# Quadrature based three-step iterative method for non-linear equations ${ }^{1}$ 

Nazir Ahmad Mir, Naila Rafiq, Nusrat Yasmin


#### Abstract

In this paper, we present three-step quadrature based iterative method for solving non-linear equations. The convergence analysis of the method is discussed. It is established that the new method has convergence order eight. Numerical tests show that the new method is comparable with the well known existing methods and in many cases gives better results. Our results can be considered as an improvement and refinement of the previously known results in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

Let us consider a single variable non-linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finding zeros of a single variable nonlinear equation (1) efficiently, is an interesting and very old problem in numerical analysis and has many applications in applied sciences.

[^0]In recent years, researchers have developed many iterative methods for solving equation (1). These methods can be classified as one-step, two-step and three-step methods, see[1-14]. These methods have been proposed using Taylor series, decomposition techniques, error analysis and quadrature rules, etc. Abbasbandy[2], Chun[4] and Grau[8] have proposed many two-step and three-step methods.

In this paper, we present three-step quadrature based iterative method for solving non-linear equations. We prove that the new method has order of convergence eight. The method and its algorithm is described in section 2. The convergence analysis of the method is discussed in section 3. Finally, in section 4 , the method is tested on numerical examples given in the literature. It was noted that the new method is comparable with the well known existing methods and in many cases gives better results. Our results can be considered as an improvement and refinement of the previously known results in the literature.

## 2 The Iterative Method

Weerakoon and Fernando [13], Gyurhan Nedzhibov [12] and M. Frontini and E. Sormani $[6-7]$ have proposed various methods by the approximation of the indefinite integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+\int_{x_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

using Newton Cotes formulae of order zero and one. We approximate, here however the integral (2) by rectangular rule at a generic point $\frac{x+z_{n}}{2}$ with the end-points $x$ and $z_{n}$. We thus have:

$$
\int_{z_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(t) d t=\left(x-z_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+z_{n}}{2}\right)
$$

this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
-f\left(z_{n}\right)=\left(x-z_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+z_{n}}{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-z_{n}=-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x+z_{n}}{2}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x^{*}+z_{n}}{2}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a generic point $w_{n}=\frac{x^{*}+z_{n}}{2}$, consider the Ostrowski's method and the Newton's method:

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{*} & =y_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}  \tag{6}\\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This formulation allows to suggest many one-step, two-step and three-step methods. We however define the following three-step iterative method:

Algorithm 2.1 For a given initial guess $x_{0}$, find the approximate solution by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{8}\\
w_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}\right] \\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}$ is defined by (7).
Algorithm 2.1 can further be modified by using an approximation for $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ with the help of Taylor's expansion.

Let $y_{n}$ be defined by (8). If we use Taylor expansion of $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ :

$$
f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \simeq f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)
$$

(where the higher derivatives are neglected) in combination with Taylor approximation of $f\left(y_{n}\right)$ :

$$
f\left(y_{n}\right) \simeq f\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)^{2}
$$

we can remove the second derivative and approximate $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) \simeq 2\left[\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{y_{n}-x_{n}}\right]-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Algorithm 2.1 can be written in the form of the following algorithm:
Algorithm 2.2 For a given initial guess $x_{o}$, find the approximate solution by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{12}\\
w_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{2\left[\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)}{y_{n}-x_{n}}\right]-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}\right] \\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z_{n}$ is defined by (7).
We will compare this method with the Ostrowski's method, Grau's method and seventh order method defined in [1] by Jisheng Kou et al. The algorithms of these methods are given below:

Algorithm 2.3 For a given initial guess $x_{0}$, find the approximate solution by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{15}\\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Algorithm 2.4 For a given initial guess $x_{0}$, find the approximate solution by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{17}\\
\mu & =\frac{x_{n}-y_{n}}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}  \tag{18}\\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\mu f\left(y_{n}\right)  \tag{19}\\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\mu f\left(z_{n}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Algorithm 2.5 For a given initial guess $x_{0}$, find the approximate solution by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}  \tag{21}\\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{\left(x_{n}-y_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\left[\left(1+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(y_{n}\right)}\right)^{2}+\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f\left(y_{n}\right)}\right] \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Convergence Analysis

Let us now discuss the convergence analysis of the algorithm 2.2 discussed above.

Theorem 1 Let $\alpha \in I$ be a simple zero of sufficiently differentiable function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for an open interval I. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$, then the algorithm 2.2 has eighth order convergence.

Proof.Let $\alpha$ be a simple zero of $f$ and $x_{n}=\alpha+e_{n}$. By Taylor's expansion, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(e_{n}+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+c_{3} e_{n}^{3}+c_{4} e_{n}^{4}+c_{5} e_{n}^{5}+c_{6} e_{n}^{6}+\right.  \tag{24}\\
& \left.c_{7} e_{n}^{7}+c_{8} e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{n}+3 c_{3} e_{n}^{2}+4 c_{4} e_{n}^{3}+5 c_{5} e_{n}^{4}+6 c_{6} e_{n}^{5}\right.  \tag{25}\\
& \left.+7 c_{7} e_{n}^{6}+8 c_{8} e_{n}^{7}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k}=\left(\frac{1}{k!}\right) \frac{f^{(k)}(\alpha)}{f^{\prime}(\alpha)}, k=2,3, \ldots \text { and } e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (24) and (25), we have
(27) $\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}=e_{n}-c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(7 c_{2} c_{3}-3 c_{4}-4 c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(6 c_{3}^{2}-4 c_{5}\right.$
$\left.+8 c_{2}^{4}+10 c_{2} c_{4}-20 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(-5 c_{6}+13 c_{2} c_{5}-33 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}-16 c_{2}^{5}\right.$
$\left.+52 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}+17 c_{4} c_{3}-28 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-32 c_{2}^{6}+c_{7}-8 c_{3} c_{5}+24 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}\right.$
$\left.-8 c_{2} c_{6}-56 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}-90 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+52 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}-4 c_{4}^{2}+9 c_{3}^{3}+112 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{7}$
$+\left(33 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-54 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}+16 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}-9 c_{4} c_{5}+96 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-84 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}\right.$
$+32 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}-2 c_{7} c_{2}-32 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}-8 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}-9 c_{3} c_{6}+16 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}$
$\left.+4 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)$.
Using (27) in (12), we thus have:

$$
\text { (28) } \begin{aligned}
y_{n}= & \alpha+c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(-2 c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}-\left(7 c_{2} c_{3}-4 c_{2}^{3}-3 c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+ \\
& \left(4 c_{5}-10 c_{2} c_{4}+20 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}-8 c_{2}^{4}-6 c_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(28 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+33 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}+5 c_{6}\right. \\
& \left.-52 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}-17 c_{4} c_{3}-13 c_{2} c_{5}+16 c_{2}^{5}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-c_{7}-52 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}+4 c_{4}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-9 c_{3}^{3}+56 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}+8 c_{2} c_{6}-24 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}+90 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+32 c_{2}^{6}+8 c_{3} c_{5}-112 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{7} \\
& +\left(32 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}+54 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}-33 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}+84 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+9 c_{3} c_{6}-32 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}\right. \\
& \left.+2 c_{7} c_{2}-4 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}+8 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}-16 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}-16 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}+9 c_{4} c_{5}-96 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{8} \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Taylor's series, we have:

$$
f\left(y_{n}\right)=\left(y_{n}-\alpha\right) f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(y_{n}-\alpha\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\ldots .
$$

Using (28) in the above relation and on simplifying, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(29) f\left(y_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(c_{2} e_{n}^{2}+2\left(c 3-c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(-7 c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{4}+5 c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(24 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-12 c_{2}^{4}+4 c_{5}-10 c_{2} c_{4}-6 c_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(37 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}-73 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}+28 c_{2}^{5}+34 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+5 c_{6}-17 c_{4} c_{3}-13 c_{2} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-40 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}+56 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}-34 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}+24 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}\right. \\
& \left.-16 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}-c_{7}+4 c_{4}^{2}-9 c_{3}^{3}+8 c_{2} c_{6}+8 c_{3} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(-23 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-16 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}\right. \\
& -33 c_{3}^{c_{4} c_{4}+42 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}-7 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}+9 c_{4} c_{5}+78 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}+2 c_{7} c_{2}-216 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}} \\
& \left.\left.-34 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}+9 c_{3} c_{6}+105 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}+6 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}+80 c_{2}^{7}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (24), (25), (28) and (29) in (11), we have:
(30) $f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+\left(2 c_{2}^{2}-c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(-4 c_{2}^{3}-2 c_{4}+6 c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\left(-3 c_{5}\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-16 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}+4 c_{3}^{2}+8 c_{2} c_{4}+8 c_{2}^{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(-22 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+10 c_{2} c_{5}\right. \\
& +40 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}-16 c_{2}^{5}+10 c_{4} c_{3}-18 c_{2} c_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.-4 c_{6}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(-5 c_{7}+6 c_{4}^{2}-48 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}+58 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}-28 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}\right. \\
& \left.+62 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+32 c_{2}^{6}+12 c_{2} c_{6}-4 c_{3}^{3}-96 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}+12 c_{3} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(64 c_{2}^{7}-6 c_{8}\right. \\
& +108 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}-32 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}+14 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}+14 c_{4} c_{5}+244 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-46 c_{5} c_{2}^{3} \\
& \left.+14 c_{3} c_{6}-104 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-256 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}-14 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(870 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{3}-14 c_{6} c_{2}^{3}\right. \\
& -528 c_{2} c_{3}^{2} c_{4}+2 c_{8} c_{2}+48 c_{2} c_{5} c_{4}+8 c_{5}^{2} \\
& +768 c_{3} c_{2}^{6}+16 c_{6} c_{4}-1504 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}^{2}-128 c_{2}^{8}+1050 c_{3} c_{2}^{3} c_{4}-288 c_{4} c_{2}^{5}- \\
& 126 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}^{2}-50 c_{3}^{4}+2 c_{3} c_{7}+44 c_{5} c_{3}^{2}+88 c_{6} c_{2} c_{3}-348 c_{2}^{2} c_{5} c_{3}- \\
& \left.\left.2 c_{7} c_{2}^{2}+16 c_{4}^{2} c_{3}+86 c_{2}^{4} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (28), (29) and (30) in (7), we have:
$(31) z_{n}=\alpha+\left(-c_{2} c_{3}+c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(-2 c_{3}^{2}+8 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{2} c_{4}-4 c_{2}^{4}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(10 c_{2}^{5}\right.$

$$
\left.+18 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}-7 c_{4} c_{3}+12 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-30 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}-3 c_{2} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-4 c_{2} c_{6}\right.
$$

$$
+80 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}-40 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}+16 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}+52 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}-10 c_{3} c_{5}-80 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}
$$

$$
\left.+12 c_{3}^{3}-20 c_{2}^{6}-6 c_{4}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(252 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}+37 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}+68 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}\right.
$$

$$
+50 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-17 c_{4} c_{5}-178 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}-209 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+101 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}-51 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}
$$

$$
\left.+20 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}-5 c_{7} c_{2}-13 c_{3} c_{6}-91 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}+36 c_{2}^{7}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
$$

By Taylor's series, we have:

$$
f\left(z_{n}\right)=\left(z_{n}-\alpha\right) f^{\prime}(\alpha)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(z_{n}-\alpha\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)+\ldots
$$

Using (31) in the above relation and on simplifying, we have:
(32) $f\left(z_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(c_{2}\left(-c_{3}+c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(8 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{2} c_{4}-4 c_{2}^{4}-2 c_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(-30 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}\right.\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+18 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}+10 c_{2}^{5}-3 c_{2} c_{5}+12 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-7 c_{4} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-4 c_{2} c_{6}+80 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}\right. \\
& -40 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}+16 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}+52 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}-10 c_{3} c_{5}-80 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+12 c_{3}^{3}-20 c_{2}^{6} \\
& \left.-6 c_{4}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(253 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}+37 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}+68 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}+50 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-17 c_{4} c_{5}\right. \\
& -180 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}-209 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+101 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}-51 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}+20 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}-5 c_{7} c_{2} \\
& \left.\left.-13 c_{3} c_{6}-91 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}+37 c_{2}^{7}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (24), (27), (28) and (29) in (13), we have:
(33) $\left.w_{n}=\alpha+\left(-c_{2} c_{3}+c_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}-2 c_{3}^{2}+8 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}-2 c_{2} c_{4}-4 c_{2}^{4}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(10 c_{2}^{5}+18 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}\right.$
$\left.-7 c_{4} c_{3}+12 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-30 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}-3 c_{2} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{6}+\left(-4 c_{2} c_{6}+80 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}-40 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}\right.$ $\left.+16 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}+52 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}-10 c_{3} c_{5}-80 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}+12 c_{3}^{3}-20 c_{2}^{6}-6 c_{4}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{7}$ $+\left(4 c_{2}^{7}+50 c_{3}^{2} c_{4}-137 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}+44 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-\frac{3}{2} c_{7} c_{2}-13 c_{3} c_{6}+53 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}\right.$ $\left.-\frac{155}{2} c_{3}^{3} c_{2}-21 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}+37 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}-58 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}+8 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}+29 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}-17 c_{4} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{8}$ $+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right)$.

By Taylor's series, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)= & f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+\left(-2 c_{3} c_{2}^{2}+2 c_{2}^{4}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(-4 c_{2} c_{3}^{2}-8 c_{2}^{5}+16 c_{3} c_{2}^{3}-4 c_{4} c_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}\right.  \tag{34}\\
& +\left(-14 c_{2} c_{4} c_{3}+24 c_{2}^{3} c_{4}+20 c_{2}^{6}+36 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{2}-60 c_{2}^{4} c_{3}-6 c_{2}^{2} c_{5}\right) e_{n}^{6} \\
& +\left(32 c_{5} c_{2}^{3}-160 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-80 c_{4} c_{2}^{4}+24 c_{3}^{3} c_{2}+104 c_{3} c_{4} c_{2}^{2}-40 c_{2}^{7}-\right. \\
& \left.20 c_{3} c_{2} c_{5}-8 c_{6} c_{2}^{2}-12 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}+160 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(282 c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{4}-34 c_{2} c_{5} c_{4}\right. \\
& +106 c_{2}^{2} c_{5} c_{3}-3 c_{7} c_{2}^{2}+74 c_{4} c_{2}^{5}-152 c_{2}^{2} c_{3}^{3}+100 c_{4} c_{3}^{2} c_{2}+58 c_{4}^{2} c_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.\left.-113 c_{2}^{6} c_{3}-274 c_{4} c_{3} c_{2}^{3}+8 c_{2}^{8}+16 c_{6} c_{2}^{3}-42 c_{2}^{4} c_{5}-26 c_{6} c_{2} c_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right) \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (31), (32) and (34) in (14), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha+\left(c_{2}^{7}+c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-2 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right), \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\left(c_{2}^{7}+c_{3}^{2} c_{2}^{3}-2 c_{3} c_{2}^{5}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we observe that the algorithm 2.2 has eighth order convergence.

## 4 Numerical examples

We consider here some numerical examples to demonstrate the performance of the new developed three-step iterative method, namely algorithm 2.2 . We compare the methods defined in J.Kou et al. ( algorithm $2.3\left(G_{4}\right)$, algorithm $2.4\left(G_{6}\right)$, and algorithm $2.5\left(G_{7}\right)$ and the new developed three-step method
algorithm $2.2(M N)$ in this paper. All the computations are performed using Maple 10.0. We take $\in=10^{-15}$ as tolerance.

The following criteria is used for estimating the zero:
(i) $\delta=\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|<\epsilon$
(ii) $\left|f\left(x\left({ }_{n}\right)\right)\right|<\epsilon$

The following examples of J.Kou et al. [1] are used for numerical testing:

## Example

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
f_{1}=x^{3}+4 x^{2}-15, & \alpha=1.6319808055660636 \\
f_{2}=x e^{x^{2}}-\sin ^{2}(x)+3 \cos (x)+5, & \alpha=-1.207647827130919 \\
f_{3}=\sin (x)-\frac{1}{2} x, & \alpha=1.8954942670339809 \\
f_{4}=10 x e^{-x^{2}}-1, & \alpha=1.67963061042845 \\
f_{5}=\cos (x)-x, & \alpha=0.73908513321516067 \\
f_{6}=\sin ^{2}(x)-x^{2}+1, & \alpha=1.4044916482153411 \\
f_{7}=e^{-x}+\cos (x), & \alpha=1.74613953040801241765
\end{array}
$$

For convergence criteria, it was required that $\delta$, the distance between two consecutive iterates was less than $10^{-15}, n$ represents the number of iterations and $f\left(x_{n}\right)$, the absolute value of the function. All the values are computed with 350 significant digits. The numerical comparison is given in Table 4.1.

| $f_{1}, x_{0}=2$ | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $1.03 \mathrm{e}-228$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $4.46 \mathrm{e}-179$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ | 3 | $1.06 \mathrm{e}-274$ |
| MN | 3 | $1.00 \mathrm{e}-348$ |
| $f_{2}, x_{0}=-1$ | 3 |  |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $8.82 \mathrm{e}-223$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $2.54 \mathrm{e}-155$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ | 3 | $1.20 \mathrm{e}-264$ |
| MN | 3 | $2.79 \mathrm{e}-259$ |
| $f_{3}, x_{0}=2$ | 3 |  |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $5.12 \mathrm{e}-313$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $8.44 \mathrm{e}-252$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ |  | $3.00 \mathrm{e}-320$ |
| MN |  | $3.00 \mathrm{e}-350$ |


| $f_{4}, x_{0}=1.8$ | $n$ | $f\left(x_{n}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $1.16 \mathrm{e}-236$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $9.37 \mathrm{e}-187$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ | 3 | $1.34 \mathrm{e}-281$ |
| MN | 3 | 0 |
| $f_{5}, x_{0}=1$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $7.05 \mathrm{e}-296$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $4.12 \mathrm{e}-237$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{MN}^{2}$ | 3 | 0 |
| $f_{6}, x_{0}=1.6$ | 3 |  |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $3.26 \mathrm{e}-226$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $7.54 \mathrm{e}-178$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ | 3 | $6.26 \mathrm{e}-271$ |
| $\mathrm{MN}^{2}$ | 3 | $1.00 \mathrm{e}-349$ |
| $f_{7}, x_{0}=2$ | 3 | $1.05 \mathrm{e}-279$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{4}$ | 3 | $1.58 \mathrm{e}-223$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{6}$ | 3 | $3.00 \mathrm{e}-320$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{7}$ |  | $3.00 \mathrm{e}-350$ |
| MN |  |  |

## 5 CONCLUSION

From Table 4.1, we observe that our three-step iterative method is comparable with the methods defined in the paper of Jisheng Kou et al. [1] and in many cases gives better results in terms of the function evaluation $f\left(x_{n}\right)$. Moreover the computational efficiency of the algorithm 2.2 i.e. $8^{\frac{1}{5}} \simeq 1.515717$ is better than the efficiency of most of the other methods defined in the literature.
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