

ESTIMATES FOR THE GREEN FUNCTION AND SINGULAR SOLUTIONS FOR POLYHARMONIC NONLINEAR EQUATION

IMED BACHAR, HABIB MÂAGLI, SYRINE MASMOUDI,
AND MALEK ZRIBI

Received 5 July 2002

We establish a new form of the 3G theorem for polyharmonic Green function on the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) corresponding to zero Dirichlet boundary conditions. This enables us to introduce a new class of functions $K_{m,n}$ containing properly the classical Kato class K_n . We exploit properties of functions belonging to $K_{m,n}$ to prove an infinite existence result of singular positive solutions for nonlinear elliptic equation of order $2m$.

1. Introduction

In [2], Boggio gave an explicit expression for the Green function $G_{m,n}$ of $(-\Delta)^m$ on the unit ball B of \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u = \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u = \cdots = \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\partial/\partial \nu$ is the outward normal derivate and m is a positive integer.

In fact, he proved that for each x, y in B , we have

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = k_{m,n} |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_1^{[x,y]/|x-y|} \frac{(v^2 - 1)^{m-1}}{v^{n-1}} dv, \quad (1.2)$$

where $k_{m,n}$ is a positive constant and $[x, y]^2 = |x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)$, for each x, y in B .

Hence, from its expression, it is clear that $G_{m,n}$ is positive in B^2 , which does not hold for the Green function for the biharmonic or m -polyharmonic operator for an arbitrary bounded domain (see, e.g., [5]). Only for the case $m = 1$, we do not have this restriction.

In [7], using the Boggio formula (1.2), Grunau and Sweers have established some interesting estimates for the Green function $G_{m,n}$ in B . In particular, they

obtained the following inequality called 3G theorem: there exists a constant $a_{m,n} > 0$ such that for each $x, y, z \in B$,

$$\frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)G_{m,n}(z, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, y)} \leq a_{m,n} \begin{cases} |x - z|^{2m-n} + |z - y|^{2m-n}, & \text{for } 2m < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{3}{|x - z|}\right) + \log\left(\frac{3}{|z - y|}\right), & \text{for } 2m = n, \\ 1, & \text{for } 2m > n. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

The Green function for the Laplacian ($m = 1$) satisfies the above inequality in an arbitrary bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n . In fact, for the case $n \geq 3$, Zhao proved in [19] the existence of a positive constant C_n such that for each x, y, z in Ω ,

$$\frac{G_{1,n}(x, z)G_{1,n}(z, y)}{G_{1,n}(x, y)} \leq C_n \left(\frac{1}{|x - z|^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{|y - z|^{n-2}} \right). \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, for the case $n = 2$, Chung and Zhao showed in [3] the existence of a positive constant C_2 such that for each x, y, z in Ω ,

$$\frac{G_{1,2}(x, z)G_{1,2}(z, y)}{G_{1,2}(x, y)} \leq C_2 \left[\max\left(1, \log\left(\frac{1}{|x - z|}\right)\right) + \max\left(1, \log\left(\frac{1}{|y - z|}\right)\right) \right]. \tag{1.5}$$

The 3G theorem related to $G_{1,n}$ has been exploited in the study of functions belonging to the Kato class $K_n(\Omega)$ (see Definition 1.1), which was widely used in the study of some nonlinear differential equations (see [15, 18]).

More properties pertaining to this class can be found in [1, 3].

Definition 1.1 (see [1, 3]). A Borel measurable function φ in Ω belongs to the Kato class $K_n(\Omega)$ if φ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, \alpha)} \frac{|\varphi(y)|}{|x - y|^{n-2}} dy \right) &= 0, \quad \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, \alpha)} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x - y|}\right) |\varphi(y)| dy \right) &= 0, \quad \text{if } n = 2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

The purpose of this paper is two-folded. One is to give a new form of the 3G theorem to the Green function $G_{m,n}$ in B^2 which improves (1.3) and enables us to introduce a new Kato class $K_{m,n} := K_{m,n}(B)$ in the sense of Definition 1.2. The

second purpose is to investigate the existence of infinitely many singular positive solutions for the following nonlinear elliptic problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^m u &= (-1)^m f(\cdot, u) \quad \text{in } B \setminus \{0\} \text{ (in the sense of distributions),} \\ u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u = \dots = \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \\ u(x) &\sim c\rho(x), \quad \text{near } x = 0, \text{ for any sufficiently small } c > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2m}}, & \text{for } 2m < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), & \text{for } 2m = n, \\ 1, & \text{for } 2m > n, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

and f is required to satisfy suitable assumptions related to the class $K_{m,n}$ which will be specified later.

The existence of infinitely many singular positive solutions for problem (1.7) in the case $m = 1$, for an arbitrary bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 3$), has been established by Zhang and Zhao in [18] for the special nonlinearity

$$f(x, t) = p(x)t^\mu, \quad \mu > 1, \tag{1.9}$$

where the function p satisfies

$$x \longmapsto \frac{p(x)}{|x|^{(n-2)(\mu-1)}} \in K_n(\Omega). \tag{1.10}$$

This result has been recently extended by Mâagli and Zribi in [14], where f satisfies some appropriate conditions related to the class $K_{1,n}(\Omega)$.

Here we extend these results to the high order.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we find again by a simpler argument some estimates on the Green function $G_{m,n}$ given by Grunau and Sweers in [7] and we give further ones, including the following:

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq C \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2m}}, & \text{for } 2m < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{3}{|x-y|}\right), & \text{for } 2m = n, \\ 1, & \text{for } 2m > n. \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

Next, we establish the 3G theorem in this form: there exists $C_{m,n} > 0$ such that for each $x, y, z \in B$,

$$\frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)G_{m,n}(z, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, y)} \leq C_{m,n} \left[\left(\frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, z) + \left(\frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(y)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(y, z) \right], \tag{1.12}$$

which improves (1.3). We note that, for $m = 1$, (1.12) holds for an arbitrary bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n . This was proved by Kalton and Verbitsky in [10] for $n \geq 3$ and by Selmi in [16] for the case $n = 2$.

In Section 3, we define and study some properties of functions belonging to the class $K_{m,n}$.

Definition 1.2. A Borel measurable function φ in B belongs to the class $K_{m,n}$ if φ satisfies the following condition:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in B} \int_{B \cap B(x, \alpha)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \right) = 0. \tag{1.13}$$

In particular, we show that $K_{m,n}$ contains properly $K_{j,n}$, for $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$, which contains properly $K_n(B)$. We close this section by giving a characterization of the radial functions belonging to the class $K_{m,n}$.

For the case $m = 1$, this class has been extensively studied for an arbitrary bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^n , in [14], for $n \geq 3$, and in [12, 17] for $n = 2$. To study problem (1.7) in Section 4, we assume that f satisfies the following hypotheses:

- (H₁) f is a Borel measurable function on $B \times (0, \infty)$, continuous with respect to the second variable;
- (H₂) $|f(x, t)| \leq tq(x, t)$, where q is a nonnegative Borel measurable function in $B \times (0, \infty)$, such that the function $t \mapsto q(x, t)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} q(x, t) = 0$;
- (H₃) the function g , defined on B by $g(x) = q(x, G_{m,n}(x, 0))$, belongs to the class $K_{m,n}$.

We point out that in the case $m = 1$ and $f(x, t) = p(x)t^u$, the assumption (1.10) implies (H₃).

In order to simplify our statements, we define some convenient notation.

Notation. (i) We denote $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x| < 1\}$ with $n \geq 2$.

(ii) We denote $s \wedge t = \min(s, t)$ and $s \vee t = \max(s, t)$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(iii) For $x, y \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y]^2 &= |x - y|^2 + (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2), \\ \delta(x) &= 1 - |x|, \\ \theta(x, y) &= [x, y]^2 - |x - y|^2 = (1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Note that $[x, y]^2 \geq 1 + |x|^2|y|^2 - 2|x||y| = (1 - |x||y|)^2$. So we have

$$\delta(x) \leq [x, y], \quad \delta(y) \leq [x, y]. \tag{1.15}$$

(iv) Let f and g be positive functions on a set S .

We call $f \sim g$ if there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{c}g(x) \leq f(x) \leq cg(x) \quad \forall x \in S. \tag{1.16}$$

We call $f \preceq g$ if there is $c > 0$ such that

$$f(x) \leq cg(x) \quad \forall x \in S. \tag{1.17}$$

The following properties will be used several times:

(i) for $s, t \geq 0$, we have

$$s \wedge t \sim \frac{st}{s+t}, \tag{1.18}$$

$$(s+t)^p \sim s^p + t^p, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^+; \tag{1.19}$$

(ii) let $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, then we have

$$1 - t^\lambda \sim 1 - t^\mu \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \tag{1.20}$$

$$\log(1+t) \leq t^\gamma \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \tag{1.21}$$

$$\log(1+\lambda t) \sim \log(1+\mu t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \tag{1.22}$$

$$\log(1+t^\lambda) \sim t^\lambda \log(2+t) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1]; \tag{1.23}$$

(iii) on B^2 (i.e., $(x, y) \in B^2$), we have

$$\theta(x, y) \sim \delta(x)\delta(y), \tag{1.24}$$

$$[x, y]^2 \sim |x - y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y). \tag{1.25}$$

2. Inequalities for the Green function

We first find another expression of $G_{m,n}$ given by Hayman and Korenblum in [8], which will be used later.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The Green function $G_{m,n}$ satisfies*

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 + k)(\theta(x, y))^{m+k}}{(k+m)! [x, y]^{n+2k}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha_{m,n}$ is some fixed positive constant.

Proof. Using the transformation $v^2 = 1 + (\theta(x, y)/|x - y|^2)(1 - t)$ in (1.2), $G_{m,n}$ becomes

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \frac{k_{m,n}}{2} \frac{(\theta(x, y))^m}{[x, y]^n} \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - t)^{m-1}}{(1 - t(\theta(x, y)/[x, y]^2))^{n/2}} dt. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $0 < \theta(x, y)/[x, y]^2 \leq 1$, and for each $t \in [0, 1[$, we have

$$(1 - t)^{-n/2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 + k)}{k! \Gamma(n/2)} t^k; \tag{2.3}$$

it follows that

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \frac{k_{m,n}}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n/2 + k)}{k! \Gamma(n/2)} \frac{(\theta(x, y))^{m+k}}{[x, y]^{n+2k}} B(k + 1, m), \tag{2.4}$$

where $B(k + 1, m) := \int_0^1 t^k (1 - t)^{m-1} dt = k!(m - 1)!/(k + m)!$.

That is,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_{m,n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma((n/2) + k)}{(k + m)!} \frac{(\theta(x, y))^{m+k}}{[x, y]^{n+2k}} \tag{2.5}$$

with $\alpha_{m,n} > 0$. □

Moreover, from formula (1.2), we may prove, by simpler argument, the following estimates on $G_{m,n}$ given in [7].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *On B^2 , the following estimates hold:*

(i) for $2m < n$,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim |x - y|^{2m-n} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right); \tag{2.6}$$

(ii) for $2m = n$,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim \log \left(1 + \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right); \tag{2.7}$$

(iii) for $2m > n$,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim (\delta(x)\delta(y))^{m-n/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^{n/2}}{|x - y|^n} \right). \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Using in (1.2) the transformation $t = (v^2 - 1)^m$, we obtain the following expression for $G_{m,n}$:

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = C|x - y|^{2m-n} \int_0^{(\theta(x, y)/|x - y|^2)^m} \frac{dt}{(t^{1/m} + 1)^{n/2}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Now, from (1.19) we have

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim |x - y|^{2m-n} \int_0^{(\theta(x,y))^m/|x-y|^{2m}} \frac{dt}{(t^{n/2m} + 1)}. \tag{2.10}$$

Next, we distinguish the following cases.

Case 1 ($2m = n$). It follows from (2.10), (1.22), and (1.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \log \left(1 + \frac{(\theta(x, y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right) \\ &\sim \log \left(1 + \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Case 2 ($2m < n$). Using the fact that for each $a > 0$ and $\lambda > 1$, we have

$$\int_0^a \frac{1}{t^\lambda + 1} dt \sim 1 \wedge a, \tag{2.12}$$

hence, we deduce from (2.10) and (1.24) that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim |x - y|^{2m-n} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\theta(x, y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right) \\ &\sim |x - y|^{2m-n} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Case 3 ($2m > n$). We recall that $0 < \theta(x, y)/[x, y]^2 \leq 1$, which yields

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1 - t)^{m-1}}{(1 - t(\theta(x, y)/[x, y]^2))^{n/2}} dt \sim 1. \tag{2.14}$$

This implies, with (2.2), that

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim \frac{(\theta(x, y))^m}{[x, y]^n}, \tag{2.15}$$

which, together with (1.24), (1.18), and (1.19), gives that

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim (\delta(x)\delta(y))^{m-n/2} \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^{n/2}}{|x - y|^n} \right). \tag{2.16}$$

□

COROLLARY 2.3. *On B^2 , the following estimates hold:*

(i) if $2m < n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{n-2m}(|x - y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y))^m} \\
 &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{n-2m}[x, y]^{2m}} \\
 &\sim \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m}} - \frac{1}{(|x - y|^{2m} + (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m)^{(n-2m)/2m}};
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.17}$$

(ii) if $2m = n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \left(1 \wedge \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}}\right) \log\left(2 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x - y|^2}\right) \\
 &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{(|x - y|^2 + \delta(x)\delta(y))^m} \log\left(2 + \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x - y|^2}\right) \\
 &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^{2m}} \log\left(1 + \frac{[x, y]^2}{|x - y|^2}\right);
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.18}$$

(iii) if $2m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{(|x - y|^2 + (\delta(x)\delta(y)))^{n/2}} \\
 &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^n}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from [Proposition 2.2](#) and the statements [\(1.18\)](#), [\(1.19\)](#), [\(1.20\)](#), [\(1.22\)](#), [\(1.23\)](#), [\(1.24\)](#), and [\(1.25\)](#). □

From the above estimates, we derive some inequalities for the Green function $G_{m,n}$ including [\(1.11\)](#), which will be done in the following corollaries.

COROLLARY 2.4. *On B^2 , the following estimates hold:*

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m}}, & \text{for } 2m < n, \\ \log\left(\frac{3}{|x - y|}\right), & \text{for } 2m = n, \\ 1, & \text{for } 2m > n. \end{cases}
 \tag{2.20}$$

Proof. Using [Corollary 2.3](#) and inequalities [\(1.15\)](#), we deduce that

(i) if $2m < n$,

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m}} \frac{(\delta(y))^{2m}}{[x, y]^{2m}} \leq \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-2m}};
 \tag{2.21}$$

(ii) if $2m = n$,

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \log\left(1 + \frac{[x, y]^2}{|x - y|^2}\right) \frac{(\delta(y))^{2m}}{[x, y]^{2m}} \leq \log\left(\frac{3}{|x - y|}\right); \quad (2.22)$$

(iii) if $2m > n$,

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \frac{(\delta(y))^{2m}}{[x, y]^n} \leq 1. \quad (2.23)$$

□

COROLLARY 2.5. For each $x, y \in B$ such that $|x - y| \geq r$,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{r^n}. \quad (2.24)$$

Moreover, on B^2 , the following estimates hold:

$$(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \leq G_{m,n}(x, y), \quad (2.25)$$

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq (\delta(x))^m \wedge (\delta(y))^m \quad \text{if } m \geq n, \quad (2.26)$$

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m \wedge (\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{n-m}} \quad \text{if } 1 \leq m < n. \quad (2.27)$$

Proof. Assertions (2.24) and (2.25) are obviously obtained using the estimates in Corollary 2.3 and the fact that $|x - y| \leq [x, y] \leq 1$.

Now, if $m \geq n$, then we deduce from Corollary 2.3 and (1.15) that

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^n} \leq (\delta(x))^m \wedge (\delta(y))^m. \quad (2.28)$$

Then (2.26) holds.

To prove (2.27), we suppose that $1 \leq m < n$. So we obtain, from Corollary 2.3, inequalities (1.15), and $|x - y| \leq [x, y]$ that

(i) if $2m < n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{n-2m} [x, y]^{2m}} \\ &\leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m}{|x - y|^{n-m}} \frac{(\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^m} \\ &\leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m}{|x - y|^{n-m}}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

(ii) if $2m = n$, then using further inequality (1.21), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} G_{m,n}(x, y) &\sim \log \left(1 + \frac{[x, y]^2}{|x - y|^2} \right) \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^{2m}} \\ &\leq \frac{[x, y]}{|x - y|} \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^{2m}} \\ &\leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m (\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^m [x, y]^m} \\ &\leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m}{|x - y|^m}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

(iii) if $2m > n$, then we have

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{[x, y]^n} \leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m (\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{n-m} [x, y]^m} \leq \frac{(\delta(x))^m}{|x - y|^{n-m}}. \quad (2.31)$$

Hence interchanging the roles of x and y , (2.27) is proved. \square

In the sequel, for a nonnegative measurable function f on B , we put

$$V_{m,n}f(x) = \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y)f(y)dy \quad \text{for } x \in B. \quad (2.32)$$

Remark 2.6. Let $m \geq n$. Then there exists a positive constant C_1 such that, for each $f \in L_+^1(B)$ and $x \in B$, we have

$$\frac{1}{C_1} \left(\int_B (\delta(y))^m f(y)dy \right) (\delta(x))^m \leq V_{m,n}f(x) \leq C_1 \|f\|_1 (\delta(x))^m. \quad (2.33)$$

In particular, we have $V_{m,n}1(x) \sim (\delta(x))^m$.

Moreover, let $1 \leq m < n$. Then there exists a positive constant C_2 such that for each $f \in L_+^p(B)$ with $p > n/m$ and $x \in B$, we have

$$\frac{1}{C_2} \left(\int_B (\delta(y))^m f(y)dy \right) (\delta(x))^m \leq V_{m,n}f(x) \leq C_2 \|f\|_p (\delta(x))^m. \quad (2.34)$$

Indeed, (2.33) holds by (2.25) and (2.26). To prove (2.34), we use (2.25) and (2.27) and we apply the Hölder inequality, so we obtain that, for $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_B (\delta(y))^m f(y)dy \right) (\delta(x))^m &\leq V_{m,n}f(x) \\ &\leq (\delta(x))^m \|f\|_p \left(\int_B \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{(n-m)p/(p-1)}} \right)^{(p-1)/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Now, for each $x \in B$, we have

$$\int_B \frac{dy}{|x - y|^{(n-m)p/(p-1)}} \leq \int_{B(0,2)} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^{(n-m)p/(p-1)}}, \tag{2.36}$$

and this last integral is finite if and only if $p > n/m$, which gives (2.34).

Next, we aim to prove inequality (1.12). So, we need the following key lemma.

LEMMA 2.7 (see [11, 13]). *Let $x, y \in B$. Then the following properties are satisfied:*

- (1) *if $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$, then $(\delta(x) \vee \delta(y)) \leq ((\sqrt{5} + 1)/2)|x - y|$;*
- (2) *if $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$, then $((3 - \sqrt{5})/2)\delta(x) \leq \delta(y) \leq ((3 + \sqrt{5})/2)\delta(x)$.*

Proof. (1) We may assume that $(\delta(x) \vee \delta(y)) = \delta(y)$. Then the inequalities $\delta(y) \leq \delta(x) + |x - y|$ and $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$ imply that

$$(\delta(y))^2 - \delta(y)|x - y| - |x - y|^2 \leq 0, \tag{2.37}$$

that is,

$$\left(\delta(y) + \frac{(\sqrt{5} - 1)}{2}|x - y|\right) \left(\delta(y) - \frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1)}{2}|x - y|\right) \leq 0. \tag{2.38}$$

It follows that

$$(\delta(x) \vee \delta(y)) \leq \frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1)}{2}|x - y|. \tag{2.39}$$

(2) For each $z \in \partial B$, we have $|y - z| \leq |x - y| + |x - z|$ and since $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$, we obtain

$$|y - z| \leq \sqrt{\delta(x)\delta(y)} + |x - z| \leq \sqrt{|x - z||y - z|} + |x - z|, \tag{2.40}$$

that is,

$$\left(\sqrt{|y - z|} + \frac{(\sqrt{5} - 1)}{2}\sqrt{|x - z|}\right) \left(\sqrt{|y - z|} - \frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1)}{2}\sqrt{|x - z|}\right) \leq 0. \tag{2.41}$$

It follows that

$$|y - z| \leq \frac{(3 + \sqrt{5})}{2}|x - z|. \tag{2.42}$$

Thus, interchanging the roles of x and y , we have

$$\left(\frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)|x - z| \leq |y - z| \leq \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\right)|x - z|, \tag{2.43}$$

which gives

$$\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\delta(x) \leq \delta(y) \leq \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\delta(x). \quad (2.44)$$

□

THEOREM 2.8 (3G theorem). *There exists a constant $C_{m,n} > 0$ such that, for each $x, y, z \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{G_{m,n}(x, z)G_{m,n}(z, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, y)} \\ & \leq C_{m,n} \left[\left(\frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, z) + \left(\frac{\delta(z)}{\delta(y)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(y, z) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

Proof. To prove the inequality, we denote $A(x, y) := (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m/G_{m,n}(x, y)$ and we claim that A is a quasimetric, that is, for each $x, y, z \in B$,

$$A(x, y) \leq A(x, z) + A(y, z). \quad (2.46)$$

To show the claim, we separate the proof into three cases.

Case 1. For $2m < n$, using [Proposition 2.2](#), we have

$$A(x, y) \sim |x - y|^{n-2m} (|x - y|^2 \vee (\delta(x)\delta(y)))^m. \quad (2.47)$$

We distinguish the following subcases:

(i) if $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$, then we have

$$A(x, y) \sim |x - y|^n \leq |x - z|^n + |y - z|^n \leq A(x, z) + A(y, z); \quad (2.48)$$

(ii) the inequality $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$ implies, from [Lemma 2.7](#), that $\delta(x) \sim \delta(y)$. So we deduce the following:

(a) if $|x - z|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(z)$ or $|y - z|^2 \leq \delta(y)\delta(z)$, then it follows from [Lemma 2.7](#) that $\delta(x) \sim \delta(y) \sim \delta(z)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) & \sim |x - y|^{n-2m} (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \\ & \leq (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m (|x - z|^{n-2m} + |y - z|^{n-2m}) \\ & \leq |x - z|^{n-2m} (\delta(x)\delta(z))^m + |y - z|^{n-2m} (\delta(y)\delta(z))^m \\ & \leq A(x, z) + A(y, z); \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

(b) if $|x - z|^2 \geq \delta(x)\delta(z)$ and $|y - z|^2 \geq \delta(y)\delta(z)$, then using [Lemma 2.7](#), we have

$$(\delta(x) \vee \delta(z)) \leq |x - z|, \quad (\delta(y) \vee \delta(z)) \leq |y - z|. \quad (2.50)$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(x, y) &\sim |x - y|^{n-2m} (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \\
 &\leq (|x - z|^{n-2m} + |y - z|^{n-2m}) (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \\
 &\leq |x - z|^{n-2m} (\delta(x))^{2m} + |y - z|^{n-2m} (\delta(y))^{2m} \tag{2.51} \\
 &\leq |x - z|^n + |y - z|^n \\
 &\leq A(x, z) + A(y, z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. For $2m = n$, using Proposition 2.2, we have

$$A(x, y) \sim \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{\log(1 + (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m / |x - y|^{2m})}. \tag{2.52}$$

Then, since for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\frac{t}{1+t} \leq \log(1+t) \leq t, \tag{2.53}$$

we deduce that

$$|x - y|^{2m} \leq A(x, y) \leq |x - y|^{2m} + (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m. \tag{2.54}$$

So we distinguish the following subcases:

(i) if $\delta(x)\delta(y) \leq |x - y|^2$, then by (1.19), we have

$$A(x, y) \leq |x - y|^{2m} \leq |x - z|^{2m} + |y - z|^{2m} \leq A(x, z) + A(y, z); \tag{2.55}$$

(ii) if $|x - y|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(y)$, it follows by Lemma 2.7 that $\delta(x) \sim \delta(y)$.

So, we distinguish the following two subcases:

(a) if $|x - z|^2 \leq \delta(x)\delta(z)$ or $|y - z|^2 \leq \delta(y)\delta(z)$, so from Lemma 2.7, we deduce that $\delta(x) \sim \delta(y) \sim \delta(z)$.

Now, since

$$|x - y|^{2m} \leq |x - z|^{2m} + |y - z|^{2m} \leq (|x - z|^{2m} \vee |y - z|^{2m}), \tag{2.56}$$

then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\log \left(1 + \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(z))^m}{|x - z|^{2m}} \right) \wedge \log \left(1 + \frac{(\delta(y)\delta(z))^m}{|y - z|^{2m}} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \log \left(1 + \frac{(\delta(x)\delta(y))^m}{|x - y|^{2m}} \right), \tag{2.57}
 \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.52), implies that

$$A(x, y) \leq A(x, z) + A(y, z); \tag{2.58}$$

(b) if $|x - z|^2 \geq \delta(x)\delta(z)$ and $|y - z|^2 \geq \delta(y)\delta(z)$, then by Lemma 2.7, it follows that

$$(\delta(x) \vee \delta(z)) \leq |x - z|, \quad (\delta(y) \vee \delta(z)) \leq |y - z|. \tag{2.59}$$

Hence, by (2.54), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y) &\leq (\delta(x)\delta(y))^m \\ &\leq (\delta(x))^{2m} + (\delta(y))^{2m} \\ &\leq |x - z|^{2m} + |y - z|^{2m} \\ &\leq A(x, z) + A(y, z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

Case 3. For $2m > n$, from Proposition 2.2, we have

$$A(x, y) \sim (|x - y|^2 \vee (\delta(x)\delta(y)))^{n/2}. \tag{2.61}$$

Then the result holds by arguments similar to that of Case 2(i). □

3. The Kato class $K_{m,n}$

In this section, we will study properties of functions belonging to the class $K_{m,n}$. We first compare the classes $K_{j,n}$ for $j \geq 1$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For each $m \geq 1$, the following estimate is satisfied on B^2 :*

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq (\delta(y))^{2(m-1)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right) G_{1,n}(x, y). \tag{3.1}$$

In particular, $K_{1,n} \subset (\delta(\cdot))^{2(m-1)} K_{m,n}$.

Proof. Using (1.2), we have

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq |x - y|^{2m-n} \left(\frac{[x, y]^2}{|x - y|^2} - 1\right)^{m-1} \int_1^{[x, y]/|x - y|} \frac{dv}{v^{n-1}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we remark by (1.25) that

$$\frac{[x, y]^2}{|x - y|^2} - 1 \sim \frac{\delta(x)\delta(y)}{|x - y|^2}. \tag{3.3}$$

So we deduce that

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq (\delta(x)\delta(y))^{m-1} G_{1,n}(x, y), \tag{3.4}$$

which implies (3.1). The proof is complete by (1.13). □

Remark 3.2. Let $j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq j < m$, then we have

$$K_n(B) \subset K_{j,n} \subset K_{m,n}. \tag{3.5}$$

Indeed, by a similar argument as above, we prove that, on B^2 ,

$$\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) \leq (\delta(y))^{2(m-j)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^j G_{j,n}(x, y), \tag{3.6}$$

which implies that $K_{j,n} \subset K_{m,n}$. The first inclusion in (3.5) holds by putting $m = 1$ in Corollary 2.4.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let φ be a function in $K_{m,n}$. Then the function*

$$x \longrightarrow (\delta(x))^{2m} \varphi(x) \tag{3.7}$$

is in $L^1(B)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$, then by (1.13), there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for each $x \in B$,

$$\int_{B(x,\alpha) \cap B} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \leq 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_p be in B such that $B \subset \cup_{1 \leq i \leq p} B(x_i, \alpha)$. Then by (2.25), there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $y \in B(x_i, \alpha) \cap B$, we have

$$(\delta(y))^{2m} \leq C \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x_i)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x_i, y). \tag{3.9}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B (\delta(y))^{2m} |\varphi(y)| dy &\leq C \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \int_{B(x_i,\alpha) \cap B} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x_i)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x_i, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ &\leq Cp < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

This completes the proof. □

In the sequel, we use the notation

$$\|\varphi\|_B := \sup_{x \in B} \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy. \tag{3.11}$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let φ be a function in $K_{m,n}$, then $\|\varphi\|_B < \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \int_{B \cap |x-y| \leq \alpha} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_{B \cap |x-y| \geq \alpha} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Now, since by (2.24), we have

$$\int_{B \cap |x-y| \geq \alpha} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^n} \int_B (\delta(y))^{2m} |\varphi(y)| dy, \tag{3.13}$$

then the result follows from (1.13) and Lemma 3.3. □

PROPOSITION 3.5. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$ and h a nonnegative harmonic function in B ,*

$$\int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) (\delta(y))^{m-1} h(y) |\varphi(y)| dy \leq C \|\varphi\|_B (\delta(x))^{m-1} h(x) \tag{3.14}$$

for all x in B .

Proof. Let h be a nonnegative harmonic function in B . So by Herglotz representation theorem (see [9, page 29]), there exists a nonnegative measure μ on ∂B such that

$$h(y) = \int_{\partial B} P(y, \xi) \mu(d\xi), \tag{3.15}$$

where $P(y, \xi) = (1 - |y|^2)/|y - \xi|^n$, for $y \in B$ and $\xi \in \partial B$. So we need only to verify (3.14) for $h(y) = P(y, \xi)$ uniformly in $\xi \in \partial B$.

By (2.1) we have for each $x, y \in B$,

$$G_{m,n}(x, y) = \alpha_{m,n} \frac{(\theta(x, y))^m}{[x, y]^n} (1 + o(1 - |y|^2)). \tag{3.16}$$

Hence, for x, y, z in B ,

$$\frac{G_{m,n}(y, z)}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} = \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^m [x, z]^n}{(1 - |x|^2)^m [y, z]^n} (1 + o(1 - |z|^2)), \tag{3.17}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} \frac{G_{m,n}(y, z)}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} = \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^m |x - \xi|^n}{(1 - |x|^2)^m |y - \xi|^n} \sim \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^{m-1} \frac{P(y, \xi)}{P(x, \xi)}. \tag{3.18}$$

Thus by Fatou’s lemma and (1.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^{m-1} \frac{P(y, \xi)}{P(x, \xi)} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \xi} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) \frac{G_{m,n}(y, z)}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \liminf_{z \rightarrow \xi} \left[\int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(z)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(z, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \right] \\ & \leq \|\varphi\|_B, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

which completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let φ be in $K_{m,n}$. Then*

$$\sup_{x \in B} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) (\delta(y))^{m-1} |\varphi(y)| dy < \infty. \tag{3.20}$$

Moreover, the function $x \mapsto (\delta(x))^{2m-1} \varphi(x)$ is in $L^1(B)$.

Proof. Put $h \equiv 1$ in (3.14) and using Proposition 3.4, we get (3.20).

Moreover, by (2.25), it follows that

$$\int_B (\delta(y))^{2m-1} |\varphi(y)| dy \leq \int_B G_{m,n}(0, y) (\delta(y))^{m-1} |\varphi(y)| dy. \tag{3.21}$$

Hence the result follows from (3.20). □

Remark 3.7. We recall (see [1]) that for $m = 1$ and $n \geq 3$, a radial function φ is in the classical Kato class $K_n(B)$ if and only if

$$\int_0^1 r |\varphi(r)| dr < \infty. \tag{3.22}$$

Similarly, we will give in the sequel a characterization of the radial functions belonging to $K_{m,n}$, which asserts, in particular, that inclusions (3.5) are proper. More precisely, we will prove in the next proposition that a radial function φ is in $K_{m,n}$ if and only if (3.20) is satisfied.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let φ be a radial function in B , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$;
- (2) $\sup_{x \in B} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) (\delta(y))^{m-1} |\varphi(y)| dy < \infty$;
- (3) for $2m < n$,

$$\int_0^1 r^{2m-1} (1-r)^{2m-1} |\varphi(r)| dr < \infty. \tag{3.23}$$

For $2m = n$,

$$\int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{n-2} \log\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) |\varphi(r)| dr < \infty. \tag{3.24}$$

For $2m > n$,

$$\int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{2m-1} |\varphi(r)| dr < \infty. \tag{3.25}$$

Proof. Since the function $x \rightarrow \int_{S^{n-1}} G_{m,n}(x, r\omega) d\sigma(\omega)$ is radial in B , then we denote that $t = |x|$ and

$$\psi_{m,n}(t, r) = \int_{S^{n-1}} G_{m,n}(x, r\omega) d\sigma(\omega), \tag{3.26}$$

where σ is the normalized measure on the unit sphere S^{n-1} of \mathbb{R}^n .

Now, using [Corollary 2.3](#) and the fact that for each $y \in B$, $[0, y] = 1$, we deduce that

$$\psi_{m,n}(0, r) \sim \begin{cases} r^{2m-n} (1-r)^m, & \text{for } 2m < n, \\ (1-r)^m \log\left(1 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \sim (1-r)^{m-1} \log\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), & \text{for } 2m = n, \\ (1-r)^m, & \text{for } 2m > n. \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

So, assertion (3) is equivalent to

$$(3') \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr < \infty.$$

We now prove the equivalences.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from [Corollary 3.6](#).

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3'). By virtue of [[4](#), Theorem 2.4], we have that $t \rightarrow \psi_{m,n}(t, r)$ is a non-increasing map on $[0, 1]$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in B} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) (\delta(y))^{m-1} |\varphi(y)| dy \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(t, r) |\varphi(r)| dr \\ &= \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

(3') ⇒ (1). Let $0 < \alpha < 1/4$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in B} \int_{B \cap B(x, \alpha)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} \frac{(1-r)^m}{(1-t)^m} \psi_{m,n}(t, r) |\varphi(r)| dr \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/2} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} \frac{(1-r)^m}{(1-t)^m} \psi_{m,n}(t, r) |\varphi(r)| dr \tag{3.29} \\ & \quad + \sup_{1/2 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} \frac{(1-r)^m}{(1-t)^m} \psi_{m,n}(t, r) |\varphi(r)| dr \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Using [4, Theorem 2.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/2} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} \frac{(1-r)^m}{(1-t)^m} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr \\ & \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1/2} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

On the other hand, by (3.1), we have

$$I_2 \leq \sup_{1/2 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} \frac{(1-r)^{2m-1}}{(1-t)} \psi_{1,n}(t, r) |\varphi(r)| dr. \tag{3.31}$$

Now, by elementary calculus, we obtain that

$$\psi_{1,n}(t, r) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n-2} (t \vee r)^{2-n} (1 - (t \vee r)^{n-2}), & \text{for } n \geq 3, \\ \log\left(\frac{1}{t \vee r}\right), & \text{for } n = 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

So, using (1.20) and the fact that $\log(1/s) \leq (1-s)$ for $s \geq 1/2$, we have for each $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 1/2$,

$$\psi_{1,n}(t, r) \leq (1 - t \vee r). \tag{3.33}$$

Hence, from (3.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \leq \sup_{1/2 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} (1-r)^{2m-1} \frac{(1-t \vee r)}{1-t} |\varphi(r)| dr \\ & \leq \sup_{1/2 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

Thus, $I_1 + I_2 \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \int_{(t-\alpha) \vee 0}^{(t+\alpha) \wedge 1} r^{n-1} (1-r)^{m-1} \psi_{m,n}(0, r) |\varphi(r)| dr.$

734 Singular solutions for polyharmonic equation

Let $\phi(s) = \int_0^s r^{n-1}(1-r)^{m-1}\psi_{m,n}(0,r)|\varphi(r)|dr$ for $s \in [0, 1]$.

Then using (3'), we deduce that ϕ is a continuous function on $[0, 1]$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(t-\alpha)\vee 0}^{(t+\alpha)\wedge 1} r^{n-1}(1-r)^{m-1}\psi_{m,n}(0,r)|\varphi(r)|dr \\ &= \phi((t+\alpha)\wedge 1) - \phi((t-\alpha)\vee 0) \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

converges to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $t \in [0, 1]$. So, $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0}(I_1 + I_2) = 0$, that is, $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$. \square

Example 3.9. let q be the function defined in B by

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^\lambda}. \tag{3.36}$$

By [Proposition 3.8](#), $q \in K_{m,n}$ if and only if $\lambda < 2m$ and $V_{m,n}q$ is bounded if and only if $\lambda < m + 1$. In fact, we give in the next proposition more precise estimates on the m -potential $V_{m,n}q$.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *On B , the following estimates hold:*

- (i) $(\delta(x))^m \leq V_{m,n}q(x) \leq (\delta(x))^{2m-\lambda}$ if $m < \lambda < m + 1$;
- (ii) $(\delta(x))^m \leq V_{m,n}q(x) \leq (\delta(x))^m \log(2/\delta(x))$ if $\lambda = m$;
- (iii) $V_{m,n}q(x) \sim (\delta(x))^m$ if $\lambda < m$.

Proof. Let $\lambda < m + 1$. Then from [\(2.25\)](#), we have

$$(\delta(x))^m \int_B \frac{dy}{(\delta(y))^{\lambda-m}} \leq V_{m,n}q(x), \tag{3.37}$$

which implies the lower estimates.

For the upper estimates, we have, from [\(3.1\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} V_{m,n}q(x) &\leq \int_B (\delta(x))^{m-1} (\delta(y))^{m-1} G_{1,n}(x,y)q(y)dy \\ &\leq (\delta(x))^{m-1} \int_0^1 \frac{r^{n-1}}{(1-r)^{\lambda+1-m}} \psi_{1,n}(|x|,r)dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

On the other hand, using [\(1.20\)](#) and the inequality $t \log(1/t) \leq (1-t)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, we deduce from [\(3.32\)](#) that $r^{n-1}\psi_{1,n}(|x|,r) \leq (1-|x|\vee r)$ for each $n \geq 2$.

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{m,n}q(x) &\leq (\delta(x))^{m-1} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - (|x| \vee r)}{(1-r)^{\lambda+1-m}} dr \\
 &\leq (\delta(x))^m \int_0^{|x|} \frac{dr}{(1-r)^{\lambda+1-m}} + (\delta(x))^{m-1} \int_{|x|}^1 \frac{dr}{(1-r)^{\lambda-m}} \\
 &= I_1 + I_2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.39}$$

So, by elementary calculus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &\leq (\delta(x))^m \begin{cases} (\delta(x))^{m-\lambda}, & \text{if } m < \lambda < m + 1, \\ \log \frac{2}{\delta(x)}, & \text{if } \lambda = m, \\ 1, & \text{if } \lambda < m, \end{cases} \\
 I_2 &\leq (\delta(x))^{2m-\lambda}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.40}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.11. By Proposition 3.10, we find again the result of Gilbarg and Trudinger in [6, Theorem 4.9] for the case $m = 1$ and $1 < \lambda < 2$.

4. Positive singular solutions of the equation $\Delta^m u = (-1)^m f(\cdot, u)$

In this section, we are interested in the existence of positive singular solutions for problem (1.7). We present in the next theorem the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.1. *Assume (H_1) , (H_2) , and (H_3) . Then problem (1.7) has infinitely many solutions. More precisely, there exists $b_0 > 0$ such that for each $b \in (0, b_0]$, there exists a solution u of (1.7) continuous on $B \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfying for all $x \in B$,*

$$\frac{b}{2} G_{m,n}(x, 0) \leq u(x) \leq \frac{3b}{2} G_{m,n}(x, 0)
 \tag{4.1}$$

and, for $2m \leq n$,

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} = b.
 \tag{4.2}$$

For the proof, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $\varphi \in K_{m,n}$ and $x_0 \in \bar{B}$. Then*

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x,z \in B} \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, z) |\varphi(y)| dy \right) = 0.
 \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then by (1.13), there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\xi \in B} \int_{B \cap B(\xi, r)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(\xi)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\alpha > 0$. Then it follows, from Theorem 2.8, that for each $x, z \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, z)} \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, z) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq C_{m,n} \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left[\left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) + \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(z)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(y, z) \right] |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq 2C_{m,n} \sup_{\xi \in B} \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(\xi)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |\varphi(y)| dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand, by (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \int_{B \cap \{|x-y| \leq r\}} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha) \cap \{|x-y| \geq r\}} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(x)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(x, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \leq \sup_{\xi \in B} \int_{B \cap B(\xi, r)} \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(\xi)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(\xi, y) |\varphi(y)| dy \\ & \quad + \int_{B \cap B(x_0, \alpha)} (\delta(y))^{2m} |\varphi(y)| dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Now, using Lemma 3.3 and (4.4), the result holds by letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. □

Put $F := \{\omega \in C^+(\bar{B}) : \|\omega\|_\infty \leq 1\}$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the uniform norm. So we have the following result.

LEMMA 4.3. Assume (H_1) , (H_2) , and (H_3) . Define the operator T on F by

$$T\omega(x) = \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) f(y, \omega(y) G_{m,n}(y, 0)) dy, \quad x \in B. \tag{4.7}$$

Then the family of functions $T(F)$ is relatively compact in $C(\bar{B})$.

Proof. By (H_2) , we have for all $\omega \in F$,

$$|T\omega(x)| \leq \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, 0) g(y) dy. \tag{4.8}$$

Since $g(x) = q(x, G_{m,n}(x, 0)) \in K_{m,n}$, then, by [Theorem 2.8](#), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\omega\|_\infty &\leq 2C_{m,n} \sup_{\xi \in B} \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(\xi)}\right)^m G_{m,n}(\xi, y)g(y)dy \\ &\leq \|g\|_B. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Hence, the family $T(F)$ is uniformly bounded. Now, we will prove the equicontinuity of $T(F)$ in \bar{B} . Let $x_0 \in \bar{B}$ and $\alpha > 0$. Let $x, x' \in B(x_0, \alpha) \cap B$ and $\omega \in F$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &|T\omega(x) - T\omega(x')| \\ &\leq \int_B \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} - \frac{G_{m,n}(x', y)}{G_{m,n}(x', 0)} \right| G_{m,n}(y, 0)g(y)dy \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\xi \in B} \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(\xi, 0)} \int_{B \cap B(0, 2\alpha)} G_{m,n}(\xi, y)G_{m,n}(y, 0)g(y)dy \\ &\quad + 2 \sup_{\xi \in B} \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(\xi, 0)} \int_{B \cap B(x_0, 2\alpha)} G_{m,n}(\xi, y)G_{m,n}(y, 0)g(y)dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B \cap B^c(0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha)} \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} - \frac{G_{m,n}(x', y)}{G_{m,n}(x', 0)} \right| G_{m,n}(y, 0)g(y)dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

If $|x_0 - y| \geq 2\alpha$, then $|x - y| \geq \alpha$ and $|x' - y| \geq \alpha$. So [\(1.12\)](#) and [\(2.24\)](#) imply that, for all $x \in B(x_0, \alpha) \cap B$ and $y \in \Omega := B^c(0, 2\alpha) \cap B^c(x_0, 2\alpha) \cap B$,

$$\frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} G_{m,n}(y, 0) \leq (\delta(y))^{2m}. \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover, using [\(3.18\)](#), we deduce, when $y \in \Omega$, that the function $x \rightarrow G_{m,n}(x, y)/G_{m,n}(x, 0)$ is continuous in $B(x_0, \alpha) \cap B$. Then, by [Lemma 3.3](#) and the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that

$$\int_\Omega \left| \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} - \frac{G_{m,n}(x', y)}{G_{m,n}(x', 0)} \right| G_{m,n}(y, 0)g(y)dy \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.12}$$

as $|x - x'| \rightarrow 0$.

By [Lemma 4.2](#), we deduce that

$$|T\omega(x) - T\omega(x')| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x - x'| \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.13}$$

uniformly for all $\omega \in F$. The result follows by Ascoli's theorem. □

Remark 4.4. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then for $2m \leq n$ and $y \in B^c(0, 2\alpha) \cap B$, we have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{G_{m,n}(x, y)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

So, using the same argument as in the proof of [Lemma 4.3](#), we deduce that for $2m \leq n$,

$$|T\omega(x)| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.15}$$

uniformly for all $\omega \in F$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We aim to show that there exists $b_0 > 0$ such that for each $b \in (0, b_0]$, there exists a continuous function u in $B \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying the following integral equation:

$$u(x) = bG_{m,n}(x, 0) + \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y)f(y, u(y))dy, \quad x \in B \setminus \{0\}. \tag{4.16}$$

Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then by [Lemma 4.3](#), the function

$$T_\beta(x) = \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y)G_{m,n}(y, 0)q(y, \beta G_{m,n}(y, 0))dy \tag{4.17}$$

is continuous in \bar{B} . Moreover, using [\(1.12\)](#), (H_2) , and (H_3) , we have

$$\sup_{\zeta \in \bar{B}} \int_B \left(\frac{\delta(y)}{\delta(\zeta)} \right)^m G_{m,n}(\zeta, y)g(y)dy \leq \|g\|_B. \tag{4.18}$$

So, we deduce by the dominated convergence theorem and (H_2) that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} T_\beta(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \bar{B}. \tag{4.19}$$

Since the function $\beta \rightarrow T_\beta(x)$ is nondecreasing in $(0, 1)$, it follows by Dini’s lemma that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in \bar{B}} \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y)G_{m,n}(y, 0)q(y, \beta G_{m,n}(y, 0))dy \right) = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Thus, there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $x \in \bar{B}$,

$$\frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, 0) q(y, \beta G_{m,n}(y, 0)) dy \leq \frac{1}{3}. \tag{4.21}$$

Let $b_0 = (2/3)\beta$ and $b \in (0, b_0]$. We will use a fixed-point argument. Let

$$S = \left\{ \omega \in C(\bar{B}) : \frac{b}{2} \leq \omega(x) \leq \frac{3b}{2} \right\}. \tag{4.22}$$

Then, S is a nonempty, closed, bounded, and convex set in $C(\bar{B})$. We define the operator Γ on S by

$$\Gamma\omega(x) = b + \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) f(y, \omega(y) G_{m,n}(y, 0)) dy, \quad x \in B. \tag{4.23}$$

By [Lemma 4.3](#), $\Gamma S \subset C(\bar{B})$. Moreover, let $\omega \in S$, then for any $x \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma\omega(x) - b| &\leq \frac{3b}{2} \frac{1}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} \int_B G_{m,n}(x, y) G_{m,n}(y, 0) q(y, \beta G_{m,n}(y, 0)) dy \\ &\leq \frac{b}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

It follows that $b/2 \leq \Gamma\omega(x) \leq 3b/2$ and so $\Gamma S \subset S$.

Next, we will prove the continuity of Γ in the uniform norm. Let $(\omega_k)_k$ be a sequence in S which converges uniformly to $\omega \in S$. Then since f is continuous with respect to the second variable, we deduce by the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\Gamma\omega_k(x) \longrightarrow \Gamma\omega(x) \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \forall x \in B. \tag{4.25}$$

Now, since ΓS is a relatively compact family in $C(\bar{B})$, then

$$\|\Gamma\omega_k - \Gamma\omega\|_\infty \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \longrightarrow \infty. \tag{4.26}$$

So the Schauder fixed-point theorem implies the existence of $\omega \in S$ such that $\Gamma\omega = \omega$.

For all $x \in B$, put $u(x) = \omega(x) G_{m,n}(x, 0)$. Then, u is a continuous function in $B \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying [\(4.16\)](#).

Furthermore, if $2m \leq n$, then by [Remark 4.4](#), we obtain that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \omega(x) = b$, that is, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} u(x)/G_{m,n}(x, 0) = b$. This ends the proof. \square

Example 4.5. Let $p > 0$, $\lambda < 2m$, and $\mu < n \wedge 2m$. Let V be a measurable function in B such that for each $x \in B$,

$$|V(x)| \leq \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^\lambda |x|^\mu (G_{m,n}(x, 0))^p}. \tag{4.27}$$

Then there exists $b_0 > 0$ such that for each $b \in (0, b_0]$, the nonlinear problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^m u &= (-1)^m V(x) u^{p+1}(x) \quad \text{in } B \setminus \{0\} \text{ (in the sense of distributions),} \\ u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u = \cdots = \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial \nu^{m-1}} u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

has a positive solution u , continuous on $B \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfying for all $x \in B$,

$$\frac{b}{2} G_{m,n}(x, 0) \leq u(x) \leq \frac{3b}{2} G_{m,n}(x, 0) \quad (4.29)$$

and for $2m \leq n$, we have

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{G_{m,n}(x, 0)} = b. \quad (4.30)$$

References

- [1] M. Aizenman and B. Simon, *Brownian motion and Harnack inequality for Schrödinger operators*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **35** (1982), no. 2, 209–273.
- [2] T. Boggio, *Sulle funzioni di Green d'ordine m*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **20** (1905), 97–135 (Italian).
- [3] K. L. Chung and Z. X. Zhao, *From Brownian Motion to Schrödinger's Equation*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 312, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [4] R. Dalmaso, *A priori estimates for some semilinear elliptic equations of order $2m$* , Nonlinear Anal. **29** (1997), no. 12, 1433–1452.
- [5] P. R. Garabedian, *A partial differential equation arising in conformal mapping*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 485–524.
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [7] H.-C. Grunau and G. Sweers, *Positivity for equations involving polyharmonic operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997), no. 4, 589–626.
- [8] W. K. Hayman and B. Korenblum, *Representation and uniqueness theorems for polyharmonic functions*, J. Anal. Math. **60** (1993), 113–133.
- [9] L. L. Helms, *Introduction to Potential Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 22, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [10] N. J. Kalton and I. E. Verbitsky, *Nonlinear equations and weighted norm inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 9, 3441–3497.
- [11] H. Máagli, *Inequalities for the Riesz potentials*, to appear in Archives of Inequalities and Applications.
- [12] H. Máagli and L. Mátout, *Singular solutions of a nonlinear equation in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^2* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **270** (2002), no. 1, 230–246.
- [13] H. Máagli and M. Selmi, *Inequalities for the Green function of the fractional Laplacian*, preprint, 2002.
- [14] H. Máagli and M. Zribi, *On a new Kato class and singular solutions of a nonlinear elliptic equation in bounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n* , to appear in Positivity.
- [15] ———, *Existence and estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear elliptic problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **263** (2001), no. 2, 522–542.

- [16] M. Selmi, *Inequalities for Green functions in a Dini-Jordan domain in \mathbb{R}^2* , Potential Anal. **13** (2000), no. 1, 81–102.
- [17] N. Zeddini, *Positive solutions for a singular nonlinear problem on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2* , Potential Anal. **18** (2003), no. 2, 97–118.
- [18] Q. S. Zhang and Z. Zhao, *Singular solutions of semilinear elliptic and parabolic equations*, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), no. 4, 777–794.
- [19] Z. Zhao, *Green function for Schrödinger operator and conditioned Feynman-Kac gauge*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **116** (1986), no. 2, 309–334.

Imed Bachar: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Campus Universitaire, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

E-mail address: Imed.Bachar@ipeit.rnu.tn

Habib Mâagli: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Campus Universitaire, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

E-mail address: habib.maagli@fst.rnu.tn

Syrine Masmoudi: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Campus Universitaire, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

E-mail address: Syrine.Sassi@fst.rnu.tn

Malek Zribi: Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Campus Universitaire, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

E-mail address: Malek.Zribi@insat.rnu.tn