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#### Abstract

Two explicit guard functions $K_{j}=K_{j}\left(\delta_{z}\right), j=1,2$, are obtained, which depend on the distance $\delta_{z}$ between $z$ and the nearest point of the integer lattice in the complex plane, such that $\delta_{z} K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right) \leq|\sigma(z)| e^{-\pi|z|^{2} / 2} \leq$ $\delta_{z} K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right), z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\sigma(z)$ stands for the Weierstraß $\sigma$-function. This result is used to improve the circular truncation error upper bound in the $q$ th order Whittaker-type derivative sampling for the Leont'ev functions space $\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2}\right), q \geq 1$.
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## 1. Introduction

The Weierstraß $\sigma$-function described as an infinite product is given by

$$
\sigma(z)=z \prod_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{z}{m+n i}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{z}{m+n i}+\frac{z^{2}}{2(m+n i)^{2}}\right\}
$$

where the dashed product means that the factor with $m=n=0$ is omitted. The local growth estimation of $\sigma(z)$, interesting for different purposes, has quite a long history. The first result of this kind known by the author is given in [3], where $\ln M_{\sigma}(r) \sim \pi r^{2} / 2, r \rightarrow \infty$, is proved $\left(M_{\sigma}(r)\right.$ stands for the maximum modulus of $\sigma$ on the circle $|z|=r$ ), see also [7, Chapter 4, §1, Problem 49], where this result is quoted from the book [3]. After that Hayman proved that there exist absolute constants $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}, \mathbf{K}_{1}<\mathbf{K}_{2}$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{K}_{1} \leq \frac{|\sigma(z)|}{\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)} e^{-\pi|z|^{2} / 2} \leq \mathbf{K}_{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In his article [1] no specific comments were given on the nature of $\mathbf{K}_{j}$ 's. (Here we have to point out that Hayman's proof is not correct; he wrongly deduced that the type of $\sigma(z)$ is $\pi / 4$ instead of the true value $\pi / 2$, [1].) Seip confirms (1) on the lattice $\Lambda_{\alpha}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\alpha}} \mathbb{Z}^{2}, \alpha>0$, but without any closer specification of $\mathbf{K}_{j}$ 's, [8] (these estimates of $\sigma(z)$ were needed as convergence tools).

In this short note we obtain the values for these uniform constants $\left(\mathbf{K}_{1} \approx\right.$ 0.266 and $\mathbf{K}_{2}=1$ ) as a corollary of our main result. Our principal result is to
establish two positive guard functions $K_{j}=K_{j}\left(\delta_{z}\right), j=1,2$, depending just on $\delta_{z}=\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ such that (1) holds below; the proposed numerical bounds (see the Proof of the Corollary 1), are the minimal and maximal values of these guard functions respectively (therefore $\mathbf{K}_{j}$ cannot be improved in our setting).

In the third section of the article we consider the truncation error upper bound appearing in the Whittaker-type derivative sampling restoration formula, i.e. the sampling restoration formula which involves not just the sampled values of the function $f$, but the sampled values of $f^{(j)}, j=\overline{0, q-1}$, i.e., of the first $q$ derivatives of the functions sampled at points of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. This type of sampling reconstruction holds for the Leont'ev type functions space $\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2}\right)^{1}$, [4]. Our second main goal is to improve the truncation error upper bound in [6], Theorem 1, with the aid of inequalities (2).

## 2. Local Growth Behaviour of $\sigma(z)$-Function

Along with the notation already introduced the following symbols will also be used: $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}$ denote the sets of natural, integer and complex numbers, respectively; the symbol $\mathrm{Cl}\{A\}$ denotes the closure of the set $A, t^{\star}$ is the complex conjugate of $t \in \mathbb{C}$, the circle $\Gamma_{r}=\{\zeta| | \zeta \mid=r\}$ contains no point of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

Theorem 1. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{z} K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right) \leq|\sigma(z)| \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{2}|z|^{2}\right\} \leq \delta_{z} K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4} \delta_{z}^{4}}{90}\right)\left(1-A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\}  \tag{3}\\
& K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=\exp \left\{\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}+A_{22}\right) \delta_{z}^{4}-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $A_{22}:=\sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{-2}$.
Proof. Let $P_{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$ be the period cell of the Weierstraß $\sigma$-function. First we remark that for all $z \in \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{z}\left(1-A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4} \delta_{z}^{4}}{90}\right) \leq|\sigma(z)| \leq \delta_{z} \exp \left\{\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}+A_{22}\right) \delta_{z}^{4}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $z=x+i y \in \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$, then we conclude that $\delta_{z}=\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{dist}(z, 0)=|z|$. Put

$$
a_{m, n}:=\left(1-\frac{z}{m+n i}\right) \exp \left\{\frac{z}{m+n i}+\frac{z^{2}}{2(m+n i)^{2}}\right\} .
$$

[^0]Consequently, we directly get

$$
\begin{align*}
|\sigma(z)|^{2} & =|z|^{2} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|a_{n, 0} a_{-n, 0} a_{0, n} a_{0,-n}\right|^{2} \prod_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|a_{m, n} a_{m,-n} a_{-m, n} a_{-m,-n}\right|^{2} \\
& =|z|^{2} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)\left(1+\frac{z^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \times \prod_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\lvert\,\left(1-\left(\frac{z}{m+n i}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1-\left(\frac{z}{m-n i}\right)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \times\left.\exp \left\{z^{2}\left(\frac{1}{(m+n i)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(m-n i)^{2}}\right)\right\}\right|^{2}  \tag{5a}\\
& \leq|z|^{2} \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1+\frac{|z|^{4}}{n^{4}}\right)^{2}  \tag{5b}\\
& \times \prod_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-2 \frac{\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)+4 m n x y}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{|z|^{4}}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{5c}\\
& \times\left(1-2 \frac{\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)-4 m n x y}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{|z|^{4}}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)  \tag{5d}\\
& \times \exp \left\{4 \frac{\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)\left(m^{2}-n^{2}\right)}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, we use $1+t \leq e^{t}$ to majorize the factors in displays $(5 b, c, d)$. So, straightforward calculation results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(z)|^{2} \leq|z|^{2} \exp \left\{2\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}+\sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)|z|^{4}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the asserted upper bound in (5).
The lower bound derivation will be realized in a somewhat different way. At first an auxiliary inequality is established. Namely, let $a \in \mathbb{C},|a|<1$. Then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(1-a) e^{a}\right| \geq 1-|a|^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, after fixing $a=|a| e^{i \phi},|a|$, we get by direct calculation

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\phi) & :=\left|(1-a) e^{a}\right|=e^{|a| \cos \phi} \sqrt{1-2|a| \cos \phi+|a|^{2}} \\
& \geq \min _{\phi \in[0,2 \pi)} h(\phi)=h(0)=(1-|a|) e^{|a|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by $e^{t} \geq 1+t$ we deduce estimate (7).
To continue, assume $\lambda_{n} \in(0,1), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n}$ converges, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-\lambda_{n}\right) \geq 1-\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_{n} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is a generalization of the inequality due to Weierstraß. (In fact, (8) is a straightforward consequence of the inequality concerning the finite product/sum case, cf. (1) in [5, 3.2.37., p. 207]).

Now we are ready to establish the lower bound for $|\sigma(z)|, z \in \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\sigma(z)| & =|z|\left|\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-\frac{z^{4}}{n^{4}}\right)\right| \\
& \times\left|\prod_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{(m+n i)^{2}}\right) e^{\frac{z^{2}}{(m+n i)^{2}}}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{(m-n i)^{2}}\right) e^{\frac{z^{2}}{(m-n i)^{2}}}\right|  \tag{9}\\
& \geq|z| \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-\frac{|z|^{4}}{n^{4}}\right) \prod_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(1-\frac{|z|^{4}}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2}  \tag{10}\\
& \geq|z|\left(1-|z|^{4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^{4}}\right)\left(1-|z|^{4} \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{2} \\
& =|z|\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4}}{90} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)\left(1-A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Indeed, since for $a=z^{2}(m \pm i n)^{-2}$ we have $|a| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ for all $z \in \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, this allows us to use estimate (7) in the double-indexed product in (9), and then, taking into account that the factors $\lambda_{n}^{(1)}=|z|^{4} n^{-4}, \lambda_{n}^{(2)}=|z|^{4}\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{-2}$ belong to the interval $(0,1)$ in display (10), with the help of the Weierstraß-type inequality (8) we easily deduce (11).

Finally, combining estimates (6) and (11), we finish the derivation of (5).
Let $\tau_{\mathbf{k}}: \mathbb{C} \mapsto \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$ be the translation which defines a unique $\mathbf{k}=\left(k_{u}, k_{v}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and a unique $w=(u, v) \in \operatorname{Cl}\left\{P_{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$ such that

$$
z=\mathbf{k}+w=k_{u}+i k_{v}+u+i v
$$

The quasi-periodicity property of the Weierstraß $\sigma$-function implies that

$$
\sigma(z)=(-1)^{k_{u}+k_{v}+k_{u} k_{v}} \sigma(w) \exp \left\{\pi w \mathbf{k}^{\star}+\pi|\mathbf{k}|^{2} / 2\right\}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dist}\left(z, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{dist}(w, 0)=\delta_{z}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\sigma(z)| & =|\sigma(w)|\left|\exp \left\{\pi w \mathbf{k}^{\star}+\pi|\mathbf{k}|^{2} / 2\right\}\right| \\
& \leq \delta_{z} \exp \left\{\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}+A_{22}\right) \delta_{z}^{4}+\frac{\pi}{2}\left(|\mathbf{k}|^{2}+2 k_{u} u+2 k_{v} v+|w|^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{2}|w|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\delta_{z} \exp \left\{\left(\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}+A_{22}\right) \delta_{z}^{4}-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi}{2}|z|^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of the upper bound assertion in (2). Finally it remains to derive the lower bound in (2). For this we can argue in a similar way so that by the left-hand estimate in (5) we deduce

$$
|\sigma(z)|=|\sigma(w)|\left|\exp \left\{\pi w \mathbf{k}^{\star}+\pi|\mathbf{k}|^{2} / 2\right\}\right|
$$

$$
\geq \delta_{z}\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4} \delta_{z}^{4}}{90}\right)\left(1-A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{2} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi}{2}|z|^{2}\right\}
$$

which is the asserted lower bound.
Remark 1. The Mathematica 4.0 gives $A_{22}=\sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right)^{-2} \approx 0.42437977$. So we can rearrange (3) and (4) with the approximate value of $A_{22}$.

Corollary 1.1. The following estimates hold:

$$
\delta_{z}\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4}}{360}\right)\left(1-\frac{A_{22}}{4}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{4}\right\} \leq|\sigma(z)| \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{2}|z|^{2}\right\} \leq \delta_{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Proof. We find $\min K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ and $\max K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ by (3), (4) as $0 \leq \delta_{z} \leq 1 / \sqrt{2}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{K}_{1} \Leftarrow \min _{[0,1 / \sqrt{2}]} K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=K_{1}(1 / \sqrt{2})=\left(1-\frac{\pi^{4}}{360}\right)\left(1-\frac{A_{22}}{4}\right)^{2} e^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \approx 0,26574548 \\
& \mathbf{K}_{2} \Leftarrow \max _{[0,1 / \sqrt{2}]} K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=K_{2}(0)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

are the uniform Hayman's constants.
Remark 2. The sharpness of inequalities (2) is an open question. Namely, starting with (9) and (5a), by the Euler's infinite product representation of $\sin \pi \delta_{z}$ and $\sinh \pi \delta_{z}$ we get the lower and upper guard functions for $|\sigma(z)|$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K}_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=\left(\frac{\sin \pi \delta_{z}}{\pi \delta_{z}}\right)^{2}\left(1-A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{\pi}{4} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\} \\
& \widetilde{K}_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)=\left(\frac{\sinh \pi \delta_{z}}{\pi \delta_{z}}\right)^{2} \exp \left\{A_{22} \delta_{z}^{4}-\frac{\pi}{4} \delta_{z}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\widetilde{K}_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ possesses lower minimum than $K_{1}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$, and $\widetilde{K}_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ has larger maximum than $K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)$ we propose the use of (3) and (4) respectively.

## 3. Whittaker Type Derivative Sampling

In this part of the article we consider the influence of bounds (2) on the convergence rate in the Whittaker-type $q$-th order derivative, uniformly spaced sampling restoration formula for the Leont'ev function space $\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2}\right)$.

For $f \in\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2} \vartheta\right], \vartheta \in[0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sigma^{q}(z) \sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1-j} \frac{f^{(q-1-j-k)}(m+n i) R_{m n j}^{q}}{j!(q-1-j-k)!(z-m-n i)^{k+1}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on the compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$; as usual, $f^{(0)}(\cdot) \equiv f(\cdot)$. Here

$$
R_{m n j}^{q}=\lim _{w \rightarrow m+n i} \frac{d^{j}}{d w^{j}}\left(\frac{w-m-n i}{\sigma(w)}\right)^{q} ;
$$

cf. [6], Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 for certain additional details, e.g. truncation error analysis, etc. (In fact, formula (12) for $q=1$ belongs to Whittaker; the case $q>1$ appears in [2] as well.)

Let us introduce a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ :

$$
\mathbf{N}(r):=\{(m, n)| | m+n i \mid<r\} .
$$

Under the Whittaker-type derivative sampling series (12) truncated to $\mathbf{N}(r)$ we mean the interpolation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{N}(z ; f ; \sigma ; q ; r)=\sum_{(m, n) \in \mathbf{N}(r)} \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1-j} \frac{\sigma^{q}(z) f^{(q-1-j-k)}(m+n i) R_{m n j}^{q}}{j!(q-1-j-k)!(z-m-n i))^{k+1}} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the so-called circular truncation error is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{N}(f ; z ; q ; r):=f(z)-\mathcal{I}_{N}(z ; f ; \sigma ; q ; r) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we apply estimates (2) to the results in [6], Theorem 1, Corollary 1, where we cannot avoid truncation error bound estimates such that depend on the Hayman constants $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}$. To prove the principal result in this section, we will need the following evaluation.

Lemma 1. Consider a circle $\Gamma_{r}=\{\zeta| | \zeta \mid=r\}$ such that contains no point of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$. Then there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\zeta} \geq \operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{r}, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1-\left|1-2\left(r^{2}-\left[r^{2}\right]\right)\right|}{4 r+\sqrt{2}}:=H(r) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x]$ is the largest integer less than or equal to $x$.
Proof. Let $A\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1}, \mathfrak{a}_{2}\right)$ be the closest point in $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ to $\Gamma_{r}$ and denote by $\Delta_{A}$ the distance between $A$ and the nearest point on $\Gamma_{r}$. Clearly, $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}}=r \pm \Delta_{A}$, choosing the sign according to where $A$ lies, outside or inside the circle $\Gamma_{r}$. Thus we can write $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}} \leq r+\Delta_{A} \leq r+1 / \sqrt{2}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}$ is the positive integer nearest to $r^{2}$, we have

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}=\left[r^{2}\right] \quad \text { if } A \text { lies inside of } \Gamma_{r} \quad\left(=\left[r^{2}\right]+1 \quad \text { if } A \text { lies outside of } \Gamma_{r}\right),
$$

which gives, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{A}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\Gamma_{r}, \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right) & =\left|r-\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}}\right|=\frac{\left|r^{2}-\left(\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}\right)\right|}{r+\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}_{1}^{2}+\mathfrak{a}_{2}^{2}}} \\
& \geq \frac{\min \left\{r^{2}-\left[r^{2}\right],\left[r^{2}\right]+1-r^{2}\right\}}{2 r+1 / \sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the definition of a modulus this implies (15).
Theorem 2. For all $f \in\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2} \vartheta\right], \vartheta \in[0,1)$, and for all

$$
N \geq\left[\frac{|z|}{\sqrt{2(1-\vartheta)}}+\frac{1}{2}\right]+1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\epsilon_{N}(f ; z ; q ; \sqrt{2}(N+1 / 2))\right| \leq \frac{A_{f}(2 N+1)(4 N+3)^{q} e^{-2 \pi q(1-\vartheta) N}}{\mathbf{K}_{1}^{q}(2(1-\sqrt{1-\vartheta}) N+1+\sqrt{1-\vartheta})}, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{f}$ is the absolute constant which characterizes the $\left[2, \frac{\pi q}{2} \vartheta\right]$-function $f$, i.e. it is given by $|f(z)| \leq A_{f} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi q \vartheta}{2}|z|^{2}\right\}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover

$$
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{I}_{N}(z ; f ; \sigma ; q ; r)=f(z)
$$

uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Proof. First we repeat the procedure for deriving the truncation error upper bound in [6], Theorem 1 with the integration path $\Gamma_{r}=\{\zeta| | \zeta \mid=r\}$ chosen according to the definition of the index set $\mathbf{N}(r)$ and assume $z \in \operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma_{r}\right)$. We point out that $|f(z)| \leq A_{f} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi q}{2} \vartheta r^{2}\right\}$ on the circle $\Gamma_{r}$ with $A_{f}>0$. Then using (2), the numerical values of the Hayman constants $\mathbf{K}_{1}, \mathbf{K}_{2}$ and (15) in Lemma 1 for estimating $|\sigma(\cdot)|$ in this result in [6], we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\epsilon_{N}(f ; z ; q ; r)\right| & \leq \frac{|\sigma(z)|^{q}}{2 \pi} \oint_{\Gamma_{r}} \frac{|f(\zeta)||d \zeta|}{|\sigma(\zeta)|^{q}|\zeta-z|} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\delta_{z} K_{2}\left(\delta_{z}\right)}{\min _{\zeta \in \Gamma_{r}} \delta_{\zeta} K_{1}\left(\delta_{\zeta}\right)}\right)^{q} \frac{A_{f} r}{r-|z|} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi q}{2}\left(|z|^{2}-(1-\vartheta) r^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{\delta_{z} \mathbf{K}_{2}}{H(r) \mathbf{K}_{1}}\right)^{q} \frac{A_{f} r}{r-|z|} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi q}{2}\left(|z|^{2}-(1-\vartheta) r^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{A_{f} r(4 r+\sqrt{2})^{q} \exp \left\{\frac{\pi q}{2}\left(|z|^{2}-(1-\vartheta) r^{2}\right)\right\}}{(r-|z|)\left(\sqrt{2} \mathbf{K}_{1}\left(1-\left|1-2\left(r^{2}-\left\lfloor r^{2}\right\rfloor\right)\right|\right)^{q}\right.} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not difficult to see that the circle $\Gamma_{r}, r=\sqrt{2}(N+1 / 2)$, does not contain any integer point from $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, being $r^{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$. So $\Gamma_{\sqrt{2}(N+1 / 2)}$ is a suitable integration contour for which (17) holds. Then, substituting $r=\sqrt{2}(N+1 / 2)$ into the bound (17), we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\epsilon_{N}(f ; z ; q ; \sqrt{2}(N+1 / 2))\right| \\
& \quad \leq \frac{A_{f}(N+1 / 2)(4(N+1 / 2)+1)^{q}}{\mathbf{K}_{1}^{q}((N+1 / 2-\sqrt{1-\vartheta}(N-1 / 2))} e^{\pi q(1-\vartheta)\left[(N-1 / 2)^{2}-(N+1 / 2)^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad=\frac{A_{f}(2 N+1)(4 N+3)^{q} \exp \{-2 \pi q(1-\vartheta) N\}}{\mathbf{K}_{1}^{q}(2(1-\sqrt{1-\vartheta}) N+1+\sqrt{1-\vartheta})}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the asserted upper bound (16).
The uniform convergence in $f(z) \approx \mathcal{I}_{N}(z ; f ; \sigma ; q)$ follows from the truncation error upper bound (16) as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, since the right-hand term in (16) does not depend on $z$ and vanishes with the growth of $N$, the assertion follows.

Remark 3. By fixing the values of $z$ we get the mathematical model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{f}(2 N+1)(4 N+3)^{q} e^{-2 \pi q(1-\vartheta) N}}{\mathbf{K}_{1}^{q}(2(1-\sqrt{1-\vartheta}) N+1+\sqrt{1-\vartheta})}<\epsilon \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a pre-assigned approximation error level $\epsilon>0$ from (16). Then inequality (19) gives an optimal value of $N$ in finding the minimal size of the approximation
sum (13). Moreover, the convergence rate in (12) is

$$
\left|\epsilon_{N}(f ; z)\right|=\mathcal{O}\left(N^{q} e^{-2 \pi q(1-\vartheta) N}\right)
$$

under the assumptions of Theorem 2.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The infrequently used notation $[\rho, \psi),[\rho, \psi]$ stands for the functions space consisting of all entire functions of order less then or equal to $\rho$, and $[\rho, \psi)$ denotes the case when the function of order $\rho$ has a type less then $\psi$, while $[\rho, \psi]$ is used in the case when the function of order $\rho$ possesses a type less then or equal to $\psi$, [4].

