2}}},$$

a contradiction. Therefore $l = 0$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ in H . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In view of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, there is a sequence $(u_n) \subset H$ such that

$$I_T(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{D_0}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}}\right] \quad \text{and} \quad I'_T(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $p_1 > 3q - 4$, we find $\lambda_1 \geq 1$ large enough such that

$$c_\lambda \leq \frac{D_0}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}} < \frac{D_1}{\lambda^{\frac{6}{p_1-2}}} \quad \text{for } \lambda > \lambda_1.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.4, one sees that $u_n \rightarrow u_\lambda$ in H , $I_T(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$ and $I'_T(u_\lambda) = 0$. Next we show that $u_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows from the properties of χ and (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D_0}{\lambda^{\frac{2}{q-2}}} &\geq c_\lambda = I_T(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I'_T(u_\lambda), u_\lambda \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_\lambda\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) K_T(u_\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{4\theta T^\alpha} \chi' \left(\frac{\|u_\lambda\|_\alpha^\alpha}{T^\alpha} \right) \|u_\lambda\|_\alpha^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{u_\lambda} u_\lambda^2 dx \\ &\geq \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \left(\frac{|4-\theta|}{4\theta} C_0 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}} + \frac{\alpha C_0}{\theta} 2^{\frac{2}{\alpha}}\right) S_{12/5}^{-1} T^2 \right] \|u_\lambda\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta}\right) \|u_\lambda\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$, the above inequality implies that $u_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence there exists $\lambda^* \geq \lambda_1$ such that $\|u_\lambda\|_\alpha \leq S_{12/5}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u_\lambda\| \leq T$ for $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$. So we also get that $I(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda$ and $I'(u_\lambda) = 0$, i.e., u_λ is a nontrivial solution of original problem (1.1). This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express the gratitude to the reviewer for careful reading and helpful suggestions which led to an improvement of the original manuscript. Y. Ye is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11601049), the Natural Science Foundation of Chongqing (No. cstc2015jcyjA00014), and the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Committee (No. KJ1500313).

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI, P. H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Functional Analysis* **14**(1973), 349–381. [MR0370183](#)
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI, D. RUIZ, Multiple bound states for the Schrödinger–Poisson problem, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10**(2008), No. 3, 391–404. [MR2417922](#); <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021919970800282X>
- [3] A. AZZOLLINI, A. POMPONIO, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **345**(2008), No. 1, 90–108. [MR2422637](#); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.03.057>
- [4] A. AZZOLLINI, P. D’AVENIA, A. POMPONIO, On the Schrödinger–Maxwell equations under the effect of a general nonlinear term, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **27**(2010), No. 2, 779–791. [MR2595202](#); <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2009.11.012>
- [5] V. BENCI, D. FORTUNATO, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **11**(1998), No. 2, 283–293. [MR1659454](#); <https://doi.org/10.12775/TMNA.1998.019>

- [6] H. BRÉZIS, E. LIEB, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88**(1983), No. 3, 486–490. MR0699419; <https://doi.org/10.2307/2044999>
- [7] J. CHEN, Multiple positive solutions of a class of non autonomous Schrödinger–Poisson systems, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **21**(2015), 13–26. MR3261575; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2014.06.002>
- [8] T. D’APRILE, D. MUGNAI, Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein–Gordon–Maxwell and Schrödinger–Maxwell equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **134**(2004), No. 5, 893–906. MR2099569; <https://doi.org/10.1017/S030821050000353X>
- [9] T. D’APRILE, D. MUGNAI, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein–Gordon–Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **4**(2004), No. 3, 307–322. MR2079817; <https://doi.org/10.1515/ans-2004-0305>
- [10] P. D’AVENIA, Non-radially symmetric solutions of nonlinear Schrödinger equation coupled with Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **2**(2002), No. 2, 177–192. MR1896096
- [11] L. JEANJEAN, S. LE COZ, An existence and stability result for standing waves of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Adv. Differential Equations* **11**(2006), No. 7, 813–840. MR2236583
- [12] A. MAO, L. YANG, A. QIAN, S. LUAN, Existence and concentration of solutions of Schrödinger–Poisson system, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **68**(2017), 8–12. MR3614271; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2016.12.014>
- [13] D. RUIZ, The Schrödinger–Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.* **237**(2006), No. 2, 655–674. MR2230354; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2006.04.005>
- [14] J. SUN, S. MA, Ground state solutions for some Schrödinger–Poisson systems with periodic potentials, *J. Differential Equations* **260**(2016), No. 3, 2119–2149. MR3427661; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.09.057>
- [15] M. WILLEM, *Minimax theorems*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, Vol. 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996. MR1400007; <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4146-1>
- [16] Y. YE, C.-L. TANG, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson equations with sign-changing potential, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **53**(2015), No. 1–2, 383–411. MR3336325; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-014-0753-6>
- [17] Y. YE, C. TANG, Existence and multiplicity results for the Schrödinger–Poisson system with superlinear or sublinear terms (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **35**(2015), No. 4, 668–682. MR3393048
- [18] J. ZHANG, On the Schrödinger–Poisson equations with a general nonlinearity in the critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* **75**(2012), No. 18, 6391–6401. MR2965225; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2012.07.008>
- [19] J. ZHANG, On ground state and nodal solutions of Schrödinger–Poisson equations with critical growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **428**(2015), No. 1, 387–404. MR3326993; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.03.032>

- [20] J. ZHANG, Ground state and multiple solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson equations with critical nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **440**(2016), No. 2, 466–482. MR3484979; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.03.062>
- [21] L. ZHAO, F. ZHAO, On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger–Poisson equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346**(2008), No. 1, 155–169. MR2428280; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.04.053>
- [22] L. ZHAO, F. ZHAO, Positive solutions for Schrödinger–Poisson equations with a critical exponent, *Nonlinear Anal.* **70**(2009), No. 6, 2150–2164. MR2498302; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.02.116>
- [23] X. P. ZHU, D. M. CAO, The concentration-compactness principle in nonlinear elliptic equations, *Acta Math. Sci. (English Ed.)* **9**(1989), No. 3, 307–328. MR1043058