

Research Article

Positive Solutions of m -Point Boundary Value Problems for Fractional Differential Equations

Zhi-Wei Lv^{1,2}

¹ Department of Mathematics and Physics, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang, Henan 455000, China

² Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhi-Wei Lv, sdlllw@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Received 28 November 2010; Accepted 19 January 2011

Academic Editor: Toka Diagana

Copyright © 2011 Zhi-Wei Lv. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We discuss the existence of minimal and maximal positive solutions for fractional differential equations with multipoint boundary value conditions, and new results are given. An example is also given to illustrate the abstract results.

1. Introduction

Recently, [1] discussed the existence of positive solutions for the following boundary value problem of fractional order differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{\beta} u(1) &= a D_{0+}^{\beta} u(\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where D_{0+}^{α} is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $\xi \in (0, 1)$, $a\xi^{\alpha-\beta-2} \leq 1 - \beta$, $0 \leq \alpha - \beta - 1$ and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfies Carathéodory-type conditions. Moreover, [2] considered the following nonlinear m -point boundary value problem of fractional type:

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha} x(t) + q(t) f(t, x(t)) &= 0, & \text{a.e. on } [0, 1], & \alpha \in (n-1, n], \quad n \geq 2, \\ x(0) = x'(0) = x''(0) = \dots &= x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, & x(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \zeta_i x(\eta_i), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where x takes values in a reflexive Banach space E ,

$$0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \cdots < \eta_{m-2} < 1, \quad (1.3)$$

$\xi_i > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-1} < 1$ and $x^{(k)}$ denotes the k th Pseudo-derivative of x , D^α denotes the Pseudo fractional differential operator of order α , $q(\cdot)$ is a continuous real-valued function on $[0, 1]$, and f is a vector-valued Pettis-integrable function.

In this paper, we consider the existence of minimal and maximal positive solutions for the following multiple-point boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) + f(t, u(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^\beta u(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i D_{0+}^\beta u(\eta_i), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where D_{0+}^α is the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative,

$$D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} u(s) ds, \quad (1.5)$$

$n = [\alpha] + 1$, $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha - \beta - 1$, $0 < \xi_i, \eta_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} < 1. \quad (1.6)$$

New results on the problem will be obtained.

Recall the following well-known definition and lemma (for more details on cone theory, see [3]).

Definition 1.1. Let E be a real Banach space. Then,

(a) a nonempty convex closed set $P \subset E$ is called a cone if it satisfied the following two conditions:

- (i) $x \in P$, $\lambda \geq 0$ implies $\lambda x \in P$,
- (ii) $x \in P$, $-x \in P$ implies $x = \theta$, where θ denotes the zero element of E .

(b) a cone P is said to be normal if there exists a constant $N > 0$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$.

Lemma 1.2. Assume that $u \in C(0, 1) \cap L(0, 1)$ with a fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ that belongs to $C(0, 1) \cap L(0, 1)$. Then,

$$I_{0+}^\alpha D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) = u(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N}, \quad \text{for some } C_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1.7)$$

where N is the smallest integer greater than or equal to α .

2. Main Results

Let $E = C[0, 1]$ and $P = \{u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]\}$. Then, E is the Banach space endowed with the norm $\|u\| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |u(t)|$ and P is normal cone.

We list the following assumptions to be used in this paper.

(H₁) there exist two nonnegative real-valued functions $p, q \in L[0, 1]$, such that

$$f(s, x(s)) \leq p(s) + q(s)x(s), \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad x(s) \in [0, \infty). \tag{2.1}$$

(H₂) for $s \in [0, 1]$, $0 \leq v_1 \leq v_2$ implies $f(s, v_1) \leq f(s, v_2)$.

In the following, we will prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $y \in C[0, 1]$. Then, the fractional differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) + y(t) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\ u(0) &= 0, \quad D_{0+}^\beta u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i D_{0+}^\beta u(\eta_i), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

has a unique solution which is given by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)y(s)ds, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = G_1(t, s) + G_2(t, s), \tag{2.4}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ G_2(t, s) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \left[\sum_{0 \leq s \leq \eta_i} \left(\xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - \xi_i t^{\alpha-1} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right) \right], & t \in [0, 1], \\ \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\sum_{\eta_i \leq s \leq 1} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right), & t \in [0, 1], \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$A = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Using Lemma 1.2, we have

$$u(t) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2}. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from the condition $u(0) = 0$ that $C_2 = 0$.

Thus,

$$u(t) = -I_{0+}^{\alpha} y(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1}. \quad (2.8)$$

This, together with the relation $D_{0+}^{\alpha} t^{\gamma} = (\Gamma(\gamma + 1)/\Gamma(\gamma - \alpha + 1))t^{\gamma-\alpha}$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^{\beta} u(t) &= -I_{0+}^{\alpha-\beta} y(t) + C_1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} t^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds + C_1 \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)} t^{\alpha-\beta-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

From the boundary value condition $D_{0+}^{\beta} u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i D_{0+}^{\beta} u(\eta_i)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds - \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \int_0^{\eta_i} t^{\alpha-1} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \left(t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} \right) y(s) ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_t^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_1 \eta_1^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^{\eta_1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_1 \eta_1^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_{\eta_1}^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_1 \int_0^{\eta_1} t^{\alpha-1} (\eta_1 - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \dots \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^{\eta_{m-2}} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_{m-2} \eta_{m-2}^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \xi_{m-2} \int_0^{\eta_{m-2}} t^{\alpha-1} (\eta_{m-2} - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} y(s) ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 G_1(t, s) y(s) ds + \int_0^1 G_2(t, s) y(s) ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 G(t, s) y(s) ds.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} < 1$, then function $G(t, s)$ in Lemma 2.1 satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $G(t, s) > 0$, for $s, t \in (0, 1)$,
- (ii) $G(t, s) \leq \bar{G}(t, s) \leq G_*(s, s)$, for $s, t \in [0, 1]$,

where

$$\bar{G}(t, s) = \bar{G}_1(t, s) + \bar{G}_2(t, s),
 \tag{2.12}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{G}_1(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \\ \bar{G}_2(t, s) &= \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \\ G_*(s, s) &= \max_{t \in [0,1]} \bar{G}_1(t, s) + \max_{t \in [0,1]} \bar{G}_2(t, s).\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Proof. When $0 < s \leq t < 1$, we have

$$t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} = (t-ts)^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{-\beta} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1} > 0.\tag{2.14}$$

Thus, $G_1(t, s) > 0$ for $s, t \in (0, 1)$.

Furthermore, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_i t^{\alpha-1} (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} &= \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{\alpha-1} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\eta_i}\right)^{\alpha-\beta-1} \\ &\leq \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

So, $G_2(t, s) \geq 0$ for $s, t \in [0, 1]$. This, together with $G_1(t, s) > 0$ for $s, t \in (0, 1)$, yields $G(t, s) > 0$ for $t, s \in (0, 1)$.

Observing the express of $G(t, s)$, $\bar{G}(t, s)$, and $G_*(t, s)$, we see that (ii) holds.

The proof is complete. \square

Remark 2.3. From the express of $\bar{G}_1(t, s)$ and $\bar{G}_2(t, s)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{t \in [0,1]} \bar{G}_1(t, s) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}, \\ \max_{t \in [0,1]} \bar{G}_2(t, s) &= \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

Thus,

$$G_*(s, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}.\tag{2.17}$$

Now, we define an operator $T : P \rightarrow P$ by

$$(Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds.\tag{2.18}$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let condition (H_1) be satisfied. Suppose that $\int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds < 1$. Then, problem (1.4) has at least one positive solution.*

Proof. Let $B_r = \{u \in E, \|u\| \leq r\}$, where

$$r = \frac{\int_0^1 G_*(s, s)p(s)ds}{1 - \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds}. \tag{2.19}$$

Step 1. $T : B_r \rightarrow B_r$, for any $u \in B_r$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)(p(s) + q(s)u(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)p(s)ds + \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds\|u\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)p(s)ds + r \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds \\ &= r, \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

which implies that $\|Tu\| \leq r$.

Step 2. $T : B_r \rightarrow B_r$ is continuous.

It is obvious from $f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty), [0, \infty))$.

Step 3. $T(B_r)$ is equicontinuous.

From (2.11) and (2.18), for any $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1], t_1 < t_2, u \in B_r$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tu)(t_2) - (Tu)(t_1)| &= \left| \int_0^1 (G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s))f(s, u(s))ds \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} |(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}|(p(s) + rq(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}(p(s) + rq(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 |t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1}|(1 - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}(p(s) + rq(s))ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 |t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1}| (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (p(s) + rq(s)) ds \\
& + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \int_0^{\eta_i} |t_2^{\alpha-1} - t_1^{\alpha-1}| (\eta_i - s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} (p(s) + rq(s)) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

As $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero, so, $T(B_r)$ is equicontinuous.

By the Arzelá-Ascoli theorem, we conclude that the operator $T : B_r \rightarrow B_r$ is completely continuous. Thus, our conclusion follows from Schauder fixed point theorem, and the proof is complete. □

Theorem 2.5. *Besides the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4, we suppose that (H_2) holds. Then, BVP (1.4) has minimal positive solution \bar{u} in B_r and maximal positive solution \bar{w} in B_r ; Moreover, $v_m(t) \rightarrow \bar{u}(t)$, $w_m(t) \rightarrow \bar{w}(t)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$, where*

$$v_m(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, v_{m-1}(s)) ds, \tag{2.22}$$

$$w_m(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) f(s, w_{m-1}(s)) ds. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, we know that BVP (1.4) has at least one positive solution in B_r .

Step 1. BVP (1.4) has a positive solution in B_r , which is minimal positive solution.

From (2.18) and (2.22), one can see that

$$v_m(t) = (Tv_{m-1})(t), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{2.24}$$

This, together with (H_2) , yields that

$$0 = v_0(t) \leq v_1(t) \leq \dots \leq v_m(t) \leq \dots, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.25}$$

From $v_0 \in B_r$ and the proof of Theorem 2.4, it may be concluded that $v_m \in B_r$ and $Tv_m \in B_r$.

Let

$$W = \{v_m : m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad TW = \{Tv_m : m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}. \tag{2.26}$$

Thus,

$$W = \{v_0\} \cup TW, \quad W \subset B_r, \quad T : W \longrightarrow W. \tag{2.27}$$

By the complete community of T , we know that TW is relatively compact. So, there exists a $\bar{u} \in E$ and a subsequence

$$\{v_{m_j} : j = 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \subset W \tag{2.28}$$

such that $\{v_{m_j} : j = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ converges to \bar{u} uniformly on $[0, 1]$. Since P is normal and $\{v_m(t) : m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is nondecreasing, it is easily seen that the entire sequence $\{v_m(t) : m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ converges to $\bar{u}(t)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. B_r being closed convex set in E and $v_m \in B_r$ imply that $\bar{u} \in B_r$.

From

$$f \in C([0, 1] \times [0, \infty), [0, \infty)) \tag{2.29}$$

and (H_1) , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} f(s, v_m(s)) &\longrightarrow f(s, \bar{u}(s)) \quad \text{as } m \longrightarrow \infty, \text{ for } s \in [0, 1], \\ G(t, s)f(s, v_m(s)) &\leq G_*(s, s)f(s, v_m(s)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right) f(s, v_m(s)) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \right) (p(s) + rq(s)) \in L[0, 1]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

By (2.30), (2.22), and Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, we get

$$\bar{u}(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \bar{u}(s))ds. \tag{2.31}$$

Let $u(t)$ be any positive solution of BVP (1.4) in B_r . It is obvious that $0 = v_0(t) \leq u(t) = (Tu)(t)$. Thus,

$$v_m(t) \leq u(t) \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots). \tag{2.32}$$

Taking limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.32), we get $\bar{u}(t) \leq u(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Step 2. BVP (1.4) has a positive solution in B_r , which is maximal positive solution.

Let

$$w_0(t) = \int_0^1 \bar{G}(t, s)(p(s) + rq(s))ds. \tag{2.33}$$

It is obvious that

$$|w_0(t)| \leq \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)(p(s) + rq(s))ds = r. \quad (2.34)$$

Thus, $\|w_0\| \leq r$ and $w_0 \in B_r$.

By (2.18), (2.23), and (H_1) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(t) &= (Tw_0)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, w_0(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \bar{G}(t, s)(p(s) + q(s)w_0(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \bar{G}(t, s)(p(s) + rq(s))ds \\ &= w_0(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

This, together with (H_2) , yields that

$$\cdots \leq w_m(t) \leq \cdots \leq w_1(t) \leq w_0(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.36)$$

Using a proof similar to that of Step 1, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} w_m(t) &\longrightarrow \bar{w}(t) \quad (m \longrightarrow \infty), \\ \bar{w}(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, \bar{w}(s))ds. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

Let $u(t)$ be any positive solution of BVP (1.4) in B_r .

Obviously,

$$u(t) = (Tu)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \leq \int_0^1 \bar{G}(t, s)(p(s) + rq(s))ds = w_0(t). \quad (2.38)$$

This, together with (H_2) , implies

$$u(t) \leq w_m(t). \quad (2.39)$$

Taking limits as $m \longrightarrow \infty$ in (2.39), we obtain $u(t) \leq \bar{w}(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

The proof is complete. \square

On the other hand, we note that in these years, going with the significant developments of various differential equations in abstract spaces (cf., e.g., [3–17] and references therein), fractional differential equations in Banach spaces have also been

investigated by many authors (cf. e.g., [1, 2, 18–26] and references therein). In our coming papers, we will present more results on fractional differential equations in Banach spaces.

3. An Example

Example 3.1. Consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} D_{0+}^{3/2}u(t) + \frac{u}{1+u}t^{11} + e^t + 1 &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, \quad D_{0+}^{1/5}u(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i D_{0+}^{1/5}u(\eta_i), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha = 3/2, \beta = 1/5, m = 4, \xi_1 = \eta_1 = 1/4, \xi_2 = \eta_2 = 1/2$,

$$f(t, u) = \frac{u}{1+u}t^{11} + e^t + 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$p(t) = e^t + 1, q(t) = t^{11}$. By computation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{3/10} < \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4} < 1, \\ A = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} &> \frac{1}{4}, \quad \frac{1}{A} < 4, \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From Remark 2.3, we get

$$G_*(s, s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^{11} ds + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1} s^{11} ds \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 s^{11} ds + 4 \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \frac{3}{4} \int_0^1 s^{11} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{6\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \\ &= \frac{2}{3\sqrt{\pi}} < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

On the one hand, it is obvious that $f(t, u) \leq p(t) + q(t)u$. Thus, (H_1) is satisfied. For $u_1 \leq u_2$, we see that $f(t, u_1) \leq f(t, u_2)$, which implies that (H_2) holds.

Hence, by Theorem 2.5, BVP (3.1) has minimal and maximal positive solutions in B_r . Furthermore, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)p(s)ds &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}(e^s+1)ds + \frac{1}{A\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i \eta_i^{\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-\beta-1}(e^s+1)ds \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^1 (e^s+1)ds + 4 \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \times \frac{3}{4} \int_0^1 (e^s+1)ds \\
 &= \frac{2e}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{6e}{\sqrt{\pi}} \\
 &= \frac{8e}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \\
 r &= \frac{\int_0^1 G_*(s, s)p(s)ds}{1 - \int_0^1 G_*(s, s)q(s)ds} \leq \frac{8e/\sqrt{\pi}}{1 - 2/3\sqrt{\pi}} = \frac{24e}{3\sqrt{\pi} - 2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

References

- [1] C. F. Li, X. N. Luo, and Y. Zhou, "Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1363–1375, 2010.
- [2] H. A. H. Salem, "On the fractional order m -point boundary value problem in reflexive Banach spaces and weak topologies," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 224, no. 2, pp. 565–572, 2009.
- [3] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, vol. 5 of *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1988.
- [4] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Differential Equations of Monotone Types in Banach Spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2010.
- [5] C. J. K. Batty, J. Liang, and T.-J. Xiao, "On the spectral and growth bound of semigroups associated with hyperbolic equations," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 191, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2005.
- [6] C. Chicone and Y. Latushkin, *Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations*, vol. 70 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 1999.
- [7] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel, *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*, vol. 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [8] T. Diagana, *Pseudo Almost Periodic Functions in Banach Spaces*, Nova Science, New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- [9] T. Diagana, G. M. Mophou, and G. M. N'Guérékata, "On the existence of mild solutions to some semilinear fractional integro-differential equations," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, vol. 2010, no. 58, pp. 1–17, 2010.
- [10] J. Liang, R. Nagel, and T.-J. Xiao, "Approximation theorems for the propagators of higher order abstract Cauchy problems," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 360, no. 4, pp. 1723–1739, 2008.
- [11] J. Liang, J. Zhang, and T.-J. Xiao, "Composition of pseudo almost automorphic and asymptotically almost automorphic functions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 340, no. 2, pp. 1493–1499, 2008.
- [12] A. Lorenzi and G. Mola, "Identification of unknown terms in convolution integro-differential equations in a Banach space," *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 321–355, 2010.
- [13] T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, *The Cauchy Problem for Higher-Order Abstract Differential Equations*, vol. 1701 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1998.
- [14] T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Second order parabolic equations in Banach spaces with dynamic boundary conditions," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 356, no. 12, pp. 4787–4809, 2004.

- [15] T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Complete second order differential equations in Banach spaces with dynamic boundary conditions," *Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 200, no. 1, pp. 105–136, 2004.
- [16] T.-J. Xiao and J. Liang, "Second order differential operators with Feller-Wentzell type boundary conditions," *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 254, no. 6, pp. 1467–1486, 2008.
- [17] T.-J. Xiao, J. Liang, and J. Zhang, "Pseudo almost automorphic solutions to semilinear differential equations in Banach spaces," *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 518–524, 2008.
- [18] R. P. Agarwal, M. Belmekki, and M. Benchohra, "A survey on semilinear differential equations and inclusions involving Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2009, Article ID 981728, 47 pages, 2009.
- [19] R. P. Agarwal, V. Lakshmikantham, and J. J. Nieto, "On the concept of solution for fractional differential equations with uncertainty," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 6, pp. 2859–2862, 2010.
- [20] J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, "Fractional functional differential inclusions with finite delay," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 2091–2105, 2009.
- [21] J. Henderson and A. Ouahab, "Impulsive differential inclusions with fractional order," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 1191–1226, 2010.
- [22] V. Lakshmikantham, "Theory of fractional functional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 3337–3343, 2008.
- [23] V. Lakshmikantham and A. S. Vatsala, "Basic theory of fractional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 2677–2682, 2008.
- [24] F. Li, "Mild solutions for fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2010, Article ID 287861, 9 pages, 2010.
- [25] Z.-W. Lv, J. Liang, and T.-J. Xiao, "Solutions to fractional differential equations with nonlocal initial condition in Banach spaces," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2010, Article ID 340349, 10 pages, 2010.
- [26] G. M. Mophou, "Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to impulsive fractional differential equations," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 72, no. 3-4, pp. 1604–1615, 2010.