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We study the existence of positive solutions of the following fourth-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions, $u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), t \in(0,1), u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, u(1)=$ $0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0$, where $f:[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is continuous, $g, h \in L^{1}[0,1]$ are nonnegative. The proof of our main result is based upon the Krein-Rutman theorem and the global bifurcation techniques.

## 1. Introduction

The deformations of an elastic beam in an equilibrium state, whose both ends are simple supported, can be described by the fourth-order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous; see Gupta [1, 2]. In the past twenty more years, the existence of solutions and positive solutions of these kinds of problems and the Lidstone problem has been extensively studied; see [3-9] and the references therein. In [3], Ma was concerned with the existence of positive solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) under the assumptions:
(H1) $f:[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is continuous and there exist constants $a, b, c, d \in[0,+\infty)$, with $a+b>0, c+d>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, p)=a u-b p+o(|(u, p)|), \quad \text { as }|(u, p)| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \in[0,1]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, p)=c u-d p+o(|u, p|), \quad \text { as }|(u, p)| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \in[0,1]$, where $|(u, p)|:=\sqrt{u^{2}+p^{2}}$;
(H2) $f(t, u, p)>0$ for $t \in[0,1]$ and $(u, p) \in([0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0]) \backslash\{(0,0)\}$;
(H3) there exist constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \in[0,+\infty)$ satisfying $a_{0}^{2}+b_{0}^{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, p) \geq a_{0} u-b_{0} p, \quad(t, u, p) \in[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0] \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ma proved the following.
Theorem A (see [3, Theorem 4.1]). Let (H1), (H2), and (H3) hold. Assume that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(c, d)<1<\mu_{1}(a, b) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}(a, b)<1<\mu_{1}(c, d) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}(\alpha, \beta)$ denotes the first generalized eigenvalue of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=\mu\left(\alpha u(t)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.8}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (1.1) and (1.2) have at least one positive solution.
At the same time, we notice that a class of boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions appeared in heat conduction, chemical engineering underground water flow, thermoelasticity, and plasma physics. Such a kind of problems include two-point, threepoint, multipoint and nonlocal boundary value problems as special cases and attracting the attention of a few readers; see [10-13] and the references therein. For example, In particular, Zhang and Ge [10] used Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem to study existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of the following fourth-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=w(t) f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, \quad u(1)=0  \tag{P}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w$ may be singular at $t=0$ and (or) $t=1 ; f:[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is continuous, and $g, h \in L^{1}[0,1]$ are nonnegative.

Motivated by $[3,10]$, in this paper, we consider the existence of positive solutions of the following fourth-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{(4)}(t) & =f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), & t \in(0,1), \\
u(0) & =\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, & u(1)=0,  \tag{1.9}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, & u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

under the assumption
(H4) $g, h \in L^{1}[0,1]$ are nonnegative, and $\|g\|_{1}:=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) d s \leq \pi / 4, v:=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) h(s) d s<1$. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let (H1), (H2), (H3), and (H4) hold. Assume that either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(c, d)<1<\lambda_{1}(a, b) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(a, b)<1<\lambda_{1}(c, d), \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{(4)}(t) & =\lambda\left(\alpha u(t)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.12}\\
u(0) & =\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, \quad u(1)=0,  \tag{1.13}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0) & =\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 . \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (1.9) has at least one positive solution.
Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 generalizes [3, Theorem 4.1] where the special case $g=0$ and $h=0$ was treated.

Remark 1.3. Zhang and Ge [10] proved existence and nonexistence of positive solutions via Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem under some conditions which do not involve the eigenvalues of (1.12)-(1.14). While our Theorem 1.1 is established under (1.10) or (1.11) which is related to the eigenvalues of (1.12)-(1.14). Moreover, (1.10) and (1.11) are optimal. Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(x)=\pi^{4} u(x)+1, \quad x \in(0,1),  \tag{1.15}\\
u(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 . \tag{1.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this case, $\lambda_{1}\left(\pi^{4}, 0\right)=1$ and the corresponding eigenfunction is $\varphi_{1}=\sin \pi x$. However, (1.15) and (1.16) has no positive solution. (In fact, suppose on the contrary that (1.15) and (1.16) has a positive solution $u$. Multiplying (1.15) with $\sin \pi x$ and integrating from 0 to 1 , we get a desired contradiction!).

Suppose that $E$ is a real Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $K$ be a cone in $E$. A nonlinear mapping $A:[0, \infty) \times K \rightarrow E$ is said to be positive if $A([0, \infty) \times K) \subseteq K$. It is said to be $K$ completely continuous if $A$ is continuous and maps bounded subsets of $[0, \infty) \times K$ to precompact subset of $E$. Finally, a positive linear operator $V$ on $E$ is said to be a linear minorant for $A$ if $A(\lambda, u) \geq \lambda V(x)$ for $(\lambda, u) \in[0, \infty) \times K$. If $B$ is a continuous linear operator on $E$, denote $r(B)$ the spectral radius of $B$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{K}(B)=\{\lambda \in[0, \infty): \text { there exists } x \in K \text { with }\|x\|=1, x=\lambda B x\} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma will play a very important role in the proof of our main results, which is essentially a consequence of Dancer [14, Theorem 2].

Lemma 1.4. Assume that
(i) $K$ has nonempty interior and $E=\overline{K-K}$;
(ii) $A:[0, \infty) \times K \rightarrow E$ is $K$-completely continuous and positive, $A(\lambda, 0)=0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $A(0, u)=0$ for $u \in K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\lambda, u)=\lambda B u+F(\lambda, u), \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B: E \rightarrow E$ is a strongly positive linear compact operator on $E$ with the spectral radius $r(B)>0$, $F:[0, \infty) \times K \rightarrow E$ satisfies $\|F(\lambda, u)\|=\circ(\|u\|)$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow 0$ locally uniformly in $\lambda$.

Then there exists an unbounded connected subset $\mathcal{C}$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{K}(A)=\{(\lambda, u) \in[0, \infty) \times K: u=A(\lambda, u), u \neq 0\} \cup\left\{\left(r(B)^{-1}, 0\right)\right\} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\left(r(B)^{-1}, 0\right) \in \mathcal{C}$.
Moreover, if $A$ has a linear minorant $V$ and there exists a

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mu, y) \in(0, \infty) \times K \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\|y\|=1$ and $\mu V y \geq y$, then $\mathcal{C}$ can be chosen in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{K}(A) \cap([0, \mu] \times K) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $B$ is a strongly positive compact endomorphism of $E$ and $P$ has nonempty interior, we have from Amann [15, Theorem 3.2] that the set $c_{K}(B)$ in [14, Theorem 2] reduces to a single point $\left(r(B)^{-1}, 0\right)$. Now the desired result is a consequence of Dancer [14, Theorem 2].

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we state and prove some preliminary results about the spectrum of (1.12)-(1.14). Finally, in Section 3, we proved our main result.

## 2. Generalized Eigenvalues

Lemma 2.1 (see [10]). Assume that (H4) holds. Then for any $y \in C[0,1]$, the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=y(t), \quad t \in(0,1), \\
u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, \quad u(1)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution $u$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) y(s) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
H_{1}(t, s)=G(t, s)+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau, \quad \mu:=\int_{0}^{1}(1-s) g(s) d s, \\
G(t, s)= \begin{cases}s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases} \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [10]). Assume that (H4) holds. Then for any $y \in C[0,1]$, the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=y(t), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, \quad u(1)=0  \tag{2.4}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

has a unique solution $u$ which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s) y(s) d s d x, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(x, s)=G(x, s)+\frac{1-x}{1-v} \int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3 (see [10]). Assume that (H4) holds. Then one has

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H_{1}(t, s)>0, & H_{2}(t, s)>0,
\end{array} \quad G(t, s)>0, \quad t, s \in(0,1), ~ \begin{array}{ll}
H_{1}(t, s) \geq 0, & H_{2}(t, s) \geq 0,
\end{array} \quad G(t, s) \geq 0, \quad t, s \in[0,1] .
$$

Let
(H5) $(\alpha, \beta) \in[0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty)$ be two given constants with $\alpha+\beta>0$.
Definition 2.4. One says that $\lambda$ is a generalized eigenvalue of linear problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=\lambda\left(\alpha u(t)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{2.8}\\
u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, \quad u(1)=0  \tag{2.9}\\
u^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

if (2.8) and (2.9) have nontrivial solutions.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(t):=\cos \frac{\pi}{2} t, \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y=C[0,1]$ with the norm $\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)|$. Let $Z=L^{1}[0,1]$ with the norm $\|u\|_{1}=\int_{0}^{1}|u(s)| d s$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\{u \in C^{2}[0,1] \mid u \text { satisfies }(2.9), \exists \varepsilon>0, \text { s. t. }-\varepsilon e(t) \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \varepsilon e(t), t \in[0,1]\right\} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in X$, from Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By simple calculations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) e(s) d s & =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) e(s) d s+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] e(s) d s \\
& =\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(t)-\frac{4(1-t)}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] e(s) d s  \tag{2.13}\\
& \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(t)-\frac{4(1-t)}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G(s, s) e(s) d s \int_{0}^{1} g(\tau) d \tau \\
& =\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(t)-\frac{4(1-t)}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{4(1-t)}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\|g\|_{1}}{1-\mu}\left(\frac{4}{\pi}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (H4), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) e(s) d s \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (2.12) and the fact that $-\varepsilon e(t) \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \varepsilon e(t)$ imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \varepsilon e(t) \leq u(t) \leq \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} \varepsilon e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(4 / \pi^{2}\right) \varepsilon<\varepsilon$, we may define the norm of $u \in X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X}:=\inf \left\{\varepsilon \mid-\varepsilon e(t) \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \varepsilon e(t), t \in[0,1]\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ is a Banach space.
In fact, let $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset X$ be a Cauchy sequence, that is, $\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{X} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. From the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{C^{2}} \leq c^{*}\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{X^{\prime}} \quad \text { for some constant } c^{*}>0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{C^{2}}$ is a normal in $X$ defined by $\|y\|_{C^{2}}:=\max \left\{\left|-y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \quad \mid t \in[0,1]\right\}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{C^{2}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m, n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the completeness of $\left(C^{2}[0,1],\|\cdot\|_{C^{2}}\right)$, there exists $y^{*} \in C^{2}[0,1]$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y^{*}\right\|_{C^{2}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the fact that $\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{X} \rightarrow 0$, we have that for arbitrary $\epsilon>0$, there exists $N>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y_{m}\right\|_{X} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text { whenever } m, n>N \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and subsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon e(t) \leq y_{n}(t)-y_{m}(t) \leq \epsilon e(t), \quad \text { whenever } m, n>N \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fixed $n$ and let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\epsilon e(t) \leq y_{n}(t)-y^{*}(t) \leq \epsilon e(t), \quad \text { whenever } n>N . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{n}-y^{*}\right\|_{x} \leq \epsilon, \quad \text { whenever } n>N \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ is a Banach space.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\left\{u \in X \mid u(t) \geq 0, u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0, t \in[0,1]\right\} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the cone $P$ is normal and nonempty interior $P^{0}$ and $X=\overline{P-P}$.
In fact, for any $u \in X$, it follows from the definition of $X$ that
(1) there exist real number $a>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq a e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] ; \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) $u(1)=0, u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s$.

From $u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s$ and (H4), we obtain that $u^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)=0$ for some $t^{*} \in(0,1)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|=\left|u^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)+\int_{t^{*}}^{t} u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right| \leq a, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and subsequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(t)|=\left|u(1)-\int_{t}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq|u(1)|+\int_{t}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq a(1-t) \leq \hat{a} e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\widehat{a}>0$. We may take $\widehat{a}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}>\frac{4 a}{\pi^{2}} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us define

$$
\begin{gather*}
y(t)=\hat{a} e(t),  \tag{2.29}\\
z(t)=u(t)+y(t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $y, z \in P$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=z(t)-y(t) . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $X \subseteq \overline{P-P}$. Obviously, $\overline{P-P} \subseteq X$.
Lemma 2.5. Assume that (H4) holds. Then for any $u \in X$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty}<\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|_{X} . \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In fact, for $u \in X$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) d s \\
& =\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left[G(t, s)+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] d s  \tag{2.32}\\
& \leq\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(s, s) d s+\frac{1}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G(s, s) d s \int_{0}^{1} g(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
& =\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{6} \frac{\|g\|_{1}}{1-\mu}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

From $\mu \leq\|g\|_{1} \leq \pi / 4$, we have that $1 / 6+(1 / 6)\left(\|g\|_{1} /(1-\mu)\right)<1$, and so $|u(t)|<\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$, and accordingly $\|u\|_{\infty}<\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}$.

We have from the fact that $-\varepsilon e(t) \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \varepsilon e(t), t \in[0,1]$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq \varepsilon e(t) \leq \varepsilon, \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$, and consequently $\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq\|u\|_{X}$.
For $u \in X$, define a linear operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T u(t)=\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s)\left[\alpha u(s)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s d x, \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.6. Assume that (H4) and (H5) hold. Let $r(T)$ be the spectral radius of T. Then (2.8) and (2.9) has an algebraically simple eigenvalue, $\lambda_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=(r(T))^{-1}$, with a positive eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}(\cdot) \in P^{0}$. Moreover, there is no other eigenvalue with a positive eigenfunction.

Remark 2.7. If $g=h \equiv 0$, then $\lambda_{1}(\alpha, \beta)$ can be explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\pi^{4}}{\alpha+\beta \pi^{2}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}(t)=\sin \pi t, t \in[0,1]$.
Proof of Theorem 2.6. From Lemma 2.2, it is easy to check that (2.8) and (2.9) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\lambda T u(t), \quad t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $T: X \rightarrow X$.
In fact, for $u \in X$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s)\left[\alpha u(s)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s\right| \\
& \leq\left(\alpha\|u\|_{\infty}+\beta\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s) d s  \tag{2.37}\\
& :=C_{0} \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s) d s & =\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s+\frac{1-t}{1-v} \iint_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau d s \\
& =\frac{t(1-t)}{2}+(1-t) \frac{\iint_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau d s}{1-v}  \tag{2.38}\\
& \leq(1-t)\left[\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\iint_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau d s}{1-v}\right] \\
& :=\Gamma_{0}(1-t)
\end{align*}
$$

and for some constant $\rho_{1}>0$, it concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1} e(t) \leq 1-t \leq e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma e(t) \leq-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \sigma e(t), \quad t \in[0,1], \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma:=\Gamma_{0} C_{0}$, it follows that $T u \in X$, and accordingly $T(X) \subseteq X$.
If $u \in P$, then $\alpha u(t)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ on $t \in[0,1]$, and accordingly

$$
\begin{gather*}
(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s)\left[\alpha u(s)-\beta u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s \leq 0, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{2.41}\\
(T u)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s)\left(-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \geq 0, \quad t \in[0,1]
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus $T u \in P$, and accordingly $T(P) \subseteq P$.
Now, since $T(X) \subseteq C^{4}[0,1] \cap X$, and $C^{4}[0,1] \cap X$ is compactly embedded in $X$, we have that $T: X \rightarrow X$ is compact.

Next, we show that $T: P \rightarrow P$ is positive.
For $u \in P \backslash\{0\}$, if $\alpha>0$, from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) & \geq \alpha \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s) u(s) d s \\
& =\alpha\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) d s+\frac{1-t}{1-v} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau\right) u(s) d s\right]  \tag{2.42}\\
& \geq \frac{\alpha \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau\right] u(s) d s}{1-v}(1-t) \\
& :=\Gamma_{3}(1-t)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (2.39), there exist $r_{2}:=\Gamma_{3} \rho_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq r_{2} e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in P \backslash\{0\}$, if $\beta>0$, applying a similar proof process of (2.43), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) & \geq \beta \int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s)\left[-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s \\
& \geq \frac{\beta \int_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(s, \tau) h(\tau) d \tau\right]\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s}{1-v}(1-t)  \tag{2.44}\\
& :=\Gamma_{4}(1-t)
\end{align*}
$$

Combining this with (2.39), there exist $r_{3}:=\Gamma_{4} \rho_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(T u)^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq r_{3} e(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with (2.9) and (H4) imply $u(t) \geq 0$ on [0,1].
Therefore, it follows from (2.43) and (2.45) that $T u \in P^{0}$.
Now, by the Krein-Rutman theorem ([16, Theorem 7.C]; [17, Theorem 19.3]), $T$ has an algebraically simple eigenvalue $r(T)>0$ with an eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}(\cdot) \in P^{0}$. Moreover, there is no other eigenvalue with a positive eigenfunction. Correspondingly, $\lambda_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=(r(T))^{-1}$ with a positive eigenfunction of $\varphi_{1}(\cdot)$, is a simple eigenvalue of (2.8) and (2.9). Moreover, for (2.8) and (2.9), there is no other eigenvalue with a positive eigenfunction.

## 3. The Proof of the Main Result

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we denote $L: D(L) \rightarrow Y$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u:=u^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}, \quad u \in D(L), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(L)=\left\{u \in C^{4}[0,1] \mid u(0)=\int_{0}^{1} g(s) u(s) d s, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=\int_{0}^{1} h(s) u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s, u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that $L^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is compact.
Let $\zeta, \xi \in C([0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0])$ be such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(t, u, p)=a u-b p+\zeta(t, u, p)  \tag{3.3}\\
& f(t, u, p)=c u-d p+\xi(t, u, p)
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously,(H1) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lim _{|(u, p)| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\zeta(t, u, p)}{|(u, p)|}=0 & \text { uniformly for } t \in[0,1] \\
\lim _{|(u, p)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi(t, u, p)}{|(u, p)|}=0 & \text { uniformly for } t \in[0,1] \tag{3.5}
\end{array}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\xi}(r)=\max \{|\xi(t, u, p)||0 \leq|(u, p)| \leq r, t \in[0,1]\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\tilde{\xi}$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\xi}(r)}{r}=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=\lambda\left(a u-b u^{\prime \prime}\right)+\lambda \zeta\left(t, u, u^{\prime \prime}\right), \quad \lambda>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a bifurcation problem from the trivial solution $u \equiv 0$. It is to easy to check that (3.8) can be converted to the equivalent equation

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t)= & \lambda\left\{\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s)\left[a u(s)-b u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s d x\right\} \\
& +\lambda\left\{\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s) \zeta\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s d x\right\}  \tag{3.9}\\
:= & A(\lambda, u)(t)
\end{align*}
$$

From the proof process of Theorem 2.6, the operator $B: X \rightarrow X$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B u(t):=\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s)\left[a u(s)-b u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s d x \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact and strongly positive. Define $F:[0,+\infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda, u):=\lambda \iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s) \zeta\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s d x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have from (3.4) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F(\lambda, u)\|_{X}=o\left(\|u\|_{X}\right), \quad \text { as }\|u\|_{X} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

locally uniformly in $\lambda$. From(H2) and Theorem 2.6 (with obvious changes), it follows that if $(\lambda, u)$ is a nontrivial solution of (3.8) with $\lambda>0$, then $u \in P^{0}$. Combining this with Lemma 1.4, we conclude that there exists an unbounded connected subset $\mathcal{C}$ of the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{(\lambda, u) \in(0, \infty) \times P \mid u=A(\lambda, u), u \in P^{0}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right)\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right) \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is clear that any solution of the form $(1, u)$ yields a solution $u$ of (1.9). We will show that $\mathcal{C}$ crosses the hyperplane $\{1\} \times X$. To do this, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{C}$ joins $\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right)$ to $\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \infty\right)$. Let $\left(\mu_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X} \longrightarrow \infty, \quad n \longrightarrow \infty, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we note that $\mu_{n}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since $(0,0)$ is the only solution of (3.8) for $\lambda=0$ and $\mathcal{C} \cap(\{0\} \times X)=\emptyset$.

Case $1\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d)<1<\lambda_{1}(a, b)\right)$. In this case, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \lambda_{1}(a, b)\right) \subseteq\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{C}\} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide the proof into two steps.
Step 1. We show that if there exists a constant number $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \in(0, M] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\mathcal{C}$ joins $\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right)$ to $\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \infty\right)$.
From (3.16), we have that $\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty$. We divide the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L y_{n}=\mu_{n}\left(c y_{n}-d y_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\mu_{n} \xi\left(t, y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}$ and set $\overline{y_{n}}=y_{n} /\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}$. Since $\overline{y_{n}}$ is bounded in $X$, choosing a subsequence and relabeling if necessary, we see that $\overline{y_{n}} \rightarrow \bar{y}$ for some $\bar{y} \in X$ with $\|\bar{y}\|_{X}=1$. Moreover, we have from (3.7) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\xi\left(t, y_{n}(t), y_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right|}{\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}}=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\xi\left(t, y_{n}(t), y_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)\right| /\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X} \leq \tilde{\xi}\left(\sqrt{2}\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}\right) /\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{y}(t)=\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s) \bar{\mu}\left[c \bar{y}(s)-d \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s d x \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\mu}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}$, again choosing a subsequence and relabeling if necessary. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \bar{y}(t)=\bar{\mu}\left(c \bar{y}(t)-d \bar{y}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with Theorem 2.6 imply that $\bar{\mu}=\lambda_{1}(c, d)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}$ joins $\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right)$ to $\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \infty\right)$.

Step 2. We show that there exists a constant $M$ be such that $\mu_{n} \in(0, M]$ for all $n$.

By Lemma 1.4, we only need to show that $A$ has a linear minorant $V$ and there exists a $(\mu, y) \in(0, \infty) \times P$ such that $\|y\|_{X}=1$ and $\mu V y \geq y$.
$\operatorname{By}(\mathrm{H} 3)$, there exist constants $a_{0}, b_{0} \in[0,+\infty)$ satisfying $a_{0}^{2}+b_{0}^{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, p) \geq a_{0} u-b_{0} p,(t, u, p) \in[0,1] \times[0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0] \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in X$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
V u(t)=\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s)\left[a_{0} u(s)-b_{0} u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right] d s d x \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $V$ is a linear minorant of $A$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(t)\right) & =\iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s)\left[a_{0} \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} e(s)+b_{0} e(s)\right] d s d x \\
& =\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} a_{0}+b_{0}\right) \iint_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, x) H_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s d x \\
& =\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} a_{0}+b_{0}\right) \iint_{0}^{1}\left[G(t, x)+\frac{1-t}{1-\mu} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] H_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} a_{0}+b_{0}\right) \frac{\iint_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(x, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] H_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s d x}{1-\mu}(1-t) \\
& :=\Gamma_{5} \frac{4}{\pi^{2}}(1-t) \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{\left(a_{0}+\left(\pi^{2} / 4\right) b_{0}\right) \iint_{0}^{1}\left[\int_{0}^{1} G(x, \tau) g(\tau) d \tau\right] H_{2}(x, s) e(s) d s d x}{1-\mu} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (2.39), we conclude that $\lambda V\left(\left(4 / \pi^{2}\right) e(t)\right) \geq\left(4 / \pi^{2}\right) e(t)$, here, $\lambda:=$ $\left(\Gamma_{5} \rho_{1}\right)^{-1}$. Therefore, we have that from Lemma 1.4 that $\left|\mu_{n}\right| \leq \lambda$.

Case $2\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b)<1<\lambda_{1}(c, d)\right)$. In this case, if $\left(\mu_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mu_{n}+\left\|y_{n}\right\|_{X}\right)=\infty \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), \lambda_{1}(c, d)\right) \subseteq\{\lambda \in(0, \infty) \mid(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{C}\} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, moreover, $(\{1\} \times X) \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$.

Assume that there exists $M>0$, such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \in(0, M] \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying a similar argument to that used in Step 1 of Case 1, after taking a subsequence and relabeling if necessary, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu_{n}, y_{n}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \infty\right), \quad n \longrightarrow \infty \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again $\mathcal{C}$ joins $\left(\lambda_{1}(a, b), 0\right)$ to $\left(\lambda_{1}(c, d), \infty\right)$ and the result follows.
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