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We present some sufficient conditions of blowup of the solutions to Laplace equations with semilinear dynamical boundary conditions of hyperbolic type.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $R^{N}, N \geq 1$, with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega=S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, where $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are closed and disjoint and $S_{1}$ possesses positive measure. We consider the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T),  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+k \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=g(u), \quad \text { on } S_{1} \times(0, T),  \tag{1.2}\\
a \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+b u=0, \quad \text { on } S_{2} \times(0, T),  \tag{1.3}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}, \quad \text { on } S_{1}, \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a \geq 0, b \geq 0, a+b=1$, and $k>0$ are constants, $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator with respect to the space variables, and $\partial / \partial n$ is the outer unit normal derivative to boundary S. $u_{0}, u_{1}$ are given initial functions. For convenience, we take $k=1$ in this paper.

The problem (1.1)-(1.4) can be used as models to describe the motion of a fluid in a container or to describe the displacement of a fluid in a medium without gravity; see [1-5] for more information. In recent years, the problem has attracted a great deal of people. Lions [6] used the theory of maximal monotone operators to solve the existence of solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{1.5}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+k \frac{\partial u}{\partial \mathrm{n}}+f\left(u_{t}\right)+|u|^{p} u=0, \quad \text { on } S \times(0, T),  \tag{1.6}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}, \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}, \quad \text { on } S . \tag{1.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hintermann [2] used the theory of semigroups in Banach spaces to give the existence and uniqueness of the solution for problem (1.5)-(1.7). Cavalcanti et al. [7-11] studied the existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions evolution problem on manifolds. In this direction, the existence and asymptotic behavior of the related of evolution problem on manifolds has been also considered by Andrade et al. [12, 13], Antunes et al. [14], Araruna et al. [15], and Hu et al. [16]. In addition, Doronin et al. [17] studied a class hyperbolic problem with second-order boundary conditions.

We will consider the blowup of the solution for problem (1.1)-(1.4) with nonlinear boundary source term $g(u)$. Blowup of the solution for problem (1.1)-(1.4) was considered by Kirane [3], when $\partial \Omega=S_{1}$, by use of Jensen's inequality and Glassey's method [18]. Kirane et al. [19] concerned blowup of the solution for the Laplace equations with a hyperbolic type dynamical boundary inequality by the test function methods. In this paper, we present some sufficient conditions of blowup of the solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.4) when $\Omega$ is a bounded domain and $S_{2}$ can be a nonempty set. We use a different approach from those ones used in the prior literature $[3,19]$.

Another related problem to (1.1)-(1.4) is the following problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u=f, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T)  \tag{1.8}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=g(u), \quad \text { on } S \times(0, T)  \tag{1.9}\\
u(x, 0)=u_{0}, \quad \text { on } S . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Amann and Fila [20], Kirane [3], and Koleva and Vulkov [21] Vulkov [22] considered blowup of the solution of problem (1.8)-(1.10). For more results concerning the related problem (1.8)(1.10), we refer the reader to $[3,6,19-31]$ and their references. In these papers, existence, boundedness, asymptotic behavior, and nonexistence of global solutions for problem (1.8)(1.10) were studied.

In this paper, the definition of the usually space $H^{1}(\Omega), H^{s}(S), L^{p}(\Omega)$, and $L^{p}(S)$ can be found in [32] and the norm of $L^{2}(S)$ is denoted by $\|\bullet\|_{S}$.

## 2. Blowup of the Solutions

In this paper, we always assume that the initial data $u_{0} \in H^{s+1 / 2}\left(S_{1}\right), u_{1} \in H^{s}\left(S_{1}\right), s>1$, and $g \in C$ and that the problem (1.1)-(1.4) possesses a unique local weak solution $[2,3,6]$ that is, $u$ is in the class

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{s+1}(\Omega)\right), \quad u_{t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T, H^{s}\left(S_{1}\right)\right), \quad u_{t t} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(S_{1}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundary conditions are satisfied in the trace sense [2].
Lemma 2.1 (see [33]). Suppose that $u_{t}=F(t, u), v_{t} \geq F(t, v), F \in C, t_{0} \leq t<+\infty,-\infty<u<$ $+\infty$, and $u\left(t_{0}\right)=v\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then, $v(t) \geq u(t), t \geq t_{0}$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4) and $g(s)$ satisfies:
(1) $s g(s) \geq K G(s)$, where $K>2, G(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g(\rho) d \rho, G(s) \geq \beta|s|^{p+1}$, where $\beta>0, p>1$;
(2) $E_{0}=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{S_{1}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{S_{1}}^{2}+(b / a)\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{S_{2}}-2 \int_{S_{1}} G(\sigma) d \sigma \leq-2 /\left[(K-2) \beta C_{1}(p+3)^{-1}\right]^{2 /(p-1)}(1-$ $\left.e^{(1-p) / 4}\right)^{4 /(p-1)}<0$
where $C_{1}=\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)}$. Then, the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4) blows up in a finite time.
Proof. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{S_{1}}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{\Omega_{2}}^{2}+\frac{b}{a}\|u\|_{S_{2}}-2 \int_{S_{1}} G(u) d \sigma \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (1.1)-(1.4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} E(t)=0, \quad t>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(t)=E(0)=E_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H(t)=\|u(t)\|_{S_{1}}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau}\|u(s)\|_{S_{1}}^{2} d s d \tau$. Using condition (1) of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{H}(t) & =\frac{d}{d t} H(t)=2 \int_{S_{1}} u u_{t} d \sigma+\int_{0}^{t}\|u(s)\|_{S_{1}}^{2} d s, \\
\ddot{H}(t) & =\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} H(t)=2 \int_{S_{1}} u_{t}^{2} d \sigma+2 \int_{S_{1}} u u_{t t} d \sigma+\int_{S_{1}} u^{2} d \sigma \\
& =2 \int_{S_{1}}\left[u_{t}^{2}-u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+u g(u)+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right] d \sigma  \tag{2.5}\\
& \geq 2 \int_{S_{1}}\left[u_{t}^{2}-u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+K G(u)+\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right] d \sigma .
\end{align*}
$$

Observing that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{S_{1}} u \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{b}{a} \int_{S_{2}} u^{2} d \sigma  \tag{2.6}\\
K \int_{S_{1}} G(u) d \sigma=-E_{0}+(K-2) \int_{S_{1}} G(u) d \sigma+\int_{S_{1}} u_{t}^{2} d \sigma+\frac{b}{a} \int_{S_{2}} u^{2} d \sigma+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

we know from (2.5)-(2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{H}(t) \geq 4 \int_{S_{1}} u_{t}^{2} d \sigma-2 E_{0}+\int_{S_{1}} u^{2} d \sigma+2(K-2) \int_{S_{1}} G(u) d \sigma \geq-2 E_{0}+2(K-2) \beta \int_{S_{1}}|u|^{p+1} d \sigma \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{H}(t) \geq-2 E_{0} t+2(K-2) \beta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{S_{1}}|u|^{p+1} d \sigma d s+\dot{H}(0)  \tag{2.9}\\
H(t) \geq-E_{0} t^{2}+2(K-2) \beta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{p+1} d \sigma d s d \tau+t \dot{H}(0)+H(0) \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H(0)=\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{S_{1}}^{2} \dot{H}(0)=2 \int_{S_{1}} u_{0} u_{1} d \sigma$. From (2.8) and (2.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{H}(t)+H(t) \geq & 2(K-2) \beta\left[\int_{S_{1}}|u|^{p+1} d \sigma+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{p+1} d \sigma d s d \tau\right]  \tag{2.11}\\
& +t \dot{H}(0)-E_{0} t^{2}+H(0)-2 E_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inversion of the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{S_{1}}|u|^{p+1} d \sigma \geq\left(\int_{S_{1}}|u|^{2} d \sigma\right)^{(p+1) / 2}\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(1-p) / 2}  \tag{2.12}\\
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{p+1} d \sigma d s d \tau \geq\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{2} d \sigma d s d \tau\right)^{(p+1) / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} t^{2} m S_{1}\right)^{(p-1) / 2} \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (2.12) and (2.13) into (2.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ddot{H}(t)+H(t) \\
& \geq 2(K-2) \beta\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)} \\
& \times\left[\left(\int_{S_{1}}|u|^{2} d \sigma\right)^{(p+1) / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{p+1} d \sigma d s d \tau\right)^{2 /(p+1)}\right] \\
&+t \dot{H}(0)-E_{0} t^{2}+H(0)-2 E_{0} \\
& \geq 2(K-2) \beta\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)}\left[\left(\int_{S_{1}}|u|^{2} d \sigma\right)^{(p+1) / 2}+\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{S_{1}}|u(s)|^{p+1} d \sigma d s d \tau\right)^{(p+1) / 2}\right] \\
&+t \dot{H}(0)-E_{0} t^{2}+H(0)-2 E_{0}, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Noticing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(a+b)^{n} \leq 2^{n-1}\left(a^{n}+b^{n}\right), \quad a>0, b>0, n>1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{H}(t)+H(t) \geq 2^{(3-p) / 2}(K-2) \beta\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)} H^{(p+1) / 2}(t)+t \dot{H}(0)-E_{0} t^{2}+H(0)-2 E_{0} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see from (2.9) and (2.10) that $\dot{H}(t) \rightarrow+\infty, H(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, there is a $t_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}(t)>0, \quad H(t)>0, \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.16) by $2 \dot{H}(t)$ and using (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\dot{H}^{2}(t)+H^{2}(t)\right] \geq \frac{1}{p+3} 2^{(5-p) / 2}(K-2) \beta\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)} \frac{d}{d t} H^{(p+3) / 2}(t)+I(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=\left(-4 E_{0} t+2 \dot{H}(0)\right)\left(-E_{0} t^{2}+\dot{H}(0) t+H(0)-2 E_{0}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left[\dot{H}^{2}(t)+H^{2}(t)-C_{2} H^{(p+3) / 2}(t)\right] \geq I(t), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}=(1 /(p+3)) 2^{(5-p) / 2}(K-2) \beta\left(m S_{1}\right)^{(p+1) /(p-1)}$. Integrating (2.20) over $\left(t, t_{0}\right)$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}^{2}(t)+H^{2}(t)-C_{2} H^{(p+3) / 2}(t) \geq \int_{t_{0}}^{t} I(\tau) d \tau+\dot{H}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+H^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-C_{2} H^{(p+3) / 2}\left(t_{0}\right), \quad t \geq t_{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that when $t \rightarrow+\infty$, the right-hand side of (2.21) approaches to positive infinity since $I(t)>0$ for sufficiently large $t$; hence, there is a $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that the right side of (2.21) is larger than or equal to zero when $t \geq t_{1}$. We thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}^{2}(t)+H^{2}(t) \geq C_{2} H^{(p+3) / 2}(t), \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extracting the square root of both sides of (2.22) and noticing that $\dot{H}(t) H(t) \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}(t)+H(t) \geq C_{3} H^{(p+3) / 4}(t) \geq C_{3} t^{(1-p) / 2} H^{(p+3) / 4}(t), \quad t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $1-p<0, t>t_{1}>t_{0}>1$, where $C_{3}=\sqrt{C_{2}}$.
Consider the following initial value problem of the Bernoulli equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{Z}+Z=C_{3} t^{(1-p) / 2} Z^{(p+3) / 4}, \quad t \geq t_{1}, \quad Z\left(t_{1}\right)=H\left(t_{1}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the problem (2.24), we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(t) & =e^{-\left(t-t_{1}\right)}\left[H^{(1-p) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{p-1}{4} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} C_{3} \tau^{(1-p) / 2} e^{((1-p) / 4)\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)} d \tau\right]^{4 /(1-p)}  \tag{2.25}\\
& =e^{-\left(t-t_{1}\right)} H\left(t_{1}\right) J^{4 /(1-p)}(t), \quad t \geq t_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J(t)=(1-(p-1) / 4) H^{(p-1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right) C_{3} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \tau^{(1-p) / 2} e^{((1-p) / 4)\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)} d \tau$. Obviously, $J\left(t_{1}\right)=1>0$, and for $t>t_{1}+1$

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(t) & =\frac{p-1}{4} H^{(p-1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right) C_{3} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \tau^{(1-p) / 2} e^{((1-p) / 4)\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)} d \tau \\
& \geq \frac{p-1}{4} H^{(p-1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right) C_{3} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}+1} \tau^{(1-p) / 2} e^{((1-p) / 4)\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)} d \tau  \tag{2.26}\\
& \geq \frac{p-1}{4} H^{(p-1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right) C_{3}\left(t_{1}+1\right)^{(1-p) / 2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{1}+1} e^{((1-p) / 4)\left(\tau-t_{1}\right)} d \tau \\
& =H^{(p-1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right) C_{3}\left(t_{1}+1\right)^{(1-p) / 2}\left(1-e^{(1-p) / 4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.10), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{(p-1) / 4}(t)(t+1)^{(1-p) / 2} \geq\left[\frac{-E_{0} t^{2}+\dot{H}(0) t+H(0)}{t^{2}+2 t+1}\right]^{(p-1) / 4} \longrightarrow\left(-E_{0}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. Take $t_{1}$ sufficiently large such that $H^{(p+1) / 4}\left(t_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}+1\right)^{(1-p) / 2} \geq 1 / 2\left(-E_{0}\right)^{(p-1) / 4}$. It follows from (2.26) and the condition of Theorem 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(-E_{0}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} C_{3}\left(1-e^{(1-p) / 4}\right) \geq 1, \quad t \geq t_{1}+1 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(t)=1-\delta(t) \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_{1}+1 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the continuity of $J(t)$ and the theorem of the intermediate values, there is a constant $t_{1}<\tilde{T} \leq t_{1}+1$ such that $\tilde{J(T)}=0$. Hence, $Z(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{-}$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $H(t) \geq Z(t), t \geq t_{1}$. Thus, $H(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^{-}$. The theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $g(s)$ is a convex function, $g(0)=0, g(s) \geq l s^{p}$, where $a$ is a real number $p>1$, and $u(x, t)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{1}} u_{0}(\sigma) \psi_{1}(\sigma) d \sigma=\alpha \geq\left({\frac{\lambda_{1}}{l}}^{1 /(p-1)}\right)>0, \quad \int_{S_{1}} u_{1}(\sigma) \psi_{1}(\sigma) d \sigma=\beta>0 \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{1}$ is the normalized eigenfunction (i.e., $\psi_{1} \geq 0, \int_{S_{1}} \psi_{1}(\sigma) d \sigma=1$ ) corresponding the smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}>0$ of the following Steklov spectral problem [23]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \psi=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{2.31}\\
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}=\lambda \psi, \quad \text { on } S_{1},  \tag{2.32}\\
a \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}+b \psi=0, \quad \text { on } S_{2}, \tag{2.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Omega, S_{1}, S_{2}, k, a, b$ are defined as in Section 1. Then, the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.4) blows up in a finite time.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{S_{1}} u(\sigma, t) \psi_{1}(\sigma) d \sigma \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $y(0)=y_{0}=\alpha>0, y_{t}(0)=y_{1}=\beta>0$. It follows from (1.1)-(1.4) that $y(t)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t t}=-\int_{S_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma+\int_{S_{1}} g(u) \psi_{1} d \sigma \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Green's formula, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{\Omega} \Delta u \psi_{1} d x=\int_{S} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma-\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi_{1} d x \\
& =\int_{S} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma-\int_{S} u \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial n} d \sigma+\int_{\Omega} u \Delta \psi_{1} d x  \tag{2.36}\\
& =\left(\int_{S_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma-\int_{S_{1}} u \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial n} d \sigma\right)+\left(\int_{S_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma-\int_{S_{2}} u \frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial n} d \sigma\right)+\int_{\Omega} u \Delta \psi_{1} d x \\
& =B_{1}+B_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used (2.31) and the fact that $\psi_{1}$ is the eigenfunction of the problem (1.1)-(1.4), $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are denoted as the expressions in the first and the second parenthesis, respectively. From (2.32), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\int_{S_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma-\lambda_{1} \int_{S_{1}} u \psi_{1} d \sigma \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a=0$, it is clear that $B_{2}=0$ otherwise, by (1.3) and (2.33),

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{2}=\int_{S_{2}}\left(-\frac{b}{a} u\right) \psi_{1} d \sigma-\int_{S_{2}} u\left(-\frac{b}{a} \psi_{1}\right) d \sigma=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (2.36) implies that $B_{1}=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{1}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \psi_{1} d \sigma=\lambda_{1} \int_{S_{1}} u \psi_{1} d \sigma=\lambda_{1} y(t) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (2.35) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t t}=-\lambda_{1} y+\int_{S_{1}} g(u) \psi_{1} d \sigma \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Jensen's inequality and the condition $g(s) \geq l s^{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{1}} g(u) \psi_{1} d \sigma \geq g\left(\int_{S_{1}} u \psi_{1} d \sigma\right) \geq l y^{p} \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the above inequality into (2.40), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t t}+\lambda_{1} y \geq l y^{p}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y(0)=\alpha>0, y_{t}(0)=\beta>0$, from the continuity of $y(t)$, it follows that there is a right neighborhood $(0, \delta)$ of the point $t=0$, in which $\dot{y}(t)>0$, and hence $y(t)>y_{0}>0$. If there exists a point $t_{0}$ such that $\dot{y}(t)>0\left(t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right)\right)$, but $\dot{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, then $y(t)$ is monotonically increasing on $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. It follows from (2.42) that on ( $0, t_{0}$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t t} \geq y\left(l y^{p-1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \geq y_{0}\left(l y_{0}^{p-1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $y_{t}(t)$ is monotonically increasing on $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. This contradicts $\dot{y}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. Therefore, $\dot{y}(t)>0$ and hence $y(t)>y_{0}$ as $t>0$.

Multiplying both sides of (2.42) by $2 y_{t}$ and integrating the product over [ $0, t$ ], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{2} \geq \frac{2 l}{p+1}\left(y^{p+1}-y_{0}^{p+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(y^{2}-y_{0}^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{2}=B(y) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{1}^{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{\prime}(y)=2 l y^{p}-2 \lambda_{1} y>2 y_{0}\left(l y_{0}^{p-1}-\lambda_{1}\right) \geq 0 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $B(y)>B\left(y_{0}\right)>0, c t>0$. Extracting the square root of both sides of (2.44), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t} \geq\left[\frac{2 l}{p+1}\left(y^{p+1}-y_{0}^{p+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(y^{2}-y_{0}^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}, \quad t>0 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.46) means that the interval $[0, \widetilde{T}]$ of the existence of $y(t)$ is finite this, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T} \leq \int_{y_{0}}^{+\infty}\left[\frac{2 l}{p+1}\left(y^{p+1}-\alpha^{p+1}\right)-\lambda_{1}\left(y^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)+\beta^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} d s<+\infty \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $y(t) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \widetilde{T}^{-}$. The theorem is proved.
Remark 2.4. The results of the above theorem hold when one considers (1.1)-(1.4) with more general elliptic operator, like

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv-\operatorname{div}(k(x) \nabla u)+c(x) u, \quad 0<k_{0} \leq k(x) \leq k_{1}, c(x) \geq 0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \times(0, T), \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}+k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=g(u), \quad \text { on } S_{1} \times(0, T)  \tag{2.49}\\
k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}+b u=0, \quad b(x) \geq 0, \quad \text { on } S_{2} \times(0, T)
\end{gather*}
$$
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