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We prove that the double inequality $(\pi / 2)(\operatorname{arth} r / r)^{3 / 4+\alpha^{*} r}<\mathcal{K}(r)<(\pi / 2)(\operatorname{arth} r / r)^{3 / 4+\beta^{*} r}$ holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best possible constants $\alpha^{*}=0$ and $\beta^{*}=1 / 4$, which answer to an open problem proposed by Alzer and Qiu. Here, $\mathcal{K}(r)$ is the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind, and arth is the inverse hyperbolic tangent function.

## 1. Introduction

For $r \in[0,1]$, Lengedre's complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind [1] are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-1 / 2} d \theta, \\
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}^{\prime}(r)=\mathscr{K}\left(r^{\prime}\right), \\
\mathcal{K}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{K}(1)=\infty,  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}(r)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2}\left(1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{1 / 2} d \theta, \\
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(r)=\mathcal{E}\left(r^{\prime}\right), \\
\mathcal{E}(0)=\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{E}(1)=1,
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. Here and in what follows, we set $r^{\prime}=\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$. These integrals are special cases of Guassian hypergeometric function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}(a, b ; c ; x)=F_{1}(a, b ; c ; x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \quad(-1<x<1), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a, n)=\prod_{k=0}^{n-1}(a+k)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right), \quad \varepsilon(r)=\frac{\pi}{2} F\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} ; 1 ; r^{2}\right) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the complete elliptic integrals have many important applications in physics, engineering, geometric function theory, quasiconformal analysis, theory of mean values, number theory, and other related fields [2-13].

Recently, the complete elliptic integrals have been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable properties and inequalities can be found in the literature [3, 1018].

In 1992, Anderson et al. [15] discovered that $\mathcal{K}$ can be approximated by the inverse hyperbolic tangent function, arth, and proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{1 / 2}<\nless \not(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right), \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1)$.
In [16], Alzer and Qiu proved that the double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{\alpha}<\mathcal{K}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{\beta} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best possible constants $\alpha=3 / 4$ and $\beta=1$ and proposed an open problem as follows.

## Open Problem \#

The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{3 / 4+\alpha^{*} r}<\mathcal{K}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{3 / 4+\beta^{*} r} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best possible constants $\alpha^{*}=0$ and $\beta^{*}=1 / 4$.
It is the aim of this paper to give a positive answer to the open problem \#.

## 2. Lemmas and Theorem

In order to establish our main result, we need several formulas and lemmas, which we present in this section.

For $0<r<1$, the following derivative formulas were presented in [4, Appendix E, pages 474-475]:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{d \nless}{d r}=\frac{\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \nless}{r r^{\prime 2}}, & \frac{d \varepsilon}{d r}=\frac{\varepsilon-\mathcal{K}}{r}, \\
\frac{d\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right)}{d r}=r \mathcal{K}, & \frac{d(\mathcal{K}-\varepsilon)}{d r}=\frac{r \varepsilon}{r^{\prime 2}} . \tag{2.1}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [4, Theorem 1.25]). For $-\infty<a<b<\infty$, let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and be differentiable on $(a, b)$, let $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ be on $(a, b)$. If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $(a, b)$, then so are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)}, \quad \frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(x) / g^{\prime}(x)$ is strictly monotone, then the monotonicity in the conclusion is also strict.
The following Lemma 2.2 can be found in [9, Lemma 3(1)] and [4, Theorem 3.21(1) and Exercise 3.43(30) and (46)].

Lemma 2.2. (1) $\left[\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{c}\right.$ arth $\left.r\right] / r$ is strictly decreasing in $(0,1)$ if and only if $c \geq 2 / 3$;
(2) $\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(\pi / 4,1)$;
(3) $\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /\left(r^{2} \mathcal{K}\right)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(0,1 / 2)$;
(4) $r \nless /$ arth $r$ is strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \pi / 2)$.

Lemma 2.3. (1) $f_{1}(r)=\left[r-r^{\prime 2}\right.$ arth $\left.r\right] / r^{3}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(2 / 3,1)$;
(2) $f_{2}(r)=(\log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]) / r^{2}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1 / 3, \infty)$;
(3) $f_{3}(r)=\left[\mathcal{E}\right.$ arth $\left.r-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \operatorname{arth}(r) / 4-3 r \nless / 4\right] / r^{5}$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto ( $\pi / 480, \infty)$;
(4) $f_{4}(r)=(3 / 4+r / 4)\left(r-r^{\prime 2}\right.$ arth $\left.r\right) \nless<-\left(\mathcal{E}-r^{\prime 2} \nless\right)$ arth $r$ is positive and strictly increasing in $(\sqrt{2} / 2,1)$;
(5) $f_{5}(r)=\left(3 / 4+r^{2}\right) \log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]-\log (2 \nless / \pi)$ is positive and strictly increasing on $(0,1 / 4)$.

Proof. For part (1), let $h_{1}(r)=r-r^{\prime 2}$ arth $r$ and $h_{2}(r)=r^{3}$. Then $f_{1}(r)=h_{1}(r) / h_{2}(r), h_{1}(0)=$ $h_{2}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{1}^{\prime}(r)}{h_{2}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the function $r \mapsto \operatorname{arth}(r) / r$ is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1, \infty)$. Therefore, from (2.3) and Lemma 2.1 together with l'Hôpital's rule, we know that $f_{1}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1), f_{1}\left(0^{+}\right)=2 / 3$ and $f_{1}\left(1^{-}\right)=1$.

For part (2), clearly $f_{2}\left(1^{-}\right)=+\infty$. Let $h_{3}(r)=\log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]$ and $h_{4}(r)=r^{2}$, then $f_{2}(r)=h_{3}(r) / h_{4}(r), h_{3}(0)=h_{4}(0)=0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h_{3}^{\prime}(r)}{h_{4}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \text { arth } r}{2 r^{2} r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \text { arth } r}{r^{3}} \frac{r}{r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2(1), part (1), (2.4), and l'Hôpital's rule that $f_{2}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$ and $f_{2}\left(0^{+}\right)=1 / 3$.

For part (3), from Lemma 2.2(4), we clearly see that $f_{3}\left(1^{-}\right)=+\infty$. Let $h_{5}(r)=\mathcal{E}$ arth $r-$ $r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \operatorname{arth}(r) / 4-3 r \nless(r) / 4, h_{6}(r)=r^{5}, h_{7}(r)=\left(\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /\left(4 r^{\prime 2}\right)-r \nless \operatorname{arth}(r) / 2+3 \operatorname{arth}(r)(\varepsilon-$ $\left.r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /(4 r)$, and $h_{8}(r)=r^{4}$, then $f_{3}(r)=h_{5}(r) / h_{6}(r), h_{5}(0)=h_{6}(0)=h_{7}(0)=h_{8}(0)=0$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{h_{5}^{\prime}(r)}{h_{6}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{1}{5} \frac{h_{7}(r)}{h_{8}(r)}, \\
\frac{h_{7}^{\prime}(r)}{h_{8}^{\prime}(r)}=\frac{1}{4 r^{\prime 4}} \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \text { arth } r}{r^{3}}\left[\frac{3}{4} \frac{\varepsilon(r)-r^{\prime 2} \nless \not(r)}{r^{2}}-\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon(r)\right] . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Lemma 2.2(2) and part (1), we clearly see that $h_{7}^{\prime}(r) / h_{8}^{\prime}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$. Thus, the monotonicity of $f_{3}(r)$ can be obtained from (2.5) and Lemma 2.1. Moreover, making use of l'Hôpital's rule, we have $f_{3}\left(0^{+}\right)=\pi / 480$.

For part (4), let $h_{9}(r)=2(1+r)-\mathcal{E} /(r \mathcal{K})-3\left(\mathcal{\varepsilon}-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}\right) /\left(r^{2} \mathcal{K}\right)$. Then, Lemma 2.2(3) leads to the conclusion that $h_{9}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$. Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{9}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)=1.013 \cdots>0,  \tag{2.6}\\
f_{4}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)=0.084 \cdots>0,  \tag{2.7}\\
f_{4}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{(\nless-\varepsilon)+r \nless(r)}{4(1+r)}+\frac{r \nless \operatorname{arth} r}{4} h_{9}(r)>\frac{r \nless \operatorname{arth} r}{4} h_{9}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,1)$.
Therefore, part (4) follows from (2.7) and (2.8).
For part (5), simple computations lead to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} f_{5}(r)=0,  \tag{2.9}\\
f_{5}^{\prime}(r)=2 r \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{4}+r^{2}\right) \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r}{r r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r}-\frac{\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Making use of parts (1)-(4), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \operatorname{arth} r}{r^{4}} f_{5}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{2 r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \text { arth } r}{r} f_{2}(r)+\mathcal{K} f_{1}(r)-f_{3}(r)  \tag{2.11}\\
& >K f_{1}(r)-f_{3}(r)>\frac{\pi}{3}-f_{3}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)=1.040 \cdots>0
\end{align*}
$$

for $r \in(0,1 / 4)$.
Therefore, part (5) follows from (2.9) and (2.11).
Lemma 2.4. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{c}(r)=\left(\frac{3}{4}+c r\right) \log \left[\frac{\operatorname{arth}(r)}{r}\right]-\log \left(\frac{2 \nless}{\pi}\right) \quad(c \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following statements are true:
(1) $g_{c}(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $c \in[1 / 4, \infty)$;
(2) $g_{c}(r)<0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$ if and only if $c \in(-\infty, 0]$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $g_{c}(r)>0$ for $c \in[1 / 4, \infty)$. Since $g_{c}(r)$ is continuous and strictly increasing with respect to $c \in \mathbb{R}$ for fixed $r \in(0,1)$, it suffices to prove that $g_{1 / 4}(r)>0$ for all $r \in(0,1)$. Note that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} g_{1 / 4}(r)=0,  \tag{2.13}\\
g_{1 / 4}^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)+\left(\frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{4} r\right) \frac{r-r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r}{r r^{\prime 2} \operatorname{arth} r}-\frac{\varepsilon-r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K}} \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

We divide the proof into two cases.
Case $1(r \in(0, \sqrt{2} / 2])$. Then, making use of Lemma 2.3(1)-(3) and (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{r^{\prime 2} \npreceq \text { arth } r}{r^{3}} g_{1 / 4}^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{r^{\prime 2} \npreceq \text { arth } r}{4 r} f_{2}(r)+\frac{1}{4} \nVdash(r) f_{1}(r)-r f_{3}(r) \\
& >\frac{1}{4} \nVdash(r) f_{1}(r)-r f_{3}(r)>\frac{\pi}{12}-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} f_{3}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& =0.250 \cdots>0
\end{align*}
$$

Case $2(r \in(\sqrt{2} / 2,1))$. Then, making use of Lemma 2.3(4) and (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{1 / 4}^{\prime}(r)}{\log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]}=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{f_{4}(r)}{r r^{\prime 2} \mathcal{K} \operatorname{arth} r \log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]}>0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (2.15) and (2.16) imply that $g_{1 / 4}(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0,1)$. Therefore, $g_{1 / 4}(r)>0$ follows from (2.13) and the monotonicity of $g_{1 / 4}(r)$.

On the other hand, inequality (1.5) leads to the conclusion that $g_{c}(r)<0$ for all $r \in$ $(0,1)$ and $c \in(-\infty, 0]$.

Next, we prove that the parameters $1 / 4$ and 0 are the best possible parameters in Lemma 2.4(1) and (2), respectively.

If $c \in(0,1 / 4)$, then $g_{c}(c)=f_{5}(c)>0$ follows from Lemma 2.3(5). Moreover, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r)=\frac{g_{c}(r)}{\log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]}=\frac{3}{4}+c r-\frac{\log (2 \nless / \pi)}{\log [\operatorname{arth}(r) / r]}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, using l'Hôpital's rule and Lemma 2.2(4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1^{+}} F(r)=c-\frac{1}{4}<0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.18) implies that there exists $\delta=\delta(c)>0$ such that $F(r)<0$ for all $r \in(1-\delta, 1)$. Therefore, $g_{c}(r)<0$ for $r \in(1-\delta, 1)$ follows from (2.17).

From Lemma 2.4, we clearly see that the following Theorem 2.5 holds, which give a positive answer to the open problem \#.

Theorem 2.5. The double inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{3 / 4+\alpha^{*} r}<\mathcal{K}(r)<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}\right)^{3 / 4+\beta^{*} r} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $r \in(0,1)$ with the best possible constants $\alpha^{*}=0$ and $\beta^{*}=1 / 4$.
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