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This paper is concerned with the following third-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, t \in[0,1] ; u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) d t$, where $f \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$ and $g \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$. By using the GuoKrasnoselskii fixed-point theorem, some sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence and nonexistence of monotone positive solution to the above problem.

## 1. Introduction

Third-order differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics, for example, in the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section, a three-layer beam, electromagnetic waves or gravity driven flows and so on [1].

Recently, third-order two-point or multipoint boundary value problems (BVPs for short) have attracted a lot of attention [2-17]. It is known that BVPs with integral boundary conditions cover multipoint BVPs as special cases. Although there are many excellent works on third-order two-point or multipoint BVPs, a little work has been done for third-order BVPs with integral boundary conditions. It is worth mentioning that, in 2007, Anderson and Tisdell [18] developed an interval of $\lambda$ values whereby a positive solution exists for the following third-order BVP with integral boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(p u^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}(t)=\lambda f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{3}\right], \\
\alpha u\left(t_{1}\right)-\beta u^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} g(t) u(t) d t,
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=0 \\
\left(p u^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(t_{3}\right)=\int_{\eta_{1}}^{\eta_{2}} h(t)\left(p u^{\prime \prime}\right)(t) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

by using the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem. In 2008, Graef and Yang [19] studied the third-order BVP with integral boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=g(t) f(u(t)), \quad t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(p)=\int_{q}^{1} w(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t) d t=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

For second-order or fourth-order BVPs with integral boundary conditions, one can refer to [20-24].

In this paper, we are concerned with the following third-order BVP with integral boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, u(t), \quad u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Throughout this paper, we always assume that $f \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$ and $g \in C([0,1],[0,+\infty))$. Some sufficient conditions are established for the existence and nonexistence of monotone positive solution to the BVP (1.3). Here, a solution $u$ of the BVP (1.3) is said to be monotone and positive if $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, u(t) \geq 0$ and $u(t) \not \equiv 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Our main tool is the following Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem [25].

Theorem 1.1. Let $E$ be a Banach space and let $K$ be a cone in $E$. Assume that $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are bounded open subsets of $E$ such that $\theta \in \Omega_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$, and let $T: K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K$ be a completely continuous operator such that either
(1) $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, or
(2) $\|T u\| \geq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\|T u\| \leq\|u\|$ for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then $T$ has a fixed point in $K \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

For convenience, we denote $\mu=\int_{0}^{1} t g(t) d t$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mu \neq 1$. Then for any $h \in C[0,1]$, the $B V P$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=h(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} g(t) u^{\prime}(t) d t \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{1}(t, s)=\frac{1}{2} \begin{cases}\left(2 t-t^{2}-s\right) s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
(1-s) t^{2}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\
G_{2}(t, s)= \begin{cases}(1-t) s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
(1-s) t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let $u$ be a solution of the BVP (2.1). Then, we may suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) h(s) d s+A t^{2}+B t+C, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the boundary conditions in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} h(s) \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau d s \quad \text { and } B=C=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the BVP (2.1) has a unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [12]). For any $(t, s) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{2}}{2}(1-s) s \leq G_{1}(t, s) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-s) s . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [26]). For any $(t, s) \in[0,1] \times[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq G_{2}(t, s) \leq(1-s) s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the remainder of this paper, we always assume that $\mu<1, \alpha \in(0,1)$ and $\beta=\alpha^{2} / 2$.
Lemma 2.4. If $h \in C[0,1]$ and $h(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$, then the unique solution $u$ of the $B V P$ (2.1) satisfies
(1) $u(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1]$,
(2) $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1]$ and $\min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} u(t) \geq \beta\|u\|$, where $\|u\|=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$.

Proof. Since (1) is obvious, we only need to prove (2). By (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$.
On the one hand, by (2.9) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (2.2) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} u(t) & =\min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} \int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s \\
& \geq \min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] h(s) d s \\
& \geq \beta\|u\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $E=C^{1}[0,1]$ be equipped with the norm $\|u\|=\max \left\{\|u\|_{\infty},\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\}$. Then $E$ is a Banach space. If we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\left\{u \in E: u(t) \geq 0, u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1], \min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} u(t) \geq \beta\|u\|\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then it is easy to see that $K$ is a cone in $E$. Now, we define an operator $T$ on $K$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(t)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, if $u$ is a fixed point of $T$, then $u$ is a monotone nonnegative solution of the BVP (1.3).

Lemma 2.5. $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.
Proof. First, by Lemma 2.4, we know that $T(K) \subset K$.
Next, we assume that $D \subset K$ is a bounded set. Then there exists a constant $M_{1}>0$ such that $\|u\| \leq M_{1}$ for any $u \in D$. Now, we will prove that $T(D)$ is relatively compact in $K$. Suppose that $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset T(D)$. Then there exist $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset D$ such that $T x_{k}=y_{k}$. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{2}=\sup \left\{f(t, x, y):(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, M_{1}\right] \times\left[0, M_{1}\right]\right\} \\
M_{3}=\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then for any $k$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y_{k}(t)\right| & =\left|\left(T x_{k}\right)(t)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, x_{k}(s), x_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M_{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] d s  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\frac{M_{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+M_{3}\right), \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded. At the same time, for any $k$, in view of Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\left|\left(T x_{k}\right)^{\prime}(t)\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, x_{k}(s), x_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq M_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] d s\right)  \tag{2.18}\\
& =M_{2}\left(\frac{1}{6}+M_{3}\right), \quad t \in[0,1],
\end{align*}
$$

which shows that $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is also uniformly bounded. This indicates that $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous. It follows from Arzela-Ascoli theorem that $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C[0,1]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ converges in $C[0,1]$. On the other hand, by the uniform continuity of $G_{2}(t, s)$, we know that for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{2}\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G_{2}\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(M_{2}+1\right)}, \quad s \in[0,1] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta=\min \left\{\delta_{1}, \varepsilon / 2\left(M_{2} M_{3}+1\right)\right\}$. Then for any $k, t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|y_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-y_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| & =\left|\left(T x_{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\left(T x_{k}\right)^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[\left|G_{2}\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G_{2}\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right|+\frac{\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, x_{k}(s), x_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq M_{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left|G_{2}\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G_{2}\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right| d s+M_{2} M_{3}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{M_{2} \varepsilon}{2\left(M_{2}+1\right)}+M_{2} M_{3}\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right| \\
& <\varepsilon \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is equicontinuous. Again, by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, we know that $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C[0,1]$. Therefore, $\left\{y_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence in $C^{1}[0,1]$. Thus, we have shown that $T$ is a compact operator.

Finally, we prove that $T$ is continuous. Suppose that $u_{m}, u \in K$ and $\left\|u_{m}-u\right\| \rightarrow 0(m \rightarrow$ $\infty)$. Then there exists $M_{4}>0$ such that for any $m,\left\|u_{m}\right\| \leq M_{4}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{5}=\sup \left\{f(t, x, y):(t, x, y) \in[0,1] \times\left[0, M_{4}\right] \times\left[0, M_{4}\right]\right\} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $m$ and $t \in[0,1]$, in view of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u_{m}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{M_{5}}{2}\left[1+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} g(\tau) d \tau\right](1-s) s, \quad s \in[0,1]  \tag{2.22}\\
& {\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u_{m}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right)} \\
& \quad \leq M_{5}\left[1+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} g(\tau) d \tau\right](1-s) s, \quad s \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

By applying Lebesgue Dominated Convergence theorem, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(T u_{m}\right)(t) & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u_{m}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{t^{2}}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =(T u)(t), \quad t \in[0,1], \\
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(T u_{m}\right)^{\prime}(t) & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u_{m}(s), u_{m}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{2.23}\\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =(T u)^{\prime}(t), \quad t \in[0,1],
\end{align*}
$$

which indicates that $T$ is continuous. Therefore, $T: K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

## 3. Main Results

For convenience, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{0}=\lim _{x+y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{x+y}, \quad f_{0}=\liminf _{x+y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{x+y}, \\
& f^{\infty}=\limsup _{x+y \rightarrow+\infty} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{x+y}, \quad f_{\infty}=\liminf _{x+y \rightarrow+\infty} \min _{t \in[\alpha, 1]} \frac{f(t, x, y)}{x+y}, \\
& H_{1}=2 \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] d s  \tag{3.1}\\
& H_{2}=\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] d s
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. If $H_{1} f^{0}<1<H_{2} f_{\infty}$, then the BVP (1.3) has at least one monotone positive solution. Proof. In view of $H_{1} f^{0}<1$, there exists $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}\left(f^{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right) \leq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $f^{0}$, we may choose $\rho_{1}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x, y) \leq\left(f^{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)(x+y), \text { for } t \in[0,1],(x+y) \in\left[0, \rho_{1}\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{1}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<\rho_{1} / 2\right\}$. Then for any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$, in view of (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(T u)^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right]\left(f^{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)\left(u(s)+u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq H_{1}\left(f^{0}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)\|u\| \\
& \leq\|u\|, \quad t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

By integrating the above inequality on $[0, t]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(t) \leq\|u\|, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (3.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\| \leq\|u\|, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $1<H_{2} f_{\infty}$, there exists $\varepsilon_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon_{2}\right) \geq 1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $f_{\infty}$, we may choose $\rho_{2}>\rho_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, x, y) \geq\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)(x+y), \quad \text { for } t \in[\alpha, 1],(x+y) \in\left[\rho_{2},+\infty\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega_{2}=\left\{u \in E:\|u\|<\rho_{2} / \beta\right\}$. Then for any $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$, in view of (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(T u)(1) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{1}(1, s)+\frac{1}{2(1-\mu)} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right]\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)\left(u(s)+u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.9}\\
& \geq H_{2}\left(f_{\infty}-\varepsilon_{2}\right)\|u\| \\
& \geq\|u\|
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u\| \geq\|u\|, \quad u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.6), (3.10), and Theorem 1.1 that the operator $T$ has one fixed point $u \in K \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$, which is a monotone positive solution of the BVP (1.3).

Theorem 3.2. If $H_{1} f^{\infty}<1<H_{2} f_{0}$, then the BVP (1.3) has at least one monotone positive solution. Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1 and is therefore omitted.

Theorem 3.3. If $H_{1} f(t, x, y)<(x+y)$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $(x+y) \in[0,+\infty)$, then the BVP (1.3) has no monotone positive solution.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that $u$ is a monotone positive solution of the BVP (1.3). Then $u(t) \geq 0$ and $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{0}^{1}\left[G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right] f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{3.11}\\
& <\frac{1}{H_{1}} \int_{0}^{1}\left[(1-s) s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(\tau, s) g(\tau) d \tau\right]\left(u(s)+u^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq\|u\|, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{align*}
$$

By integrating the above inequality on $[0, t]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)<\|u\|, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with (3.11) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|<\|u\| \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, the BVP (1.3) has no monotone positive solution.
Similarly, we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 3.4. If $H_{2} f(t, x, y)>(x+y)$ for $t \in[\alpha, 1]$ and $(x+y) \in[0,+\infty)$, then the BVP (1.3) has no monotone positive solution.

Example 3.5. Consider the following BVP:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)+\frac{1}{1+t}\left[\frac{u(t)+u^{\prime}(t)}{e^{u(t)+u^{\prime}(t)}}+\frac{1000\left(u(t)+u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}{1+u(t)+u^{\prime}(t)}\right]=0, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{3.14}\\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(1)=\int_{0}^{1} t u^{\prime}(t) d t .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $f(t, x, y)=1 /(1+t)\left[\left((x+y) / e^{x+y}\right)+\left(1000(x+y)^{2} /(1+x+y)\right)\right]$ and $g(t)=t$, if we choose $\alpha=1 / 2$, then it is easy to compute that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{0}=1, \quad f_{\infty}=500, \quad H_{1}=\frac{11}{24}, \quad H_{2}=\frac{91}{12288} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} f^{0}<1<H_{2} f_{\infty} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the BVP (3.14) has at least one monotone positive solution.
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