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We investigate the questions of existence of positive solution for the nonlocal problem $-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(\lambda, u)$ in $\Omega$ and $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, and $M$ and $f$ are continuous functions.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study some questions related to the existence of positive solution for the nonlocal elliptic problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(\lambda, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{P}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain, $M: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function whose behavior will be stated later, $f: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given nonlinear function, and $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual norm in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2}=\int|\nabla u|^{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finally, through this work, $\int u$ denotes the integral $\int_{\Omega} u(x) d x$.
The main goal of this paper is to establish conditions on $M$ and $f$ under which problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ possesses a positive solution.

Problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ is called nonlocal because of the presence of the term $M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right)$ which implies that the equation in $(P)_{\lambda}$ is no longer a pointwise identity. This provokes some mathematical difficulties which make the study of such a problem particulary interesting.

## 2 A Kirchhoff-type equation

Besides, these kinds of problems have motivations in physics. Indeed, the operator $M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u$ appears in the Kirchhoff equation, by virtue of this $(P)_{\lambda}$, is called of the Kirchhoff type, which arises in nonlinear vibrations, namely,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t t}-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ is the stationary counterpart of the above evolution equation.
Such a hyperbolic equation is a general version of the Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{P_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

presented by Kirchhoff [14]. This equation extends the classical d'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. The parameters in (1.3) have the following meanings: $L$ is the length of the string, $h$ is the area of cross-section, $E$ is the Young modulus of the material, $\rho$ is the mass density and $P_{0}$ is the initial tension.

Problem (1.2) began to call the attention of several researchers mainly after the work of Lions [15], where a functional analysis approach was proposed to attack it.

The reader may consult $[1,2,8,16,18]$ and the references therein, for more information on $(P)_{\lambda}$.

Actually, problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ is a particular example of a wide class of the so-called nonlocal equations whose study has deserved the attention of many researchers, mainly in recent years.

Let us cite some nonlocal problems in order to emphasize the importance of their studies.

First, we consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-a\left(\int|u|^{q} d x\right) \Delta u=H(x) f(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.4}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a given function, which does not have variational structure.
Such a problem appears in some physical situations related, for example, with biology in which $u$ sometimes describes the population of bacteria, in case $q=1$. In case $q=2$, we get the well-known Carrier equation which is an appropriate model to study some questions related to nonlinear deflections of beams. See $[4-7,10]$ and the references therein, for more details related to problem (1.4).

Another relevant nonlocal problem is

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=a(x, u)\|u\|_{p}^{q} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a: \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a known function and $\|\cdot\|_{q}$ is the usual $L^{q}$-norm, and its related system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u^{m}=\|v\|_{p}^{\alpha} \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v^{n}=\|u\|_{q}^{\beta} \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.6}\\
u=v=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

comes from a parabolic phenomenon. Such problems arise in the study of the flow of a fluid through a homogeneous isotropic rigid porous medium or in studies of population dynamics. It has been suggested that nonlocal growth terms present a more realistic model of population. See $[9,11,12,20]$ and references therein.

To close this series of examples, we cite the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u=\frac{(f(u))^{\alpha}}{\left(\int f(u)\right)^{\beta}} \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.7}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

which arises in numerous physical models such as: systems of particles in thermodynamical equilibrium via gravitational (Coulomb) potential, 2-D fully turbulent behavior of real flow, thermal runaway in ohmic heating, shear bounds in metal deformed under high strain rates, among others. References to these applications may be found in [21].

After these motivations, let us go back to our original problem $(P)_{\lambda}$. We impose the following conditions on $M$ and $f: \mathrm{M}$ is a continuous function and satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
M(t) \geq m_{0}>0 \quad \forall t \geq 0,  \tag{1}\\
M(k)<\frac{\mu m_{0}}{2} \quad \text { for some } 2<\mu<p, \text { for any } k>0,  \tag{2}\\
\max \left\{M(k)^{(2-p+q) /(p-2)}, M(k)^{2 / p-2}\right\} \leq \frac{k}{\theta} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $k>0$, for some $q \leq p, 2<p<2^{*}$, and $\theta>0$, where $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$ if $N \geq 3$ and $2^{*}=\infty$ if $N=2$. We also suppose that $f$ is a continuous function and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(\lambda, t)-|t|^{p-2} t}{\lambda}:=g(t) \quad \text { with } g(t) \geq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that by $\left(f_{1}\right), f(\lambda, t) \geq 0$, for all $\lambda>0$ and assume that for all $t \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{g(t)}{t}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we require that there exists $2<\mu<p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\mu G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s \leq g(t) t \quad \forall t>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result is as follows.

## 4 A Kirchhoff-type equation

Theorem 1.1. Let us suppose that the function $M$ satisfies $\left(M_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}\right)$, and $\left(M_{3}\right), f$ satisfies $\left(f_{1}\right)$, and $g$ satisfies $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$. Then there exists $\lambda_{0}>0$ such that problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ possesses a positive solution for each $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right]$.

We point out that the function $g(t)=|t|^{s-2} t$ with $s \geq 2^{*}$ satisfies assumptions $\left(g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}\right)$.

In the present paper, we continue the study from [2], because we consider supercritical nonlinearities. In [2], the authors only consider nonlinearities with subcritical growth and so they are able to use a combination of the mountain pass theorem and an appropriate truncation of the function $M$ to attack problem $(P)_{\lambda}$.

In order to solve problem $(P)_{\lambda}$, we first consider a truncated problem which involves only a subcritical Sobolev exponent. We show that positive solution of truncated problem is a positive solution of $(P)_{\lambda}$.

In Sections 2 and 3, we study the truncated problem and in Section 4, we prove an existence result for problem $(P)_{\lambda}$.

## 2. The truncated problem

First of all, we have to note that because $f$ has a supercritical growth, we cannot use directly the variational techniques, due to the lack of compactness of the Sobolev immersions.

So we construct a suitable truncation of $f$ in order to use variational methods or, more precisely, the mountain pass theorem. This truncation was used in the paper [19] (see [3, 13]).

Let $K>0$ be a real number, whose precise value will be fixed later, and consider the function $g_{K}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
g_{K}(t)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } t<0  \tag{2.1}\\ g(t) & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq K \\ \frac{g(K)}{K^{p-1}} t^{p-1} & \text { if } t \geq K\end{cases}
$$

We also study the associated truncated problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=f_{K}(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{T}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f_{K}(t)=\left(t_{+}\right)^{p-1}+\lambda g_{K}(t)$. Such a function enjoys the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{K}(t)=o(t) \quad(\text { as } t \longrightarrow 0)  \tag{K,1}\\
0<\mu \int F_{K}(u) \leq \int f_{K}(u) u \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), u>0 \tag{K,2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu>2$ and $F_{K}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f_{K}(s) d s$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{K}(t)}{t^{p-1}}=1+\lambda \frac{g(K)}{K^{p-1}} . \tag{K,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Existence of solution for the truncated problem

First, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{K}(t)\right| \leq C_{1}|t|^{q-1}+C_{2}|t|^{p-1}, \tag{K,4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1} \geq 0, C_{2}>0$, and for all $q \geq 1$. This is an immediate consequence of the definition of $f_{K}$.

Hence, by using $\left(f_{K, 3}\right),\left(f_{K, 4}\right)$, and $\left(M_{1}\right)$, we conclude from [2, Lemma 2] that there exists $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2} \leq \max \left\{M\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|\right)^{(2-p+q) /(p-2)}, M\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}\right)^{2 / p-2}\right\} \theta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all classical solutions $u_{\lambda}$ of $(T)_{\lambda}$.
We now use $\left(f_{K, 1}\right),\left(f_{K, 2}\right),\left(f_{K, 3}\right),\left(M_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}\right)$ (with $\mu>2$ obtained from condition $\left(f_{K, 2}\right)$ ) and ( $M_{3}$ ) (with $\theta>0$ obtained in (3.1)) to obtain, thanks to [2, Theorem 5], a positive solution $u_{\lambda}$ of $T_{0}$ such that $I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=c_{\lambda}$, where $c_{\lambda}$ is the mountain pass level associated to the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{M}\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{p} \int F_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is related to the problem $T_{0}$, where $\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(s) d s$.
Furthermore,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) u_{\lambda} & \geq\left(\frac{m_{0}}{2}-\frac{M\left(\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}\right)}{\mu}\right)\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\int \frac{1}{\mu}\left[f_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) u_{\lambda}-F_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right]  \tag{3.3}\\
& \geq \frac{m_{0}}{2}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\int \frac{1}{\mu}\left[f_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) u_{\lambda}-F_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we need the following estimate.
Lemma 4.1. If $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution (positive) of problem $T_{0}$, then $\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \bar{C}$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$, where $\bar{C}>0$ is a constant that does not depend on $\lambda$.

Proof. Since $F_{k}(t) \geq t_{+}^{p} / p$, one has $c_{\lambda} \leq c_{0}$, where $c_{0}$ is the mountain pass level related to the functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{M}\left(\|u\|^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{p} \int|u|^{p} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is associated to the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \Delta u=|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{0}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} \geq c_{\lambda}=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{\mu} I_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) u_{\lambda} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} \geq \frac{m_{0}}{2}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|^{2}+\int\left[\frac{1}{\mu} f_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right) u_{\lambda}-F_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right] . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left(f_{K, 2}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 c_{0}}{m_{0}}}:=\bar{C} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$.
Next, we are going to use the Moser iteration method [17](see [3, 13]).
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $u_{\lambda}$ be a solution of problem $T_{0}$. We will show that there is $K_{0}$ such that for all $K>K_{0}$, there exists a corresponding $\lambda_{0}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq K \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right] . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is the case, one has $f_{K}\left(u_{\lambda}\right)=u_{\lambda}^{p-1}+\lambda g\left(u_{\lambda}\right)$ and so $u_{\lambda}$ is a solution of problem $(P)_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right]$.

For the sake of simplicity, we will use the following notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\lambda}:=u . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L>0$, let us define the following functions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{L}= \begin{cases}u & \text { if } u \leq L, \\
L & \text { if } u>L,\end{cases}  \tag{4.7}\\
z_{L}=u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} u, \quad w_{L}=u u_{L}^{\beta-1},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\beta>1$ will be fixed later. Let us use $z_{L}$ as a test function, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \int \nabla u \nabla z_{L}=\int f_{K}(u) z_{L} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(\|u\|^{2}\right) \int u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2}=-2(\beta-1) \int u_{L}^{2 \beta-3} u \nabla u \nabla u_{L}+\int f_{K}(u) u u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the definition of $u_{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\beta-1) \int u_{L}^{2 \beta-3} u \nabla u \nabla u_{L}=2(\beta-1) \int_{\{u \leq L\}} u^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} \geq 0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{K}(u) \leq\left(1+\lambda \frac{g(u)}{K^{p-1}}\right)|u|^{p-1} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

together with $\left(M_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq\left(1+\lambda \frac{g(K)}{K^{p-1}}\right) \frac{1}{m_{0}} \int u^{p} u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} \leq C_{\lambda, K} \int u^{p} u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\lambda, K}=\left(1+\lambda\left(g(u) / K^{p-1}\right)\right)\left(1 / m_{0}\right)$.
On the other hand, from the continuous Sobolev immersion, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{1} \int\left|\nabla w_{L}\right|^{2}=C_{1} \int\left|\nabla\left(u u_{L}^{\beta-1}\right)\right|^{2} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{1} \int u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2}+C_{1}(\beta-1)^{2} \int u_{L}^{2(\beta-2)} u^{2}\left|\nabla u_{L}\right|^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{2} \beta^{2} \int u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)}|\nabla u|^{2} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.13) and (4.16), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{2} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K} \int u^{p} u_{L}^{2(\beta-1)} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{2} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K} \int u^{p-2}\left(u u_{L}^{\beta-1}\right)^{2}=C_{2} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K} \int u^{p-2} w_{L}^{2} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use Hölder inequality, with exponents $2^{*} /[p-2]$ and $2^{*} /\left[2^{*}-(p-2)\right]$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{2} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K}\left(\int u^{2^{*}}\right)^{(p-2) / 2^{*}}\left(\int w_{L}^{2.2^{*} /\left[2^{*}-(p-2)\right]}\right)^{\left[2^{*}-(p-2)\right] / 2^{*}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2<2.2^{*} /\left(2^{*}-(p-2)\right)<2^{*}$. Considering the continuous Sobolev immersion $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega), 1 \leq q \leq 2^{*}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{2}^{\prime} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K}\|u\|^{p-2}\left|w_{L}\right|_{\alpha^{*}}^{2}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $\alpha^{*}=2.2^{*} /\left(2^{*}-(p-2)\right)$. Using Lemma 4.1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{L}\right|_{2^{*}}^{2} \leq C_{3} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K} \bar{C}^{p-2}\left|w_{L}\right|_{\alpha^{*}}^{2} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w_{L}=u u_{L}^{\beta-1} \leq u^{\beta}$ and supposing that $u^{\beta} \in L^{\alpha^{*}}(\Omega)$, we have from (4.21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int\left|u u_{L}^{\beta-1}\right|^{2^{*}}\right)^{2 / 2^{*}} \leq C_{4} \beta^{2} C_{\lambda, K}\left(\int u^{\beta \alpha^{*}}\right)^{2 / \alpha^{*}}<+\infty \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now apply Fatou's lemma with respect to the variable $L$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\beta \cdot 2^{*}}^{2 \beta} \leq C_{4} C_{\lambda, K} \beta^{2}|u|_{\beta \alpha^{*}}^{2 \beta} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\beta .2^{*}} \leq\left(C_{4} C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / \beta 2} \beta^{1 / \beta}|u|_{\beta \alpha^{*}} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by considering $\chi=2^{*} / \alpha^{*}$, we have $2^{*}=\chi \alpha^{*}$ and $\beta \chi \alpha^{*}=2^{*} \cdot \beta$ for all $\beta>1$ verifying $u^{\beta} \in L^{\alpha^{*}}(\Omega)$.

Let us consider two cases.
Case 1. First, we consider $\beta=2^{*} / \alpha^{*}$ and note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\beta} \in L^{\alpha^{*}}(\Omega) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from the Sobolev immersions, Lemma 4.1, and inequality (4.24), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\left(2^{*}\right)^{2 / \alpha^{*}}} \leq\left(C_{4} C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / 2 \beta} \beta^{1 / \beta} \bar{C} C_{5}, \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\chi^{2} \alpha^{*}} \leq C_{6}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / \chi^{2}} \chi^{1 / \chi} . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 2. We now consider $\beta=\left(2^{*} / \alpha^{*}\right)^{2}$ and note again that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\beta} \in L^{\alpha^{*}}(\Omega) . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From inequality (4.24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\left(2^{*}\right)^{3} /\left(\alpha^{*}\right)^{2}} \leq C_{6}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / \beta 2} \beta^{1 / \beta}|u|_{\left(2^{*}\right)^{2 / / \alpha *}}, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\chi^{3} \alpha^{*}} \leq C_{6}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / \chi^{2}}\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{1 / \chi^{2}}|u|_{\chi^{2} \alpha^{*}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\chi^{3} \alpha^{*}} \leq C_{7}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{1 / \chi^{2}+1 / \chi^{2}}\left(\chi^{2}\right)^{2 / \chi^{2}+1 / \chi} \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

An iterative process leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{\chi^{(m+1)} \alpha^{*}} \leq C_{8}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi^{2(-i)}} \chi^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \chi^{-i}} . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_{8}\left(C_{\lambda, K}\right)^{\sigma_{1}} \chi^{\sigma_{2}}, \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \chi^{2(-i)}$ and $\sigma_{2}=2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \chi^{-i}$.
In order to choose $\lambda_{0}$, we consider the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{8}\left(C_{\lambda, K}^{\sigma_{1}}\right) \chi^{\sigma_{2}}=C_{8}\left[\left(1+\lambda \frac{g(K)}{K^{p-1}}\right) \frac{1}{m_{0}}\right]^{\sigma_{1}} \chi^{\sigma_{2}} \leq K, \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{\lambda g(K)}{K^{p-1}}\right)^{\sigma_{1}} \leq \frac{K m_{0}^{\sigma_{1}}}{\chi^{\sigma_{2}} C_{8}} . \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $\lambda_{0}$, verifying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{0} \leq\left[\frac{K^{1 / \sigma_{1}} m_{0}}{C_{9}}-1\right] \frac{K^{p-1}}{g(K)}, \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and fixing $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{K^{1 / \sigma_{1}} m_{0}}{C_{9}}-1\right]>0 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq K \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[0, \lambda_{0}\right], \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which concludes the proof.
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