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This paper investigates the eigenvalue problem for a class of singular elastic beam equations where one end is simply supported and the other end is clamped by sliding clamps. Firstly, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions, then we prove that the closure of positive solution set possesses an unbounded connected branch which bifurcates from $(0, \theta)$. Our nonlinearity $f(t, u, v, w)$ may be singular at $u, v, t=0$ and/or $t=1$.

## 1. Introduction

Singular differential equations arise in the fields of gas dynamics, Newtonian fluid mechanics, the theory of boundary layer, and so on. Therefore, singular boundary value problems have been investigated extensively in recent years (see [1-4] and references therein).

This paper investigates the following fourth-order nonlinear singular eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=\lambda f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1),  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$ is a parameter and $f$ satisfies the following hypothesis:
(H) $f \in C((0,1) \times(0,+\infty) \times(0,+\infty) \times(-\infty, 0],[0,+\infty))$, and there exist constants $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$, $N_{i}, i=1,2,3\left(-\infty<\alpha_{1} \leq 0 \leq \beta_{1}<+\infty,-\infty<\alpha_{2} \leq 0 \leq \beta_{2}<+\infty, 0 \leq \alpha_{3} \leq \beta_{3}<1\right.$,
$\left.\sum_{i=1}^{3} \beta_{i}<1 ; 0<N_{i} \leq 1, i=1,2,3\right)$ such that for any $t \in(0,1), u, v \in(0,+\infty)$, $w \in(-\infty, 0], f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c^{\beta_{1}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, c u, v, w) \leq c^{\alpha_{1}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall 0<c \leq N_{1}, \\
c^{\beta_{2}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, u, c v, w) \leq c^{\alpha_{2}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall 0<c \leq N_{2},  \tag{1.2}\\
c^{\beta_{3}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, u, v, c w) \leq c^{\alpha_{3}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall 0<c \leq N_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Typical functions that satisfy the above sublinear hypothesis $(H)$ are those taking the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v, w)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{3}} p_{i, j, k}(t) u^{r_{i}} v^{s_{j}} w^{\sigma_{k}}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{i, j, k}(t) \in C[(0,1),(0,+\infty)], r_{i}, s_{j} \in R, 0 \leq \sigma_{k}<1, \max \left\{r_{i}, 0\right\}+\max \left\{s_{j}\right\}+\sigma_{k}<1$, $i=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{2}, k=1,2, \ldots, m_{3}$. The hypothesis ( $H$ ) is similar to that in $[5,6]$.

Because of the extensive applications in mechanics and engineering, nonlinear fourthorder two-point boundary value problems have received wide attentions (see [7-12] and references therein). In mechanics, the boundary value problem (1.1) (BVP (1.1) for short) describes the deformation of an elastic beam simply supported at left and clamped at right by sliding clamps. The term $u^{\prime \prime}$ in $f$ represents bending effect which is useful for the stability analysis of the beam. BVP (1.1) has two special features. The first one is that the nonlinearity $f$ may depend on the first-order derivative of the unknown function $u$, and the second one is that the nonlinearity $f(t, u, v, w)$ may be singular at $u, v, t=0$ and/or $t=1$.

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions and the structure of positive solution set for the BVP (1.1). Firstly, we construct a special cone and present a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions, then we prove that the closure of positive solution set possesses an unbounded connected branch which bifurcates from $(0, \theta)$. Our analysis mainly relies on the fixed point theorem in a cone and the fixed point index theory.

By singularity of $f$, we mean that the function $f$ in (1.1) is allowed to be unbounded at the points $u=0, v=0, t=0$, and /or $t=1$. A function $u(t) \in C^{2}[0,1] \cap C^{4}(0,1)$ is called a (positive) solution of the BVP (1.1) if it satisfies the BVP (1.1) $\left(u(t)>0,-u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0\right.$ for $t \in(0,1]$ and $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $\left.t \in[0,1)\right)$. For some $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, if the BVP (1.1) has a positive solution $u$, then $\lambda$ is called an eigenvalue and $u$ is called corresponding eigenfunction of the BVP (1.1).

The existence of positive solutions of BVPs has been studied by several authors in the literature; for example, see $[7-20]$ and the references therein. Yao $[15,18]$ studied the following BVP:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in[0,1] \backslash E,  \tag{1.4}\\
u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $E \subset[0,1]$ is a closed subset and mes $E=0, f \in C(([0,1] \backslash E) \times[0,+\infty) \times[0,+\infty),[0,+\infty))$. In [15], he obtained a sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions of BVP (1.4)
by using the monotonically iterative technique. In [13, 18], he applied Guo-Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem to obtain the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of BVP (1.4) and the following BVP:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{(4)}(t)=f(t, u(t)), \quad t \in[0,1], \\
& u(0)=u^{\prime}(0)=u(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(1)=0 . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

These differ from our problem because $f(t, u, v)$ in (1.4) cannot be singular at $u=0, v=0$ and the nonlinearity $f$ in (1.5) does not depend on the derivatives of the unknown functions.

In this paper, we first establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions of BVP (1.1) for any $\lambda>0$ by using the following Lemma 1.1. Efforts to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of positive solutions of BVPs by the lower and upper solution method can be found, for example, in [5, 6, 21-23]. In [5, $6,22,23$ ] they considered the case that $f$ depends on even order derivatives of $u$. Although the nonlinearity $f$ in [21] depends on the first-order derivative, where the nonlinearity $f$ is increasing with respect to the unknown function $u$. Papers [24,25] derived the existence of positive solutions of BVPs by the lower and upper solution method, but the nonlinearity $f(t, u)$ does not depend on the derivatives of the unknown functions, and $f(t, u)$ is decreasing with respect to $u$.

Recently, the global structure of positive solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems has also been investigated (see [26-28] and references therein). Ma and An [26] and Ma and Xu [27] discussed the global structure of positive solutions for the nonlinear eigenvalue problems and obtained the existence of an unbounded connected branch of positive solution set by using global bifurcation theorems (see $[29,30]$ ). The terms $f(u)$ in [26] and $f\left(t, u, u^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in [27] are not singular at $t=0,1, u=0, u^{\prime \prime}=0$. Yao [14] obtained one or two positive solutions to a singular elastic beam equation rigidly fixed at both ends by using Guo-Krasnosel'skii's fixed point theorem, but the global structure of positive solutions was not considered. Since the nonlinearity $f(t, u, v, w)$ in BVP (1.1) may be singular at $u, v, t=0$ and/or $t=1$, the global bifurcation theorems in $[29,30]$ do not apply to our problem here. In Section 4, we also investigate the global structure of positive solutions for BVP (1.1) by applying the following Lemma 1.2.

The paper is organized as follows: in the rest of this section, two known results are stated. In Section 2, some lemmas are stated and proved. In Section 3, we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions. In Section 4, we prove that the closure of positive solution set possesses an unbounded connected branch which comes from $(0, \theta)$.

Finally we state the following results which will be used in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Lemma 1.1 (see [31]). Let $X$ be a real Banach space, let $K$ be a cone in $X$, and let $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ be bounded open sets of $E, \theta \in \Omega_{1} \subset \overline{\Omega_{1}} \subset \Omega_{2}$. Suppose that $T: K \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\|T(x)\| \leq\|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} ;\|T(x)\| \geq\|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.
(2) $\|T(x)\| \geq\|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{1} ;\|T(x)\| \leq\|x\|, x \in K \cap \partial \Omega_{2}$.

Then, $T$ has a fixed point in $K \cap\left(\overline{\Omega_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

Lemma 1.2 (see [32]). Let $M$ be a metric space and $(a, b) \subset R^{1}$. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
a<\cdots<a_{n}<\cdots<a_{1}<b_{1}<\cdots<b_{n}<\cdots<b \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} a_{n}=a, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} b_{n}=b . \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose also that $\sum=\left\{C_{n}: n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a family of connected subsets of $R^{1} \times M$, satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $C_{n} \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times M\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $C_{n} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times M\right) \neq \emptyset$ for each $n$.
(2) For any two given numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $a<\alpha<\beta<b,\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}\right) \cap([\alpha, \beta] \times M)$ is a relatively compact set of $R^{1} \times M$.

Then there exists a connected branch $C$ of $\lim _{\sup }^{n \rightarrow+\infty}{ }_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \cap(\{\lambda\} \times M) \neq \emptyset, \quad \forall \lambda \in(a, b), \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} C_{n}=\left\{x \in M\right.$ : there exists a sequence $x_{n_{i}} \in C_{n_{i}}$ such that $\left.x_{n_{i}} \rightarrow x,(i \rightarrow \infty)\right\}$.

## 2. Some Preliminaries and Lemmas

Let $E=\left\{u \in C^{2}[0,1]: u(0)=0, u^{\prime}(1)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(0)=0\right\},\|u\|_{2}=\max \left\{\|u\|,\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|,\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right\}$, then $\left(E,\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$ is a Banach space, where $\|u\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|u(t)|$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\left\{u \in E: u(t) \geq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|, u^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|,-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq t\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|, t \in[0,1]\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to conclude that $P$ is a cone of $E$. Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}=\left\{u \in P:\|u\|_{2}<r\right\} ; \quad \partial P_{r}=\left\{u \in P:\|u\|_{2}=r\right\} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}(t, s)= \begin{cases}s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\
& G(t, s)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, r) G_{0}(r, s) d r
\end{align*}
$$

Then $G(t, s)$ is the Green function of homogeneous boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{(4)}(t)=0, \quad t \in(0,1), \\
G(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0, \\
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{s^{3}}{3}+\frac{s\left(t^{2}-s^{2}\right)}{2}+s t(1-t), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
\frac{t^{3}}{3}+\frac{t\left(s^{2}-t^{2}\right)}{2}+t s(1-s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \\
G_{1}(t, s)=: G_{t}^{\prime}(t, s)= \begin{cases}s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
\frac{s^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}+s(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1,\end{cases} \\
G_{2}(t, s)=:-G_{t}^{\prime \prime}(t, s)= \begin{cases}s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 .\end{cases}
\end{array} .\left\{\begin{array}{c} 
\\
\hline
\end{array}\right.\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
\hline
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. $G(t, s), G_{1}(t, s)$, and $G_{2}(t, s)$ have the following properties:
(1) $G(t, s)>0, G_{i}(t, s)>0, i=1,2$, for all $t, s \in(0,1)$.
(2) $G(t, s) \leq s\left(t-t^{2} / 2\right), G_{1}(t, s) \leq s(1-t), G_{2}(t, s) \leq t$ (or $\left.s\right)$, for all $t, s \in[0,1]$.
(3) $\max _{t \in[0,1]} G(t, s) \leq(1 / 2) s, \max _{t \in[0,1]} G_{i}(t, s) \leq s, i=1,2$, for all $s \in[0,1]$.
(4) $G(t, s) \geq(s / 2)\left(t-t^{2} / 2\right), G_{1}(t, s) \geq(s / 2)(1-t), G_{2}(t, s) \geq$ st, for all $t, s \in[0,1]$.

Proof. From (2.4), it is easy to obtain the property (2.18).
We now prove that property (2) is true. For $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$, by (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(t, s)=\frac{s^{3}}{3}+\frac{s t^{2}}{2}-\frac{s^{3}}{2}+s t-s t^{2} \leq s t-\frac{s t^{2}}{2}=s\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)  \tag{2.5}\\
G_{1}(t, s)=s(1-t), \quad G_{2}(t, s) \leq t(\text { or } s)
\end{gather*}
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1$, by (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
G(t, s)=\frac{t^{3}}{3}-\frac{t^{3}}{2}+t s-\frac{t s^{2}}{2} \leq s t-\frac{s t^{2}}{2}=s\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
G_{1}(t, s)=s-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{s^{2}}{2} \leq s-t s=s(1-t), \quad G_{2}(t, s) \leq t(\text { or } s)
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, property (2) holds.
From property (2), it is easy to obtain property (3).
We next show that property (4) is true. From (2.4), we know that property (4) holds for $s=0$.

For $0<s \leq 1$, if $s \leq t \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{G(t, s)}{s}=t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{s^{2}}{6}=\frac{1}{2}\left[t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{s^{2}}{3}\right)\right] \geq \frac{1}{2}\left[t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}-\frac{t^{2}}{3}\right)\right]>\frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \\
\frac{G_{1}(t, s)}{s}=(1-t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t), \quad G_{2}(t, s) \geq s t \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

if $0 \leq t \leq s$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{G(t, s)}{s} \geq t-\frac{t^{2}}{6}-\frac{t s}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[t-\frac{t^{2}}{3}+(t-t s)\right] \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{3}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)  \tag{2.8}\\
\frac{G_{1}(t, s)}{s} \geq 1-\frac{t}{2}-\frac{s}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t), \quad G_{2}(t, s) \geq s t
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, property (4) holds.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$, then $\|u\|_{2}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{4}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\|u\| \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|, \quad \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \leq\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|  \tag{2.9}\\
\frac{1}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|_{2} \leq u(t) \leq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|_{2}, \quad \frac{1}{4}(1-t)\|u\|_{2} \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq(1-t)\|u\|_{2}  \tag{2.10}\\
t\|u\|_{2} \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$, then $u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0,-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0, t \in[0,1]$, so

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s \leq\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \\
\|u\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(s) d s \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \int_{0}^{1}(1-s) d s=\frac{1}{4}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \\
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{t}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \leq\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]} \int_{t}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s=\int_{0}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \geq \int_{0}^{1} s\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\| d s=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, (2.9) holds. From (2.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{2}=\max \left\{\|u\|,\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|,\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right\}=\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.9) and the definition of $P$, we can obtain that

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \leq\left(\int_{0}^{t} s d s+\int_{t}^{1} t d s\right)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|_{2}, \\
\forall t \in[0,1], \\
u(t) \geq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\| \geq \frac{1}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1], \\
u^{\prime}(t)=\int_{t}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \leq(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|=(1-t)\|u\|_{2}, \\
u^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-t)\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \\
t\|u\|_{2}=t\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, (2.10) holds.
For any fixed $\lambda \in(0,+\infty)$, define an operator $T_{\lambda}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t)=: \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad \forall u \in P \backslash\{\theta\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, it is easy to know that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime}(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad \forall u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, \\
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad \forall u \in P \backslash\{\theta\} \tag{2.16}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $(H)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then $T_{\lambda}(P \backslash\{\theta\}) \subset P$.

Proof. From $(H)$, for any $t \in(0,1), u, v \in(0,+\infty), w \in(-\infty, 0]$, we easily obtain the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c^{\alpha_{1}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, c u, v, w) \leq c^{\beta_{1}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall c \geq N_{1}^{-1} \\
c^{\alpha_{2}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, u, c v, w) \leq c^{\beta_{2}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall c \geq N_{2}^{-1}  \tag{2.18}\\
c^{\alpha_{3}} f(t, u, v, w) \leq f(t, u, v, c w) \leq c^{\beta_{3}} f(t, u, v, w), & \forall c \geq N_{3}^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

For every $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, t \in[0,1]$, choose positive numbers $c_{1} \leq \min \left\{N_{1},(1 / 8) N_{1}\|u\|_{2}\right\}, c_{2} \leq$ $\min \left\{N_{2},(1 / 4) N_{2}\|u\|_{2}\right\}, c_{3} \geq \max \left\{N_{3}^{-1}, N_{3}^{-1}\|u\|_{2}\right\}$. It follows from $(H)$, (2.10), Lemma 2.1, and (2.17) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, c_{1} \frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), c_{2} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}(1-s),(-1) c_{3} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\right)^{\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}\right)^{\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{2}}{c_{1}}\right)^{\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{2}}{c_{2}}\right)^{\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{2}}{c_{3}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to (2.19), from (H), (2.10), Lemma 2.1, and (2.17), for every $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, t \in$ [0,1], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime}(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, c_{1} \frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), c_{2} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}(1-s),(-1) c_{3} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right) d s \\
& \leq c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(t) & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, c_{1} \frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), c_{2} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}(1-s),(-1) c_{3} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right) d s \\
& \leq c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $T_{\lambda}$ is well defined on $P \backslash\{\theta\}$.
From (2.4) and (2.14)-(2.16), it is easy to know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(0)=0, \quad\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime}(1)=0, \quad\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \\
& \left(T_{\lambda} u\right)(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{2} s f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \max _{\tau \in[0,1]} G(\tau, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1], u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, \\
& \left(T_{\mathcal{\lambda}} u\right)^{\prime}(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{1}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t) \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(\mathrm{s}), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s  \tag{2.21}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-t) \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \max _{\tau \in[0,1]} G_{1}(\tau, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(1-t)\left\|\left(T_{\curlywedge} u\right)^{\prime}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1], u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, \\
& -\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq t \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq t \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \max _{\tau \in[0,1]} G_{2}(\tau, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =t\left\|\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1], u \in P \backslash\{\theta\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $T(P \backslash\{\theta\}) \subset P$ follows from (2.21).

Obviously, $u^{*}$ is a positive solution of BVP (1.1) if and only if $u^{*}$ is a positive fixed point of the integral operator $T_{\mathcal{\lambda}}$ in $P$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that (H) and (2.17) hold. Then for any $R>r>0, T_{\lambda}: \overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r} \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

Proof. First of all, notice that $T_{\lambda}$ maps $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$ into $P$ by Lemma 2.3.
Next, we show that $T_{\lambda}$ is bounded. In fact, for any $u \in \overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$, by (2.10) we can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) R, \quad \frac{r}{4}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq(1-t) R, \quad r t \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq R, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose positive numbers $c_{1} \leq \min \left\{N_{1},(r / 8) N_{1}\right\}, c_{2} \leq \min \left\{N_{2},(r / 4) N_{2}\right\}, c_{3} \geq \max \left\{N_{3}^{-1}\right.$, $\left.N_{3}^{-1} R\right\}$. This, together with $(H),(2.22),(2.16)$, and Lemma 2.1 yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, c_{1} \frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), c_{2} \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}(1-s),(-1) c_{3} \frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{u(s)}{c_{1}\left(s-s^{2} / 2\right)}\right)^{\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{c_{2}(1-s)}\right)^{\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(s)}{-c_{3}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \leq c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}} R^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\alpha_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& <+\infty, \quad \forall t \in[0,1], u \in \overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r} . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $T_{\mathcal{\lambda}}$ is bounded on $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$.
Now we show that $T_{\lambda}$ is a compact operator on $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$. By (2.23) and Ascoli-Arzela theorem, it suffices to show that $T_{\lambda} V$ is equicontinuous for arbitrary bounded subset $V \subset$ $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$.

Since for each $u \in V,(2.22)$ holds, we may choose still positive numbers $c_{1} \leq \min \left\{N_{1}\right.$, $\left.(r / 8) N_{1}\right\}, c_{2} \leq \min \left\{N_{2},(r / 4) N_{2}\right\}, c_{3} \geq \max \left\{N_{3}^{-1}, N_{3}^{-1} R\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(T_{\curlywedge} u\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| & =\lambda \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq C_{0} \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s  \tag{2.24}\\
& =: H(t), \quad t \in(0,1)
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{0}=\lambda c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}} R^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\alpha_{3}}$. Notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} H(t) d t & =C_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s d t \\
& =C_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d t d s  \tag{2.25}\\
& =C_{0} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for any given $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $t_{1} \leq t_{2}$ and for any $u \in V$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{2}\right)-\left(T_{\lambda} \mathcal{\jmath}\right)^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right| \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left|\left(T_{\lambda} \mathcal{\jmath}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| d t \leq \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} H(t) d t \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.25), (2.26), and the absolute continuity of integral function, it follows that $T_{\lambda} V$ is equicontinuous.

Therefore, $T_{\lambda} V$ is relatively compact, that is, $T_{\lambda}$ is a compact operator on $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$.
Finally, we show that $T_{\mathcal{\lambda}}$ is continuous on $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$. Suppose $u_{n}, u \in \overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}, n=1,2, \ldots$ and $\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0,(n \rightarrow+\infty)$. Then $u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow u^{\prime}(t)$ and $u_{n}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ uniformly, with respect to $t \in[0,1]$. From $(H)$, choose still positive numbers $c_{1} \leq$ $\min \left\{N_{1},(r / 8) N_{1}\right\}, c_{2} \leq \min \left\{N_{2},(r / 4) N_{2}\right\}, c_{3} \geq \max \left\{N_{3}^{-1}, N_{3}^{-1} R\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq f\left(t, u_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \leq C_{0} f\left(t, t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}, 1-t,-1\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \\
0 \leq G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u_{n}(s), u_{n}^{\prime}(s), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) \leq C_{0} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right), \quad t \in[0,1], s \in(0,1) \tag{2.27}
\end{gather*}
$$

By (2.17), we know that $s f\left(s, s-s^{2} / 2,1-s,-1\right)$ is integrable on $[0,1]$. Thus, from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(T_{\lambda} u_{n}\right)-\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)\right\|_{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\left(T_{\lambda} u_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}-\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s\left|f\left(s, u_{n}(s), u_{n}^{\prime}(s), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right| d s  \tag{2.28}\\
& \quad=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} s\left|\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(f\left(s, u_{n}(s), u_{n}^{\prime}(s), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)-f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u_{n}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right)\right| d s \\
& \quad=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $T_{\mathcal{\lambda}}$ is continuous on $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$. Therefore, $T_{\lambda}: \overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r} \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous.

## 3. A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Existence of Positive Solutions

In this section, by using the fixed point theorem of cone, we establish the following necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of positive solutions for BVP (1.1).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose (H) holds, then BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution for any $\lambda>0$ if and only if the integral inequality (2.17) holds.

Proof. Suppose first that $u(t)$ be a positive solution of BVP (1.1) for any fixed $\lambda>0$. Then there exist constants $I_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ with $0<I_{i}<1<I_{i+1}, i=1,3$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq I_{2}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right), \quad I_{3}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq I_{4}(1-t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, it follows from $u^{(4)}(t) \geq 0, t \in(0,1)$ and $u(0)=u^{\prime}(1)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=0$, that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in(0,1]$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0, u^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[0,1]$. By the concavity of $u(t)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(t) \geq t u(1)+(1-t) u(0)=t\|u\| \geq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|,  \tag{3.2}\\
u^{\prime}(t) \geq t u^{\prime}(1)+(1-t) u^{\prime}(0)=(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t) & =\int_{0}^{1} G_{0}(t, s)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s=\int_{0}^{t} s\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s+\int_{t}^{1} t\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|+t(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|=\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|,  \tag{3.3}\\
u^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{t}^{1}-u^{\prime \prime}(s) d s \leq(1-t)\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $I_{1}=\min \{\|u\|, 1 / 2\}$, let $I_{2}=I_{4}=\max \left\{\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|, 2\right\}$, and let $I_{3}=\min \left\{\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|, 1 / 2\right\}$, then (3.1) holds.

Choose positive numbers $c_{1} \leq N_{1} I_{2}^{-1}, c_{2} \leq N_{2} I_{4}^{-1}, c_{3} \geq \max \left\{N_{3}^{-1}, N_{3}^{-1}\|u\|_{2}\right\}$. This, together with $(H),(1.2)$, and (2.18) yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(t, t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}, 1-t,-1\right) & =f\left(t, c_{1} \frac{t-t^{2} / 2}{c_{1} u(t)} u(t), c_{2} \frac{1-t}{c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)} u^{\prime}(t), \frac{1}{c_{3}} \frac{c_{3}}{-u^{\prime \prime}(t)} u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& \leq c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{t-t^{2} / 2}{c_{1} u(t)}\right)^{\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{1-t}{c_{2} u^{\prime}(t)}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{3}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}}\left(\frac{c_{3}}{-u^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right)^{\beta_{3}} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& \leq c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{1} I_{1}}\right)^{\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{2} I_{3}}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{c_{3}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}}\left(-\frac{c_{3}}{u^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right)^{\beta_{3}} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \\
& =C^{*}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{-\beta_{3}} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C^{*}=c_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2}-\beta_{2}} c_{3}^{\beta_{3}-\alpha_{3}} I_{1}^{-\beta_{1}} I_{3}^{-\beta_{2}}$. Hence, integrating (3.4) from $t$ to 1 , we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{t}^{1}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\beta_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \leq C^{*}\left(-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $-u^{\prime \prime}(t)$ increases on $[0,1]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\beta_{3}} \lambda \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \leq C^{*}\left(-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \leq C^{*} \frac{-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)}{\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{\beta_{3}}}, \quad t \in(0,1) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\beta_{3}<1$, integrating (3.7) from 0 to 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s d t \leq C^{*}\left(1-\beta_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(1)\right)^{1-\beta_{3}} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d t d s \leq C^{*}\left(1-\beta_{3}\right)^{-1}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(1)\right)^{1-\beta_{3}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s<+\infty . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an argument similar to the one used in deriving (3.5), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{t}^{1}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \geq C_{*}\left(-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{*}=c_{1}^{\beta_{1}-\alpha_{1}} c_{2}^{\beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}} c_{3}^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} I_{2}^{-\alpha_{1}} I_{4}^{-\alpha_{2}}$. So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \geq C_{*}\|u\|_{2}^{-\alpha_{3}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (3.12) from 0 to 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s d t \geq C_{*}\|u\|_{2}^{-\alpha_{3}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d t d s \geq C_{*}\|u\|_{2}^{-\alpha_{3}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(1)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s>0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and (3.10) imply that (2.17) holds.
Now assume that (2.17) holds, we will show that BVP (1.1) has at least one positive solution for any $\lambda>0$. By (2.17), there exists a sufficient small $\delta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s>0 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any fixed $\lambda>0$, first of all, we prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{2} \geq\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall u \in \partial P_{r} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<r \leq \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3},\left(\lambda \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-s^{2} / 2,1-s,-1\right) d s\right)^{1 /\left(1-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right)\right)}\right\}$. Let $u \in \partial P_{r}$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{r}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq r\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq N_{1}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right), \quad \frac{r}{4}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq r(1-t) \leq N_{2}(1-t) \\
\delta r \leq r t \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq r \leq N_{3}, \quad \forall t \in[\delta, 1-\delta] \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

From Lemma 2.1, (3.18), and $(H)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{2} & =\left\|\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\| \geq \lambda \max _{t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta \lambda \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, \frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}(1-s),(-1)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta \lambda \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s\left(\frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\beta_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s  \tag{3.19}\\
& \geq \delta\left(\frac{r}{8}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^{\beta_{2}}(\delta r)^{\beta_{3}} \lambda \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} r r^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}} \lambda \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \geq r=\|u\|_{2}, \quad u \in \partial P_{r} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, (3.17) holds.
Next, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{2} \leq\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall u \in \partial P_{R} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R \geq \max \left\{8 N_{1}^{-1}, 4 N_{2}^{-1},\left(\lambda N_{3}^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-s^{2} / 2,1-s,-1\right) d s\right)^{1 /\left(1-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right)\right)}\right\}$. Let $c=N_{3} / R$, then for $u \in \partial P_{R}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{-1}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{R}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq R\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right), \quad N_{2}^{-1}(1-t) \leq \frac{R}{4}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq R(1-t) \\
&-c u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq c\|u\|_{2}=c R=N_{3}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and $(H)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(T_{\lambda} u\right)^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| & =\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, \frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}(1-s),(-1)\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\left(-c u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{\beta_{3}}\left(-c u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\alpha_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \leq R^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{N_{3}}{R}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} R^{\alpha_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& =R^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}}\left(N_{3}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \leq R=\|u\|_{2}, \quad u \in \partial P_{R} . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that (3.20) holds.
By Lemmas 1.1 and 2.4, (3.17), and (3.20), we obtain that $T_{\lambda}$ has a fixed point in $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$. Therefore, BVP (1.1) has a positive solution in $\overline{P_{R}} \backslash P_{r}$ for any $\lambda>0$.

## 4. Unbounded Connected Branch of Positive Solutions

In this section, we study the global continua results under the hypotheses $(H)$ and (2.17). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\overline{\{(\lambda, u) \in(0,+\infty) \times(P \backslash\{\theta\}):(\lambda, u) \quad \text { satisfies BVP }(1.1)\}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, by Theorem 3.1, $L \cap(\{\lambda\} \times P) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\lambda>0$.
Theorem 4.1. Suppose (H) and (2.17) hold, then the closure L of positive solution set possesses an unbounded connected branch $C$ which comes from $(0, \theta)$ such that
(i) for any $\lambda>0, C \cap(\{\lambda\} \times P) \neq \emptyset$, and
(ii) $\lim _{\left(\lambda, u_{\lambda}\right) \in C, \lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}=0, \lim _{\left(\lambda, u_{\lambda}\right) \in C, \lambda \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}=+\infty$.

Proof. We now prove our conclusion by the following several steps.
First, we prove that for arbitrarily given $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<+\infty, L \cap\left(\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] \times P\right)$ is bounded. In fact, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=2 \max \left\{8 N_{1}^{-1}, 4 N_{2}^{-1},\left(\lambda_{2} N_{3}^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s\right)^{1 /\left(1-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right)\right)}\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$ and $\|u\|_{2} \geq R$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{-1}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{R}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right)\|u\|_{2}  \tag{4.3}\\
& N_{2}^{-1}(1-t) \leq \frac{R}{4}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq(1-t)\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall t \in[0,1] .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and $(H)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\|_{2} & \leq\left\|T_{\lambda_{2}} u\right\|_{2} \leq \lambda_{2} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda_{2} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, \frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}(1-s),(-1) \frac{\|u\|_{2}}{N_{3}} \frac{N_{3}}{\|u\|_{2}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda_{2}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{N_{3}}{\|u\|_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}}\|u\|_{2}^{\alpha_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s  \tag{4.4}\\
& =\lambda_{2}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}}\left(N_{3}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& <\|u\|_{2}, \quad \forall \lambda \in\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3},\left(\lambda_{1} \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s\right)^{1 /\left(1-\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}\right)\right)}\right\} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (3.16). Then for $u \in P \backslash\{\theta\}$ and $\|u\|_{2} \leq r$, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\|u\|_{2}}{8}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq u(t) \leq r\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) \leq N_{1}\left(t-\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) ; \quad \frac{\|u\|_{2}}{4}(1-t) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq r(1-t) \leq N_{2}(1-t) \\
\delta\|u\|_{2} \leq t\|u\|_{2} \leq-u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq r \leq N_{3}, \quad \forall t \in[\delta, 1-\delta] \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 and $(H)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{\lambda} u\right\| & \geq\left\|T_{\lambda_{1}} u\right\| \geq \lambda_{1} \max _{t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s), u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta \lambda_{1} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, \frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\left(s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}\right), \frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}(1-s),(-1)\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta \lambda_{1} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s\left(\frac{u(s)}{s-s^{2} / 2}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{u^{\prime}(s)}{1-s}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(-u^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{\beta_{3}} f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq \delta\left(\frac{\left.\|u\|_{2}\right)}{8}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{2}}{4}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(\delta\|u\|_{2}\right)^{\beta_{3}} \lambda_{1} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \geq \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)}\|u\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}} \lambda_{1} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& >\|u\|_{2}, \quad u \in \partial P_{r} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $u=T_{\lambda} u$ has no positive solution in $\left(\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] \times\left(P \backslash P_{R}\right)\right) \cup\left(\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] \times \overline{P_{r}}\right)$. As a consequence, $L \cap\left(\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] \times P\right)$ is bounded.

By the complete continuity of $T_{\lambda}, L \cap\left(\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right] \times P\right)$ is compact.
Second, we choose sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<\cdots<a_{n}<\cdots<a_{1}<b_{1}<\cdots<b_{n}<\cdots, \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} a_{n}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} b_{n}=+\infty . \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

We are to prove that for any positive integer $n$, there exists a connected branch $C_{n}$ of $L$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n} \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \neq \emptyset, \quad C_{n} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \neq \emptyset \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n$ be fixed, suppose that for any $\left(b_{n}, u\right) \in L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)$, the connected branch $C_{u}$ of $L \cap\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times P\right)$, passing through $\left(b_{n}, u\right)$, leads to $C_{u} \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right)=\emptyset$. Since $C_{u}$ is compact, there exists a bounded open subset $\Omega_{1}$ of $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times P$ such that $C_{u} \subset \Omega_{1}, \overline{\Omega_{1}} \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right)=\emptyset$, and $\overline{\Omega_{1}} \cap\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times\{\theta\}\right)=\emptyset$, where $\overline{\Omega_{1}}$ and later $\partial \Omega_{1}$ denote the closure and boundary of $\Omega_{1}$ with respect to $\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times P$. If $L \cap \partial \Omega_{1} \neq \emptyset$, then $C_{u}$ and $L \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$ are two disjoint closed subsets of $L \cap \overline{\Omega_{1}}$. Since $L \cap \overline{\Omega_{1}}$ is a compact metric space, there are two disjoint compact subsets $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of $L \cap \overline{\Omega_{1}}$ such that $L \cap \overline{\Omega_{1}}=M_{1} \cup M_{2}, C_{u} \subset M_{1}$, and $L \cap \partial \Omega_{1} \subset M_{2}$. Evidently, $\gamma=: \operatorname{dist}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)>0$. Denoting by $V$ the $\gamma / 3$-neighborhood of $M_{1}$ and letting $\Omega_{u}=\Omega_{1} \cap V$, then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{u} \subset \Omega_{u}, \quad \overline{\Omega_{u}} \cap\left[\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \cup\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times\{\theta\}\right)\right]=\emptyset, \quad L \cap \partial \Omega_{u}=\emptyset \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L \cap \partial \Omega_{1}=\emptyset$, then taking $\Omega_{u}=\Omega_{1}$.
It is obvious that in $\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P$, the family of $\left\{\Omega_{u} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right):\left(b_{n}, u\right) \in L\right\}$ makes up an open covering of $L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)$. Since $L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)$ is a compact set, there exists a finite subfamily $\left\{\Omega_{u_{i}} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right):\left(b_{n}, u_{i}\right) \in L\right\}_{i=1}^{k}$ which also covers $L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)$. Let $\Omega=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \Omega_{u_{i}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \subset \Omega, \quad \bar{\Omega} \cap\left[\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \cup\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times\{\theta\}\right)\right]=\emptyset, \quad L \cap \partial \Omega=\emptyset \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by the homotopy invariance of the fixed point index, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(T_{b_{n}}, \Omega \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right), P\right)=i\left(T_{a_{n}}, \Omega \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right), P\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the first step of this proof, the construction of $\Omega,(4.4)$, and (4.7), it follows easily that there exist $0<r_{n}<R_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\bar{\Omega} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)\right) \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P_{r_{n}}\right)=\emptyset, \quad\left(\bar{\Omega} \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)\right) \subset\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P_{R_{n}}\right),  \tag{4.13}\\
i\left(T_{b_{n}}, P_{r_{n}}, P\right)=0,  \tag{4.14}\\
i\left(T_{b_{n}}, P_{R_{n}}, P\right)=1 . \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

However, by the excision property and additivity of the fixed point index, we have from (4.12) and (4.14) that $i\left(T_{b_{n}}, P_{R_{n}}, P\right)=0$, which contradicts (4.15). Hence, there exists some $\left(b_{n}, u\right) \in L \cap\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\} \times P\right)$ such that the connected branch $C_{u}$ of $L \cap\left(\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right] \times P\right)$ containing $\left(b_{n}, u\right)$ satisfies that $C_{u} \cap\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\} \times P\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $C_{n}$ be the connected branch of $L$ including $C_{u}$, then this $C_{n}$ satisfies (4.9).

By Lemma 1.2, there exists a connected branch $C^{*}$ of $\limsup { }_{n \rightarrow+\infty} C_{n}$ such that $C^{*} \cap$ $(\{\lambda\} \times P) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\lambda>0$. Noticing $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} C_{n} \subset L$, we have $C^{*} \subset L$. Let $C$ be the connected branch of $L$ including $C^{*}$, then $C \cap(\{\lambda\} \times P) \neq \emptyset$ for any $\lambda>0$. Similar to (4.4) and (4.7), for any $\lambda>0,\left(\lambda, u_{\lambda}\right) \in C$, we have, by $(H),(4.2),(4.3),(4.5),(4.6)$, and Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} & =\left\|T_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, u_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}}\left(\frac{N_{3}}{\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{\alpha_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& =\lambda\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}}\left(N_{3}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s  \tag{4.16}\\
& \leq \lambda R^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}}\left(N_{3}\right)^{\alpha_{3}-\beta_{3}} \int_{0}^{1} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s, \\
\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} & =\left\|T_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2} \geq \lambda \max _{t \in[\delta, 1-\delta]} \int_{0}^{1} G_{2}(t, s) f\left(s, u_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \delta\left(\frac{\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}}{8}\right)^{\beta_{1}}\left(\frac{\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}}{4}\right)^{\beta_{2}}\left(\delta\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}\right)^{\beta_{3}} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s  \tag{4.17}\\
& \geq \lambda \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s \\
& \geq \lambda \delta^{1+\beta_{3}} 2^{-3\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)} r^{\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}} \int_{\delta}^{1-\delta} s f\left(s, s-\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 1-s,-1\right) d s,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given by (3.16). Let $\lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}$in (4.16) and $\lambda \rightarrow+\infty$ in (4.17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\left(\lambda, u_{\lambda}\right) \in C, \lambda \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}=0, \quad \lim _{\left(\lambda, u_{\lambda}\right) \in C, \lambda \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{\lambda}\right\|_{2}=+\infty \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Theorem 4.1 holds and the proof is complete.
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