## Research Article

# **Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for Boundary Value Problems to the Singular One-Dimension** *p***-Laplacian**

### Xiaoning Lin,<sup>1</sup> Weizhi Sun,<sup>2</sup> and Daqing Jiang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Business, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Changchun University of Science and Technology,

Changchun 130022, China

<sup>3</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Xiaoning Lin, linxiaoning@126.com

Received 26 June 2007; Accepted 29 January 2008

Recommended by Kanishka Perera

In this paper, We study the existence and uniqueness of solutions for boundary value problems to the singular one-dimension *p*-Laplacian by using mixed monotone method. Our results improve several recent results established in the literature.

Copyright © 2008 Xiaoning Lin et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of solution to the boundary value problem

$$(\phi(x'))' + \lambda q(t) f(x) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \ \lambda > 0,$$
  
 
$$x(0) = x(1) = 0,$$
 (1.1)

where  $\phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ , p > 1, and f may be singular at x = 0.

By a solution x to (1.1) we mean a function  $x \in C^1[0,1]$ ,  $\phi(x') \in AC[0,1]$  such that x satisfies (1.1) and the boundary condition; here AC[0,1] denotes the space of absolutely continuous functions defined on [0,1].

It is of interest to note here that the existence of positive solutions to problem (1.1) has been studied in great detail in the literature, see [1-10]. However, there are few works on the uniqueness of solutions for boundary problems to the singular one-dimension *p*-Laplacian. In this paper, we present a new existence and uniqueness theory by using mixed monotone method which has been used in [11, 12].

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let E = C[0, 1], with the norm  $||u|| = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$ , so *E* is a Banach space. Also, we define

$$P = \{ u \in E : u \text{ is concave on } [0,1] \text{ and } u(0) = u(1) = 0 \}.$$
(2.1)

One may readily verify that *P* is a cone in *E*.  $e \in P$ , with  $||e|| \le 1$ ,  $e \ne \theta$ . Define

 $Q_e = \{x \in P \mid x \neq \theta, \text{ there exist constants } m, M > 0 \text{ such that } me \leq x \leq Me\}.$  (2.2)

Now we give a definition (see [13]).

Definition 2.1. Assume  $A : Q_e \times Q_e \to Q_e$ . *A* is said to be mixed monotone if A(x, y) is nondecreasing in *x* and nonincreasing in *y*, that is, if  $x_1 \le x_2$  ( $x_1, x_2 \in Q_e$ ) implies  $A(x_1, y) \le A(x_2, y)$  for any  $y \in Q_e$ , and  $y_1 \le y_2$  ( $y_1, y_2 \in Q_e$ ) implies  $A(x, y_1) \ge A(x, y_2)$  for any  $x \in Q_e$ .  $x^* \in Q_e$  is said to be a fixed point of *A* if  $A(x^*, x^*) = x^*$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (see [11]). Suppose that  $A : Q_e \times Q_e \rightarrow Q_e$  is a mixed monotone operator and there exists a constant  $\beta$ ,  $0 \le \beta < 1$  such that

$$A\left(tx, \frac{1}{t}y\right) \ge t^{\beta}A(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in Q_e, \ 0 < t < 1.$$

$$(2.3)$$

Then A has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in Q_e$ . Moreover, for any  $(x_0, y_0) \in Q_e \times Q_e$ ,

$$x_n = A(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \quad y_n = A(y_{n-1}, x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2.4)

satisfy

$$x_n \longrightarrow x^*, \qquad y_n \longrightarrow x^*,$$
 (2.5)

where

$$||x_n - x^*|| = o(1 - r^{\beta^n}), \qquad ||y_n - x^*|| = o(1 - r^{\beta^n}),$$
 (2.6)

0 < r < 1, *r* is a constant from  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

**Theorem 2.3** (see [11, 13]). Suppose that  $A : Q_e \times Q_e \to Q_e$  is a mixed monotone operator and there exists a constant  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that (2.3) holds. If  $x_{\lambda}^*$  is a unique solution of equation

$$A(x, x) = \lambda x \quad (\lambda > 0) \tag{2.7}$$

in  $Q_e$ , then  $\|x_{\lambda}^* - x_{\lambda_0}^*\| \to 0$ ,  $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ . If  $0 < \beta < 1/2$ , then  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $x_{\lambda_1}^* \ge x_{\lambda_2'}^* x_{\lambda_1}^* \neq x_{\lambda_2'}^*$  and

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = 0, \qquad \lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty.$$
(2.8)

Xiaoning Lin et al.

#### 3. Existence and uniqueness

In this section, we discuss the singular one-dimension *p*-Laplacian

$$(\phi(x'))' + q(t)f(x) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1),$$
  
 
$$x(0) = x(1) = 0.$$
 (3.1)

Throughout this section we assume that

$$f(x) = g(x) + h(x),$$
 (3.2)

where

$$g: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is continuous and nondecreasing ;} h: (0, +\infty) \longrightarrow (0, +\infty) \text{ is continuous and nonincreasing .}$$
(3.3)

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that there exists  $\alpha \in (0, p - 1)$  such that

$$g(tx) \ge t^{\alpha}g(x), \tag{3.4}$$

$$h(t^{-1}x) \ge t^{\alpha}h(x), \tag{3.5}$$

*for any*  $t \in (0, 1)$  *and* x > 0*, and*  $q \in C((0, 1), (0, \infty))$  *satisfies* 

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{-\alpha} (1-t)^{-\alpha} q(t) dt < +\infty, \quad 0 < \alpha < p - 1.$$
(3.6)

Then (3.1) has a unique positive solution  $x_{\lambda}^*(t)$ . And moreover,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies that  $x_{\lambda_1}^* \le x_{\lambda_2}^*$ ,  $x_{\lambda_1}^* \ne x_{\lambda_2}^*$ . If  $\alpha/(p-1) \in (0, 1/2)$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = 0, \qquad \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty.$$
(3.7)

**Lemma 3.2.** Let u, v be solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\phi(u')\right)' + \lambda q(t)t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha} &= 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \ \lambda > 0, \ q(t)t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha} \in L^{1}(0,1), \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \\ \left(\phi(v')\right)' + \lambda q(t)t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha} &= 0, \quad t \in (0,1), \ \lambda > 0, \ q(t)t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha} \in L^{1}(0,1), \\ v(0) &= v(1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.8)$$

then there exist positive constants  $C_u$ ,  $C_v$  such that

$$t(1-t)\|u\| \le u(t) \le C_u t(1-t), \qquad t(1-t)\|v\| \le v(t) \le C_v t(1-t).$$
(3.9)

*Proof.* Because  $q(t)t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}q(t)t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha} \ge 0$ , then u, v is concave and positive on (0, 1). As  $u, v \in C^1[0, 1]$ , thus

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{u(t)}{t} = u'(0), \qquad \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{u(t)}{1-t} = -u'(1), \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{v(t)}{t} = v'(0), \qquad \lim_{t \to 1} \frac{v(t)}{1-t} = -v'(1).$$
(3.10)

Let

$$C_u = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{u(t)}{t(1-t)}, \qquad C_v = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{v(t)}{t(1-t)}, \tag{3.11}$$

then  $0 < C_u$ ,  $C_v < \infty$ , and

$$u(t) \le C_u t(1-t), \quad v(t) \le C_v t(1-t).$$
 (3.12)

Since u, v is concave, then

$$u(t) \ge t(1-t)||u||, \quad v(t) \ge t(1-t)||v||.$$
 (3.13)

So we have

$$t(1-t)\|u\| \le u(t) \le C_u t(1-t), \qquad t(1-t)\|v\| \le v(t) \le C_v t(1-t).$$
(3.14)

**Lemma 3.3** (see [7]). *If*  $u, v \in C^{1}[0, 1]$  *satisfies* 

$$-(\phi(u'))' \ge -(\phi(v'))', \quad a.e. \ t \in [0,1],$$
  
$$u(0) \ge v(0), \qquad u(1) \ge v(1),$$
  
(3.15)

then  $u(t) \ge v(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Since (3.5) holds, let  $t^{-1}x = y$ , one has

$$h(y) \ge t^{\alpha} h(ty). \tag{3.16}$$

Then

$$h(ty) \le \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}h(y), \quad \forall t \in (0,1), \ y > 0.$$
 (3.17)

Let y = 1. The above inequality is

$$h(t) \le \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}} h(1), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$
 (3.18)

From (3.5), (3.17), and (3.18), one has

$$h(t^{-1}x) \ge t^{\alpha}h(x), \quad h\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \ge t^{\alpha}h(1), \quad h(tx) \le \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}h(x), \quad h(t) \le \frac{1}{t^{\alpha}}h(1), \quad t \in (0,1), \ x > 0.$$
(3.19)

Similarly, from (3.4), one has

$$g(tx) \ge t^{\alpha}g(x), \quad g(t) \ge t^{\alpha}g(1), \quad t \in (0,1), \ x > 0.$$
 (3.20)

Xiaoning Lin et al.

Let t = 1/x, x > 1, one has

$$g(x) \le x^{\alpha} g(1), \quad x \ge 1.$$
 (3.21)

Let e(t) = t(1 - t), and we define

$$Q_e = \left\{ x \in C[0,1] \mid \frac{1}{M} t(1-t) \le x(t) \le M t(1-t), t \in [0,1] \right\},$$
(3.22)

where M > 1 is chosen such that

$$M > \max\{C_{u}^{(p-1)/(p-1-\alpha)}(g(1)+h(1))^{1/(p-1-\alpha)}, \|v\|^{-(p-1)/(p-1-\alpha)}(g(1)+h(1))^{-1/(p-1-\alpha)}\}.$$
 (3.23)

For any fixed  $x, y \in Q_e$ , consider the following boundary value problem:

$$\phi(w'(t))' + \lambda q(t) [g(x(t)) + h(y(t))] = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \ \lambda > 0;$$
  

$$w(0) = w(1) = 0.$$
(3.24)

By (3.18)–(3.21), for  $x, y \in Q_e$ , we can obtain

$$g(x(t)) \leq g(Mt(1-t)) \leq g(M) \leq M^{\alpha}g(1), \quad t \in (0,1),$$
  
$$h(y(t)) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{M}t(1-t)\right) \leq t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}h\left(\frac{1}{M}\right)$$
  
$$\leq M^{\alpha}t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}h(1), \quad t \in (0,1).$$
  
(3.25)

So,

$$g(x(t)) + h(y(t)) \le M^{\alpha} [g(1) + t^{-\alpha} (1-t)^{-\alpha} h(1)]$$
  
$$\le M^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha} (1-t)^{-\alpha} (g(1) + h(1)), \quad t \in (0,1),$$
(3.26)

then  $\lambda q(t)[g(x(t))+h(y(t))] \in L^1(0,1)$ . It follows from [7] that, for each fixed  $x, y \in Q_e$ , problem (3.22) has a solution  $w \in C^1[0,1]$ , and (3.24) is equivalent to

$$w(t) = \lambda^{1/(p-1)} \int_0^t \phi^{-1} \left( \tau + \int_s^1 q(r) \left[ g(x(r)) + h(y(r)) \right] dr \right) ds, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,$$
(3.27)

where  $\tau = \phi(w'(1))$  is a solution of the equation

$$\int_{0}^{1} \phi^{-1} \left( \tau + \int_{s}^{1} q(r) \left[ g(x(r)) + h(y(r)) \right] dr \right) ds = 0.$$
(3.28)

For any  $x, y \in Q_e$ , we define

$$A(x,y)(t) = w(t) = \lambda^{1/(p-1)} \int_0^t \phi^{-1} \left(\tau + \int_s^1 q(r) \left[g(x(r)) + h(y(r))\right] dr\right) ds,$$
(3.29)

then A(x, y)(t) is concave on (0, 1), for any  $(x, y) \in Q_e \times Q_e$ ,  $q(t)[g(x(t) + h(y(t)))] \in L^1(0, 1)$ .

First, we show for any  $(x, y) \in Q_e$ ,  $A(x, y) \in Q_e$ . Let  $x, y \in Q_e$ , from (3.19) and (3.20), we have

$$g(x(t)) \ge g\left(\frac{1}{M}t(1-t)\right) \ge t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha}g\left(\frac{1}{M}\right) \ge t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha}\frac{1}{M^{\alpha}}g(1),$$
  

$$h(y(t)) \ge h(Mt(1-t)) \ge h(M) = h\left(\frac{1}{1/M}\right) \ge \frac{1}{M^{\alpha}}h(1), \quad t \in (0,1).$$
(3.30)

Thus, we have

$$g(x(t)) + h(y(t)) \ge \frac{1}{M^{\alpha}} [g(1)t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha} + h(1)].$$
(3.31)

So, we can obtain

$$(*) g(x(t)) + h(y(t)) \leq M^{\alpha} [g(1) + t^{-\alpha} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} h(1)]$$
  

$$\leq M^{\alpha} t^{-\alpha} (1 - t)^{-\alpha} (g(1) + h(1)), \quad t \in (0, 1),$$
  

$$(**) g(x(t)) + h(y(t)) \geq \frac{1}{M^{\alpha}} [g(1) t^{\alpha} (1 - t)^{\alpha} + h(1)]$$
  

$$\geq M^{-\alpha} t^{\alpha} (1 - t)^{\alpha} (g(1) + h(1)), \quad t \in (0, 1).$$
  
(3.32)

So

$$-[\phi(w')]' \le q(t)M^{\alpha}t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}(g(1)+h(1)), \qquad (3.33)$$

that is,

$$-[\phi(w')]' \le -M^{\alpha}(g(1) + h(1))[\phi(u')]'.$$
(3.34)

Similarly,

$$-[\phi(w')]' \ge q(t)M^{-\alpha}t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\alpha}(g(1)+h(1)), \qquad (3.35)$$

that is,

$$-[\phi(w')]' \ge -M^{-\alpha}(g(1) + h(1))[\phi(v')]'.$$
(3.36)

By Lemma 3.3,

$$w(t) \leq M^{\alpha/(p-1)} (g(1) + h(1))^{1/(p-1)} u(t)$$
  

$$\leq C_u (g(1) + h(1))^{1/(p-1)} M^{\alpha/(p-1)} t(1-t) \leq Mt(1-t),$$
  

$$w(t) \geq M^{-\alpha/(p-1)} (g(1) + h(1))^{1/(p-1)} v(t)$$
  

$$\geq \|v\| M^{-\alpha/(p-1)} (g(1) + h(1))^{1/(p-1)} t(1-t) \geq \frac{1}{M} t(1-t).$$
(3.37)

So, the operator A is well defined.

6

Xiaoning Lin et al.

Next, for any  $l \in (0, 1)$ , one has

$$q(t)[g(lx(t)) + h(l^{-1}y(t))] \ge l^{\alpha}q(t)[g(x(t)) + h(y(t))].$$
(3.38)

Then by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$A(lx, l^{-1}y)(t) \ge l^{\alpha/(p-1)}A(x, y)(t) \quad \left(0 < \beta = \frac{\alpha}{p-1} < 1\right).$$
(3.39)

So the conditions of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 hold. Therefore, there exists a unique  $x_{\lambda}^* \in Q_e$  such that  $A_{\lambda}(x^*, x^*) = x_{\lambda}^*$ . It is easy to check that  $x_{\lambda}^*$  is a unique positive solution of (3.1) for given  $\lambda > 0$ . Moreover, Theorem 2.3 means that if  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ , then  $x_{\lambda_1}^*(t) \le x_{\lambda_2}^*(t)$ ,  $x_{\lambda_1}^*(t) \ne x_{\lambda_2}^*(t)$  and if  $\alpha/(p-1) \in (0, 1/2)$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^{\star}\| = 0, \qquad \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^{\star}\| = +\infty.$$
(3.40)

This completes the proof.

*Example 3.4.* Consider the following singular *p*-Laplace boundary value problem:

$$(\phi(x'))' + \lambda q(t)(\mu x^a + x^{-b}) = 0, \quad t \in (0,1);$$
  
 
$$x(0) = x(1) = 0,$$
 (3.41)

where  $\lambda, a, b > 0, \mu \ge 0, q \in C(0, 1), q > 0, t \in (0, 1)$ , and

$$\int_{0}^{1} q(t)t^{-\alpha}(1-t)^{-\alpha}dt < +\infty, \quad 0 < \alpha = \max\{a, b\} < p - 1.$$
(3.42)

Applying Theorem 3.1, we can find that (3.41) has a unique positive solution  $x_{\lambda}^*(t)$ . In addition,  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$  implies  $x_{\lambda_1}^* \le x_{\lambda_2}^*$ ,  $x_{\lambda_1}^* \ne x_{\lambda_2}^*$ . If  $\alpha/(p-1) \in (0, 1/2)$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0^+} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = 0, \qquad \lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \|x_{\lambda}^*\| = +\infty.$$
(3.43)

To see that, we put

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha}{p-1}, \qquad g(x) = \mu x^a, \qquad h(x) = x^{-b}.$$
 (3.44)

Thus  $0 < \beta < 1$  and

$$g(tx) = t^{a}g(x) \ge t^{\alpha}g(x), \qquad h(t^{-1}x) = t^{b}h(x) \ge t^{\alpha}h(x), \qquad (3.45)$$

for any  $t \in (0, 1)$  and x > 0, thus all conditions in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

#### Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the NSFC of China (no. 10571021) and Key Laboratory for Applied Statistics of MOE(KLAS) and the NSFC of China (no. 10271023).

#### References

- R. P. Agarwal, H. Lü, and D. O'Regan, "Eigenvalues and the one-dimensional p-Laplacian," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 266, no. 2, pp. 383–400, 2002.
- [2] A. K. Ben-Naoum and C. De Coster, "On the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of the *p*-Laplacian separated boundary value problem," *Differential and Integral Equations*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1093–1112, 1997.
- [3] C. De Coster, "Pairs of positive solutions for the one-dimensional *p*-Laplacian," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 669–681, 1994.
- [4] L. Kong and J. Wang, "Multiple positive solutions for the one-dimensional *p*-Laplacian," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 1327–1333, 2000.
- [5] D. O'Regan, "Some general existence principles and results for  $(\phi(y')) = qf(t, y, y'), 0 < t < 1$ ," *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 648–668, 1993.
- [6] J. Wang, "The existence of positive solutions for the one-dimensional *p*-Laplacian," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 125, no. 8, pp. 2275–2283, 1997.
- [7] J. Wang, W. Gao, and Z. Lin, "Boundary value problems for general second order equations and similarity solutions to the Rayleigh problem," *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 327–344, 1995.
- [8] D. Jiang and W. Gao, "Upper and lower solution method and a singular boundary value problem for the one-dimensional *p*-Laplacian," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 252, no. 2, pp. 631–648, 2000.
- [9] D. Jiang, "Upper and lower solutions method and a singular superlinear boundary value problem for the one-dimensional *p*-Laplacian," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 42, no. 6-7, pp. 927–940, 2001.
- [10] D. Jiang, J. Chu, D. O'Regan, and R. P. Agarwal, "Positive solutions for continuous and discrete boundary value problems to the one-dimension *p*-Laplacian," *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 523–534, 2004.
- [11] X. Lin, D. Jiang, and X. Li, "Existence and uniqueness of solutions for singular fourth-order boundary value problems," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 196, no. 1, pp. 155–161, 2006.
- [12] Z. Zengqin, "Uniqueness of positive solutions for singular nonlinear second-order boundary-value problems," Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 755–765, 1994.
- [13] D. Guo, *The Order Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, Shandong Technical and Science Press, Jinan, China, 2000.